

1 Influence of the mother's preceding pregnancy on the gestation length of the  
2 current pregnancy. An immunological explanation?  
3  
4

5 February 16, 2015  
6

7  
8 Michel C. Vernier<sup>1\*</sup>, Michael Schulzer<sup>2,3</sup>, Pierre R. Vernier<sup>4</sup>  
9

10  
11 School of Population and Public Health

12 University of British Columbia

13 Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z3  
14  
15  
16

17 <sup>1</sup> School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC Canada V6T 1Z3

18 <sup>2</sup> Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC Canada V6T 1Z1

19 <sup>3</sup> Department of Statistics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC Canada V6T 1Z1

20 <sup>4</sup> Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB Canada T6G 2P5  
21

22 \*Correspondence to: Michel C. Vernier, School of Population and Public Health, UBC, 2206  
23 East Mall, Vancouver, BC Canada V6T 1Z3. E-mail address: michel.vernier@ubc.ca

## Abstract

**Introduction.** A number of epidemiological studies on various diseases have drawn attention to relationships between fetal life events, as measured by health indicators and pathological events later in life. This accounts for the renewed interest in fetal health indicators. The objective of this retrospective study is to investigate the relationship between the sex of conceptuses of the mother's preceding pregnancies and the gestation length of the current pregnancy.

**Methods.** A population of 7773 neonates were divided into cohorts, according to the sex of the current neonate and number and sex of the mother's preceding pregnancies. Average gestation lengths for each cohort were measured and compared between different configurations of preceding pregnancies.

**Results.** There a positive association between the length of gestation of the neonate and its mother's preceding pregnancies of same sex as its own. Gestation length increases with the number of conceptuses of same sex among the preceding pregnancies. Likewise, there is a negative association between the length of gestation of the neonate and its mother's preceding pregnancies of opposite sex to its own. Gestation length decreases with the number of conceptuses of opposite sex among the preceding pregnancies.

**Discussion.** The results of our study are compatible with the immunological hypothesis, based on the sex-linked concepto-gravidic antigenic dissimilarity, proposed to explain the association between preceding pregnancy and fetal development.

**Conclusion.** The influence of the preceding pregnancy is significant enough to be taken into account for effective management of current pregnancies as well as for efficient clinical trial analysis.

## Introduction

A number of epidemiological studies dealing with various diseases have drawn attention to relationships between fetal life events, as measured by health indicators, and pathological events later in life (Aihie Sayer et al., 1997; Barker, 1997, 2004; Elo and Preston, 1992; Harding, 2001; Kajantie et al., 2005; Mittwoch, 1993; Thompson et al., 2001). This accounts for the renewed interest in fetal health indicators. A recent analysis of 27,243 neonates has shown a significant association between the mother's preceding pregnancies and fetal development and neonatal survival (Vernier et al., 2010). A positive association was found when current conceptus and conceptuses of preceding pregnancies were of the same sex, and a negative association when they were of opposite sex. An immunological hypothesis was put forward to explain the phenomenon. It is based on the sex-linked concepto-gravidic antigenic dissimilarity, due to paternal antigens of the conceptus, and capable of affecting fetal development and neonatal survival through a selective implantation process (Vernier et al., 2010).

Early in gestation, the implantation of the blastocyst is a critical stage that almost half of the conceptuses fail to achieve (Bjercke, 1999; Clark, 2003; Norwitz et al., 2001; Tyler, 1961; Vatten and Skjaerven, 2004). Implantation seems to be immunologically controlled (Kirby et al., 1967; Simmons and Russel, 1966; Tyler, 1961). Both male and female conceptuses can induce an immune reaction from the gravida. The concepto-gravidic dissimilarity, due to paternal antigens of the conceptus, favors the implantation of the blastocyst (Ackerman et al., 1988; Billington, 1964; James, 1965; Novitski and Sandler, 1956; Tyler, 1961; Vatten and Skjaerven, 2004), provides a selective advantage to the latter (Bruckner and Catalano, 2007; Vatten and Skjaerven, 2004; Warburton and Naylor, 1971), and primes the gravida vis-à-vis some paternal antigens. Then, on the occasion of a subsequent pregnancy, the previous sensitization of the

71 gravida reduces both the concepto-gravidic dissimilarity effect and the implantation advantage  
72 (Niswander and Gordon, 1972; Novitski and Sandler, 1956; Vernier, 1975; Warburton and  
73 Naylor, 1971). Thus, the blastocyst carrying a given set of sex-linked paternal antigens, against  
74 which the mother has been previously sensitized, would implant less easily than the blastocyst  
75 whose mother has not been so exposed. However, if the former survives the implantation it will  
76 be stronger than the latter to pursue the gestation. This translates, in population terms, to survival  
77 of the strong and culling of the weak (Bruckner and Catalano, 2007; Wells, 2000; Trivers and  
78 Willard, 1973), as expressed in fetal development and neonatal survival differentials (Vernier et  
79 al., 2010). The objective of the present retrospective study is to investigate the relationship  
80 between the sex of conceptuses of the mother's preceding pregnancies and the gestation length of  
81 the current pregnancy.

## 83 Materials and Methods

84 The basic data are derived from the Child Health and Development Studies (CHDS).  
85 Between August 1959 and September 1966, ~ 20000 pregnant women, members of the Kaiser  
86 Foundation Health Plan residing in the San Francisco – East Bay area, reported for prenatal care  
87 in some Kaiser clinics. They constituted the CHDS study population. Data on parents and  
88 children were obtained from interviews and medical records. The study population represented a  
89 broad range of economic, social and educational characteristics, and was not atypical of an  
90 employed population (Van den Berg et al., 1988). The current Length of Gestation Study is  
91 based on a sample of the CHDS population which included 7773 neonates who were alive at the  
92 time of hospital discharge, and whose mothers had been interviewed about their reproductive  
93 history. Multiple births and newborns from diabetic mothers were excluded from the study. The

neonates were divided into cohorts according to the sex of the current neonate and the number and sex of the mother's preceding pregnancies. Comparisons were made between pairs of cohorts of the same sex and parity but with different configurations of preceding pregnancies. The analysis included parity one, two, three, and four or more, and the 'dose effect' of preceding pregnancies.

## Results

### Characteristics of the participants in the Length of Gestation Study.

**TABLE 1**

#### **Gestation length of male and female neonate and mother's parity.**

The gestation length of the male neonate is shorter than the gestation length of the female neonate, for each parity of the mother (Table 2). The difference between the gestation length of male and female neonates increases with the mother's parity. The average difference, for all parities is 0.56 day. The gestation length of a male neonate born from a primiparous mother is slightly longer than the gestation length of a male neonate born from a multiparous mother (280.75 vs. 280.36 days). The gestation length of a female neonate born from a primiparous mother is slightly shorter than the gestation length of a female born from a multiparous mother (280.96 vs. 281.07days). In general, the gestation length of a male or female neonate increases for the first three parities of the mother (Table 2).

**TABLE 2**

**Gestation length of male and female neonate and mother's preceding pregnancies.**

The gestation length of a male neonate born after a male preceding pregnancy of its mother lasts 0.58 day longer than the gestation length of a male neonate born after a female preceding pregnancy of its mother (Table 3). The gestation length of a male neonate born after 2 male preceding pregnancies of its mother lasts 3.76 days longer ( $P < 0.05$ ) than the gestation length of a male neonate born after 2 female preceding pregnancies of its mother. The gestation length of a male neonate born after 3 or more male preceding pregnancies of its mother lasts 3.72 days longer ( $0.05 < P < 0.10$ ) than the gestation length of a male neonate born after 3 or more female preceding pregnancies of its mother (Table 3).

**TABLE 3**

The gestation length of a female neonate born after a female preceding pregnancy of its mother lasts 1.44 day longer ( $0.05 < P < 0.10$ ) than the gestation length of a female neonate born after a male preceding pregnancy of its mother (Table 4). The gestation length of a female neonate born after 2 female preceding pregnancies of its mother lasts 2.49 days longer than the gestation length of a female neonate born after 2 male preceding pregnancies of its mother. The gestation length of a female neonate born after 3 or more female preceding pregnancies of its mother lasts 0.14 day longer than the gestation length of a female neonate born after 3 or more male preceding pregnancies of its mother (Table 4).

**TABLE 4**

The value of the gestation length of the neonate, born after mixed (male and female) preceding pregnancies of its mother, stands between “after all female” and “after all male” preceding pregnancies (Tables 3 and 4).

## Discussion

### **Influence of the conceptus’ sex and mother’s parity on gestation length.**

The gestation length of neonates increases slightly for the first three parities of the mother and decreases at higher parities. The gestation length of a female neonate is longer than the gestation length of a male neonate for each parity. The mean difference between male and female neonate is about half (.56) a day, in favor of the female neonate. The difference between female and male neonates increases with the mother’s parity for the first four parities, and levels off at higher parities. Inversely, the gestation length ratio decreases with the mother’s parity (Table 2).

### **Influence of the sex of the conceptuses of the mother’s preceding pregnancies on gestation length of the current pregnancy.**

The gestation length of the neonate, born after same sex preceding pregnancies as its own, is longer than the gestation length of the neonate born after opposite sex preceding pregnancies. This difference increases with the number of same sex preceding pregnancies, and decreases with the number of opposite sex preceding pregnancies (Table 5).

**TABLE 5**

There is a positive association between the length of gestation of the neonate and its mother's preceding pregnancies of the same sex as its own (Table 5). Thus, the value of the gestation length of the male neonate increases with the number (dose effect) of male conceptuses (zero, one, two ...) among the mother's preceding pregnancies (Table 3). Similarly, the value of the gestation length of the female neonate increases with the number (dose effect) of female conceptuses (zero, one, two ...) among the mother's preceding pregnancies (Table 4).

There is a negative association between the length of gestation of the neonate and its mother's preceding pregnancies of opposite sex to its own (Table 5). Thus, the value of the gestation length of the male neonate decreases with the number (dose effect) of female conceptuses (zero, one, two, three ...) among the mother's preceding pregnancies (Table 3). Likewise, the value of the gestation length of the female neonate decreases with the number (dose effect) of male conceptuses (zero, one, two ...) among the mother's preceding pregnancies (Table 4).

### **Factors of variation of the gestation length.**

There are many factors purporting to influence gestation length in the literature. They can be classified into three groups that relate to the conceptus, its parents (mostly the mother), and the reproductive environment (Adams et al., 1997; Conney et al., 2006; Chan and Lao, 2009; DeFranco et al., 2007; Kajantie and Raikonen, 2010; Lunde et al., 2007; McLean et al., 1995; Mittendorf et al., 1999; Smith et al., 2003; Svensson et al., 2009). They are often associated with gestation length through the conceptus's sex and/or the mother's parity. Our analysis rests on comparisons of cohorts of neonates of same sex and mother's parity which controls, in part, for the potential confounding effect of these factors. Moreover, we are not aware of any factors



having revealed similar patterns of variation in gestation length with preceding pregnancies, as observed here. However, three early health indicators, birth weight, placenta weight and neonatal survival, have recently been shown to have the same pattern of association with the preceding pregnancies as the one shown by gestation length in our study (Vernier et al. 2010). The same pattern for the four indicators suggests a common operating mechanism which could explain the results of the present study.

### **The sex-linked concepto-gravidic dissimilarity and the length of gestation.**

The results of our study are compatible with the immunological hypothesis proposed to explain the association between the mother's preceding pregnancies and fetal development, where gestation length is one of the indicators (Vernier et al., 2010). The hypothesis is based on the sex-linked concepto-gravidic antigenic dissimilarity, due to the paternal antigens of the conceptus and capable of affecting the gestation length through the implantation-selection process. During a first pregnancy, the sex-linked antigenic dissimilarity between the conceptus and the gravida favors the implantation of the blastocyst (Ackerman et al., 1988; Billington, 1964; James, 1965; Novitski and Chandler, 1956; Tyler, 1961; Vatten and Skjaerven, 2004), provides a selective advantage to the latter (Bruckner and Catalano, 2007; Vatten and Skjaerven, 2004; Warburton and Naylor, 1971) and primes the gravida vis-à-vis sex-linked antigens. On the occasion of a subsequent pregnancy, the sensitized gravida will tend to "attack" the blastocyst carrier of the same antigens against which she has been sensitized previously. This would reduce its chances of succeeding the implantation, but if it does succeed it would come out stronger to complete the rest of gestation (Niswander and Gordon, 1972; Novitski and Sandler, 1956; Vernier, 1975; Warburton and Naylor, 1971). This translates in population terms to survival of

the strong and culling of the weak (Bruckner and Catalano, 2007; Wells, 2000; Trivers and Willard, 1973). Differences in the value of the indicators of fetal development, including gestation length, would then occur in relation to the presence or absence of sex specific antigens in the conceptus, as indicated by the neonate's sex. The phenomenon persists at parity three, even more rigorously, and sometimes at parity four. Subsequently, since only a few paternal antigens remain which have not been exposed to the gravida, the implantation selection increase levels off or even reverses. Conversely, this could be due to the fact that some types of maternal antibodies will only develop, if at all, at parity one, two or three (Gualtieri and Hicks, 1985).

## Conclusions

Length of gestation, like three other early life indicators – birth weight, placenta weight and neonatal survival rate – follows the same distribution pattern regarding the sex of the conceptus, the mother's parity, and the mother's anterior reproductive history. The immunological mechanism, proposed to explain the association between the mother's preceding pregnancies and selection, development and survival of the conceptus, could contribute to elucidate the mechanism linking fetal life and adult disease or health. To test the consistency of our results, a prospective study using a larger sample size would address two of the limitations of our study.

## References

Ackerman PT, Goolsby CM, Paal NP. A test of the immunoreactive theory of selective male affliction. *J Pediat Psychol* 1998;13:49-53.

- 231 Adams MM, Delaney KM, Stupp PW, McCarthy BJ, Rawlings JS. The relationship of  
232 interpregnancy interval to infant birthweight and length of gestation among low-risk  
233 women, Georgia. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 11 Suppl 1997;1:48-62.
- 234 Aihie Sayer A, Cooper C, Barker DJP. Is lifespan determined in utero? *Arch Dis Child Fetal*  
235 *Neonatal Ed* 1997;77:F162-F164.
- 236 Barker DJP. The fetal origins of coronary heart disease. *Eur Heart J* 1997;18:883-884.
- 237 Barker DJP. The developmental origins of well-being. *Phil Trans R Soc Lond B*  
238 2004;359:1359-1366.
- 239 Billington WD. Influence of immunological dissimilarity of mother and fetus on size of placenta  
240 mice. *Nature* 1964;202:317-318.
- 241 Bjercke S. Normal implantation is important in order to avoid pregnancy complications and  
242 diseases later in life. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* 1999;119:3903-3908.
- 243 Bruckner T, Catalano R. The sex ratio and age-specific male mortality: evidence for culling in  
244 utero. *Am J Hum Biol* 2007;19:763-773.
- 245 Chan BC, Lao TT. Maternal height and length of gestation: does this impact on preterm labour in  
246 Asian women? *Aust NZ J Obstet Gynaecol* 2009;49:388-392.
- 247 Clark DA. Is there any evidence for immunologically mediated or immunologically modifiable  
248 early pregnancy failure? *J Assist Reprod Genet* 2003;20:63-72.
- 249 Cooney MA, Buck Louis GM, Sun W, Rice MM, Klebanoff MA. Is conception delay a risk  
250 factor for reduced gestation or birthweight? *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*  
251 2006;20:201- 209.

- 252 DeFranco EA, Stamilio DM, Boslaugh SE, Gross GA, Muglia LJ. A short interpregnancy  
253 interval is a risk factor for preterm birth and its recurrence. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*  
254 2007;197: 264, e 1-6.
- 255 Elo IT, Preston SH. Effects of early-life conditions on adult mortality: a review: *Popul Index*  
256 1992;58:186-212.
- 257 Gualtieri T, Hicks RE. An immunoreactive theory of selective male affliction. *Behav Brain Sci*  
258 1985;8:427-441.
- 259 Harding JE. The nutritional basis of the fetal origins of adult disease. *Internat J Epid* 2001;30:15-  
260 23.
- 261 James DA. Effects of antigenic dissimilarity between mother and foetus of placental size in mice.  
262 *Nature* 1965;205:613-614.
- 263 Kajantie E, Osmond C, Barker DJP, Forsen T, Phillips DIW, Ericksson JG. Size at birth as a  
264 predictor of mortality in adulthood: a follow-up of 350,000 person-years. *Intl J Epidemiol*  
265 2005;34: 655-663.
- 266 Kajantie E, Raikkonen K. Early life predictors of the physiological stress response later in life.  
267 *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* Sep 2010;35(1): 23-32.
- 268 Kirby DRS, McWhirter KG, Teitelbaum MS, Darlington CD. A possible immunological  
269 influence on sex ratio. *Lancet* 1967;2:139-140.
- 270 Lunde A, Klungsoyr M, Gjessing HK, Skjaerven R, Irgens LM. Genetic and environmental  
271 influences on birth weight, birth length, head circumference, and gestational age by use  
272 of population-based parent-offspring data. *Am J Epidemiol* 2007;165:734-741.
- 273 McLean M, Bisits A, Davies J, Woods R, Lowry P, Smith R. A placental clock controlling the  
274 length of human pregnancy. *Nature Medicine* 1995;1:460-463.

- 275 Mittendorf R, Chorzempa LM, Quinlan MP, Herschel M, Williams MA. Length of pregnancy in  
276 African Americans: Validation of a new predictive rule. J Natl Med Assoc 1999;91:523-  
277 527.
- 278 Mittwoch U. Blastocysts prepare for the race to be male. Hum Reprod 1993;8:1550-1555.
- 279 Niswander KR, Gordon M. 1972. The women and their pregnancies. WB Saunders Company,  
280 Philadelphia.
- 281 Norwitz ER, Schust DJ, Fisher SJ. Implantation and the survival of early pregnancy. N Engl J  
282 Med 2001;345:1400-1408.
- 283 Novitski E, Sandler L. The relationship between parental age, birth order and secondary sex ratio  
284 in humans. Ann Hum Genetics 1956;21:123-131.
- 285 Simmons RL, Russel PS. The histocompatibility antigens of fertilized mouse eggs trophoblast.  
286 Ann NY Acad Sci 1966;129: 35-4.
- 287 Smith GC, Pell JP, Dobbie R. Interpregnancy interval and risk of preterm birth and neonatal  
288 death: retrospective cohort study. BMJ 2003;327:313.
- 289 Svensson A, Sandin S, Cnattingius S, Reilly M, Pawitan Y, Hultman CM, Lichtenstein P.  
290 Maternal effects for preterm birth: a genetic epidemiologic study of 630,000 families. Am  
291 J Epidemiol 2009;170:1365-1372.
- 292 Thompson C, Syddall H, Rodin I, Osmond C, Barker DJ. Birth weight and the risk of depressive  
293 disorders in late life. Br J Psychiatry 2001;179:450-455.
- 294 Trivers RL, Willard DE. Natural selection of parental ability to vary the sex ratio of offspring.  
295 Science 1973;179: 90-92.
- 296 Tyler A. Approaches to the control of fertility based on immunological phenomena. J Reproduc  
297 Fertil 1961;2:473.

298 Van den Berg BJ, Christianson RE, Oechsli FW. The California Child Health and Development  
299 Studies of the School of Public Health, University of California at Berkeley. Paediatr  
300 Perinat Epidemiol 1988;2:265-282.

301 Vatten LJ, Skjaerven R. Offspring sex and pregnancy outcome by length of gestation. Early Hum  
302 Dev 2004;76:47-54.

303 Vernier MC. Sex differential placentation: immunological interactions between male conceptus  
304 and gravida during normal pregnancy. Biol neonate 1975;26:76-87.

305 Vernier MC, Mackenzie CJG, Schulzer M, Vernier PR. Influence of the mother's preceding  
306 pregnancies on fetal development and postnatal survival of the neonate, in normal  
307 pregnancy: an immunological phenomenon? Am J Hum Biol 2010 ;22:708-715.

308 Warburton D, Naylor AF. The effect of parity on placental weight and birth weight: an  
309 Immunological phenomenon? A report of the collaborative study of cerebral palsy. Am J  
310 Hum Genet 1971;23:41-53.

311 Wells JCK. Natural selection and sex differences in morbidity and mortality in early life. J Theor  
312 Biol 2000;202:65-76.

313

314 Table 1. Characteristics of the participants in the Length of Gestation Study

Number of neonates	#	7773
Sex (%)	Male	50.9
	Female	49.1
	Sex ratio	1.07
Birth weight (oz.)	Mean	117.92
	SD	17.93
Placenta weight (g)	Mean	460.7
	SD	9.4
Mother's age (years)	Mean	26.4
	SD	5.9
Father's age (years)	Mean	29.9
	SD	6.7
Mother's race (%)	White	68.33
	Black	26.01
	Others	5.66
Mother's parities (%)	Primiparous	31.03
	Parity 2	24.16
	Parity 3	18.81
	Parity 4-7	25.99
Length of gestation (days)	Mean	280.76
	SD	15.36
	Max	381
	Min	165
Length of gestation of male neonate (days)	Mean	280.48
	SD	15.13
Length of gestation of female neonate (days)	Mean	281.04
	SD	16.24
Length of gestation distribution (%)	< 266 days	11.05
	266-279 days	32.25
	280-293 days	42.09
	> 293 days	14.58

315

316

317 Table 2. Gestation Length according to the sex of the neonate and the mother's parity.

Parity	<i>Male neonate</i>			<i>Female neonate</i>			<i>GL difference</i>	<i>GL ratio</i>
	n	mean	SD	n	mean	SD	female-male	M/F x 100
1	1235	280.75	15.28	1152	280.96	17.73	0.21	99.93
2	923	280.91	15.59	936	281.12	15.46	0.21	99.93
3	730	280.94	14.48	717	282.13	14.90	1.19	99.58
4	481	279.38	14.71	433	281.10	18.12	1.72	99.39
5-7	549	279.52	16.72	537	279.55	15.64	0.03	99.99
1-7	3918	280.48	15.13	3775	281.04	16.24	0.56	99.80

318

319



Table 3. Gestation Length (GL) of male neonates born after same-sex preceding pregnancies (SSPP) or opposite-sex preceding pregnancies (OSPP).

		n	Mean (in days)	SSPP-OSPP T-test
Male neonate at parity 1		1235	280.75	
Male neonate at parity 2		822	280.75	
Born after a male PP	M <u>M</u>	434	281.02	MM-FM=0.58 day
Born after a female PP	F <u>M</u>	388	280.44	t=0.2992 ns
Male neonate at parity 3		551	280.97	
Born after 2 male PP	MM <u>M</u>	167	281.93	MMM-FFM=3.76 days
Born after 2 mixed PP	mixed <u>M</u>	261	281.67	t=0.0137
Born after 2 female PP	FF <u>M</u>	123	278.17	P <0.05
Male neonate at parity 4+		583	278.26	
Born after 3+ male PP	MMM <u>M</u>	81	281.2	MMMM-FFFM=3.72 days
Born after 3+ mixed PP	mixed <u>M</u>	419	277.85	t=0.0797
Born after 3+ female PP	FFF <u>M</u>	83	277.48	0.05 < p < 0.10

324 Table 4. Gestation Length (GL) of female neonates born after same-sex preceding pregnancies (SSPP) or  
 325 opposite-sex preceding pregnancies (OSPP).

		n	Mean (in days)	SSPP-OSPP T-test
Female neonate at parity 1		1152	280.96	
Female neonate at parity 2		851	281.05	
Born after a male PP	M <u>F</u>	455	280.38	FF-MF=1.44 day
Born after a female PP	F <u>F</u>	396	281.82	t=0.0886 0.05 < p < 0.10
Female neonate at parity 3		536	282.05	
Born after 2 male PP	MM <u>F</u>	127	280.55	FFF-MMF=2.49 days
Born after 2 mixed PP	mixed <u>F</u>	296	282.31	t = 0.1153
Born after 2 female PP	FFF <u>F</u>	113	283.04	ns
Female neonate at parity 4+		551	280.69	
Born after 3+ male PP	MMM <u>F</u>	75	280.89	FFFF- MMMF=0.14 day
Born after 3+ mixed PP	mixed <u>F</u>	417	280.6	t=0.4758
Born after 3+ female PP	FFFF <u>F</u>	59	281.03	ns

328 Table 5. Gestation Length of neonate born after same-sex preceding pregnancies or opposite-sex  
329 preceding pregnancies.

		Parity	N	<i>gestation length</i> Mean (in days)	std dev
<b>Neonate born after same-sex preceding pregnancies</b>					
<i>Male neonate</i>					
	Primiparous	1	1235	280.75	15.28
	Born after 1 male preg	2	434	281.02	15.52
	Born after 2 male preg	3	167	281.93	13.77
	Born after 3 male preg	4+	81	281.20	18.72
<i>Female neonate</i>					
	Primiparous	1	1152	280.96	17.73
	Born after 1 female preg	2	396	281.82	15.18
	Born after 2 female preg	3	113	283.04	16.15
	Born after 3 female preg	4+	59	281.03	12.09
<b>Neonate born after opposite-sex preceding pregnancies</b>					
<i>Male neonate</i>					
	Primiparous	1	1235	280.75	15.28
	Born after 1 female preg	2	388	280.44	16.01
	Born after 2 female preg	3	123	278.17	14.93
	Born after 3 female preg	4+	83	277.48	14.79
<i>Female neonate</i>					
	Primiparous	1	1152	280.96	17.73
	Born after 1 male preg	2	455	280.38	15.80
	Born after 2 male preg	3	127	280.55	15.90
	Born after 3 male preg	4+	75	280.89	14.04

330