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A glacial survivor of the alpine Mediterranean region: phylogenetic and phylogeographic insights into *Silene ciliata* Pourr. (Caryophyllaceae)

Ifigeneia Kyrkou, José María Iriondo, Alfredo García-Fernández

*Silene ciliata* Pourr. (Caryophyllaceae) is a species with a highly disjunct distribution that inhabits the alpine mountains of the Mediterranean Basin. We investigated the phylogeny and phylogeography of the species in an attempt to a) clarify the long suggested division of *S. ciliata* into two subspecies, b) evaluate its phylogenetic origin and c) assess whether the species´ diversification patterns were affected by the Mediterranean relief. For this purpose, we collected DNA from 25 populations of the species that inhabit the mountains of Portugal, Spain, France, Italy, FYROM, Bulgaria and Greece and studied the plastid regions *rbcL*, *rps16* and *trnL*. Major intraspecific variation was supported by all analyses, while the possibility of existence of more varieties or subspecies was not favoured. Plastid DNA evidence, especially in the cases of *rps16* and *trnL* markers, was in accordance with the division of *S. ciliata* into the two subspecies, one spreading west (Iberian Peninsula and Central Massif) and the other east of the Alps region (Italian and Balkan Peninsula). The present study proposes that this vicariance has probably derived from the Alps acting as a barrier to the species dispersal. The monophyletic origin of the species is highly supported. Plastid DNA patterns may have resulted from a combination of geographic factors providing links and barriers, climatic adversities and evolutionary processes that took place during Quaternary glaciations. The latter might include hybridization events for the western subspecies and mutational accumulation for the eastern ones.
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Introduction

Alpine environments provide interesting frameworks for answering phylogeographic and phylogenetic questions that remain unresolved from a botanical perspective. Plant species in mountain ecosystems face challenges for survival and adaptation to different environmental conditions and fluctuations (Körner, 2003). High altitude habitats often follow an island-like structure due to significant levels of isolation and fragmentation (Pawłowski, 1970), thus leading to adaptive divergence and, finally, speciation events (Wiens, 2004). These inland habitat patches could harbour greater species diversity compared to a seamless area of the same extent (Quinn & Harrison, 1988). Nunataks and peripheral glacial refugia inside mountain ranges are thought to have sheltered a wide range of biological and genetic diversity during the Pleistocene glacial-interglacial periods (Hewitt, 2000; Taberlet et al., 1998).

Various phylogeographic and phylogenetic surveys have been conducted for floristic taxa of the Alps (Schönswetter et al., 2005), while the rest of the European mountain ranges and the processes occurring inside them during glaciations have generally been overlooked (Hewitt, 2001). Nevertheless, interest in Mediterranean mountain systems has gradually been increasing (e.g. Vargas, 2003; Mas de Xaxars et al., 2015). The Mediterranean Basin has undoubtedly played a crucial role in shaping the genetic and distributional patterns of many species, since it provided them with sanctuary during glaciations (Médail & Diadema, 2009) and served as a starting point for the recolonization of northern latitudes (Petit et al., 2003; Tzedakis et al., 2002).

Indeed, the Southern Mediterranean Peninsulas (i.e. Iberian, Italian and Balkan) are considered important glacial refugia for many plant and animal species (e.g. Taberlet et al., 1998; Hewitt 2000; Hewitt, 2004), and Mediterranean mountains have been considered potential refugia for alpine plants (Vargas, 2003; Hughes, Woodward & Gibbard, 2006).

Maternally inherited plastid DNA (hereafter cpDNA) has turned out to be an invaluable tool in the phylogeography and phylogenetics of angiosperms, since it provides a conservative and enduring record of plant migrational spread (McCauley, 1997; Irwin, 2002) compared to biparentally inherited nuclear markers that show recombination (Petit, Kremer & Wagner, 1993;
Heuertz et al., 2004). Thus, the geographically consistent distribution of variation patterns of species chloroplast haplotypes is believed to be the result of events such as interspecific hybridization, introgression, mutation and differentiation within species inside common refugia during the last Ice Ages, early postglacial expansion, or in current areas of sympatry (e.g. Petit et al., 2002, Hathaway, Malm & Prentice, 2009).

_Silene_ L. is a genus that has caught the attention of scientists back to Darwin (1876, 1877) and Mendel (1870) due to its many interesting attributes, making it a potential “model system” in ecology and evolution (Bernasconi et al., 2009). Yet, its phylogeny still remains perplexing and unclear (Oxelman et al., 2000; Greenberg & Donoghue, 2011). The genus has c. 700 species distributed into 44 sections, which classifies it among the largest floristic genera. Half of _Silene_ species inhabit the Mediterranean Basin (Greuter, 1995) and c. 87 of them are found in latitudes above the treeline (based on Jalas & Suominen, 1988 and supported by Zângheri & Brilli-Cattarini, 1976; Castroviejo et al., 1986-2001; Strid & Tan, 2002), which has its lower limit at about 1800-2000 m in the Mediterranean region (McNeill, 2002).

_Silene_ L. presents high levels of mitochondrial DNA variation (Sloan et al., 2008) and nuclear genome diversification (Široký et al., 2001). The majority of its species are diploid with 2n=20 or 2n=24 (Bari, 1973), while a considerable number of them are endemics (Eggens, 2006). The latest taxonomic classification can be found in Greenberg & Donoghue (2011). Many recent studies have tried to clarify the phylogeny of its tribes and sections (e.g. Oxelman et al., 2000; Rautenberg et al., 2008; Rautenberg et al., 2010).

Although _Silene_ species in alpine environments have been included in phylogenetic and phylogeographic studies of the genus _Silene_ (e.g. Abbott et al., 1995; Popp et al., 2005), those native to Mediterranean mountains have been understudied. _Silene ciliata_ is a notable species in the genus _Silene_, because it presents a circum-mediterranean distribution around mountain ranges and above the treeline. Taxonomists have consistently divided it into two subspecies based on habit differences and disjunct geographical distribution. These are _S. ciliata_ subsp. _graefleri_ (referred to as the “Italian race”), which is principally found in the Italian and the Balkan Peninsula, and _S. ciliata_ subsp. _ciliata_, (referred to as the “Spanish race”), which occupies the Iberian Peninsula (Blackburn, 1933). Western populations are morphologically more variable and several other subspecies or varieties have been proposed (e.g. _Silene ciliata_ subsp _arvatica_ Lag. in Varied .Ci. (1805), _Silene ciliata_ subsp. _elegans_ (Link. ex Brot.) Rivas Martínez in Brotero,
1804), although the validation of these subcategories remains unsolved with available
taxonomical data (Nieto Feliner, 1985). This species also stands out for its extraordinary
variability of ploidy levels in natural populations (i.e., 2n = 24, 36, 48, 72, 84, 96, 120, 144, 168,
192, 240; Blackburn, 1933; Küpfer, 1974). In particular, subsp. ciliata is reported to vary from
diploid to 20-ploid complements, whereas in subsp. graefferi only diploid and tetraploid plants
are described (Blackburn, 1933; Küpfer, 1974; Tutin et al., 1995).

We followed a phylogenetic and phylogeographic approach to this species to gain insight
into the diversification processes that have taken place in alpine environments of Mediterranean
high mountains. To our knowledge, this is the first study to cover the vast majority of the alpine
Mediterranean area with the aid of molecular marker evidence. We hypothesized that: 1) in spite
of its heterogeneity discussed by Blackburn in 1933, the species is of monophyletic origin; 2) this
heterogeneity is reflected in great cpDNA diversification that could explain the subclassification
of this species into two distinct subspecies as proposed by Blackburn (1933) and maintained by
Tutin et al. (1995); 3) the patterns of differentiation are essentially determined by the
geomorphology and spatial location of the Mediterranean mountain ranges.

Material and Methods

Study species

Silene ciliata Pourr. (subsect. Fruticulosae, Caryophyllaceae) is endemic to Europe and inhabits
the main Mediterranean mountain ranges in the northern half of Mediterranean Basin countries
spreading along the Iberian Peninsula, the Central Massif, the Apennines and the Balkan
Peninsula (Tutin et al., 1995). It is an alpine, chamaephytic, perennial, cushion plant which
typically forms pulviniform rosettes of up to 2 cm in height and 15 cm in diameter with high
variability in size. Each plant has an average of 13 ± 11 (mean ± SD) flowering stems that reach
15 cm in height and bear 1-5 flowers (Giménez-Benavides, Escudero & Iriondo, 2007a). Hand-
crossing pollination experiments indicate that S. ciliata is potentially self-compatible (Giménez-
Benavides, 2006; García-Fernández, Iriondo & Escudero, 2012). Nevertheless, passive autogamy
is restricted by a pronounced protandry (García-Fernández, Iriondo & Escudero, 2012). S. ciliata
is pollinated at night by Hadena consparcatooides Schawerda, but pollination by diurnal insects is
also reported (Giménez-Benavides et al., 2007b). Seed dispersal is essentially barochorous, since seeds lack any specialized structure to promote dispersal and, thus, most seeds are dispersed at very short distances (Lara-Romero et al., 2014).

DNA extraction, amplification and alignment

Twenty-five specimens of *S. ciliata* populations covering the species distribution range were sampled for this study (Fig. 1). Plant material was obtained from herbarium specimens or directly from the field and stored as silica gel-dried material (Table 1). All field studies made by the authors were conducted with the permission of “Junta de Castilla y León” and “Comunidad de Madrid” (approval numbers: 20144360000894 and 10/117476.9/14, respectively). For DNA extraction, approximately 20 mg of dried leaf tissue of each plant sample were weighed. Extractions were performed following the protocol of Qiagen Plant DNA extraction kit (QIAGEN Inc., CA, USA) with some modifications. The DNA extraction samples were checked in a 1% agarose gel stained with REDGEL (Biotium Inc., CA, USA) and stored at -20°C until use. Each of the 25 extracted DNA samples was amplified for the *rbcL*, *rps16* and *trnL* polymorphic cpDNA regions. These regions were selected out of the 12 regions, which were described to showing major variation and the best amplification profile (Shaw et al., 2005; Shaw et al., 2007). To assess possible intrapopulation cpDNA variation, DNA from four additional individuals of the Cen3 population was also extracted and amplified. The primers used and the PCR conditions applied for each marker, as well as the primer sequences and references, are listed in Table S2. The PCR mix was prepared using PureTaq Ready-To-Go PCR beads (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). The amplified PCR products were cleaned up with ExoProStar 1-Step enzyme (GE Healthcare) following the suggested protocol and then sequenced using a 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) in the Parque Científico de Madrid (Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain). Sequencing results were evaluated and corrected manually and then subjected to multiple alignment. Contigs were assembled and edited with Sequencher 4.1.4 (Gene Codes Corp., MI, USA) Bioedit (Hall, 1999) and ClustalW (Thompson, Higgins & Gibson, 1994).

For the estimation of the polymorphic cpDNA region phylogeny, eight additional species of genus *Silene*, tentatively close phylogenetically to *Silene ciliata*, were included in the study.
These species were selected based on the existing bibliography (Sloan et al., 2009; Greenberg & Donoghue, 2011) and the availability of the required polymorphic cpDNA regions. The search was performed in GenBank sequence database, and the species selected as outgroups were *S. latifolia* Poiret, *S. uniflora* Roth, *S. vulgaris* (Moench) Garcke and -phylogenetically closer to *S. ciliata- S. acaulis* (L.) Jacq, *S. otites* (L.) Wibel, *S. nutans* L., *S. paradoxa* L. and *S. schafta* S. G. Gmel. ex Hohen. The accession numbers of all outgroup-regions are listed in Table S3.

Genetic analyses: diversity, dendograms, networks and spatial clustering

The number of variation and informative sites of our aligned sequences was determined using DnaSP v.5.10.01 (Librado & Rozas, 2009). The phylogenetic analyses were performed using two different statistical approaches (“Bayesian inference” and “Maximum likelihood”) for verification reasons. In the Bayesian analysis, sequence data were first introduced to jModeltest (Posada, 2008) to determine the best fitting evolutionary model according to the AIC criterion. This process was followed to generate a dendrogram for each polymorphic cpDNA region, plus one dendrogram that included all polymorphic cpDNA regions together. The suggested model for *rbcL* was [HKY], for *rps16* [GTR+ G], for *trnL* [HKY+ I] and for the tree including all markers [GTR+ G]. These models were then inserted into MrBayes 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck et al., 2001) and posterior probabilities (hereafter PP) were estimated using the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method. Four Markov chains were run in parallel for 10,000,000 generations and sampled every 100 generations. The first 100 generations were set as the “burn-in” period, while the rest were used to calculate the 50% majority rule consensus phylogeny and posterior probability. The resulting dendrogram archives were revised with FigTree v. 1.3.1 (Rambaut, 2006). A maximum likelihood dendrogram including all the polymorphic cpDNA regions together was also generated with PhyML 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010) under the same evolutionary model used for the Bayesian analysis. The reliability of the branches was calculated through bootstrapping, after producing 1000 bootstrapped data sets. All outputs were compared and analysed to infer the evolutionary history of our study species.

Next, each group of polymorphic cpDNA region sequences was analysed with TCS 1.2.1 (Clement, Posada & Crandall, 2000) and classified according to statistically parsimonious haplotype groups. The haplotype groups were linked by the program, constructing a network of
mutation steps, which visualized the genetic distance between them. For the construction of the haplotype networks, deletions were not treated as polymorphic sites, while the analysis was performed under the default of 95% connection limit. Three haplotype networks, one for each marker, were created with this method. Neighbour-net analyses networks were also designed for each region (trnL, rbcL and rps16) using Splits Tree v. 4.13.1 (Huson & Bryant, 2006) and following the uncorrected p-distance between individuals. The support for each branch was tested using the bootstrapping method with 1000 replicates. Lastly, one more test was performed with BAPS 6 (Corander et al., 2008). Using BAPS, population structure can be assessed with a Bayesian analysis which considers analytical and stochastic methods to estimate the optimal (with the highest probability) grouping partition. The resulting clusters were portrayed with reference to a Voronoi tessellation that covers the samples’ distribution in which genetically differentiated populations are distinguished. In order to infer the best genetic structure, we inserted the coordinates of each population and ran a test of spatial clustering of individuals.

Results

Chloroplast haplotype and intrapopulation variation

After multiple alignment evaluation of the three polymorphic cpDNA regions, the final length of the study region resulted in 564 nucleotides for rbcL, 756 nucleotides for rps16 and 509 nucleotides for trnL. Thus, the length of the combined matrix of an “all-marker” region was 1829 nucleotides. The number of variable sites among chloroplast markers ranged from 4 to 25, while that of parsimony informative sites ranged from 3 to 16 (Table 2). Sequences were submitted to GenBank (accession numbers are available in Table S4).

The intrapopulation study showed no divergence for rbcL and inconsistent polymorphisms (i.e., only present in one individual and probably associated to sequencing errors) in one and two bases for rps16 and trnL, respectively. Therefore, we considered that the evidence for intrapopulation variation was not strong enough to require further testing.

Phylogeny, genetic distance analyses and population structure
The resulting “all-marker” dendrogram from the Bayesian analysis (Fig. 2) revealed two distinct groups, one including all individuals in the western region (i.e., the Iberian Peninsula and France) and another one including all individuals in the eastern region (i.e., the Italian and Balkan Peninsulas). However, the calculated 65% PP for the “eastern group” did not provide a significant difference between the two groups. On the other hand, significant differentiation (100% PP) was found between S. ciliata individuals and the outgroups. Strikingly, two S. ciliata individuals, Pyr1 and Pyr4, were located between the outgroups and the rest of S. ciliata, and were significantly different from them as well as from each other. Both Pyr1 and Pyr4 branches were long, implying high diversification rates. One overarching clade was observed (99% PP) in the “eastern group”, and the Din population was the only one branching off this clade. The “western group” consisted of one clade (78% PP), but also had many separate individual branches. The maximum likelihood dendrogram obtained with the bootstrapping method did not differ, either in formation or in significance of branches support, from the Bayesian dendrogram.

In the haplotype network approach, each polymorphic cpDNA region showed different levels of diversification; rbcL was the least variable (i.e. five haplotypes), while rps16 was the most variable (i.e. 15 haplotypes). In all analyses, no shared haplotype patterns were found between the “eastern” and the “western” groups. The rbcL sequences showed five haplotypes, with three haplotypes consisting solely of western region sequences and the other two corresponding to the eastern region (Fig. 3a). However, different frequencies were observed inside each haplotype. The three haplotypes of the “western group” had nine, four and one S. ciliata individuals, respectively, and the two haplotypes of the “eastern group” had nine and two individuals, respectively. The differentiation of haplotypes into the “western group” and the “eastern group” was more apparent in rps16. Of the 15 different haplotypes identified, eight were exclusively located in the “western group” and seven in the “eastern group” (Fig. 3b). In trnL a clear distinction was also found between eastern and western haplotypes. Sequences assembled into 13 haplotypes, with six haplotypes including only western region sequences and seven haplotypes including only eastern region sequences (Fig. 3c). Haplotype distribution patterns similar to rps16 were observed. The trnL haplotype network indicated a hypothetical haplotype link between the “western group” and “eastern group” (marked as a star-shaped dot; see Fig. 3c), which was mostly related to the Apennines haplotype Ape1. On examining all three haplotype networks, we discerned the persistent placement of Pyr1 and Pyr2 individuals with those of the
Iberian mountain systems, while the rest of Pyrenean individuals remained together with those of the Central Massif system (Mas). The \textit{rbcL} network was selected for visualising the geographic distribution of haplotypes, as it showed the most representative and parsimonious patterns of the three networks (Fig. 5). Cen2 and Bal 1 haplotypes were prevalent in the western and eastern regions, respectively.

The neighbour-net method suggested a grouping pattern that was in accordance with the one obtained using the haplotype network approach. Besides that, it provided a chance to delve deeper into the differences among \textit{S. ciliata} sampled populations. The \textit{rbcL} neighbour-net (Fig. 4a) confirmed the classification of all studied populations into a western and an eastern region but was not statistically supported. On the contrary, in the case of \textit{rps16} and \textit{trnL} neighbour-nets, the classification into the western and eastern regions was statistically supported (Fig. 4b and Fig. 4c, respectively). Furthermore, some distances inside these two networks were noteworthy. Such were the cases of the observed 86.3% difference in the distance between Cen1 and the rest of Central System populations and of the 85% difference in the distance between Ari and the Balkan populations, as indicated by the \textit{rps16} net. These results were already implied by the \textit{rps16} and \textit{rbcL} haplotype networks. Another interesting result was the common clustering of the Italian Ape3 with some Balkan populations, which was indicated by both the \textit{rbcL} and \textit{rps16} neighbour-nets and also by the dendrograms. In the \textit{trnL} neighbour-net, Cen3 and Din showed a near significant differentiation (94.2% and 93.5%, respectively) that was earlier suggested by the dendrograms.

The Bayesian spatial clustering of populations resulted in an optimal grouping of K=2. This supported the western-eastern region division of populations observed in previous analyses. Only the Balkan population Din deviated from this division, clustering with the western-region populations.

Discussion

Genetic diversity in the cpDNA of \textit{S. ciliata}: a comparative approach

This study reveals high haplotype variability, especially in the case of the \textit{trnL} and \textit{rps16} polymorphic cpDNA regions, and therefore supports the hypothesis of high cpDNA diversification among \textit{S. ciliata} populations. Similar results have been reported in previous
studies on other *Silene* species, such as *S. latifolia* (Ingvarsson & Taylor, 2002), *S. vulgaris* (Ingvarsson & Taylor, 2002; Štorchová & Olson, 2004) and *S. dioica* (Prentice, Malm & Hathaway, 2008; Hathaway, Malm & Prentice, 2009), among others. Yet, *S. ciliata* is ranked among the most varied. Low levels of cpDNA diversification and no diversification at all have been found in *S. hifacensis* (Prentice et al., 2003) and *S. senenii* (López-Vinyallonga et al., 2012), respectively. A possible explanation for this could be that these two species are rare endemics (Gitzendanner & Soltis, 2000; López-Pujol et al., 2009) and consequently, a combination of narrow distribution, low population size and habitat fragmentation led to a drastic drop of genetic diversity (López-Vinyallonga et al., 2012). Considering this observation and our results as a baseline, we suggest that the variation detected in *S. ciliata* is the outcome of an ancient, wider distribution range, followed by a gradual splintering caused by a series of ice ages, as with many other high-elevation species (reviewed by Nieto Feliner, 2014). A considerable split would have come after the divergence time of the species (around 10 million years ago; Sloan et al., 2009). This interpretation is also supported by the current widespread, but fragmented, distribution of the species around the Mediterranean Basin (see Fig. 1).

Interpreting the distinction of *S. ciliata* between western and eastern regions and their origin

No evidence was found against the classification of *S. ciliata* into a western and an eastern race (Blackburn, 1933; Tutin et al., 1995). Hence, we propose maintaining the names *Silene ciliata* subsp. *ciliata* and *S. ciliata* subsp. *graefferi* to describe the noted clustering of *S. ciliata* individuals into a western and eastern group, respectively. On the other hand, both dendrograms indicated a significant difference between *S. ciliata* individuals and the outgroups, which together with the nonessential divergence between populations corroborates the monophyly of our species.

Tracing back to the species’ differentiation, we hypothesize that populations of an ancestor of *S. ciliata* dominated the Mediterranean Basin. At the onset of glacial period climatic oscillations in the late Tertiary and in the Quaternary period, these ancestral populations were forced to migrate to favourable areas, while those unable to encounter a glacial refugium because of distance, time or natural barriers perished. Given that we are dealing with an alpine species, *S. ciliata* populations should have migrated following the paths that constitute links between
neighbouring mountains. The Alps mountain range system seems to have posed a persistent and significant hurdle for this species’ migration. A rigorous example supporting this theory is that during Quaternary glaciations, and in contrast to the Mediterranean mountains, the Alps were extensively and completely covered with ice sheets (Hughes, Woodward & Gibbard, 2006). This is in accordance with previous phylogeographic studies (e.g. Taberlet et al., 1998; Hewitt, 2000) and may explain why $S.\ ciliata$ populations have not been found there. Moreover, it would account for the observed disconnected distribution and division of the species into the western and eastern groups, since the geographical borders formed by the two groups coincide with the location of the Alps. A similar grouping pattern has been found in the Mediterranean for $Androsace\ vitaliana$ (Vargas, 2003) and $Heliosperma$ (Frajman & Oxelman, 2007), genera with the barrier shifting west and east of the Alps region, respectively. A connecting individual between the western and eastern populations, probably inhabiting the vicinity and/or regions of the Alps, was implied here by the “missing” (extinct or not found) haplotype that was indicated by the $trnL$ haplotype network. Disjunction in distribution, possibly resulting from the Alps and distinction into two subspecies has recently been proposed in the case of $Artemisia\ eriantha$, another alpine plant distributed along the Alps and many Mediterranean mountains (Sanz et al., 2014), and comes as an additional support to our hypothesis.

Evolutionary processes and geo-climatic effects on western and eastern populations

Apart from the significant difference found between eastern and western cpDNA sequences, further important diversification was noticed inside each group. Polyploidization during the Pleistocene is one evolutionary mechanism generating evolutionary lineages (Stebbins, 1984). $S.\ ciliata$ has a wide range of polyploids in both the western and eastern race, long described by Blackburn (1933). Hence, we propose that -since intrapopulation polyploidization is widely accepted (Lewis, 1980) - it could also explain differences within $S.\ ciliata$ species during that Era. Moreover, intrapopulation variation could partially be the result of Mediterranean refugia disjunction during adverse climatic conditions, followed in some cases by elevational range shifts (surviving in lowland glacial refugia) (Surina, Schönswetter & Schneeweiss, 2011) and in others by in situ endurance (inside nunataks) (Rull, 2009). Therefore, habitat disconnection would have persisted during favourable climate stages. So, the most likely explanation is that refugia
isolation resulted in slow mutational events that took place over a long period of time (Sanz et al., 2014).

Regarding the western group, genetic diversity is apparent in the Pyrenees mountain range and has led to the genetic disaffiliation of the range into a western and an eastern section of *S. ciliata* species. The same genetic break has been found in *Artemisia eriantha* (Sanz et al., 2014). A possible explanation for this bipartition could be drawn from the study by Calvet (2004), where marked asymmetry of Pyrenean glaciers is mentioned with the ice sheets of western Spanish slopes located higher than eastern French slopes. Another component of the western group diversification was introduced by the highly divergent Cen1 sequence of Serra da Estrela. This divergence may be associated with the highly dynamic borderline region of the Cerro Rebolado-Fraga das Penhas area during the Pleistocene (Vieira & Ferreira, 1998). On the other hand, the merging of Pyr1 and Pyr2 sequences with Cantabrian and Central System *S. ciliata* individuals may imply braided migrational paths of these species during glacial-interglacial events.

Interestingly, the degree of divergence recorded in the eastern group of *S. ciliata* is higher than that in the western group. This observation has also been made for temperate trees and shrub taxa (Petit et al., 2003). We believe that this high genetic diversity and the existence of more unique haplotypes, especially in the Balkan Peninsula, is the outcome of the complex orography and restricted territorial extent of existing refugia, which did not facilitate communication among populations during Pleistocene climatic oscillations and postglacially. More specifically, the various orientations of mountain chains in the Balkans may have acted as a barrier to internal migration (Tzedakis, 2004). Thus, the concomitant genetic differences in the Balkans could have emerged due to the accumulation of mutations, natural selection and stochastic events in small isolated populations at the time of climatic oscillations as well as to successive founder effects during range expansion (Ibrahim, Nichols & Hewitt, 1996; Petit et al., 1997). The individuals from the western part of the eastern groups (e.g. Ari, Bal1 and Din) showed some important differences in certain analyses (see Figs 3b and 4b). This might be related to the nature of the east Balkan slopes, which have a more gentle relief compared to the steep west mountains (Reed, Kryšufek & Eastwood, 2004), thereby fostering higher levels of isolation. On the other hand, the grouping of Bal3, Bal4, Bal5 and Bal6 is in agreement with the theory of Turrill (1929), who proposed that elevational migration of Balkan alpine plants should result in a higher resemblance
of neighbouring populations along the same altitude than along the same latitude. Further
occasional differentiation in the Din individual could be because the Dinaric Alps were much less
affected by glaciations than the rest of the Mediterranean mountain systems (Frajman &
Oxelman, 2007) resulting in the maintenance of relict populations, which might explain why the
Bayesian spatial analyses yielded their clustering with the western group. A possible explanation
for the Italian Ape3 individual merging with some Balkan individuals may be found in the
proposed land connection of the north Italian and the Balkan Peninsula during the early Holocene
(approx. 20-16 ka BP). This connection may have resulted from changes in the sea level due to
glacio-hydro-isostatic effects of that time period (Lambeck et al., 2004), and this could have
facilitated the migration or meeting of Italian and Balkan populations during interglacial cycles.

The Pyrenees case

The Bayesian and maximum likelihood analyses showed that Pyr1 and Pyr4 differed from the
outgroups as well as from the rest of S. ciliata individuals and were situated in an intermediate
position between them in the dendrogram (see Fig. 2). Hence, we surmised that this pattern could
be another example of the Pyrenees range acting as a stable hybrid zone. This has been argued in
many past studies, such as in Chorthipopus parallelus (Hewitt, 1993) and Saxifraga subsect.
Triplinervium (Mas de Xaxars et al., 2015). In the case of Pyr1 and Pyr4, the observed patterns
may have resulted from interspecific hybridization and introgression between S. ciliata and other
congeneric, sympatric species, which led to haplotype sharing (Palmé et al., 2004; Heuertz et al.,
2006). This is very likely since the majority of Silene species have the same chromosome
number, 2n=24 (Bari, 1973), which could have facilitated a hybridization event. At any rate, the
rise of hybrid zones due to glaciations, and hence, the preservation of different species genomic
information via hybrid individuals (Harrison, 1990) are linked with high altitudes (Hewitt, 2001
and references therein). The alternative explanation of them being the result of random ancestral
alleles and paralogues extinction, i.e. lineage sorting, is not favoured (Frajman & Oxelman,
2007). After all, the geographical congruence of congeneric species causing chloroplast sharing
has been reported in several studies of tree genera and between some herbs like S. latifolia and S.
dioica (Prentice, Malm & Hathaway, 2008 and references therein), as well as in other plant
groups (e.g. Gardner et al., 2004; Okuyama et al., 2005).
Conclusions and future prospects

Our results confirm the monophyly of *S. ciliata* due to the differences found between the studied populations and the outgroups and reveal a clear west-to-east division of *S. ciliata* populations with the borderline set in the region of the Alps. This division validates the past classification of the species into two subspecies; *S. ciliata* subsp. *ciliata* found west of the Alps (“Spanish race”, Blackburn, 1933) and *S. ciliata* subsp. *graefleri* located east of the Alps (“Italian race”, Blackburn, 1933). In addition, major intraspecific variation is supported by all analyses, but none of them supports the occurrence of additional varieties or subspecies (according to Küpfer, 1974 and Castroviejo et al., 1986-2001). Evidence is also provided of the central role played by geographic and climatic factors in the evolutionary history of the species and the formation of the two subspecies. Further analyses that would include more individuals and markers are encouraged to secure conclusions of this role, as well as of the existence of unsolved-incongruent populations. Molecular clocks and increased sampling effort are necessary to resolve the remaining questions.
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Fig. 1: Distribution of sampled *S. ciliata* populations in the Mediterranean Basin. Acronyms were derived from the name of the mountain system where samples were collected: Can - Cantabrian Range, Ibe - Iberian System, Pyr - Pyrenees range, Cen - Central System, Mas - Central Massif, Ari - Aridaia range, Bal - Balkan-Rhodope mountain system, Din - Dinaric Alps and Ape - Apennines range.

Table 1: DNA samples of *Silene ciliata* used for the study. The table shows the acronym given to each sampled population («Name»), the «Country» where these populations were collected, «Altitude» and MGRS coordinates. A more detailed version of this table can be found in Table S1.

Table 2: Characteristics of the three polymorphic cpDNA regions and the “all-marker” region studied in *Silene ciliata*. The length of the products after amplification with the corresponding marker and alignment editing, and the variable and parsimony sites of each product ensued from the DnaSP analysis are shown.

Fig. 2: Bayesian consensus dendrogram of the “all-marker” cpDNA sequence of *Silene ciliata*.

Fig. 3: Haplotype networks showing the relationships between the cpDNA parsimony haplotype groups found for *rbcL* (a, five haplotypes), *rps16* (b, 15 haplotypes) and *trnL* (c, 13 haplotypes) in *S. ciliata*. Rectangles and ovals depict haplotypes that belong to the western and eastern groups, respectively. In Figure 3.c, the star-shaped dot corresponds to the “missing” haplotype pattern that constitutes the link between the eastern and the western group.

Fig. 4: Neighbour-net analyses of *rbcL* (a), *rps16* (b) and *trnL* (c) based on uncorrected p-distances. Numbers denote significant bootstrapping values. The eastern and western groups of *S. ciliata* populations are indicated by grey-shaded clusters. Blue letters correspond to the eastern group and red letters to the western group.

Fig. 5: Distribution and frequency ratios of *S. ciliata* haplotypes for *rbcL* (see Fig. 4a) in the mountain systems of this study. The proportion of different haplotypes at each location is shown in the circles.
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Table 1 (on next page)

Details of the sampled populations of *Silene ciliata*
Table 1: DNA samples of Silene ciliata used for the study. The table shows the acronym given to each sampled population («Name»), the «Country» where these populations were collected, «Altitude» and MGRS coordinates. A more detailed version of this table can be found in Supplemental file 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Altitude(m)</th>
<th>MGRS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Can1</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td>29TQH4477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can2</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>30TUN3712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can3</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>30TUN5150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibe1</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>30TVM9646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibe2</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>2278</td>
<td>30TWM0276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyr1</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>1931</td>
<td>30TYN2920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyr2</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>1350-1780</td>
<td>30TYN4026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyr3</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>2100-2200</td>
<td>31T CG7967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyr5</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>2161</td>
<td>31TDG1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cen2</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>30TTK7079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cen3</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>2340</td>
<td>30TVL2104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cen1</td>
<td>POR</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>29TPE1783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mas</td>
<td>FR</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>31TDL8119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyr4</td>
<td>FR</td>
<td>2190</td>
<td>31TDH3461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ari</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>2182</td>
<td>34TFL0142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bal3</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>35TKF5580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bal4</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>35TKF5307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bal5</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>35TKF5586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bal6</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>2060</td>
<td>35TKF5632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bal1</td>
<td>BU</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bal2</td>
<td>BU</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>34TGM0229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Din</td>
<td>MAC</td>
<td>2480</td>
<td>34TEM2771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ape1</td>
<td>IT</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>33TUH8528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ape2</td>
<td>IT</td>
<td>1366</td>
<td>33TUH7979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ape3</td>
<td>IT</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>33TVG2225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Characteristics of the polymorphic cpDNA regions

Characteristics of the three polymorphic cpDNA regions and the “all-marker” region studied in Silene ciliata. The length of the products after amplification with the corresponding marker and alignment editing, and the variable and parsimony sites of each product ensued from the DnaSP analysis are shown.
Table 2: Characteristics of the three polymorphic cpDNA regions and the “all-marker” region studied in *Silene ciliata*. The length of the products after amplification with the corresponding marker and alignment editing, and the variable and parsimony sites of each product ensued from the DnaSP analysis are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chloroplast marker</th>
<th>Length of selected region</th>
<th>Variable (polymorphic) sites</th>
<th>Parsimony informative sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rbcL</td>
<td>564 bp</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rps16</td>
<td>753 bp</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trnL</td>
<td>513 bp</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all</td>
<td>1830 bp</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Map of our sampled populations of *Silene ciliata*

Distribution of sampled *S. ciliata* populations in the Mediterranean Basin. Acronyms were derived from the name of the mountain system where samples were collected: Can - Cantabrian Range, Ibe - Iberian System, Pyr - Pyrenees range, Cen - Central System, Mas - Central Massif, Ari - Aridaia range, Bal - Balkan-Rhodope mountain system, Din - Dinaric Alps and Ape - Apennines range.
Figure 2: Bayesian dendrogram

Bayesian consensus dendrogram of the “all-marker” cpDNA sequence of *Silene ciliata*. 
Figure 3A: Haplotype network of *rbcL*
Figure 3B: Haplotype network of *rps16*
Figure 3C: Haplotype network of *trnL*
Figure 4A: Neighbour-net analysis of *rbcL*

Neighbour-net analyses of *rbcL* (a), *rps16* (b) and *trnL* (c) based on uncorrected p-distances. Numbers denote significant bootstrapping values. The eastern and western groups of *S. ciliata* populations are indicated by grey-shaded clusters. Blue letters correspond to the eastern group and red letters to the western group.
Figure 4B: Neighbour-net analysis of *rps16*

Neighbour-net analyses of *rbcL* (a), *rps16* (b) and *trnL* (c) based on uncorrected p-distances. Numbers denote significant bootstrapping values. The eastern and western groups of *S. ciliata* populations are indicated by grey-shaded clusters. Blue letters correspond to the eastern group and red letters to the western group.
Figure 4C: Neighbour-net analysis of \textit{trnL}
Figure 5: Distribution and frequency ratios of *rbcL* haplotypes

Distribution and frequency ratios of *S. ciliata* haplotypes for *rbcL* (see Fig. 4a) in the mountain systems of this study. The proportion of different haplotypes at each location is shown in the circles.