

**A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 7 April 2015.**

[View the peer-reviewed version](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.883) (peerj.com/articles/883), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Duan W, Guo P. 2015. Association between virtues and posttraumatic growth: preliminary evidence from a Chinese community sample after earthquakes. PeerJ 3:e883 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.883>

# Association between virtues and posttraumatic growth: Preliminary evidence from a Chinese community sample after earthquakes

Wenjie Duan, Pengfei Guo

**Objective:** Relationship, vitality, and conscientiousness are three fundamental virtues that have been identified recently, which are important individual differences to health, well being, and positive development. This cross-sectional study attempted to explore the relationship between the three constructs and post-traumatic growth (PTG) in three directions, including indirect trauma samples without post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), direct trauma samples without PTSD, and direct trauma samples with PTSD.

**Methods:** A total of 340 community participants from Sichuan Province, Mainland China involved in the study, most of which experienced Wenchuan and Lushan Earthquake. Participants were required to complete the self-reported questionnaire packages at one time point for obtaining their scores on virtues (Chinese Virtues Questionnaire), PTSD (PTSD Checklist-Specific), and PTG (Post-traumatic Growth Inventory-Chinese). **Results:** Significant and positive correlations between the three virtues and PTG were identified ( $r = .39$  to  $.56$ ;  $p < .01$ ). Further regression analysis by stepwise method revealed that: in the indirect trauma samples, vitality explained 32% variance of PTG. In reference to the direct trauma sample without PTSD, both relationship and conscientiousness explained 32% variance of PTG; whereas in the direct trauma sample with PTSD, only conscientiousness accounted for 31% the variance in PTG. **Conclusion:** This cross-sectional investigation partly revealed the roles of different virtues in trauma context. Findings suggest important implications for strengths-based treatment.

2 Association between Virtues and Posttraumatic Growth: Preliminary Evidence from a Chinese

3 Community Sample after Earthquake

4  
5 Wenjie Duan

6 Department of Applied Social Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

7 Pengfei Guo

8 Hospital (T. C. M.) Affiliated to Luzhou Medical College, Luzhou, Sichuan, China

9  
10  
11 **Address for correspondence:** Pengfei Guo, Hospital (T. C. M.) Affiliated to Luzhou Medical College,

12 Luzhou, Sichuan, China. (Telephone: +86-152-9829-9409; Email: lyzyydb@163.com)

# Association between Virtues and Posttraumatic Growth: Preliminary Evidence from a Chinese Community Sample after Earthquakes

## INTRODUCTION

Natural disasters, cancer, bereavement, and other life-threatening events with potentially irreversible consequences often lead to positive changes and transcendence known as posttraumatic growth (PTG, Calhoun & Tedeschi 2006; Tedeschi & Calhoun 2004). A promising research direction focuses on the effects of personal strengths on coping and responses to health- and wellbeing-related concerns and worries, such as traumatic events (Hampson & Friedman 2008).

To date, the most systematic approach for studying personal strengths is the Values in Action Classification developed by Peterson & Seligman (2004); this approach included 24 character strengths (e.g., hope, self-regulation, and gratitude) grouped into six core virtues (e.g., wisdom, courage, and humanity). They conceptualized virtue as “a property of the whole person and the life that person leads” (p. 87), which is a personal strength appreciated by the whole society (Peterson & Seligman 2004). In the past decade, many studies revealed the positive relationship between these positive qualities and mental health (for review, see Niemiec 2013) and demonstrated that the use of strengths is a valid approach for enhancing wellbeing in diverse populations (Duan et al. 2014; Seligman et al. 2005). Accordingly, the relationship between these qualities and PTG was explored using 1,739 samples from different countries (Peterson et al. 2008). Findings indicated that kindness, love, bravery, hope, and religiousness show stronger relations with PTG than other strengths (Peterson et al. 2008). However, no correlation coefficient was higher than 0.35, thus reflecting weak correlation. Principal

33 component factor analysis revealed a five-factor structure of virtues, namely, interpersonal, fortitude,  
34 cognitive, transcendence, and temperance. Correlation analysis showed that all these five virtues  
35 correlated with PTG, but all the correlation coefficients were lower than 0.21 (Peterson et al. 2008).

36 Three issues should be noted regarding the study of Peterson et al. (2008). First, the virtue  
37 structure in the aforementioned study is questionable. Various studies have found that the 24 identified  
38 strengths can be grouped into different virtues in diverse cultures, and the groups include 3-, 4-, or 5-  
39 factor structures (Duan et al. 2012b; Ho et al. 2014a). Thus, the virtue structure should be clarified  
40 prior to delineating the function of virtues in facing trauma and should be explored and analyzed  
41 further. Duan et al. (2012b) adopted the combined emic and etic approaches to select 96 cross-  
42 culturally equivalent items from the original 240-item Values In Action Inventory of Strengths by  
43 using a Chinese population (Ho et al. 2014b). Exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses revealed 3  
44 virtues, namely, relationship, vitality, and conscientiousness (Duan et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2014a).  
45 The relationship virtue reflects “the love, concern, and gratitude of a person toward others”; vitality  
46 reflects “the curiosity and zest for creativity of an individual”; and conscientiousness is “an  
47 intrapersonal virtue that describes people who persist in achieving goals and exhibit self-control,”  
48 which reflects the individual orientation of the virtues (Ho et al. 2014a). A recent study conducted by  
49 McGrath (in press) likewise demonstrated the three-virtue structure by investigating multiple  
50 inventories and large samples. Therefore, the relationship between the three virtues and PTG should be  
51 reexamined. Second, the research conducted by Peterson et al. (2008) examined only the relationship  
52 among trauma samples, which accounted for 56% of the entire population. However, previous studies

argued that stress-related life events could likewise facilitate stress-related growth, which is assessed by Posttraumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI), but to a lesser extent than traumatic events (e.g., LoSavio et al. 2011). The level of perceived stress that resulted from events might be the key cause of PTG, rather than the objective and specific events per se. Third, several individuals who underwent trauma may develop posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), whereas others may not (Yehuda & Flory 2007). The above study failed to consider the influence of PTSD on PTG in the trauma samples. An inverted-U curve was also found between PTG and PTSD, which suggested that PTG decreased after a moderate level of PTSD (Levine et al. 2008). Nevertheless, an increasing interest was noted among mental health professionals in determining the strengths of their clients (McCrae 2011).

## CURRENT STUDY

To expand our understanding of the function of strengths in PTG, the relationship between virtues and PTG should be explored. Basing on the above literature review, PTG in the current study refers to growth following stress-related events, including daily stressors and traumatic events. Individuals indirectly exposed to traumatic events can be recognized as persons who experienced stress-related events. Accordingly, both direct and indirect trauma groups would acquire PTG, and the differences between these two groups would be insignificant (Hypothesis 1). As previously discussed, a few traumatic individuals may develop PTSD, which implied that the contributions of the three virtues (relationship, vitality, and conscientiousness) to PTG vary depending on trauma type (i.e., direct trauma vs. indirect trauma) and PTSD status (i.e., PTSD group vs. non-PTSD group)

72 (Hypothesis 2). The current results will clarify the contributions of virtues in traumatic situations,  
73 which may facilitate a strength-based approach in both research and practice in the future.

## 74 **METHOD**

### 75 **Participants**

76 A total of 340 qualified respondents (109 males and 231 females) were recruited from different  
77 communities in Dujiangyan area, Sichuan, China, which were affected by the 2008 earthquake. A total  
78 of 69 participants were aged 18–25, 101 were in the range of 36–35, 112 were in the range of 36–45,  
79 and 58 were above 46 years old. Only 51 participants have obtained a university degree or above. As  
80 expected, “natural disaster,” “sudden or unexpected death of someone close to you,” and “life-  
81 threatening illness or injury” were the three most endorsed items listed on the questionnaire on  
82 traumatic events (Table 1).

83 (Insert Table 1 Here)

### 84 **Procedures**

85 The announcement for study participation was published on community bulletin boards, which  
86 can be seen by most people who lived in the community. Individuals who were interested to participate  
87 were instructed to complete first the Life Events Checklist (LEC), and only the participants who  
88 directly or indirectly experienced trauma were qualified to complete the other scale during the  
89 following week (screening criteria are described in the Measures section). The Human Subjects  
90 Committee of Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital Affiliated to Luzhou Medical College approved

91 the study. All data collected were anonymous and confidential. Psychological assistance was provided  
92 to protect the subjects. Data were collected from December 2013 to April 2014.

### 93 **Measures**

94 ***Life Events Checklist.*** LEC was used to screen individuals who experienced direct or indirect  
95 trauma through 17 potential events (Gray et al. 2004). Participants are requested to rate each event on a  
96 five-point Likert scale (1 = happened to me, 2 = witnessed it, 3 = learned about it, 4 = not sure, 5 =  
97 does not apply). Participants who indicated at least one traumatic event as 1 = “happened to me” were  
98 defined as direct trauma samples, whereas respondents who indicated 2 = “witnessed it” and/or 3 =  
99 “learned about it” were indirect trauma samples. Participants who selected 4 = “not sure” and/or 5  
100 “does not apply” in the checklist were excluded. Considering that all participants involved in this study  
101 were sampled within the earthquake zone (Dujiangyan area in Sichuan Province), rather than other  
102 place far away from the earthquake-prone area, they should not be treated as persons who lived far  
103 away from Sichuan and were affected by the earthquake only through radio and television. Thus, all  
104 the qualified participants may have experienced at least some indirect exposure to earthquake.

105 ***Chinese Virtues Questionnaire.*** Virtues were assessed using the Chinese Virtues Questionnaire,  
106 which is a 96-item simplified Chinese scale with good psychometric characteristics (Duan et al. 2013;  
107 Duan et al. 2012b). The respondents were requested to rate each item from 1 (very much unlike me) to  
108 5 (very much like me) on a five-point Likert scale. Item samples include “I can accept love from  
109 others” (Relationship), “I like to think of new ways to do things” (Vitality), and “I control my  
110 emotions” (Conscientiousness). A high mean score reflects a high degree of the virtue within an



111 individual. In this study, the Cronbach's  $\alpha$  values of the three subscales were 0.91 (relationship), 0.85  
112 (vitality), and 0.84 (conscientiousness).

113 ***Posttraumatic Growth Inventory-Chinese.*** A 15-item Chinese version of the PTGI (Ho et al.  
114 2004) measures growth following a traumatic event. The measurement requires individuals to indicate  
115 the extent of their experiences of changes as a result of crisis, ranging from 0 (not at all) to 5 (a very  
116 great degree). The reliability and validity of the 15-item version were accurate in previous studies (Ho  
117 et al. 2004). In the present sample, the Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of the inventory was 0.84.

118 ***PCL-S.*** PTSD symptoms were evaluated by the 17-item PCL-S. Participants are requested to  
119 rate their experience from 1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely). Previous studies demonstrated that the  
120 Chinese version can be used as a screening questionnaire among the Chinese population (Li et al.  
121 2010). Scores of 44 or above indicate a PTSD diagnosis (Blanchard et al. 1996). Responses of the  
122 diagnosed PTSD participants also fulfilled the criteria of DSM-IV, including a) "history of a  
123 traumatic stressor," b) "persistent re-experiencing of the traumatic event," c) "persistent avoidance of  
124 stimuli associated with the trauma and numbing of general responsiveness," and d) "persistent  
125 symptoms of increased arousal." In the current sample, the Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of the entire scale was 0.93.

## 126 RESULTS

127 The descriptive statistics of all variables are listed in Table 2. ANOVA showed that the virtue  
128 of relationship and PTG exhibited significant differences among the three samples ( $p < 0.05$ ). Post-hoc  
129 tests further revealed that both the relationship and PTG of direct trauma with PTSD sample were  
130 significantly lower than those of the other groups ( $p < 0.05$ ). Correlation analysis (Table 3) revealed

131 that different virtues showed significantly positive relations with PTG in the total sample and three  
132 subsamples, ranging from 0.39 to 0.56 ( $p < 0.01$ ).

133 (Insert Table 2 Here)

134 (Insert Table 3 Here)

135 Further regression analysis by stepwise method revealed that virtues served different functions  
136 in diverse subsamples (Table 4). In the indirect trauma sample, only vitality ( $Beta = 0.56$ ,  $t = 6.44$ ,  $p <$   
137  $0.001$ ) significantly explained 32% variance of PTG, whereas based on the direct trauma without  
138 PTSD sample, relationship ( $Beta = 0.38$ ,  $t = 5.98$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and conscientiousness ( $Beta = 0.29$ ,  $t =$   
139  $4.54$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) also accounted for 32% variance of PTG. Finally, in the direct trauma with PTSD  
140 sample, only conscientiousness ( $Beta = 0.56$ ,  $t = 3.85$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) can contribute 31% explained variance  
141 to PTG.

142 (Insert Table 4 Here)

## 143 DISCUSSION

144 This research is based on a community sample of people directly or indirectly exposed to  
145 trauma (e.g., earthquakes). As expected, no difference of PTG existed between the indirect and direct  
146 trauma samples. A previous study indicated that a population indirectly hit by an earthquake could still  
147 grow after traumatic experiences (Yu et al. 2010). In the present study, some participants directly  
148 experienced an earthquake, whereas some might have indirectly experienced this event through their  
149 friends, witnessed the death of a close friend, or experienced the psychological distress caused by the  
150 death of a family member or a close friend. Thus, all the participants may undergo PTG. The growth

151 also decreased with increased severity of PTSD, which was partly explained by the inverted-U curve  
152 between PTG and PTSD. As reflected by the present results, the correlation between PTG and PTSD  
153 was almost significant and negative, particularly in the PTSD sample ( $r = -0.31, p = 0.07$ ). Mol et al.  
154 (2005) also investigated 832 individuals and found that the scores of their PTSD were the same for  
155 some early-life and traumatic events, which partly revealed that some life events could also generate  
156 PTSD symptoms. All these results suggest that the objective traumatic events may not essentially lead  
157 to PTG or PTSD. According to the Transactional Model of Stress and Coping (Lazarus & Folkman  
158 1984), the perceived stress from these events could be triggered. Thus, further studies are necessary to  
159 understand the differences in PTG and PTSD after traumatic and non-traumatic events.

160 Our previous studies preliminarily demonstrated that three virtues are positively associated with  
161 positive health outcomes (Duan et al. 2012a; Duan et al. 2013; Tang et al. in press), such as satisfaction  
162 with life and flourishing, but negatively associated with negative health outcomes (Duan et al. 2013;  
163 Duan et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2014c), such as depression, anxiety, general severity index, and  
164 pathological Internet use. These results indicated that the three virtues might be protective factors of  
165 mental health. Accordingly, personal virtues may facilitate rebounding for individuals who experienced  
166 trauma.

167 Individuals with different endorsed virtues often occupy different psychological resources (e.g.,  
168 optimism, emotional control, and gratitude). Thus, they can maximize the use of different resources  
169 (i.e., diverse virtues) in various contexts. For instance, an individual with high level of relationship  
170 virtue is more adept at obtaining social support from his/her friends and relatives to recover from the

171 trauma and even obtain growth. Thus, the main results of the current study revealed the different  
172 potential functions of virtues (i.e., relationship, vitality, and conscientiousness) in PTG. In the indirect  
173 trauma samples, only vitality contributed to the variance of growth after trauma. In the direct trauma  
174 without PTSD sample, relationship and conscientiousness explained the variance of PTG, whereas in  
175 the PTSD sample, only conscientiousness was the significant contributor. Basing on the previous and  
176 current findings, we can speculate the different functions of virtues in various samples. Without  
177 directly experiencing trauma, most people are troubled by small stress, and some are indirectly affected  
178 by trauma. When similar scenarios occur, vitality can cause individuals to perceive less stress, thereby  
179 reducing psychological distress (Duan et al. 2015). Our previous study identified that only students  
180 with higher vitality have perceived less stress from minor life events, which consequently introduced  
181 less psychological distress (Duan et al. 2015). Individuals with high vitality are also more willing to  
182 express their concerns to relieve stress and improve mental health (Yang et al. 2015; Zhang et al.  
183 2014b). After being directly exposed to traumatic events, most people retain psychological balance  
184 without significant symptoms of PTSD (Bonanno et al. 2007). Therefore, interpersonal resources  
185 related to the virtue of relationship are necessary. Prati & Pietrantonio (2009) demonstrated that social  
186 support is a significant contributor to PTG in a meta-analysis of 103 studies. Individuals who are rated  
187 high in the virtue of relationship are more likely to adopt a supportive mechanism to overcome the  
188 predicaments caused by trauma. Finally, conscientiousness, also termed as self-regulation, always  
189 facilitates positive mental health and decreases psychopathology (Hagger 2010). Duan et al. (2015)  
190 found that individual conscientiousness can directly decrease psychological distress, regardless of the

191 level of stress. Consequently, the intrapersonal strengths reflected by conscientiousness can be used to  
192 regulate emotion, cognition, and behavior to resolve conflicts caused by trauma, thus enhancing growth  
193 after trauma.

194 In fact, the three virtues (i.e., relationship, vitality, and conscientiousness) researched here  
195 correspond strongly to the three well-established character traits proposed by Cloninger & Zohar  
196 (2011), namely cooperativeness, which is consistent with relationship; self-directedness, which is  
197 consistent with vitality; and self-transcendence, which is consistent with conscientiousness. These  
198 character traits and the three virtues, according to the Cloninger (2004)'s psychobiological theory of  
199 personality, were cognitive domain of personalities, which were more stable and developed across  
200 lifespan toward social norms that approved and appreciated by the whole society (Josefsson et al.  
201 2013b). Previous studies found that high self-directedness as a health-promoting trait that associated  
202 with coping with prior trauma (North et al. 2012). Other longitudinal studies revealed the predictive  
203 ability of character traits to well being (Cloninger & Zohar 2011; Josefsson et al. 2013a). These  
204 findings and abovementioned studies on virtues suggest that both character traits and virtues may be  
205 helpful in coping with challenges and enhancing well-being. For instance, Eley et al. (2013) recently  
206 revealed the associations between profile of temperament & character and resilience, indicating the  
207 importance of high levels of self-directedness, cooperativeness, and persistence. Our ongoing cross-  
208 sectional study likewise preliminarily found that virtues and trait resilience were conceptually related  
209 (Duan & Guo under review). However, future longitudinal studies should be operated to explore the

210 predictive ability of virtues in traumatic context and further reveal the possible causal relationship  
211 between virtues and resilience.

212         Some limitations should be noted. First, it should be stressed again that, similar to some studies  
213 on trauma, the design of this study was cross-sectional rather than longitudinal. Results of this research  
214 and the hypothesized functions of virtues in the development process of a traumatic event should be  
215 replicated and examined in future longitudinal designs. Second, the direct and indirect trauma samples  
216 were divided based on self-reported measurements, wherein “indirect trauma” could be anything from  
217 hearing about the traumatic event from a friend to watching it on TV, although they lived in the  
218 earthquake zone. These participants were actually not trauma-exposure types according to DSM or  
219 ICD, thereby leaving future studies to distinguish the two samples objectively. Third, all the data were  
220 collected by self-reported method, which may introduce common method bias. Future studies should  
221 adopt multiple methods for controlling the bias. Finally, this study is the first to examine the function  
222 of virtues in trauma research among Chinese. More psychological outcomes, including flourishing,  
223 depression, and anxiety, should be explored in the future.

224

225

- 227 Blanchard EB, Jones-Alexander J, Buckley TC, and Forneris CA. 1996. Psychometric properties of the  
228 PTSD Checklist (PCL). *Behav Res Ther* 34:669-673.
- 229 Bonanno GA, Galea S, Bucciarelli A, and Vlahov D. 2007. What predicts psychological resilience after  
230 disaster? The role of demographics, resources, and life stress. *Journal of consulting and clinical*  
231 *psychology* 75:671-682.
- 232 Calhoun LG, and Tedeschi RG. 2006. *Handbook of posttraumatic growth: Research & practice*.  
233 Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- 234 Cloninger CR. 2004. *Feeling good: the science of well being*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- 235 Cloninger CR, and Zohar AH. 2011. Personality and the perception of health and happiness. *Journal of*  
236 *affective disorders* 128:24-32.
- 237 Duan W, Bai Y, Tang X, Siu PY, Chan RKH, and Ho SMY. 2012a. Virtues and positive mental health.  
238 *Hong Kong Journal of Mental Health* 38:24-31.
- 239 Duan W, and Guo P. under review. Relationships among Trait Resilience, Virtues, Post-traumatic  
240 Stress Disorder, and Post-traumatic Growth. *PLoS One*.
- 241 Duan W, Ho SMY, Bai Y, and Tang X. 2013. Psychometric evaluation of the Chinese Virtues  
242 Questionnaire. *Research on Social Work Practice* 23:336-345.
- 243 Duan W, Ho SMY, Bai Y, Tang X, Zhang Y, Li T, and Yuen T. 2012b. Factor structure of the Chinese  
244 Virtues Questionnaire. *Research on Social Work Practice* 22:680-688.

- 245 Duan W, Ho SMY, Siu BPY, Li T, and Zhang Y. 2015. Role of Virtues and Perceived Life Stress in  
246 Affecting Psychological Symptoms among Chinese College Students. *Journal of American*  
247 *College Health* 63:32-39.
- 248 Duan W, Ho SMY, Tang X, Li T, and Zhang Y. 2014. Character strength-based intervention to  
249 promote satisfaction with life in the Chinese university context. *Journal of Happiness Studies*  
250 15:1347-1361.
- 251 Eley DS, Cloninger CR, Walters L, Laurence C, Synnott R, and Wilkinson D. 2013. The relationship  
252 between resilience and personality traits in doctors: implications for enhancing well being.  
253 *PeerJ* 1:e216.
- 254 Gray MJ, Litz BT, Hsu JL, and Lombardo TW. 2004. Psychometric properties of the life events  
255 checklist. *Assessment* 11:330-341.
- 256 Hagger MS. 2010. Self-regulation: An important construct in health psychology research and practice.  
257 *Health Psychology Review* 4:57-65.
- 258 Hampson SE, and Friedman HS. 2008. Personality and health: A lifespan perspective. In: John PO,  
259 Robins WR, and Pervin AL, eds. *Handbook of personality: Theory and research*. 3rd ed. New  
260 York, NY, US: Guilford Press.
- 261 Ho SMY, Chan CLW, and Ho RTH. 2004. Posttraumatic growth in Chinese cancer survivors.  
262 *Psycho-Oncology* 13:377-389.



- 263 Ho SMY, Duan W, and Tang SCM. 2014a. The psychology of virtue and happiness in western and  
264 asian thought. In: Snow NE, and Trivigno FV, eds. *The Philosophy and Psychology of*  
265 *Character and Happiness*. New York: Routledge, 215-238.
- 266 Ho SMY, Rochelle TLR, Law LSC, Duan W, Bai Y, Shih S-M, and Wang G-L. 2014b.  
267 Methodological issues in positive psychology research with diverse populations: Exploring  
268 strengths among Chinese adults. In: Pedrotti JT, and Edwards LM, eds. *Perspectives on the*  
269 *Intersection of Multiculturalism & Positive Psychology*. New York, N.Y.: Springer Science +  
270 Business Media B.V., 45-57.
- 271 Josefsson K, Jokela M, Cloninger CR, Hintsanen M, Salo J, Hintsu T, Pulkki-Råback L, and  
272 Keltikangas-Järvinen L. 2013a. Maturity and change in personality: developmental trends of  
273 temperament and character in adulthood. *Development and psychopathology* 25:713-727.
- 274 Josefsson K, Jokela M, Hintsanen M, Cloninger CR, Pulkki-Råback L, Merjonen P, Hutri-Kähönen N,  
275 and Keltikangas-Järvinen L. 2013b. Parental care-giving and home environment predicting  
276 offspring's temperament and character traits after 18 years. *Psychiatry research* 209:643-651.
- 277 Lazarus RS, and Folkman S. 1984. *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. New York: Springer Publishing  
278 Company.
- 279 Levine SZ, Laufer A, Hamama-Raz Y, Stein E, and Solomon Z. 2008. Posttraumatic growth in  
280 adolescence: Examining its components and relationship with PTSD. *Journal of Traumatic*  
281 *Stress* 21:492-496.

- 282 Li H, Wang L, Shi Z, Zhang Y, Wu K, and Liu P. 2010. Diagnostic utility of the PTSD Checklist in  
283 detecting PTSD in Chinese Earthquake victims. *Psychological Reports* 107:733-739.
- 284 LoSavio ST, Cohen LH, Laurenceau J-P, Dasch KB, Parrish BP, and Park CL. 2011. Reports of stress-  
285 related growth from daily negative events. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology* 30:760-  
286 785.
- 287 McCrae RR. 2011. Personality traits and the potential of positive psychology. In: Sheldon KM,  
288 Kashdan TB, and Steger MF, eds. *Designing positive psychology: Taking stock and moving*  
289 *forward*. New York: Oxford University Press, 193-206.
- 290 McGrath RE. in press. Integrating Psychological and Cultural Perspectives on Virtue: The Hierarchical  
291 Structure of Character Strengths. *Journal of Positive Psychology*.
- 292 Mol SS, Arntz A, Metsemakers JF, Dinant G-J, AP VILTERS-VAN MONTFORT P, and Knottnerus  
293 JA. 2005. Symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder after non-traumatic events: evidence  
294 from an open population study. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 186:494-499.
- 295 Niemiec RM. 2013. VIA character strengths: Research and practice (The first 10 years). In: Knoop  
296 HH, and Fave AD, eds. *Well-Being and Cultures: Perspectives from Positive Psychology*. New  
297 York, NY: Springer Science+Business Media, 11-29.
- 298 North CS, Abbacchi A, and Cloninger CR. 2012. Personality and posttraumatic stress disorder among  
299 directly exposed survivors of the Oklahoma City bombing. *Comprehensive psychiatry* 53:1-8.
- 300 Peterson C, Park N, Pole N, D'Andrea W, and Seligman ME. 2008. Strengths of character and  
301 posttraumatic growth. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* 21:214-217.

- 302 Peterson C, and Seligman MEP. 2004. *Character strengths and virtues: A handbook and classification:*  
303 Oxford University Press, USA.
- 304 Prati G, and Pietrantonio L. 2009. Optimism, social support, and coping strategies as factors  
305 contributing to posttraumatic growth: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Loss and Trauma* 14:364-  
306 388.
- 307 Seligman MEP, Steen TA, Park N, and Peterson C. 2005. Positive psychology progress: empirical  
308 validation of interventions. *American Psychologist* 60:410-421.
- 309 Tang X, Duan W, Wang Z, and Liu T. in press. Psychometric Evaluation of the Simplified Chinese  
310 Version of Flourishing Scale. *Research on Social Work Practice*.
- 311 Tedeschi RG, and Calhoun LG. 2004. Posttraumatic growth: Conceptual foundations and empirical  
312 evidence. *Psychological Inquiry* 15:1-18.
- 313 Yang Z, Tang X, Duan W, and Zhang Y. 2015. Expressive writing promotes self-reported physical,  
314 social and psychological health among Chinese undergraduates. *International Journal of*  
315 *Psychology* 50:128-134.
- 316 Yehuda R, and Flory JD. 2007. Differentiating biological correlates of risk, PTSD, and resilience  
317 following trauma exposure. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* 20:435-447.
- 318 Yu X, Lau JT, Zhang J, Mak WW, Choi KC, Lui WW, Zhang J, and Chan EY. 2010. Posttraumatic  
319 growth and reduced suicidal ideation among adolescents at month 1 after the Sichuan  
320 Earthquake. *Journal of affective disorders* 123:327-331.

321 Zhang Y, Duan W, Tang X, Gan P, Gan F, and Guo P. 2014a. Psychometric Properties of Chinese  
 322 Virtues Questionnaire in Chinese Adolescents. *Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology* 22:470-  
 323 474.

324 Zhang Y, Duan W, Tang X, and Yang Z. 2014b. Can virtues enhance the benefits of expressive writing  
 325 among healthy Chinese? A pilot study. *Journal of Mental Health* 23:231-235.

326 Zhang Y, Yang Z, Duan W, Tang X, Gan F, Wang F, Wang J, Guo P, and Wang Y. 2014c. A  
 327 preliminary investigation on the relationship between virtues and pathological internet use  
 328 among Chinese adolescents. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health* 8:8.

329  
 330  
 331  
 332

## **Table 1** (on next page)

Demographic and Sample Characteristics

Table 1 *Demographic and Sample Characteristics*

## 2 Table 1

### 3 *Demographic and Sample Characteristics*

Variables	<i>n</i>	%
Gender		
Male	109	32.06%
Female	231	67.94%
Age		
18-25	69	20.29%
26-35	101	29.71%
36-45	112	32.94%
46 and above	58	17.06%
Education		
Secondary school and below	37	10.88%
Tertiary school	186	54.71%
College	66	19.41%
University and above	51	15.00%
Types of trauma		
Natural disaster	126	37.06%
Sudden, unexpected death of someone close to you	102	30.00%

Life threatening illness or injury	56	16.47%
Fire or explosion	49	14.41%
Transportation accident	33	9.71%

## **Table 2**(on next page)

Descriptive Statistics and Group Differences

Table 2 *Descriptive Statistics and Group Differences*



2 Table 2

3 *Descriptive Statistics and Group Differences*

	Indirect Trauma		Direct Trauma		Direct Trauma		ANOVA	
	Sample ( $n = 88$ )		without PTSD		with PTSD			
			Sample ( $n = 217$ )		Sample ( $n = 35$ )			
	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>Sig.</i>
Relationship	4.24	.41	4.17	.52	3.93	.51	5.08	.01
Vitality	4.16	.47	4.16	.44	4.02	.37	1.58	.21
Conscientiousness	4.01	.49	3.87	.56	3.89	.49	2.30	.10
PTG	3.34	.62	3.34	.56	3.09	.41	3.11	.05
PTSD	-	-	2.01	.39	3.26	.41	-	-

4

5

6

### **Table 3**(on next page)

Correlations between Virtues and Posttraumatic Growth in Different Subgroups

Table 3 *Correlations between Virtues and Posttraumatic Growth in Different Subgroups*

## 2 Table 3

### 3 *Correlations between Virtues and Posttraumatic Growth in Different Subgroups*

	Posttraumatic Growth			
	Total	Indirect Trauma	Direct Trauma	Direct Trauma
	Sample	Sample ( <i>n</i> = 88)	without PTSD	with PTSD Sample
			Sample ( <i>n</i> = 217)	( <i>n</i> = 35)
Relationship	.48**	.44**	.50**	.53**
Vitality	.54**	.56**	.39**	.45**
Conscientiousness	.46**	.49**	.45**	.56**

4 \*\*  $p < .01$ .

5

## **Table 4**(on next page)

Regression of Virtues on Posttraumatic Growth in Different Subgroups

Table 4 *Regression of Virtues on Posttraumatic Growth in Different Subgroups*

## 2 Table 4

### 3 *Regression of Virtues on Posttraumatic Growth in Different Subgroups*

	Indirect Trauma Sample ( <i>n</i> = 88)				Direct Trauma without PTSD Sample ( <i>n</i> = 217)				Direct Trauma with PTSD Sample ( <i>n</i> = 35)			
	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>F</i>	<i>Beta</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>F</i>	<i>Beta</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>F</i>	<i>Beta</i>	<i>t</i>
	.32	40.05***			.32	50.22***			.31	14.827**		
Relationship			.07	.53			.38	5.98***			.27	1.29
Vitality			.56	6.44***			.07	1.04			.23	1.36
Conscientiousness			.17	1.37			.29	4.54***			.56	3.85**

4 \*\* *p* < .01; \*\*\* *p* < .001

5