# Peer

## Differential effects of cotreatment of the antibiotic rifampin with host-directed therapeutics in reducing intracellular *Staphylococcus aureus* infection

### Melissa D. Evans<sup>1</sup>, Robert Sammelson<sup>2</sup> and Susan McDowell<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, Ball State University, Muncie, IN, United States of America

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, Ball State University, Muncie, IN, United States of America

## ABSTRACT

**Background**. Chronic infection by *Staphylococcus aureus* drives pathogenesis in important clinical settings, such as recurrent pulmonary infection in cystic fibrosis and relapsing infection in osteomyelitis. Treatment options for intracellular *S. aureus* infection are limited. Rifampin, a lipophilic antibiotic, readily penetrates host cell membranes, yet monotherapy is associated with rapid antibiotic resistance and development of severe adverse events. Antibiotic cotreatment can reduce this progression, yet efficacy diminishes as antibiotic resistance develops. ML141 and simvastatin inhibit *S. aureus* invasion through host-directed rather than bactericidal mechanisms.

**Objective**. To determine whether cotreatment of ML141 or of simvastatin with rifampin would enhance rifampin efficacy.

**Methods**. Assays to assess host cell invasion, host cell viability, host cell membrane permeability, and bactericidal activity were performed using the human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293-A cell line infected with *S. aureus* (29213) and treated with vehicle control, simvastatin, ML141, rifampin, or cotreatment of simvastatin or ML141 with rifampin.

**Results.** We found cotreatment of ML141 with rifampin reduced intracellular infection nearly 85% when compared to the no treatment control. This decrease more than doubled the average 40% reduction in response to rifampin monotherapy. In contrast, cotreatment of simvastatin with rifampin failed to improve rifampin efficacy. Also, in contrast to ML141, simvastatin increased propidium iodide (PI) positive cells, from an average of 10% in control HEK 293-A cells to nearly 20% in simvastatin-treated cells, indicating an increase in host cell membrane permeability. The simvastatin-induced increase was reversed to control levels by cotreatment of simvastatin with rifampin. **Conclusion**. Taken together, rifampin efficacy is increased through host-directed inhibition of *S. aureus* invasion by ML141, while efficacy is not increased by simvastatin. Considerations regarding novel therapeutic approaches may be dependent on underlying differences in pharmacology.

**Subjects** Biotechnology, Cell Biology, Microbiology, Drugs and Devices, Infectious Diseases **Keywords** *Staphylococcus aureus*, ML141, Rifampin, Simvastatin, Intracellular infection, Cotreatment

Submitted 2 July 2020 Accepted 19 October 2020 Published 10 November 2020

Corresponding author Melissa D. Evans, melissa.evans96@outlook.com

Academic editor Kausar Begam Riaz Ahmed

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 15

DOI 10.7717/peerj.10330

Copyright 2020 Evans et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

## INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus is an important cause of both acute (Tong et al., 2015) and chronic infections (Kavanagh et al., 2018; Goss & Muhlebach, 2011). Chronic infections contribute substantially to morbidity and mortality in certain settings, most notably in progressive lung disease characteristic of cystic fibrosis (CF) (Goss & Muhlebach, 2011) and in deterioration of bone and joint tissue in osteomyelitis (Kavanagh et al., 2018). S. aureus is an initial pulmonary isolate in pediatric patients with CF (Armstrong et al., 1997) and by adulthood the majority of CF patients remain chronically infected (Branger, Gardye & Lambert-Zechovsky, 1996; Schwerdt et al., 2018). Chronic S. aureus infection is an ongoing treatment challenge as indicated by the 2018 Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry reporting an increase in the percentage of patients infected with S. aureus each year from 59% in 2003 to 70% in 2018 (Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, 2019). S. aureus also is the most common cause of acute and chronic osteomyelitis in children and adults (Kavanagh et al., 2018; Hatzenbuehler & Pulling, 2011). Treatment of staphylococcal osteomyelitis is complicated further by increased incidence of methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) infection. The predominance of S. aureus in initiating and sustaining chronic infection may be attributable to the capacity to invade host cells, to reemerge and invade adjoining cells and to undergo phenotypic differentiation within host cells enabling persistence within the intracellular environment (Loffler et al., 2014; Proctor et al., 2006; Tuchscherr et al., 2011).

S. aureus invades host cells by exploiting host endocytic mechanisms (*Foster et al.*, 2014). On the bacterial surface, invasive S. aureus strains express fibronectin binding proteins (FnBPs) that bind fibronectin, a host extracellular matrix protein. Fibronectin, as it binds to the host cell receptor  $\alpha 5\beta$ 1, triggers receptor-mediated endocytosis of the bacteria-fibronectin complex. During invasion, host cell actin stress fibers disassemble, potentially providing pulling forces needed for engulfment (*Horn et al.*, 2008; Agerer et al., 2005). CDC42, a member of the RHO GTPase family, regulates actin stress fiber dynamics and can function ahead of family members RAC and RHO in the mobilization of actin (*Nobes & Hall*, 1995). The apparent regulatory role and early CDC42 activation during S. aureus invasion (*Arbibe et al.*, 2000) suggest host CDC42 plays a central role in this invasive mechanism.

Treatment options for intracellular *S. aureus* infection are limited as first-line antibiotics demonstrate limited membrane permeability (*Darouiche & Hamill, 1994*). Rifampin is a lipophilic antibiotic that demonstrates a propensity for intracellular uptake, enabling clearance of both extracellular and intracellular susceptible bacteria (*Hoger et al., 1985; Mandell & Vest, 1972*). However, rifampin monotherapy is associated with the development of cross resistance to vancomycin and daptomycin (*Guerillot et al., 2018*), rapid rifampin resistance (*Zhou et al., 2012; Bongiorno et al., 2018*), and progression of severe adverse events (*Poole, Stradling & Worlledge, 1971; Grosset & Leventis, 1983*). To limit the development of resistance and adverse events, the current standard of care is cotreatment of rifampin with an antibiotic cocktail (*Forrest & Tamura, 2010*).

To circumvent antibiotic resistance, an emerging therapeutic approach is to target the host rather than bacterial cells (*Horn et al., 2008; Cordero et al., 2014*). For nearly two

decades, researchers have examined statin drugs as potential host-directed therapeutics for infection, including invasive infection by S. aureus (Zumla et al., 2016; Hennessy et al., 2016; Caffrey et al., 2017; Parihar, Guler & Brombacher, 2019; Kaufmann et al., 2018). Our work had indicated therapeutic benefit may include limiting the spread of infection by reducing host cell invasion (Burns et al., 2013; Smelser et al., 2016; McDowell et al., 2011). We identified an underlying mechanism where simvastatin reduces invasion of S. aureus into host cells by sequestering small-GTPases CDC42, RAC, and RHO in the cytosol (Horn et al., 2008). In turn, this sequestration limits actin stress fiber disassembly and reduces fibronectin binding at  $\alpha 5\beta 1$  (*Caffo et al., 2019*). We went on to investigate whether targeted inhibition of host CDC42 would be sufficient to limit invasion. We discovered that ML141, a small molecule inhibitor with specificity for host CDC42 (Hong et al., 2013; Surviladze et al., 2010), decreased host cell invasion (Cordero et al., 2014). Similar to simvastatin, we found ML141 inhibition of invasion is associated with diminished reordering of actin stress fibers and with decreased fibronectin binding at the host cell membrane. Given simvastatin and ML141 reduce the number of bacteria that invade the host cell and rifampin acts on both extracellular and intracellular bacterial populations, we sought to determine in the current study whether cotreatment of these host-directed inhibitors with rifampin would enhance clearance of intracellular infection. We examined the response to cotreatment on intracellular infection, bactericidal activity, host cell membrane permeability, and host cell viability.

## **MATERIALS & METHODS**

## Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK) 293-A cell culture

HEK 293-A cells (Fisher Scientific) were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (VWR International, Radnor, PA; DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biologicals, Flowery Branch, GA; FBS) and 1% L-glutamine (Fisher Scientific) and maintained at 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, in 75 cm<sup>2</sup> vented cap flasks (Fisher Scientific). HEK 293-A cell identity was authenticated by short tandem repeat profiling performed by American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA).

## **Bacterial cell culture**

Two days prior to each assay, *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC, #29213) cultures were maintained in 5 ml tryptic soy broth (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) overnight (37 °C, 225 rpm) then subcultured one day prior to each assay.

## **Rifampin IC50**

*S. aureus* cultures were harvested by centrifugation (3 min, 37 °C, 10,000 rpm), resuspended to  $5.4 \times 10^8$  colony forming units (CFU)/ml in prewarmed 0.85% saline, and incubated with the vehicle control, 0.4% dimethyl sulfoxide (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA; DMSO), or with increasing concentrations of rifampin (VWR International; structure provided in Supplemental File) (1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Serial dilutions were incubated overnight on tryptic soy agar (Sigma-Aldrich; TSA; 37 °C) and colony counts performed to determine CFU/ml. Of note, for all experiments, 0.4% was the final DMSO solvent concentration

(vol/vol) for each treatment and 0.4% DMSO served as the non-treatment, negative, vehicle control.

To determine the IC50 of rifampin for intracellular infection, 35 mm cell culture dishes (Fisher Scientific) were precoated with Attachment Factor (Fisher Scientific) prior to plating  $3 \times 10^5$  HEK 293-A cells/ml. HEK 293-A cells were inoculated with *S. aureus* at multiplicity of infection (MOI) 2 ( $6 \times 10^5$  CFU) or at MOI 100 ( $3 \times 10^7$  CFU; 30 min or 1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) in 10% FBS/phosphate buffered saline (VWR International; PBS) followed by incubation with increasing concentrations of rifampin (1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). To remove extracellular bacteria, HEK 293-A cells were incubated with gentamicin (Sigma-Aldrich; 50 µg/ml) and lysostaphin (Sigma-Aldrich, 20 µg/ml) in DMEM (45 min, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) following three 1X PBS washes. Intracellular *S. aureus* were harvested using 1% saponin (20 min, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) following three 1X PBS washes and serial dilutions of the supernatant were plated onto TSA, incubated overnight at 37 °C and CFU/ml quantified by colony counts.

### ML141 pretreatment assay

HEK 293-A cells were plated as described above. The following day, HEK 293-A cells were pretreated with DMSO (0.4%) or with ML141 (10  $\mu$ M; 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 24 h; structure provided in Supplemental File). The next day, pretreated HEK 293-A cells were inoculated (3 × 10<sup>7</sup> CFU, 1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) in 10% FBS/PBS. Intracellular bacteria were isolated and quantified as described above.

### **Cotreatment assay**

HEK 293-A cells were plated as described above. Treatments were performed as outlined in Table 1 at these concentrations: DMSO (0.4%), ML141 (10  $\mu$ M), simvastatin (1  $\mu$ M; structure provided in Supplemental File), rifampin (0.01 mg/L).

Following post-treatment, intracellular bacteria were isolated and quantified as described.

### Host cell viability assay

HEK 293-A cells were plated at  $5 \times 10^4$  cells/0.5 ml in 48-well cell culture plates (VWR International) precoated with Attachment Factor. To acquire uniform microplate readings, multi-well culture plates were used. This change from the 35 mm plates used for the invasion assay required a reduction in cell count. The following day, HEK 293-A cells were treated with DMSO (0.4%), ML141 (10  $\mu$ M), rifampin (0.01 mg/L), or ML141 (10  $\mu$ M) with rifampin (0.01 mg/L; 24 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Cell viability was measured using CellTiter 96<sup>®</sup> Aqueous One Solution Reagent (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI). Absorbance was measured at 490 nm using a BioRad iMark microplate reader.

### **Bactericidal assay**

S. aureus were harvested as described above and treated with DMSO (0.2%), ML141 (10  $\mu$ M), rifampin (0.002 mg/L), or ML141 (10  $\mu$ M) with rifampin (0.002 mg/L; 1 h, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Bacteria were serially diluted, plated on TSA, incubated overnight at 37 °C and CFU/ml determined from colony counts.

Table 1         Treatment regimen for cotreatment assays.				
	Treatment Group			
	DMSO	ML141 or simvastatin	Rifampin	ML141/rifampin or simvastatin/rifampin
Pre-treatment(24 hr)	DMSO	ML141 or simvastatin	DMSO	ML141 or simvastatin
Inoculation(30 min)	$3 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU}$			
Post-treatment(1 hr)	DMSO	ML141 or simvastatin	Rifampin	ML141/rifampin or simvastatin/rifampin

## Propidium iodide flow cytometry assay

HEK 293-A cells were plated and incubated with DMSO (0.4%), ML141 (10  $\mu$ M), or simvastatin (1  $\mu$ M) as described in the cotreatment assay. The following day, HEK 293-A were treated with DMSO (0.4%), ML141 (10  $\mu$ M), simvastatin (1  $\mu$ M), rifampin (0.01 mg/L), ML141 (10  $\mu$ M) with rifampin (0.01 mg/L), or simvastatin (1  $\mu$ M) with rifampin (0.01 mg/L; 1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Immediately prior to performing the assay, HEK 293-A cells serving as the positive control were incubated with 70% ethanol (1 min). HEK 293-A cells were harvested by scrapping with cell lifters and washed in FACS buffer. Propidium iodide (Sigma Aldrich) was added to each sample immediately prior to measurement. Percentage of propidium iodide positive HEK 293-A cells was determined using a MACSQuant Analyzer 10 flow cytometer (Miltenyi Biotech Inc., Auburn, CA.).

## Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using Prism software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). Rifampin IC50 was determined by nonlinear regression analysis. Means between groups were compared by one-way ANOVA followed by Newman-Keuls or Holm-Sidak's post-hoc analysis. The threshold for statistical significance was P < 0.05.

## RESULTS

# Cotreatment of rifampin with ML141 reduces intracellular *S. aureus* infection more than rifampin alone

We first determined the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) of rifampin in a model cell line, HEK 293-A. The rifampin IC50 was 0.004 mg/L when HEK 293-A cells were incubated with bacteria at MOI 2 and the IC50 increased to 0.01 mg/L when the MOI was increased to 100 (Fig. 1). Thus, a higher concentration of antibiotic was needed to clear a larger intracellular bacterial population. All subsequent experiments were performed at MOI 100 to ensure there were sufficient numbers of colonies in the cotreatment group for statistical analysis.

Consistent with what we had found in human umbilical vein endothelial cells (*Cordero et al., 2014*), pretreatment of HEK 293-A cells with 10  $\mu$ M ML141 reduced the number of intracellular bacteria by an average of 40% when compared to pretreatment with the negative, vehicle control DMSO (Fig. 2A, P = 0.0169). Therefore, this concentration of ML141 served as the positive control for all subsequent experiments. Also consistent with our work and that of others (*Chow et al., 2010*; *Merx et al., 2004*), the experimental design used throughout this study is one of pretreatment. Pretreatment is not used as a model for

Α 2.0×10<sup>4</sup> CFU/ml (+/- SEM) 1.5×104  $1.0 \times 10^{4}$ 5.0×10<sup>3</sup> 0 0.001 0.01 0.1 Rifampin (mg/L) В 8×10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml (+/- SEM) 6×10<sup>5</sup> 4×10<sup>5</sup> 2×10<sup>5</sup> 0 0.01 0.1

**Figure 1** A higher concentration of rifampin is required when there is an increase in the bacterial load. (A) HEK 293-A cells were plated at  $3 \times 10^5$  cells/ml in 35 mm cell culture dishes. Two days later, cells were infected at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 2 ( $6 \times 10^5$  *Staphylococcus aureus* colony forming units; CFU; 30 min, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) then treated with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), or 0.001, 0.003, 0.01, or 0.03 mg/L rifampin (1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). HEK 293-A cells were treated with gentamicin (50 µg/ml) and lysostaphin (20 µg/ml; 45 min, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) to remove extracellular bacteria and intracellular bacteria were harvested using 1% saponin (20 min, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Serial dilutions were plated on tryptic soy agar (24 hr, 37 °C) and colony counts were performed. Data are pooled from two independent experiments and are represented as CFU/ml  $\pm$  SEM (n = 4 - 8/treatment). (B) HEK 293-A cells were treated with DMSO, or 0.003, 0.01, 0.03, or 0.1 mg/L rifampin (1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Extracellular bacteria were killed, intracellular bacteria harvested, and CFU/ml determined as described above. Data are represented as CFU/ml  $\pm$  SEM (n = 4/treatment).

Rifampin (mg/L)

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.10330/fig-1

prophylactic clinical applications, but rather, as a model for limiting the spread of infection post-onset and diagnosis, given the evidence that invasive *S. aureus* strains successfully exit infected host cells to initiate new infection (*Tuchscherr et al., 2011*; *Gresham et al., 2000*; *Jubrail et al., 2016*). We found cotreatment of 10  $\mu$ M ML141 with rifampin at the IC50 decreased the number of intracellular bacteria more than ML141 or rifampin alone (Fig. 2B, *P* < 0.0001). Thus, cotreatment of ML141 with rifampin appeared to enhance bacterial clearance.

## Underlying mechanisms for enhanced clearance are not associated with an appreciable loss in host cell viability or loss of host cell membrane integrity

We next assessed whether the reduction in the number of intracellular bacteria was due to decreased numbers of viable host cells rather than to enhanced bacterial clearance. HEK 293-A cells were incubated with the DMSO control, ML141 alone, rifampin alone, or ML141 combined with rifampin for the same length of time as had been used for the invasion assay. HEK 293-A metabolic activity was assayed by measuring the conversion of a tetrazolium compound to formazan, a colored product detectable by absorbance at 490 nm. Compared to the DMSO control group, no decrease in absorbance was detected in any treatment group, indicating treatment did not diminish metabolic activity, an indicator of sustained cell viability (Fig. 3A).

We went on to assess whether clearance of intracellular infection might be attributable to increased host membrane permeability, allowing greater penetrance of antibiotic into the intracellular compartment. HEK 293-A cells were incubated with the vehicle control DMSO or with ML141, rifampin, or ML141 combined with rifampin for the same duration as had been used for the invasion assay. Host cell membrane permeability was assessed using propidium iodide uptake. The percentage of propidium iodide positive HEK 293-A cells, an indication of membrane permeability, remained at DMSO control levels in response to each treatment, indicating HEK 293-A host cell membrane integrity is maintained following incubation with all treatments (Figs. 3B and 3C).

## Underlying mechanisms for enhanced clearance by the co-treatment are not associated with appreciable ML141 bactericidal activity or with enhanced rifampin bactericidal activity

Although ML141 has been assessed repeatedly for bactericidal activity and no such activity has been detected toward multiple *S. aureus* or *Streptococcus pyogenes* strains (*Cordero et al., 2014*; *Caffo et al., 2019*), we saw it as important to verify this under the experimental conditions of the rifampin studies. We inoculated HEK 293-A media containing the DMSO control or 10  $\mu$ M ML141 for the same length of time used in the invasion assay. We found that the number of viable bacteria following ML141 treatment was similar to the control treated group, indicating ML141 exhibited no bactericidal activity under the conditions used for the invasion assay (Fig. 4B).

To assess whether co-treatment with ML141 might somehow enhance rifampin bactericidal activity, we first needed to determine the IC50 of rifampin on *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 growth in axenic (host cell-free) conditions. This was necessary because the



Figure 2 Pretreatment with ML141 and cotreatment of ML141 with rifampin reduces intracellular *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. (A) HEK 293-A cells were plated at  $3 \times 10^5$  cells/ml in 35 mm cell culture dishes. The following day, cells were treated with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or ML141 (10  $\mu$ M; 24 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). HEK 293-A cells were infected at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 2 (30 min, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) then were treated with gentamicin (50  $\mu$ g/ml) (continued on next page...) Full-size  $\Box$  DOI: 10.7717/peerj.10330/fig-2

#### Figure 2 (... continued)

and lysostaphin (20 µg/ml; 45 min, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) to remove extracellular bacteria. Intracellular bacteria were harvested using 1% saponin (20 min, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) and serial dilutions were plated on tryptic soy agar (24 hr, 37 °C). Intracellular bacteria were quantified by colony counts. Data are represented as colony forming units (CFU)/ml ± SEM (\* less than DMSO, P < 0.05 by Student's *t*-test, n = 4/treatment). (B) HEK 293-A cells were plated and pretreated with ML141 or DMSO as described above. HEK 293-A cells were infected at MOI of 100 by incubating with  $3 \times 10^7$  *S. aureus* CFU then were treated with DMSO, ML141 (10 µM), rifampin (0.01 mg/L), or cotreatment of ML141 (10 µM) with rifampin (0.01 mg/L; 1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Extracellular bacteria were killed, and intracellular bacteria were harvested and plated as described above. Data are represented by CFU/ml ± SEM (\* less than DMSO and ML141, \*\* less than DMSO, ML141, or rifampin, P < 0.05 by one-way ANOVA followed by Holm-Sidak's post-hoc analysis, n = 4/treatment). Data are representative of three replicate experiments.

rifampin IC50 for intracellular bacteria (0.01 mg/L, reported above) was expected to exceed the IC50 needed under axenic conditions. Consistent with this expectation, the IC50 of rifampin in the absence of host cells was 0.002 mg/L (Fig. 4A). We then found the number of viable bacteria following cotreatment of 10  $\mu$ M ML141 with rifampin at the IC50 for axenic conditions was similar to the number of bacteria recovered following rifampin alone, indicating no detectable enhancement of rifampin bactericidal activity by ML141 at the concentrations used (Fig. 4C, P = 0.0005).

## Cotreatment of simvastatin with rifampin fails to improve rifampin efficacy

We went on to explore the hypothesis that cotreatment of rifampin with the hostdirected therapeutic simvastatin might achieve similar enhancement in the clearance of intracellular infection. The hypothesis was based on our earlier findings that 1.0  $\mu$ M simvastatin decreases intracellular infection in part by sequestering host GTPases, including CDC42 (*Horn et al., 2008*), the host-directed target of ML141 (*Hong et al., 2013*; *Surviladze et al., 2010*). Moreover, both ML141 and simvastatin disrupt actin dynamics necessary for host cell invasion by *S. aureus* and by *Streptococcus pyogenes* (*Cordero et al., 2014*; *Caffo et al., 2019*). Contrary to the hypothesis, we found no enhancement of bacterial clearance was achieved by cotreatment of rifampin with simvastatin (Fig. 5A, P = 0.0124).

## Differential effect of simvastatin on HEK 293-A cell membrane permeability is reversed by cotreatment

We were curious to understand the differential effect between simvastatin and ML141. In earlier work, we (*Caffo et al., 2019*) and others (*Chow et al., 2010*) had found that simvastatin can induce host cell membrane permeability in specific cell types. We examined the effect of simvastatin on HEK 293-A cell membrane permeability and the effect of rifampin cotreatment. We found in contrast to ML141 (Fig. 3B), simvastatin treatment increased HEK 293-A cell permeability (Fig. 5B, P = 0.0042). We also found the increase in membrane permeability in response to simvastatin was reversed by cotreatment with rifampin (Figs. 5B and 5C).



Figure 3 HEK 293-A cell viability and membrane integrity are maintained following cotreatment of ML141 with rifampin. (A) HEK 293-A cells were plated at  $5 \times 10^4$  cells/well in a 48-well plate. The following day, cells were treated with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), ML141 (10  $\mu$ M), rifampin (0.01 mg/L), or cotreatment of ML141 (10  $\mu$ M) and rifampin (continued on next page...)

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.10330/fig-3

#### Figure 3 (... continued)

(0.01 mg/L; 24 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Host cell viability was quantified following incubation with the CellTiter 96<sup>®</sup> AQueous One Solution Reagent (1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Absorbance was measured at 490 nm using a BioRad iMark Microplate Reader. Data are represented as absorbance  $\pm$  SEM (P > 0.05 by one-way ANOVA followed by Holm-Sidak's post-hoc analysis, n = 4/treatment). (B) HEK 293-A cells were plated at 3 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml in 35 mm cell culture dishes. HEK 293-A cells were treated with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or ML141 (10  $\mu$ M; 24 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). The next day, cells were treated with DMSO, ML141 (10  $\mu$ M), rifampin (0.01 mg/L), or cotreatment of ML141 (10  $\mu$ M) and rifampin (0.01 mg/L; 1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). HEK 293-A cells were analyzed using a MACSQuant Analyzer 10 flow cytometer. Data are represented as percent PI<sup>+</sup> cells  $\pm$  SEM (P > 0.05 by one-way ANOVA followed by Holm-Sidak's post-hoc analysis, n = 3/treatment). Data are pooled from two independent experiments. (C) Representative histogram from PI assay indicates similar levels of PI uptake by HEK 293-A cells treated with DMSO (green), ML141 (black), rifampin (purple), or rifampin with ML141 as cotreatment (red). The overlay of histograms reveals nearly overlapping peaks for each treatment.

## DISCUSSION

In this study, we report cotreatment of ML141 with rifampin decreases intracellular infection more than rifampin monotherapy (Fig. 2). Improved clearance is achieved through mechanisms that sustain host cell viability and host membrane integrity (Fig. 3) in the absence of detectable improvement of rifampin bactericidal activity (Fig. 4).

In contrast, cotreatment of cholesterol-lowering simvastatin with rifampin yielded no detectable improvement in bacterial clearance (Fig. 5). To explore the differential response between ML141 and simvastatin, we compared effects on host membrane permeability. We found that in contrast to ML141, simvastatin increases membrane permeability. This finding is consistent with earlier reports by our group and others that simvastatin induces membrane permeability in specific cell types (*Caffo et al., 2019*; *Chow et al., 2010*). Statins exert pleiotropic effects through inhibition of multiple intermediates within the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway, including loss of membrane integrity through decreased synthesis of the intermediate mevalonate (*Chimento et al., 2018*; *Rauthan & Pilon, 2011*). Yet, loss of membrane integrity is not a universal response to statins (*Horn et al., 2008*; *Rodrigues et al., 2009*). Thus, it is plausible that examination of simvastatin across multiple cell types, timepoints and dosages may have yielded a response similar to ML141.

We found the increase in membrane permeability by simvastatin was reversed by rifampin cotreatment. The return to baseline in response to cotreatment may be due to host-directed effects of rifampin. Rifampin not only acts through inhibition of bacterial RNA polymerase but also through host-directed responses, including induction of members of a subclass of the mammalian ATP-binding cassette family, the multidrug resistance protein (MRP) transporters (*Fromm et al., 2000*). Statin drugs can undergo efflux from the cell via these MRP transporters (*Knauer et al., 2010*). Our observation that membrane permeability returned to baseline in the cotreatment group would be consistent with statin efflux driven by rifampin induction of these transporters. Similarly, the failure of cotreatment to improve bacterial clearance also would be consistent with rifampin-driven statin efflux. However, such conclusions await further experimental evidence.

Α  $8.0 \times 10^{7}$ CFU/mI (+/- SEM) 6.0×10 4.0×10 2.0×10 0 0.001 0.01 Rifampin (mg/L) В 1.5×10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml (+/- SEM) 1×10<sup>8</sup> 5×107 MIATIOIM 0 DNSO С 2×108-CFU/ml (+/- SEM) 1.5×10<sup>8</sup> 1×10<sup>8</sup> 5×107 0 DMSO DO2 roll Pitanoin Ritantin 0.02 roll N Ritanoin

**Figure 4 Rifampin bactericidal activity is not enhanced by ML141.** (A) *Rifampin IC50 under axenic conditions is 0.002 mg/L.*  $3 \times 10^7$  *Staphylococcus aureus* colony forming units (CFU) were incubated with increasing concentrations of rifampin or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; 1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Serial dilutions were plated on tryptic soy agar (24 hr, 37 °C) and colony (continued on next page...) Full-size in DOI: 10.7717/peerj.10330/fig-4

### Figure 4 (... continued)

counts were performed. Data are represented as CFU/ml  $\pm$  SEM (n = 3/treatment). (B) *ML141 bactericidal activity not detected at concentrations used.* Following treatment of  $3 \times 10^7$  *Staphylococcus aureus* colony forming units (CFU) with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or ML141 (10  $\mu$ M; 1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>), serial dilutions were plated onto tryptic soy agar (24 hr, 37 °C) and colony counts were performed. Data are represented by CFU/ml  $\pm$  SEM (P > 0.05 by one-way ANOVA followed by Holm-Sidak's post-hoc analysis, n = 3/treatment). (C) *No detectable enhancement of rifampin bactericidal activity by ML141 at concentrations tested.* Following treatment of  $3 \times 10^7$  *Staphylococcus aureus* CFU with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), ML141 (10  $\mu$ M), rifampin (0.002 mg/L), or ML141 (10  $\mu$ M) in combination with rifampin (0.002 mg/L; 1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>), bacteria were plated and quantified as described above. Data are represented by CFU/ml  $\pm$  SEM (\* less than DMSO, P < 0.05 by one-way ANOVA followed by Holm-Sidak's post-hoc analysis, n = 3/treatment).

Differences in the mode-of-action of simvastatin and ML141 also may contribute to the differences observed in response to cotreatment. Simvastatin inhibits 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase, the rate limiting enzyme in the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway (Hennessy et al., 2016). Inhibition of HMG-CoA reductase decreases synthesis of cholesterol, as well as isoprenoid intermediates synthesized in the pathway (Greenwood, Steinman & Zamvil, 2006). Isoprenoid intermediates can serve as membrane anchors for CaaX domain containing proteins, including CDC42 (Greenwood, Steinman & Zamvil, 2006), and decreased synthesis of isoprenoid intermediates limits CDC42 membrane localization (Horn et al., 2008). Although simvastatin inhibits membrane localization of CDC42, activation of CDC42 by GTP binding within the activation site is sustained (Stankiewicz et al., 2010). This is in contrast to ML141, an allosteric inhibitor with specificity for human CDC42 (Hong et al., 2013; Surviladze et al., 2010). ML141 dissociates GTP within the activation site of CDC42, decreasing CDC42 activation (Hong et al., 2013). Another distinction between simvastatin and ML141 is that simvastatin inhibits membrane localization of additional CaaX-domain containing proteins, including RAC and RHO (Liao & Laufs, 2005). Thus, simvastatin affects multiple small GTPases, whereas ML141 has demonstrated specificity for CDC42, with no inhibitory activity detected toward RAC or RHO (Hong et al., 2013). These distinctions in the modeof-action and underlying pharmacology of simvastatin and ML141 could contribute to the observed differences in response to cotreatment.

Our findings indicate not only the promise of host-directed therapeutic approaches such as ML141, but also potential limitations of combinatorial therapies, such as the use of simvastatin with rifampin. Targeting host cell invasion may indeed have therapeutic benefit when done in combination with antibiotics, but careful examination of underlying mechanisms continues to be warranted.

## CONCLUSIONS

We sought to determine whether cotreatment of the host-directed therapeutics ML141 or simvastatin with the lipophilic antibiotic rifampin enhances clearance of intracellular *S. aureus.* We found cotreatment of ML141 with rifampin enhanced rifampin efficacy, while cotreatment of simvastatin with rifampin failed to improve rifampin efficacy. Simvastatin monotherapy increased host cell permeability, while ML141 monotherapy



**Figure 5** Analysis of simvastatin cotreatment with rifampin yields differential results from those of ML141. (A) *Cotreatment of simvastatin with rifampin fails to improve rifampin efficacy.* HEK 293-A cells were plated at 3 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml in 35 mm cell culture dishes. The following day, HEK 293-A cells were treated with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or simvastatin (continued on next page...) Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.10330/fig-5

### Figure 5 (... continued)

(1  $\mu$ M; 24 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). The next day, HEK 293-A cells were infected with 3  $\times 10^7$  Staphylococcus aureus colony forming units (CFU; 1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) then were treated with DMSO, simvastatin (1 μM), rifampin (0.01 mg/L), or cotreatment of simvastatin (1 μM) with rifampin (0.01 mg/L; 1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Extracellular bacteria were killed using gentamicin (50 µg/ml) and lysostaphin (20 µg/ml; 45 min, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) and intracellular S. aureus were harvested using 1% saponin (20 min, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Serial dilutions were plated onto tryptic soy agar (24 hr, 37 °C) and colony counts were performed. Data are represented by CFU/ml  $\pm$  SEM (\* less than DMSO, P < 0.05 by one-way ANOVA followed by Holm-Sidak's post-hoc analysis, n = 3/treatment). Data are pooled from two independent experiments. (B) Increase in HEK 293-A cell membrane permeability by simvastatin is reversed by cotreatment. HEK 293-A cells were plated at  $3 \times 10^5$  cells/ml in 35 mm cell culture dishes. HEK 293-A cells were treated with DMSO or simvastatin (1 µM; 24 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). The next day, cells were treated with DMSO, simvastatin (1 μM), rifampin (0.01 mg/L), or cotreatment of simvastatin (1 μM) and rifampin (0.01 mg/L; 1 hr, 37 °C, 5% CO2). HEK 293-A cells were harvested and resuspended in FACS buffer, then stained with propidium iodide (PI). Samples were analyzed using a MACSQuant Analyzer 10 flow cytometer. Data are represented as percent PI<sup>+</sup> cells  $\pm$  SEM (\* greater than DMSO, rifampin, and simvastatin/rifampin cotreatment, P <0.05 by one-way ANOVA followed by Holm-Sidak's post-hoc analysis, n = 3/treatment). (C) Representative histogram demonstrates uptake of PI by simvastatin-treated HEK 293-A cells is reversed by cotreatment with rifampin. Using MACSQuantify software, samples from PI-assay were analyzed and representative histogram generated of distribution of HEK 293-A cells treated with DMSO (green), simvastatin (black), rifampin (purple), or rifampin with simvastatin as cotreatment (red). Overlay of histograms reveals the highest peak is from simvastatin sample and that the cotreatment peak is similar to that of DMSO.

did not. Increases in host cell permeability in response to simvastatin were reversed by rifampin. Differences in the underlying pharmacology of simvastatin and ML141 may contribute to differences observed in response to cotreatment and should be considered when assessing the efficacy of use with antibiotics.

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

### Funding

This work was supported by the Indiana Academy of Science, the Ball State University Department of Biology, and the Ball State University Sponsored Projects Administration ASPiRE Grant Program. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

### **Grant Disclosures**

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Indiana Academy of Science. The Ball State University Department of Biology. The Ball State University Sponsored Projects Administration ASPiRE Grant Program.

## **Competing Interests**

The authors declare the following patents.
1. METHODS FOR TREATING BACTERIAL INFECTION
Co-inventors: Susan McDowell, Larry Sklar, Mark Haynes, Robert Sammelson
Patent No.: US 9,259,415 B2
Date of Patent: Feb. 16, 2016

### 2. METHODS FOR TREATING BACTERIAL INFECTION

Co-inventors: Susan McDowell, Larry Sklar, Mark Haynes, Robert Sammelson

Patent No.: US 9,763,967 B2

Date of Patent: Sep. 19, 2017

3. METHODS FOR TREATING BACTERIAL INFECTION

Co-inventors: Susan McDowell, Larry Sklar, Mark Haynes, Robert Sammelson Patent No.: US 2018/0021357 A1

Date of Patent: Jan. 25, 2018.

## **Author Contributions**

- Melissa D. Evans and Susan McDowell conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Robert Sammelson conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

## **Patent Disclosures**

The following patent dependencies were disclosed by the authors:
1. METHODS FOR TREATING BACTERIAL INFECTION
Co-inventors: Susan McDowell, Larry Sklar, Mark Haynes, Robert Sammelson
Patent No.: US 9,259,415 B2
Date of Patent: Feb. 16, 2016
2. METHODS FOR TREATING BACTERIAL INFECTION
Co-inventors: Susan McDowell, Larry Sklar, Mark Haynes, Robert Sammelson
Patent No.: US 9,763,967 B2
Date of Patent: Sep. 19, 2017
3. METHODS FOR TREATING BACTERIAL INFECTION

Co-inventors: Susan McDowell, Larry Sklar, Mark Haynes, Robert Sammelson Patent No.: US 2018/0021357 A1

Date of Patent: Jan. 25, 2018

### **Data Availability**

The following information was supplied regarding data availability: The raw data are available in a Supplemental File.

## **Supplemental Information**

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.10330#supplemental-information.

## REFERENCES

Agerer F, Lux S, Michel A, Rohde M, Ohlsen K, Hauck CR. 2005. Cellular invasion by *Staphylococcus aureus* reveals a functional link between focal adhesion kinase and cortactin in integrin-mediated internalisation. *Journal of Cell Science* 118:2189–2200 DOI 10.1242/jcs.02328.

- Arbibe L, Mira JP, Teusch N, Kline L, Guha M, Mackman N, Godowski PJ, Ulevitch RJ, Knaus UG. 2000. Toll-like receptor 2-mediated NF-kappa B activation requires a Rac1-dependent pathway. *Nature Immunology* 1:533–540 DOI 10.1038/82797.
- Armstrong DS, Grimwood K, Carlin JB, Carzino R, Gutierrez JP, Hull J, Olinsky A, Phelan EM, Robertson CF, Phelan PD. 1997. Lower airway inflammation in infants and young children with cystic fibrosis. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 156:1197–1204 DOI 10.1164/ajrccm.156.4.96-11058.
- Bongiorno D, Mongelli G, Stefani S, Campanile F. 2018. Burden of rifampicin- and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Italy. *Microbial Drug Resistance* 24:732–738 DOI 10.1089/mdr.2017.0299.
- Branger C, Gardye C, Lambert-Zechovsky N. 1996. Persistence of Staphylococcus aureus strains among cystic fibrosis patients over extended periods of time. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* 45:294–301 DOI 10.1099/00222615-45-4-294.
- Burns EM, Smelser LK, Then JE, Stankiewicz TE, Kushdilian M, McDowell SA, Bruns HA. 2013. Short term statin treatment improves survival and differentially regulates macrophage-mediated responses to Staphylococcus aureus. *Current Pharmaceutical Biotechnology* 14:233–241.
- Caffo L, Sneed BL, Burcham C, Reed K, Hahn NC, Bell S, Downham O, Evans MD, Fullenkamp CR, Drinnon TK, Bishop D, Bruns HA, McKillip JL, Sammelson RE, McDowell SA. 2019. Simvastatin and ML141 decrease intracellular Streptococcus pyogenes infection. *Current Pharmaceutical Biotechnology* 20:733–744 DOI 10.2174/1389201020666190618115154.
- **Caffrey AR, Timbrook TT, Noh E, Sakoulas G, Opal SM, Nizet V, LaPlante KL. 2017.** Evidence to support continuation of statin therapy in patients with Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **61**:e02228-16.
- Chimento A, Casaburi I, Avena P, Trotta F, De Luca A, Rago V, Pezzi V, Sirianni R.
  2018. Cholesterol and its metabolites in tumor growth: therapeutic potential of statins in cancer treatment. *Frontiers in Endocrinology* 9:807.
- Chow OA, Von Kockritz-Blickwede M, Bright AT, Hensler ME, Zinkernagel AS, Cogen AL, Gallo RL, Monestier M, Wang Y, Glass CK, Nizet V. 2010. Statins enhance formation of phagocyte extracellular traps. *Cell Host & Microbe* 8:445–454 DOI 10.1016/j.chom.2010.10.005.
- Cordero D, Fullenkamp CR, Pelly RR, Reed KM, Caffo LM, Zahrt AN, Newman M, Komanapalli S, Niemeier EM, Bishop DL, Bruns HA, Haynes MK, Sklar LA, Sammelson RE, McDowell SA. 2014. Small molecule inhibitors limit endothelial cell invasion by Staphylococcus aureus. *Current Pharmaceutical Biotechnology* 15:727–737 DOI 10.2174/1389201015666140909124310.
- **Cystic Fibrosis Foundation. 2019.** 2018 patient registry annual data report. Bethesda: Cystic Fibrosis Foundation. *Available at https://www.cff.org/Research/Researcher-Resources/Patient-Registry/2018-Patient-Registry-Annual-Data-Report.pdf*.
- **Darouiche RO, Hamill RJ. 1994.** Antibiotic penetration of and bactericidal activity within endothelial cells. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **38**:1059–1064 DOI 10.1128/AAC.38.5.1059.

- Forrest GN, Tamura K. 2010. Rifampin combination therapy for nonmycobacterial infections. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 23:14–34 DOI 10.1128/CMR.00034-09.
- **Foster TJ, Geoghegan JA, Ganesh VK, Hook M. 2014.** Adhesion, invasion and evasion: the many functions of the surface proteins of Staphylococcus aureus. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* **12**:49–62 DOI 10.1038/nrmicro3161.
- Fromm MF, Kauffmann HM, Fritz P, Burk O, Kroemer HK, Warzok RW, Eichelbaum M, Siegmund W, Schrenk D. 2000. The effect of rifampin treatment on intestinal expression of human MRP transporters. *The American Journal of Pathology* 157:1575–1580 DOI 10.1016/S0002-9440(10)64794-3.
- Goss CH, Muhlebach MS. 2011. Review: Staphylococcus aureus and MRSA in cystic fibrosis. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 10:298–306 DOI 10.1016/j.jcf.2011.06.002.
- Greenwood J, Steinman L, Zamvil SS. 2006. Statin therapy and autoimmune disease: from protein prenylation to immunomodulation. *Nature Reviews Immunology* 6:358–370 DOI 10.1038/nri1839.
- Gresham HD, Lowrance JH, Caver TE, Wilson BS, Cheung AL, Lindberg FP. 2000. Survival of Staphylococcus aureus inside neutrophils contributes to infection. *The Journal of Immunology* 164:3713–3722 DOI 10.4049/jimmunol.164.7.3713.
- Grosset J, Leventis S. 1983. Adverse effects of rifampin. *Reviews of Infectious Diseases* 5(Suppl 3):S440–S450 DOI 10.1093/clinids/5.Supplement\_3.S440.
- Guerillot R, Goncalves da Silva A, Monk I, Giulieri S, Tomita T, Alison E, Porter J, Pidot S, Gao W, Peleg AY, Seemann T, Stinear TP, Howden BP. 2018. Convergent evolution driven by rifampin exacerbates the global burden of drug-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. *mSphere* 3:e00550-17.
- Hatzenbuehler J, Pulling TJ. 2011. Diagnosis and management of osteomyelitis. *American Family Physician* 84:1027–1033.
- Hennessy E, Adams C, Reen FJ, O'Gara F. 2016. Is there potential for repurposing statins as novel antimicrobials? *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 60:5111–5121 DOI 10.1128/AAC.00192-16.
- **Hoger PH, Vosbeck K, Seger R, Hitzig WH. 1985.** Uptake, intracellular activity, and influence of rifampin on normal function of polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **28**:667–674 DOI 10.1128/AAC.28.5.667.
- Hong L, Kenney SR, Phillips GK, Simpson D, Schroeder CE, Noth J, Romero E, Swanson S, Waller A, Strouse JJ, Carter M, Chigaev A, Ursu O, Oprea T, Hjelle B, Golden JE, Aube J, Hudson LG, Buranda T, Sklar LA, Wandinger-Ness A. 2013. Characterization of a Cdc42 protein inhibitor and its use as a molecular probe. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 288:8531–8543 DOI 10.1074/jbc.M112.435941.
- Horn MP, Knecht SM, Rushing FL, Birdsong J, Siddall CP, Johnson CM, Abraham TN, Brown A, Volk CB, Gammon K, Bishop DL, McKillip JL, McDowell SA. 2008. Simvastatin inhibits Staphylococcus aureus host cell invasion through modulation of isoprenoid intermediates. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics* 326:135–143 DOI 10.1124/jpet.108.137927.
- Jubrail J, Morris P, Bewley MA, Stoneham S, Johnston SA, Foster SJ, Peden AA, Read RC, Marriott HM, Dockrell DH. 2016. Inability to sustain intraphagolysosomal

killing of Staphylococcus aureus predisposes to bacterial persistence in macrophages. *Cellular Microbiology* **18**:80–96 DOI 10.1111/cmi.12485.

- Kaufmann SHE, Dorhoi A, Hotchkiss RS, Bartenschlager R. 2018. Host-directed therapies for bacterial and viral infections. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* 17:35–56 DOI 10.1038/nrd.2017.162.
- Kavanagh N, Ryan EJ, Widaa A, Sexton G, Fennell J, O'Rourke S, Cahill KC, Kearney CJ, O'Brien FJ, Kerrigan SW. 2018. Staphylococcal Osteomyelitis: disease progression, treatment challenges, and future directions. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 31:e00084-17.
- Knauer MJ, Urquhart BL, Meyer zu Schwabedissen HE, Schwarz UI, Lemke CJ, Leake BF, Kim RB, Tirona RG. 2010. Human skeletal muscle drug transporters determine local exposure and toxicity of statins. *Circulation Research* 106:297–306 DOI 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.109.203596.
- Liao JK, Laufs U. 2005. Pleiotropic effects of statins. *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology* **45**:89–118 DOI 10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.45.120403.095748.
- Loffler B, Tuchscherr L, Niemann S, Peters G. 2014. Staphylococcus aureus persistence in non-professional phagocytes. *International Journal of Medical Microbiology* 304:170–176 DOI 10.1016/j.ijmm.2013.11.011.
- Mandell GL, Vest TK. 1972. Killing of intraleukocytic Staphylococcus aureus by rifampin: in-vitro and in-vivo studies. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* 125:486–490 DOI 10.1093/infdis/125.5.486.
- McDowell SA, Ma Y, Kusano R, Akinbi HT. 2011. Simvastatin is protective during Staphylococcus aureus pneumonia. *Current Pharmaceutical Biotechnology* 12:1455–1462 DOI 10.2174/138920111798281027.
- Merx MW, Liehn EA, Janssens U, Lutticken R, Schrader J, Hanrath P, Weber C. 2004. HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor simvastatin profoundly improves survival in a murine model of sepsis. *Circulation* 109:2560–2565 DOI 10.1161/01.CIR.0000129774.09737.5B.
- **Nobes CD, Hall A. 1995.** Rho, rac, and cdc42 GTPases regulate the assembly of multimolecular focal complexes associated with actin stress fibers, lamellipodia, and filopodia. *Cell* **81**:53–62 DOI 10.1016/0092-8674(95)90370-4.
- Parihar SP, Guler R, Brombacher F. 2019. Statins: a viable candidate for host-directed therapy against infectious diseases. *Nature Reviews Immunology* 19:104–117 DOI 10.1038/s41577-018-0094-3.
- **Poole G, Stradling P, Worlledge S. 1971.** Potentially serious side effects of high-dose twice-weekly rifampicin. *British Medical Journal* **3**:343–347 DOI 10.1136/bmj.3.5770.343.
- Proctor RA, Von Eiff C, Kahl BC, Becker K, McNamara P, Herrmann M, Peters G. 2006. Small colony variants: a pathogenic form of bacteria that facilitates persistent and recurrent infections. *Nature Reviews Immunology* 4:295–305 DOI 10.1038/nrmicro1384.
- Rauthan M, Pilon M. 2011. The mevalonate pathway in C. elegans. *Lipids in Health and Disease* 10:243 DOI 10.1186/1476-511X-10-243.

- Rodrigues AC, Curi R, Genvigir FD, Hirata MH, Hirata RD. 2009. The expression of efflux and uptake transporters are regulated by statins in Caco-2 and HepG2 cells. *Acta Pharmacologica Sinica* 30:956–964 DOI 10.1038/aps.2009.85.
- Schwerdt M, Neumann C, Schwartbeck B, Kampmeier S, Herzog S, Gorlich D, Dubbers A, Grosse-Onnebrink J, Kessler C, Kuster P, Schultingkemper H, Treffon J, Peters G, Kahl BC. 2018. Staphylococcus aureus in the airways of cystic fibrosis patients a retrospective long-term study. *International Journal of Medical Microbiology* 308:631–639 DOI 10.1016/j.ijmm.2018.02.003.
- Smelser LK, Walker C, Burns EM, Curry M, Black N, Metzler JA, McDowell SA, Bruns HA. 2016. Short term, low dose simvastatin pretreatment alters memory immune function following secondary Staphylococcus aureus infection. *Current Pharmaceutical Biotechnology* 17:886–893 DOI 10.2174/1389201017666160301122330.
- Stankiewicz TE, Haaning KL, Owens JM, Jordan AS, Gammon K, Bruns HA, McDowell SA. 2010. GTPase activating protein function of p85 facilitates uptake and recycling of the beta1 integrin. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 391:443–448 DOI 10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.11.077.
- Surviladze Z, Waller A, Strouse JJ, Bologa C, Ursu O, Salas V, Parkinson JF, Phillips GK, Romero E, Wandinger-Ness A, Sklar LA, Schroeder C, Simpson D, Noth J, Wang J, Golden J, Aube J. 2010. A potent and selective inhibitor of Cdc42 GTPase. Probe reports from the NIH molecular libraries program. Bethesda.
- Tong SY, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler Jr VG. 2015. Staphylococcus aureus infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 28:603–661 DOI 10.1128/CMR.00134-14.
- Tuchscherr L, Medina E, Hussain M, Volker W, Heitmann V, Niemann S, Holzinger D, Roth J, Proctor RA, Becker K, Peters G, Loffler B. 2011. Staphylococcus aureus phenotype switching: an effective bacterial strategy to escape host immune response and establish a chronic infection. *EMBO Molecular Medicine* 3:129–141 DOI 10.1002/emmm.201000115.
- Zhou W, Shan W, Ma X, Chang W, Zhou X, Lu H, Dai Y. 2012. Molecular characterization of rifampicin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates in a Chinese teaching hospital from Anhui, China. *BMC Microbiology* 12:240 DOI 10.1186/1471-2180-12-240.
- Zumla A, Rao M, Wallis RS, Kaufmann SH, Rustomjee R, Mwaba P, Vilaplana C, Yeboah-Manu D, Chakaya J, Ippolito G, Azhar E, Hoelscher M, Maeurer M. 2016.
  c. Host-directed therapies network, host-directed therapies for infectious diseases: current status, recent progress, and future prospects. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 16:e47–e63 DOI 10.1016/S1473-3099(16)00078-5.