

The effect of the spatial repellent metofluthrin on landing rates of outdoor biting anophelines in Cambodia, S.E. Asia

Jacques Derek D Charlwood, Nep Nenhep, Natacha Protopopoff, Sovannaroth Siv, John C Morgan, Janet Hemingway

Without controlling outdoor transmission, the goal of elimination of malaria is unlikely to be reached. This is particularly the case in places like Cambodia where people spend considerable amounts of time away from houses at night. Metofluthrin is a synthetic pyrethroid insecticide with a high vapor action at ambient temperatures and has been developed as a long lasting insect repellent device that works without the need to apply heat. Emanators of 10% of metofluthrin were therefore tested in landing collections against potential malaria vectors from three areas of the country (Pailin, Pursat and Koh Kong). One to four emanators were hung on wire 1m off the ground on one or four sides of a square 1.5m from collectors. Collections were also undertaken with Furvela tent-traps. 2086 hrs of landing collection were undertaken in Pailin, 528hrs in Veal Veng and 320 in Kroh Salau. Rate ratios were used to determine the significance of the difference between collections. The principal anophelines collected varied between locations. *Anopheles minimus* s.l. was the most common mosquito in Pailin, *An. maculatus* s.l in Veal Veng and *An. sinensis* in Kroh Salau. Among all species collected in Pailin landing rates were reduced by 50% (95% CI 55-44%) when a single emanator was used and by 58% (95% CI 63- 52%) when four were used. The effect was greater in *An.minimus* s.l 51% (95% CI 54-47%) and 70% (72%-66%) respectively. A similar result was obtained in Pursat, where 67% (95% CI 66- 42%) reductions were observed when four emanators were in use, but no significant reduction was observed in Koh Kong. Although the results show promise it is argued that the product needs further development.

1 **The effect of the spatial repellent metofluthrin on**
 2 **landing rates of outdoor biting anophelines in**
 3 **Cambodia, S.E. Asia.**

5 J.D. Charlwood^{1,2,5}, S. Nenhep³, N. Protopopoff⁴, S. Sovannaroeth³, J.C. Morgan¹,
 6 J. Hemingway¹.

7 1- Department of Entomology, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine,
 8 Pembroke Place, Liverpool L3 5QA, UK.

9 2- Present address- PAMVERC, P.O. Box 10, Muleba, Kagera Region,
 10 Tanzania

11 3- Centro Nacional de Malaria, Phnom Penh, Cambodia

12 4- London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, PAMVERC Project,
 13 Moshi, Tanzania.

14 5 - corresponding author

15 E-mail addresses

16 JDC - jdcharlwood@gmail.com

17 SN tepphala@gmail.com

18 NP - natacha.protopopoff@lshtm.ac.uk

19 SS sivsovannaroeths@gmail.com

20 John.Morgan@liverpool.lstmed.uk

21 Janet.Hemingway@liverpool.lstmed.uk

22 **Introduction**

23 An increase in the time to clearance of *Plasmodium falciparum* infections from
 24 three to six days following treatment with artemisinin in Cambodia is a major
 25 concern for malaria control worldwide (Dondorp et al., 2011, Smith Gueye et
 26 al., 2014). Such treatment failure of artemisinin combination therapies
 27 (TFACT), may lead to enhanced transmission potential through an excess
 28 production of gametocytes (Krishna and Kremsner 2013). Parasites resistant to
 29 other drugs, notably chloroquine, have also had their origin in S.E. Asia. The
 30 spread of chloroquine resistant parasites had disastrous repercussions when
 31 they reached Africa (Trape, 2001). Considerable efforts have, therefore, been
 32 undertaken to reduce transmission in Cambodia, including the wide scale
 33 distribution of bednets and the establishment of village malaria workers

34 (Kheang et al, 2011). Many cases, however, may be acquired when people go
35 to the forest for logging activities or when watching television before they go
36 to bed, times and situations when bednets make little difference. Hence the
37 challenge lies in protecting people at these times and in these places. One
38 way might be to use repellents to prevent mosquitoes biting, and a number of
39 products have been developed with this in mind (Chattopadhyay et al.,
40 2013, Kweka et al., 2012, Revay et al., 2013).

41 The recent development of synthetic pyrethroid insecticides with high vapor
42 action at ambient temperature, has led to the development of devices that
43 work without the need to apply heat (Ogoma et al., 2012, Ujihara et al., 2004.
44 Metofluthrin is a repellent that shows promise as an active ingredient when
45 vaporized indoors, although it is possible that its main effect is as a killing
46 agent (Rapley et al., 2009). When used in a paper-based emanatory, it
47 reduced outdoor biting rates of *Aedes canadensis* and *Ae. vexans* by
48 approximately 90% (Lucas et al., 2007). The reduction is probably due to a
49 disruption of orientation towards the host resulting from neural excitement,
50 which appears at an early stage of pyrethroid toxicity (Kawada et al., 2005).
51 The formulation previously used was, however, effective for only a few days. A
52 formulation of 5% metofluthrin on a plastic lattice, designed to control
53 evaporation rates, reduced resting mosquito densities inside houses in
54 Indonesia for a month (Kawada et al., 2006). When used in houses in
55 Bagamoyo, Tanzania this device, however, failed to reduce densities of *An.*
56 *gambiae* in light-trap collections but did reduce the numbers caught resting
57 (Kawada et al., 2008). The efficacy of metofluthrin as a repellent may also
58 differ between species or families since against *Culicoides* it apparently has
59 little or no effect (Zoller and Orshan. 2011).

60 In addition to the principal vector, *Anopheles dirus*, there are a number of
61 secondary or incidental, malaria vectors in Cambodia including *Anopheles*
62 *minimus*, *Anopheles maculatus*, *Anopheles barbirostris* and *Anopheles*
63 *sinensis* many of which bite in the early part of the night (Durnez et al.,

64 2013). Recently, emanators with a 10% formulation of metofluthrin were
65 developed, the effect of which should last longer than that of previous
66 emanators. It is not known, however, if metofluthrin repels these mosquitoes.
67 We, therefore, tested such emanators against these secondary vectors from
68 three areas of the country in landing, Furvela tent-trap and CDC light-trap
69 collections.

70 **Methods**

71 **Study sites**

72 The study took place in Khum Otavao (N12.789 E102.690), in Pailin Province;
73 Krorhom Krom, (N12.215 E 103.080) in Pursat Province and Kroh Salau (N
74 11.460 E 103.049) in Koh Kong Province. The study sites have been described
75 by Charlwood et al. (submitted) as have collection methods and descriptions
76 of the species collected. Briefly 12 species or species groups of anopheline
77 were collected in Pailin, 11 in Veal Veng and eight in Kroh Salau. The main
78 anophelines collected varied between locations *An. minimus* s.l. was the
79 most common species group in Khum Otavao (Pailin), *An. maculatus* s.l. in
80 Krorhom Krom (Pursat) and *An. sinensis* in Kroh Salau (Koh Kong). Elsewhere,
81 members of each of these species or species complexes has been found
82 infected with sporozoites at different times, but they are all secondary
83 vectors (Sinka et al., 2011).

84 Sumitomo Chemical Co. Ltd. (Hyogo, Japan) supplied slow-release emanators
85 made of polyethylene mesh impregnated with 10% (w/w) metofluthrin
86 (2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-(methoxymethyl)benzyl (EZ)-(1RS)-cis-trans-2,2-
87 dimethyl-3-prop-1-enylcyclopropanecarboxylate). The mesh was a dual layer
88 (15 x 8 cm wide) 3-4 mesh held in an open plastic frame. According to the
89 manufacturers the effective life of a single emanator once opened is four
90 weeks.

91 In order to determine whether they reduced landing rates, in four out of eight
92 sites at least 35 m apart, a collector sat in the middle of a square of thin wire,
93 1.5 m on a side, 1 m off the ground (Fig 1). In Pailin, one or four emanators
94 were hung on the wire either to the windward side of the collector (when a
95 single emanator was used) or at each side of the square (when four
96 emanators were used). Emanators were not used in the remaining four sites,
97 which acted as the controls. The sites where the emanators were used were
98 alternated on sequential nights, whilst collectors changed locations every
99 other night so that after 16 nights, each collector had worked at each
100 location performing both control and intervention collections. Fresh
101 emanators were used every week and, when not in use experimentally, the
102 emanators were wrapped in aluminum foil.

103 In addition, in Pailin, eight Furvela tent-traps (Govella et al., 2009) were
104 operated from 22:00 hrs until dawn. An emanator was hung close to the
105 opening of four of the tents whilst the others acted as controls. Intervention
106 and control tents also alternated on alternate nights.

107 Rate ratios were used to determine if the mean numbers of the most
108 common species or species complex caught when emanators were used were
109 different to those caught in control collections. In Pailin, reductions in
110 anopheline and culicine densities between the metofluthrin and control were
111 also estimated using a negative binomial regression model adjusted for the
112 location and for collection type (see supplementary file 1).

113 In Pursat and Kroh Kong, tests were only undertaken with four emanators at a
114 time (Figure 1) but with a similar rotation pattern to that used in Pailin.

115 **Ethical statement**

116 The ethical committees of the National Centre of Malariology (CNM) in Phnom
117 Penh, (Cambodia) and of the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (UK)
118 approved the study. The mosquito collectors and householders were informed

119 about the objectives, processes and procedures of the study and oral
120 informed consent was sought from them. Collectors were recruited among
121 the adult village population on the understanding that if they wanted to
122 withdraw from the study they could do so at any time without prejudice.
123 Access to malaria diagnosis and treatment was guaranteed throughout the
124 study.

125 **Results**

126 In Khum Otavao, Pailin, 2086 hours of landing collection were undertaken.
127 Densities of all species were generally very low with less than a single
128 specimen being collected per hour of collection for all species other than *An.*
129 *minimus* s.l. and *An. maculatus* s.l. In general, there was a reduction of
130 approximately one third of the expected numbers biting when a single
131 emanator was used and between 60 to 70% reduction when four emanators
132 were used (Table 1). The supplementary file gives the data by species or
133 species group from Pailin. It also includes the data from the tent-traps.

134 In Krorhom Krom, Pursat, 528hrs of landing collection were undertaken. Table
135 2 shows the overall numbers of mosquito collected when four emanators
136 were in use compared to control collections in Krorhom Krom. A similar
137 reduction to that observed in Khum Otavao (Pailin) was seen among species
138 collected in Krorhom Krom (Pursat) (where four emanators were used and
139 where *An. maculatus* s.l. was the principal mosquito collected) ($p < 0.001$)

140 In Kroh Salau, Koh Khong, 320 hrs of landing collection were undertaken.
141 Table 3 gives landing rates in Kroh Salau.

142 In Kroh Salau, there was no demonstrable effect of four metofluthrin
143 emanators on landing rates (All rate ratios were not significantly different).

144 **Discussion**

145 Without controlling outdoor transmission of malaria the goal of elimination is
146 unlikely to be reached. Hence, the search for suitable ways to reduce or
147 control outdoor biting insects in the early evening is an urgent one. A single
148 metofluthrin dispenser reduced biting densities of mosquitoes by
149 approximately one third in Khum Otavao (Pailin) and four dispensers located
150 close to the collectors reduced landing rates by up to two thirds. Reductions
151 of a similar order were obtained when a single emanator was placed close to
152 the opening of Furvela tent-traps. Similarly, numbers of mosquitoes collected
153 in Krorhom Krom (Pursat) were reduced when four emanators were used.
154 However, in Kroh Salau (Koh Kong), landing rates did not appear to be
155 affected by the presence of four emanators close to the collector (Fig 1). The
156 reasons for this are unknown. Despite the difference in species collected, it is
157 unlikely to be a species-specific effect since all of the other species examined
158 from the other sites showed similar reductions in landing rates.
159 Environmental conditions in Kroh Salau were also relatively stable with little
160 wind - indeed it is possible that the lack of wind may have reduced the
161 emanators' efficacy.

162 The recorded reduction in biting, although significant, may perhaps be
163 insufficient a reduction in annoyance from biting insects by itself to convince
164 the local population of its cost-effectiveness. Whether the use of metofluthrin
165 by individual households diverts mosquitoes to households not using such a
166 product, as apparently do topical repellents (Maia et al, 2013), is unknown. A
167 forest is different to a few isolated trees. Should enough people use the
168 product in a limited area, it is possible that a 'community effect' similar to
169 that observed by Hawley and colleagues (Hawley et al., 2003, Howard et al.,
170 2000) with insecticide treated nets might occur, even though at an individual
171 level, the product is less than perfect.

172 Due to the small numbers of *An. dirus* collected, we were unable to establish
173 conclusively if metofluthrin reduces outdoor biting in this species. Whether
174 metofluthrin has an effect on indoor biting rates of *An. dirus*, is also not

175 known. In Tanzania, numbers of *An. gambiae* in light-traps were not reduced
176 by metofluthrin but numbers resting were (Kawada et al., 2006). This
177 indicates that part of its effect was through enhanced mortality rather than
178 through repellency, as suggested by Rapley et al. (2009) for their studies
179 with *Stegomyia aegypti* (a.k.a. *Aedes aegypti*) in Australia.

180 Unlike other Cambodian anophelines, *An. dirus* is highly anthropophilic (whilst
181 the other species are primarily zoophilic) and it enters houses, even those
182 built on stilts, whilst other species are primarily outdoor biting (Sinka et al.,
183 2011). Hence, an effect against this species may be anticipated compared to
184 the effects observed in the present study. The results from such a trial will be
185 reported elsewhere. Using emanators indoors may also reduce transmission
186 of dengue, a common disease in Cambodia, by day biting *Stegomyia aegypti*
187 and *St. albopicta*.

188 **Acknowledgements**

189 We particularly thank, the ever cheerful, Tep Phalla for his assistance in the
190 field and his exemplary driving. JDC would like to thank Frederic Bourdier and
191 Pen Mony for welcoming JDC into their family and Barney for his company.
192 Without the co-operation and enthusiasm of the collectors in all the study
193 sites the study would not have been possible. Thanks to Olivier Briet of the
194 Swiss Tropical Health Institute, Basle, for reviewing the manuscript and
195 improving the English. We thank Brian Farragher and Holly Prescott of the
196 Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine for providing the analysis of the data
197 used in the supplementary file 1.

198 **References**

- 199 Charlwood, J.D. S. Nenhep, S. Sovannaroeth, J. C. Morgan, J. Hemingway, N.
200 Chitnis and O.J.T. Briët. (submitted). Oviposition interval and gonotrophic
201 concordance in S.E. Asian anophelines. PeerJ
- 202 Chattopadhyay P., S. Dhiman, K.A. Devi, S. Banerjee, B. Rabha, A. Chaurasia
203 and V. Veer. 2013. Ultra low concentration deltamethrin loaded patch
204 development and evaluation of its repellency against dengue vector *Aedes*
205 *(S) albopictus*. *Parasit Vector* 6, 284
- 206 Dondorp, A. M., R. M. Fairhurst, L. Slutsker, J. R. MacArthur, J. G. Breman, P. J.
207 Guerin, T. E. Wellems, P. Ringwald, R. D. Newman, and C. V. Plowe.. 2011. The
208 Threat of Artemisinin-Resistant Malaria. *N Engl J Med*. 365,1073-1075.
- 209 Durnez, L, M. Sokny, D. Leen, P. Roelants, T. Sochantha and M. Coosemans.
210 2013. Outdoor malaria transmission in forested villages of Cambodia. *Malaria*
211 *J*. 12:329.
- 212 Govella, N.J., P.P. Chaki, Y. Geissbuehler, K. Kannady, F.O. Okumu, J.D.
213 Charlwood, R.A. Anderson and G.F. Killeen. 2009. A new tent trap for sampling
214 exophagic and endophagic members of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex.
215 *Malaria J*. 14:8
- 216 Hawley W.A., P.A. Phillips-Howard, F.O. ter Kuile, J. Dianne, D.J. Terlouw, J.M.
217 Vulule, M. Ombok, B.L. Nahlen, J.E. Gimnig, S.K. Kariuki, M.S. Kolczak and
218 A.W. Hightower. 2003. Community-wide Effects of Permethrin-treated Bed
219 Nets on Child Mortality and Malaria Morbidity in Western Kenya. *Am J Trop*
220 *Med Hyg*. 68 (4 Suppl), 121-127.
- 221 Howard S.C., J. Omumbo, C. Nevill, E.S. Some, C.A. Donnelly and R.W. Snow.
222 2000. Evidence for a Mass Community Effect of Insecticide-treated Bednets
223 on the Incidence of Malaria on the Kenyan Coast. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*.
224 94, 357-360.

- 225 Kawada H, E.A. Temu, J.N. Minjas, O. Matsumoto, T. Iwasaki and M. Takagi.
226 2008. Field evaluation of spatial repellency of metofluthrin-impregnated
227 plastic strips against *Anopheles gambiae* complex in Bagamoyo, coastal
228 Tanzania. *J Am Mosq Contr Assoc.* 24, 404-409.
- 229 Kawada H., T. Iwasaki, L.L. Loan, T.K. Tien, N.T.N. Mai, Y. Shono, Y. Katayama
230 and M. Takagi. 2006. Field evaluation of spatial repellency of metofluthrin-
231 impregnated latticework plastic strips against *Aedes aegypti* (L.) and analysis
232 of environmental factors affecting its efficacy in My Tho City, Tien Giang,
233 Vietnam. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*, 75: 1153-1157.
- 234 Kawada, H, Y. Maekawa and M. Takagi. 2005. Field trial on the spatial
235 repellency of metofluthrin-impregnated plastic strips for mosquitoes in
236 shelters without walls (beruga) in Lombok, Indonesia. *J Vector Ecol.* 30, 181-
237 185.
- 238 Kheang S.T., S. Duong and A. Olkkonen. 2011. Increasing Access to Early
239 Malaria Diagnosis and Prompted Treatment in Remote Cambodian Villages.
240 *Am. J. Public Health.* 101, e6-e8.
- 241 Krishna S. and P.G. Kremsner. 2013. Antidogmatic approaches to artemisinin
242 resistance: reappraisal as treatment failure with artemisinin combination
243 therapy. *Trends in Parasitology* 29, 313-317.
- 244 Kweka EJ, S. Munga, A.M. Mahande, S. Msangi, H.D. Mazigo, A.Q. Adrias and
245 J.R. Matias. 2012. Protective efficacy of menthol propylene glycol carbonate
246 compared to N: N-diethyl-methylbenzamide against mosquito bites in
247 Northern Tanzania. *Parasit Vector.* 5, 189.
- 248 Lucas J.R, Y. [Shono](#), T. [Iwasaki](#), T. [Ishiwatari](#), N. Spero and G. Benzon. 2007.
249 U.S. laboratory and field trials of metofluthrin (SumiOne) emanators for
250 reducing mosquito biting outdoors. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc.* 23, 47-54.
- 251 Maia M.F., S.P. Onyango, M. Thele, E.T. Simfukwe, E.L. Turner and S.J. Moore 2013. Do topical
252 repellents divert mosquitoes within a community? – Health equity implications of topical
253 repellents as a mosquito bite prevention tool. *PLOS one.* 8, e84875.

- 254 Ogoma S.B., H. Ngonyani, E.T. Simfukwe, A. Mseka, J. Moore and G.F. Killeen.
255 2012. Spatial repellency of transfluthrin-treated hessian strips against
256 laboratoryreared *Anopheles arabiensis* mosquitoes in a semi-field tunnel
257 cage. *Parasit Vectors*. 5,54.
- 258 Rapley L.P., R.C. Russell, B.L. Montgomery and S.A. Ritchie. 2009. The
259 effects of sustained release metofluthrin on the biting, movement, and
260 mortality of *Aedes aegypti* in a domestic setting. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 81, 94-
261 99.
- 262 Revay E.E., A. Junnila, R.D. Xue, D.L. Kline, U.R. Bernier, V.D. Kravchenko, W.A.
263 Qualls, N. Ghattas and G.C. Muller. 2013. Evaluation of commercial products
264 for personal protection against mosquitoes. *Acta Trop*. 125, 226-230.
- 265 Sinka M.E., M.J. Bangs, S. Manguin, T. Chareonviriyaphap, A.P. Patil, W.H.
266 Temperley, P.W. Gething, I.R. Elyazar, C.W. Kabaria, R.E. Harbach and S.I. Hay.
267 2011. The dominant *Anopheles* vectors of human malaria in the Asia-Pacific
268 region: occurrence data, distribution maps and bionomic précis. *Parasit*
269 *Vectors* 4, 89.
- 270 Smith Gueye C., G Newby, J. Hwang, A. A. Phillips, M. Whittaker, J.R.
271 MacArthur, R. D. Gosling and R.G.A. Feachem. 2014 The challenge of
272 artemisinin resistance can only be met by eliminating *Plasmodium falciparum*
273 malaria across the Greater Mekong subregion. *Malaria J*. 13:286
274 doi:10.1186/1475-2875-13-286.
- 275 Trape J.F. 2001. The public health impact of chloroquine resistance in Africa.
276 *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg*. 64 (1-2 Suppl), 12-17.
- 277 Ujihara K., T. Mori, T. Iwasaki, M.. Sugano, Y. Shono and N. Matsuo. 2004.
278 Metofluthrin: a potent new synthetic pyrethroid with high vapor activity
279 against mosquitoes. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem*. 68, 170-174.
- 280 Zoller G. and L. Orshan. 2011. Evaluation of a metofluthrin fan vaporizer
281 device against phlebotomine sand flies (Diptera: Psychodidae) in a cutaneous
282 leishmaniasis focus in the Judean Desert, Israel. *J Vector Ecol*. 36, 157-165.

Figure 1 (on next page)

Waiting for the mosquitoes. Collector with four metofluthrin emanators in situ, Koh Kong Cambodia.



Table 1 (on next page)

Mean number of mosquitoes collected landing when one or four metofluthrin emanators were or were not (control) in use Paillin, Cambodia.

Species	Intervention	Mean	RR (95% CI)	P value
<i>An. minimus</i> <i>s.l.</i>	Metofluthrin 4	1.33	0.307 (0.277 – 0.342)	<0.001
	Metofluthrin 1	0.63	0.488 (0.453 – 0.527)	
	Control	1.72	1	
Other <i>Anopheles</i>	Metofluthrin 4	0.94	0.420 (0.367 – 0.481)	<0.001
	Metofluthrin 1	0.31	0.504 (0.454 – 0.560)	
	Control	0.88	1	
<i>Culex</i>	Metofluthrin 4	0.79	0.580 (0.507 – 0.665)	<0.001
	Metofluthrin 1	2.07	0.661 (0.618 – 0.707)	
	Control	3.83	1	

Table 2 (on next page)

Mean number of mosquitoes collected landing when four metofluthrin emanators were or were not (control) in use Veal Veng, Pursat, Cambodia. The rate ratio (RR) is adjusted for study location.

Species	Intervention	Mean	RR (95% CI)	P value
<i>An. maculatus s.l.</i>	Metofluthrin 4	0.25	0.427 (0.314 – 0.581)	<0.001
	Control	0.60	1	
Other Anopheles	Metofluthrin 4	0.42	0.432 (0.340 – 0.549)	<0.001
	Control	0.99	1	
Culex	Metofluthrin 4	0.42	0.330 (0.258 – 0.421)	<0.001
	Control	1.28	1	

Table 3 (on next page)

Mean number per hour of mosquitoes collected when metofluthrin was or was not in use in Kroh Salau, Koh Kong, Cambodia. The rate ratio (RR) is adjusted for study location.

Species	Intervention	Mean	RR (95% CI)	P value
<i>An. sinensis</i>	Metofluthrin 4	0.67	0.638 (0.195 – 2.092)	0.458
	Control	0.97	1	
Other <i>Anopheles</i>	Metofluthrin 4	0.76	0.864 (0.561 – 1.329)	0.505
	Control	0.81	1	
<i>Culex</i>	Metofluthrin 4	7.22	1.278 (0.985 – 1.657)	0.064
	Control	6.23	1	