1

Earthworm assemblages in different intensity of agricultural uses and their relation to edaphic variables

[1]Falco, L. B. [1]R. Sandler, [1,2]F. Momo, [1]C. Di Ciocco,[2]L. Saravia, [1]C. Coviella

[1]Departamento de Ciencias Básicas, Programa de Investigaciones en Ecología Terrestre e INEDES, Universidad Nacional de Luján, Argentina
[2]Instituto de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional de General Sarmiento, Argentina

Corresponding author:
Liliana B. Falco
Departamento de Ciencias Básicas
Universidad Nacional de Luján, Argentina
Av. Constitución y Ruta 5, CC 221 (6700) Luján. Buenos Aires, Argentina
Voice: 54-2323-420380
Mail: falcoliliana@gmail.com

Abstract

The objective of this study was to relate earthworm assemblage structure with three different soil use intensities, and to indentify the physical, chemical, and microbiological variables that are associated to the observed differences in earthworm assemblage structure between soils. Three soil uses were evaluated: 1- Fifty year old naturalized grasslands; 2- Cattle-grazing fields converted to feedlot within the two years before the start of this work, and 3- Fifty year old intensive agricultural fields. Three different sites for each soil use were evaluated from winter 2008 through summer 2011. Nine earthworm species were identified across all sampling sites. The sites shared five species: the native *Microscolex dubius*, and the introduced *Aporrectodea caliginosa*, *A. rosea, Octalasion cyaneum*, and *O. lacteum*, but they differed in their relative abundances according to the system. The results show that earthworm community structure is linked to and modulated by soil properties. Both, species abundance and diversity showed significant differences depending on soil use intensity. A PCA analysis showed that species composition is closely related to the environmental variability. The ratio of native to exotic species was significantly lower in the intensive agricultural system when compared to the other two, lower disturbance Systems. *Microscolex dubius* was shown to be related to the naturalized grasslands and it was associated to Ca, pH, Mechanical Resistance, and to respiration. *Aporrectodea caliginosa* was related to high K levels, low enzymatic activity, slightly low pH, and low Ca, and appeared related to the highly disturbed environment. *Eukerria stagnalis* and *Aporrectodea rosea*, commonly found un the cattle-grazing system, were related to high soil humidity, low pH, low Ca and low enzymatic activity. These results show that earthworm assamblages can be good descriptors of different soil use intensities. In particular, *Microscolex dubius*, *Aporrectodea caliginosa*, and *Aporrectodea rosea*, showed different temporal patterns and species associations, due to the changes in soil properties attributable tos oil use intensity.

Keywords: soil ecology, soil use intensity, soil biota

1. Introduction

The soil biota plays a crucial role in regulating processes like water infiltration and storage, decomposition and nutrient cycling, humus formation, nutrient transformation and transport; moreover, they stimulate the symbiotic activity in the soil, improve the organic matter storage, and prevent erosion (Lavelle et al., 2006; Coleman and Crossley, 1996).

Several of the ecosystem services of the soil depend on the community of soil invertebrates (Lavelle et al., 2006), being earthworms one of the most common component of edaphic communities. Earthworms are considered ecosystem engineers because they improve decomposition processes and nutrient cycling (Lavelle et al, 1997; Six et al, 2004) and have a strong effect on the soils´ hydraulic properties (Lee, 1985; Edwards and Bohlen, 1996; Lavelle and Spain, 2001; Lavelle et al., 2006; Johnson-Maynard, Umiker, and Guy, 2007; Jouquet et al, 2008).

The most important factors limiting earthworm populations are food supply, moisture, temperature, and the texture and soil chemical characteristics such as pH, organic matter and macronutrients content (Satchell, 1967; Lee, 1985; Curry, 2004). Earthworm populations are also affected by the direct and indirect effects related to the type and extension of the vegetation cover (Mather and Christensen, 1988; Falco and Momo, 1995). The use of soils in agriculture can modify the physical and chemical soil environment thus modulating changes in abundance and composition of earthworm communities (Curry, Byrne and Schmidt, 2002). In this regard, Dale and Polasky (2007) indicate that in agricultural systems, changes in land cover are the direct result of management practices. Therefore, when changes in soil use occur due to different agricultural practices, earthworms' assemblages rapidly respond to them (Lavelle et al, 1997; Johnson-Maynard, Umiker, and Guy, 2007).

Since earthworm abundance and distribution are strongly influenced by the environmental conditions and the ecological status of the system (Falco and Momo, 2010), earthworm community structure can be successfully used as biological indicators of soil conditions (Momo, Falco, Craig, 2003).

The use of bioindicators has the advantage of providing historical and functional information about soils. Earthworm community structure integrate this information on soil conditions both in space and time and provide signals of the soil ecological state.

80   In this context, the objectives of this study were: 1) to assess earthworm community

81   composition under three different soil use intensities: intensive agriculture, cattle

82   grazing, and naturalized grasslands. 2) To identify the physical, chemical, and

83   microbiological variables related to the observed community structure. 3) To detect

84   which earthworms species are typical of each set of soil conditions and of each use.

85

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Sampling sites

88   This study was performed in the rolling pampas within the Argentine pampas, a wide

89   plain with more than 52 million hectares (520.000 km^2) of land suitable for cattle rising

90   and cropping (Viglizzo et al, 2004). It is one of the largest and most productive

91   agricultural regions in the world.

92   Agricultural systems based on crop–crop and crop–pasture rotations under grazing

93   conditions have been very common in the region for over a century until the 1980s. The

94   adoption of conservative tillage and no-till practices has significantly increased during

95   the 1980s and 1990s. Although pesticides were extensively used since the 1960s, crops

96   and pasture fertilization increased noticeably only during the 1990s (Viglizzo et al,

97   2003). The expansion of the land area used for annual crops on different environments

98   means that the pampean ecosystem is currently under an intense disturbance regime

99   (Navarrete et al, 2009).

100   The selected study sites are located in central Argentina, on argiudol soils, (Mollisols,

101   Typical argiudols (USDA, 2010)). The study sites were privately owned fields located

102   in Navarro, Buenos Aires Province (34° 49'35" S, 59° 10´ 38" W), and Chivilcoy (35º

103   03'10" S; 59º 41' 08" W) approximately 75 and 150 km west of Buenos Aires City,

104   respectively.

105   Weather regime in this region is temperate humid, with an average annual rainfall

106   around 1000 mm. The mean annual temperature is 17 ∘C. Phytogeographycally, it is

107   within the neotropical region, oriental district of the Pampean Province, and the

108   dominant vegetation is a gramineous steppe (Cabrera and Willink, 1973).

109

2.2 Land use intensity in the selected sites

The systems analyzed differed only in their use intensity. Samplings were carried out on three different type of soil uses (agroecosystems) which represent three different levels of disturbance of the same argiudol soil:

Agroecosystem 1: agricultural systems, sites with 50 years of continuous intensive agricultural practices, and under no-tillage during the last 16 years. Under a regular corn-wheat-soybean rotation, currently under no-tillage, chemical weed control is used. During the cropping season, heavy machinery is used and insecticides, herbicides, and fertilizers are applied several times a year.

Agroecosystem 2: Cattle-grazing systems, sites with 40 years under direct grazing, turned to a feedlot system within the two years before the start of this work. Originally managed under grazing with high instantaneous animal load per hectare, it moved to bale production (oat, maize, and sorghum) two years prior to the start of this study.

Agroecosystem 3: Naturalized grasslands, sites with no significant anthropic impact during the last 50 years.

Nine sampling fields (three replicates for each one of the three treatments) were evaluated. At each site, five samples were taken every three months covering a two year period.

Each sampling date, five random samples were taken 25 meters apart from each other per each replicate (3) and treatment (3). Thus, a total of 45 samples were taken per sampling date. The size of each sample was of 25 x 25 x 25 cm.

The measured environmental variables were bulk density (BD), mechanical resistance (MR), humidity (RH), electrical conductivity (EC), organic mater (OM), pH, N, P, Ca, Mg, K, and Na. To characterize the sites, microbiological activity was assessed through soil respiration and free nitrogen-fixing bacteria activity (Nitrogenase Acetylene Reduction Activity, ARA). Methods used for chemical and physical analyses are shown in Table 1.

Earthworm extraction from the soil samples was performed by handsorting. Earthworms were preserved with soil until identification in the laboratory, and later fixed and preserved in alcohol- formalin - glycerin following Righi (1979) and identified by external morphology using keys from Righi (1979) and Reynolds (Reynolds, 1996). Clitelated individuals were identified to species level and pre-clitelated ones to genus.

142   At each site, earthworm taxonomic composition and population density were measured.

143   Earthworm communities were characterized at each soil use intensity by population

144   density, species richness, both observed and estimated (Chao index), and diversity

145   (Shannon).

146

147   **2.3 Statistical analyses**

148   Due the to non-normal distributions of the physical and chemical data, Kruskall-Wallis

149   ANOVA tests were carried out to compare variables between treatments.

150   The relationship between environmental variables and earthworm species abundances

151   was further analyzed at the genus level to include non-clitelated individuals, by means

152   of a principal component analysis (PCA) using abundances. Prior to analysis, the

153   species abundances data were transformed using the Hellinger method of Legendre &

154   Gallagher (2001) such that the resulting PCA represents Hellinger distance between

155   samples rather than Euclidean distance. Physical and chemical variables were then fitted

156   into the ordination space described by the first two principal components of the

157   earthworm data by projecting biplot vectors. The statistical significance of the

158   environmental variables is based on random permutations of the data and P-values were

159   adjusted by a sequential multiple test procedure Hommel (1988). The ordination

160   analysis and vector fitting were produced using the R statistical language (R Core team,

161   2012) and the Vegan package (Oksanen et al, 2011).

162

163   *3. Results*

164

165   **3.1 Physical and chemical soil parameters**

166   Of all the physical - chemical and microbiological parameters evaluated, only four

167   variables (Na, EC, MR, and respiration) showed significant statistical differences

168   between each of the three systems and only OM presented no differences (Table 1),.

169   From the four variables that separate the three systems, the naturalized grasslands

170   showed the highest Na and EC values.

171   Microbiological activity and soil microfauna were assessed through soil respiration and

172   nitrogen fixing bacteria activity, which separated the naturalized grasslands for their

173   high value when compared to the other two agroecosystems.

3.2 Earthworm assemblage response to soil use intensity

Results show that each soil use presents a different species composition and abundance (Fig 1). The relative abundances of the earthworm species found in each system is shown in figure 2.

A total of 9 earthworm species were identified across all systems. Five species were common to all of them: the native *Microscolex dubius* and the exotic *Aporrectodea caliginosa*, *A. rosea*; *Octolasion cyaneum*, *O. lacteum*, but differed in their abundances. The differences in abundance explain the significant differences found for the Shannon index values (ANOVA test $p<0.05$). The richness estimate (Chao) and the observed richness only differed in the cattle grazing system (Table 2).

In the naturalized grasslands the species identified as being the dominant (44% of all the individuals collected) was the epigeic native *Microscolex dubius*, followed by the endogeic exotics *Aporrectodea caliginosa*, *A. rosea*, *Octolasion cyaneum*,and *O. lacteum*. The other endogeic species, *A. trapezoides*, and the native *M. phosphoreus* were less frequent (Fig. 2a). Forty seven percent of all the individuals collected belonged to native species, and the ratio natives / exotics was 1:2.5.

In the cattle-grazing system the endogeic native *Eukerria satgnalis* was dominant and the exotic *A. rosea* was also common. Other species that were present albeit with a low frequency were *A. caliginosa,* and *Microscolex dubius. M. phosphoreus; Octolasion cyaneum,* and *O. lacteum* appeared on either one or two sampling dates only. In this system, *E. stagnalis* represents 68% of all the individuals collected and *A. rosea* represents 22% (Fig. 2b).The ratio of native species / exotic was 1: 1.3.

In the agricultural system, the most common species were the endogeic exotics *Aporrectodea caliginosa*, *A. rosea*, and *A. trapezoides*. The other endogeic species *Octolasion cyaneum*, and the epigeic native *Microscolex dubius* were less frequent. *Octolasion tyrtaeum* was only detected in the first sampling date, and O. *lacteum* appears in two sampling dates with a single individual each. Here, the exotic species represent 95% of the individuals (Fig. 2c). The agricultural system also had the lowest ratio of native species /exotic (1:6).

The differences in the chemical and physical soil parameters, as well as the different temporal distribution and species requirements determined the species´ co-occurrences found in each system. We observed these associations involving both native and

206 introduced species, and combining different ecological categories. In this way the
207 associations most frequently found in naturalized grasslands were: *A. rosea –*
208 *Microscolex dubius* (appearing together in 33% of the samples), *Octolasion cyaneum –*
209 *O. lacteum* (10%), and *A. rosea –Octolasion cyaneum* (10%). In the cattle grazing sites
210 *A. rosea –Eukerria stagnalis* (67%) and in the agricultural system the most common
211 associations were *A. caliginosa –A. rosea* (12.5%), and *A. rosea – Microscolex dubius*
212 (12,5%).

213 The relationship between the characteristics of the environment and earthworm
214 presence was further analyzed at the genus level, assessing the sensitivity of the groups
215 with the soil parameter values through a Mann-Whitney U-test (Table 3).

216 *Aporrectodea*, *Octolasion* and *Microscolex* were present in samplings with the same
217 levels of Mg, K, and BD. *Octolasion* separated from *Aporrectodea* only for Ca levels,
218 and its response to soil humidity, MR, and Respiration put it close to *Microscolex*. In
219 turn, *Microscolex* differed from the other groups due to Na, pH, ARA, and high MR
220 (RM 10 cm). On the other hand, *Eukerria* was related to places with low levels of Ca,
221 K, pH, EC, ARA, BD, MR and high humidity.

222 In order to know how the species´ composition explain the environmental variability, an
223 indirect ordination PCA analysis was used, followed by a vector fitting (Fig. 3).
224 Interestingly, the analysis showed no relationship between species with fertility levels
225 (N, P and OM), but it did with elements of low soil mobility.

226 The first two axes explain 57% of the variance. The environmental variables that were
227 significantly related to the species ordination were: RH, K, ARA, Respiration, MR, Ca,
228 and pH (adjusted $P < 0.05$).

229 As it can be seen in Fig. 3, the ordination method shows that *Microscolex dubius*
230 appeared related to the levels of Ca, pH , MR and respiration. This species is well
231 adapted to environments rich in Ca, neutral pH, high microbiological activity, and high
232 mechanical resistance. The environment defined by *Microscolex dubius* was related to
233 the characteristics of the Naturalized Grassland system, and this species can be
234 considered as indicative of the conditions prevailing in this system. In the same way
235 *Aporrectodea caliginosa* (Fig. 3) is related to high K levels, low enzymatic activity, low
236 pH, and low Ca. These are characteristic of the Agricultural system, being this
237 cosmopolite, invasive species a good indicator of high perturbation sites. Finally,

238 *Eukerria stagnalis* and *Aporrectodea rosea*, were related to the second ordination

239 factor, and they describe an environment with high soil humidity, low pH, low Ca

240 levels, and low ARA. These characteristics describe the Naturalized Grassland system.

241 In this way, these species are clearly good descriptors of the three studied use intensity

242 regimes of the same soil.

243

4. Discussion

245 These results show that the structure of the earthworm assemblage changes in relation to

246 differences in soil use intensity in terms of its composition, abundance, seasonal

247 dynamics and species associations. The data presented here show that, on the same soil

248 and the same regimen of temperature and precipitations, the earthworm assemblage

249 composition and abundance varied across the different systems studied, thus reflecting

250 the differences due to land use intensities and their associated management practices.

251 Tillage, weed control, fertilization and soil cover are parameters that best characterize

252 the different land use intensities (Decaëns et al, 2008; Viglizzo et al, 2004; Curry,

253 2004), modifying the physical (water and air movement) and chemical environment,

254 thus changing habitat suitability.

255 In the AG system under highest use intensity, earthworm communities were affected

256 directly by the changes caused by tillage practices or indirectly through changes in food

257 supply. Several studies indicate that earthworm communities are more abundant and

258 rich in species in undisturbed soils when compared to cropland (Feijoo et al, 2011;

259 Felten and Emmerling, 2011; Emmerling 2001; Curry et al, 2008; Decaëns et al, 2008).

260 In this study, however, this pattern was not observed. All three systems have the same

261 richness value and the abundances are consistently higher in the AG system with

262 highest use intensity. This system also showed the highest native species replacement

263 by exotic ones (ratio 1:1.6). These results agree with those of Lee (1985), Paoletti

264 (1999), and Smith et al. (2008), who found that annual croplands have higher

265 earthworm abundance than older fields. The dominance of introduced species is another

266 characteristic of highly disturbed sites, as pointed out by Fragoso et al. (1999),

267 Winsome (2006), and Chan and Barchia (2007).

268 The results presented in this work indicate that earthworm assemblage response to the

269 same soil subjected to different use intensities can be used as indicator of

agroecosystem soil use intensity. This response can be explained in terms of quality and quantity of food (Bohlen et al, 1997), the long term use of inorganic fertilizers which have a positive effect on the total number of worms (Edwards and Bohlen, 1996; Curry, 2004), pH changes and the level of Ca in the soil (Lee, 1985; Paoletti, 1999; Smith et al, 2008).

In the agricultural and cattle grazing systems, microbiological activity was low (as assessed through respiration and ARA) when compared to the naturalized grasslands. This can be explained, as the result of a reduction in pH and Ca, as well as to the ecological categories of species present (Scheu et al, 2002). Indeed, Scheu (2003) indicates that the presence of endogean species significantly reduces bacterial biomass and the functioning of the microbial assemblage. In AG, 95% of the species present are exotic endogeans, while in the CG system the 97% are endogean (70 % native, 30% exotic).

These results show that the ecological categories of the earthworm assemblages are also related to the microbiological activity of each studied system, being another indication that earthworms are good descriptors of the functioning of the edaphic environment.

Soil use intensity is also indicated by the presence of a few species that closely related to environmental variability. The intensification of the agricultural activities in the Pampas determine up to a 50% reduction in the calcium level (Casas, 2005). The ordination analysis related *Microscolex dubius* with high Ca levels and thus, to less disturbed environments. On the other hand, *A. caliginosa* and *Eukerria stagnalis* are present in low Ca soils.

In this sense, Mele and Carter (Mele and Carter, 1999) point out that the distribution and number of native species are negatively correlated with P, K, and Mg levels, these species being adapted to lower nutrient levels. In our study the only species that is related to higher K levels is *A. caliginosa*, which is the most abundant in the agricultural system.

5. Conclusions

The richness, composition and abundance as well as the species associations found, reflected the physical, chemical, and biological changes, brought about as a result of the

different intensities of the agricultural practices used on each tested system. The data gathered indicate that the different environments are well characterized by the levels of cations (Ca, K), pH, microbiological activity, and physical variables such as mechanical resistance and moisture. Earthworm species assemblage reflected the changes in these variables and are therefore good descriptors of the studied systems.

Microscolex dubius was associated to sites with high levels of calcium, microbiological activity and high mechanical resistance and describes the naturalized grassland. *Eukerria stagnalis* is primarily associated with high humidity as seen in the cattle grazing system in which it is the dominant species. *Aporrectodea caliginosa* is associated to highly disturbed environments, with high K levels, low CE and NA, and low microbiological activity, all typical of the Agricultural system. It is interesting to note that the earthworm species most related to the different systems, are not related to the variables most usually measured: OM, N, and P. Therefore, monitoring these species would provide indirect estimations of those scarcely measured variables, thus complementing the information provided by other more common soil analyses in agroecosystems.

Eukerria stagnalis is indicative the high humidity, increased soil acidity, and a reduction in the levels of calcium and potassium, which are conditions prevalent in the intermediate use intensity system.

Aporrectodea caliginosa is the species best adapted to the most disturbed environment. This implies that the population recovers quickly after a disturbance (Curry, 2004, Felten and Emmerling, 2011; Decaëns, 2011), it is not significantly affected by changes in litter quality (Curry and Schmidt, 2007).

The spatial and temporal patterns in the distribution and abundance of earthworm species observed in this work followed the differences in the physical and chemical variables measured on the different systems studied. These differences are, in turn, a reflection of the different management practices applied to the same argiudol soil. Therefore, these results show that the structure of the earthworm assemblages can be reliably used for monitoring different soil use intensity management practices.

334 *Acknowledgements*

340   *References*

341

342   Bohlen PJ, RW Parmelee, DA McCartney, CA Edwards, (1997) Earthworm effects on
343   carbon and nitrogen dynamics of surface litter in corn agroecosystems. J Appl
344   Ecol.7:1341–1349.
345   Cabrera A, A Willink,(1973) Biogeografía de América Latina. Serie de Biología.
346   Monografía No. 13. Programa Regional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnoló-
347   gico. Departamento de Asuntos Científicos. Secretaría General de la Orga-
348   nización de los Estados Americanos, Washington.
349   Casas RR, (2005) Efecto de la intensificación agrícola sobre los suelos.   Ciencia
350   Hoy. Vol.XV. 87: 42 -43.
351   Chan KY, I Barchia, (2007) Soil compaction controls the abundance, biomass and
352   distribution of earthworms in a single dairy farm in south-eastern Australia.
353   Soil & Tillage Research. 94: 75–82
354   Coleman DC, DA Crossley, (1996) Fundamentals of soil ecology. Academic Press, San
355   Diego, CA.
356   Curry J, O Schmidt, (2007) The feeding ecology of earthworms – A review.
357   Pedobiologia (Jena). 50: 463 – 477.
358   Curry JP, (2004) Factors affecting the abundance of earthworms in soils. In: Edwards,
359   C.A. (Ed.), Earthworm Ecology. CRC press LLC, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 91–
360   114.
361   Curry JP, D Byrne, O Schmidt, (2002) Intensive cultivation can drastically reduce
362   earthworm populations in arable land. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 38, 127−130.
363   Curry JP, P Doherty, G Purvis, O Schmidt,(2008) Relationships between earthworm
364   populations and management intensity in cattle-grazed pastures in Ireland.
365   Agric., Ecosyst. Environ., Appl. Soil Ecol. 39: 58–64.
366   Dale VH, S Polasky, (2007) Measures of the effects of agricultural practices on
367   ecosystem services. Int J Ecol Econ Stat. 64,286-296.
368   Decaëns T, P Margerie, J Renault, F Bureau, M Aubert, M Hedde, (2011) Niche overlap
369   and species assemblage dynamics in an ageing pasture gradient in north-
370   western France. Acta Oecologica. 37:212-219.
371   Decaëns T, P Margerie, M Aubert, M Hedde, F Bureau, (2008) Assembly rules within
372   earthworm communities in North-Western France: regional analysis. Agric.,
373   Ecosyst. Environ., Appl. Soil Ecol. 39: 321 –335.
374   Edwards CA, PJ Bohlen, (1996) Biology and ecology of earthworms. 426 pp. Chapman
375   & Hall, London.
376   Emmerling C, (2001) Response of earthworm communities to different types of soil
377   tillage. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ., Appl. Soil Ecol.17: 91–96.
378   Falco L, F Momo, (1995) Asociaciones de lombrices de tierra y su relación con la
379   cobertura vegetal en suelos forestados. Rev. Chil. Hist. Nat. 68:523 -28.
380   ISSN/ISBN: 0716-078X.
381   Falco L, F Momo, (2010) Selección de Hábitat: efecto de la cobertura y tipo de suelo en
382   lombrices de tierra. Acta Zool. Mex. Nro. Especial 2:179-187. ISSN 0065-
383   1737.
384   Feijoo A, AF Carvajal, MC Zúñiga, H Quintero, C Fragoso, (2011) Diversity and
385   abundance of Earthworms in land use systems in central-western Colombia.
386   Pedobiologia (jena).54: S69-S75.
387   Felten D, C Emmerling,(2011) Effects of bioenergy crop cultivation on earthworm
388   communities – A comparative study of perennial (Miscanthus) and annual

389   crops with consideration of graded land –use intensity. Agric., Ecosyst.
390   Environ., Appl. Soil Ecol. 49: 167-177.

391 Fragoso C, P Lavelle, E Blanchart, B Senapati, J Jimenez, M de los A Martínez, T
392   Decaëns, J Tondoh, (1999) Earthworm communities of tropical
393   agroecosystems: origin, structure and influences of management practices. in:
394   Lavelle, P., Brussaard, L., Hendrix, P.(Eds.). Earthworm Management in
395   Tropical Agroecosystems. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp. 27–55.

396 Hommel G, (1988) A stagewise rejective multiple test procedure based on a modified
397   Bonferroni test. Biometrika. 75:383-386.

398 Johnson-Maynard JL, KJ Umiker, SO Guy, (2007) Earthworm dynamics and soil
399   physical properties in the first three years of no-till management. Soil & Till.
400   Res. 94, 338–345.

401 Jouquet P, N Bottinelli, P Podwojewski , V Hallaire , TT Duc,(2008) Chemical and
402   physical properties of earthworm casts as compared to bulk soil under a range
403   of different land-use systems in Vietnam. Geoderma. 146, 231–238.

404 Lavelle P, AV Spain, (2001) Soil ecology . Kluwer Academic Publishers, London. UK.

405 Lavelle P, D Bignell, M Lepage, V Wolters, P Roger, P Ineson, OW Heal, S Dhilloin,
406   (1997) Soil function in a changing world: the role of invertebrate ecosystem
407   engineers. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 33, 159-193.

408 Lavelle P, T Decaëns, M Aubert, S Barot, M Blouin, F Bureau, P Margerie, P Mora, JP
409   Rossi, (2006) Soil invertebrates and ecosystem services. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 42,
410   S 3–S15.

411 Lee KE, (1985) Earthworms: Their Ecology and Relationships with Soils and Land use.
412   Academic Press, New York, 411 pp.

413 Legendre P, Gallagher E, (2001) Ecologically meaningful transformations for
414   ordination of species data. Oecologia. 129: 271–280.

415 Mather JG, BT Christensen, (1988) Surface movements of earthworms in agricultural
416   land. Pedobiologia (Jena). 32: 399-405.

417 Mele PM, MR Carter,(1999) Species abundance of earthworms in arable and pasture
418   soils in south-eastern Australia. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ., Appl. Soil Ecol.
419   12:129-137.

420 Momo F, L. Falco Y E. Craig (2003). Las Lombrices de Tierra como Indicadoras
421   del Deterioro del Suelo. Revista de Ciencia y Tecnología Serie Científica
422   N8:55-63. ISSN/ISBN: 03285936.

423 Navarrete M, D Gallopín, G Blanco, M Díaz-Zorita, M Ferraro, D Herzer, H Laterra, P
424   Murmis, G Podestá, J Rabinovich, E Satorre, F Torres, E Viglizzo, (2009)
425   Multi-causal and integrated assessment of sustainability: the case of
426   agriculturization in the argentine pampas. Environment, Development and
427   Sustainability. 11(3):621–638.

428 Oksanen FJ, G Blanchet, R Kindt, P Legendre, PR Minchin, RB O'Hara, GL Simpson, P
429   Solymos, MHH Stevens, H Wagner, (2011) vegan: Community Ecology
430   Package. R package version 2.0-1. Stable URL: http://CRAN.R-
431   project.org/package=vegan. Accesed: 22/11/2012.

432 Paoletti MG, (1999) The role of earthworms for assessment of sustainability and as
433   bioindicators. Agric Ecosyst Environ. 74:137–155.

434 Power AG, (2010) Ecosystem services and agriculture: tradeoffs and synergies.
435   (Review) Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.365, 2959–2971.

436 R Core Team, (2012) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
437   Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0,
438   http://www.R-project.org/.

439 Reynolds J, (1996) Earthworms biology and Ecology. ISBN 0-9690911-4-1.
440 Righi G, (1979) Introducción al estudio de las Lombrices del Suelo (Oligoquetos
441   Megadrilos) de la Provincia de Santa Fe (Argentina). Revista Asociación
442   Ciencias Naturales del Litoral, 10: 89-155.
443 Satchell JE,(1967) Lumbricidae. Pp. 259-322. In: A. Burges and F. Raw (Eds.) Soil
444   biology. Academic Press, London.
445 Scheu S, D Albers, J Alphei, R Buring, U Klages, S Migge, C Platner, JA Salomon,
446   (2003) The soil fauna community in pure and mixed stands of beech and
447   spruce of different age: trophic structure and structuring forces. Oikos.
448   101:225-238.
449 Scheu S, N Schlitt, A Tiunov, J Newington, HT Jones,(2002) Effects of the presence
450   and community composition of earthworms on microbial community
451   functioning. Oecologia. 133, 254–260.
452 Six J, H Bossuyt, S Degryze, K Denef, (2004) A history of research on the link between
453   (micro) aggregates, soil biota and soil organic matter dynamics. Soil & Till.
454   Res. 79, 7–31.
455 Smith R, C McSwiney, A Grandy, P Suwanwaree, R Snider, G Robertson,(2008)
456   Diversity and abundance of earthworms across an agricultural land-use
457   intensity gradient. Soil & Tillage Research. 100, 83–88.
458 United States Department of Agriculture (2010). Keys to Soil Taxonomy Eleventh
459   Edition. 338 pp.
460 Viglizzo EF, AJ Pordomingo, MG Castro, FA Lertora, (2003) Environmental
461   assessment of agriculture at a regional scale in the Pampas of Argentina.
462   Environ Monit Assess. 87 (2), 169–195.
463 Viglizzo EF, AJ Pordomingo, MG Castro, FA Lértora, JN Bernardos, (2004) Scale-
464   dependent controls on ecological functions in agroecosystems of Argentina.
465   Agric Ecosyst Environ.101, 39–51.
466 Winsome T, L Epstein, PF Hendrix, WR Horwath,(2006) Competitive interactions
467   between native and exotic earthworm species as influenced by habitat quality in
468   a California grassland. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ., Appl. Soil Ecol. 32 :38–53.

Figure 1: Abundance (N) of each earthworm species throughout the total sampling period for each system.

Figure 2: Relative abundance (ni/N) for each earthworm species identified in the three systems. 2a Naturalized grassland (NG), 2b Cattle grazing (CG), 2c Agricultural system (AG). Ac :*Aporrectodea caliginosa*, Ar: *Aporrectodea rosea*; At: *Aporrectodea trapezoides*; Ot: *Octolasion tyrtaeum*; Md: *Microscolex dubius*; Oc: *Octolasion cyaneum*; Ol: *Octolasion lactuem*; Es: *Eukerria stagnalis; Mp: Microscolex phosphoreus.*

Figure 3: PCA biplot of Hellinger transformed earthworm species, only the four most abundant ones are shown. The arrows are significant environmental variables fitted into the ordination space. The percentage of explained variance is shown in each axis. Ac: *Aporrectodea caliginosa;* Ar: *Aporrectodea rosea;* Es: *Eukerria stagnalis*; Md: *Microscolex dubius.*

493 **Table 1**: Physicochemical and microbiological parameters measured (n=150 per
494 system)
495

Parameters	Method	System					
		NG		CG		AG	
P (ppm)	Kurtz y Bray	11+/- 8,5	b	15 +/- 12	a	14+/- 12	a
OM (%)	Walkey-Black	4 +/- 1,5	a	4 +/- 1,5	a	4 +/- 1,4	a
Ec (dS*m^{-1})	conductivimeter	1,5+/- 1,3	a	0,8 +/- 0,5	b	0,7+/- 0,5	c
pH		7,5+/- 1	a	6 +/- 0,6	b	6 +/- 0,5	b
Bulk density (gr*cm^{-3})	Porta	1,2+/-0,2	a	1,1 +/- 0,1	b	1,2+/- 0,1	a
Rh (%)	calculation	0,2+/- 0,1	a	0,3 +/- 0,1	b	0,2+/- 0,1	a
Ca (cmol*Kg soil^{-1})	titration with EDTA	6,7 +/-1,3	a	5 +/- 0,5	b	6 +/- 0,7	a
Mg (cmol*Kg soil^{-1})	titration with EDTA	1,8+/- 0,4	a	1,5+/- 0,7	b	1,6+/- 0,5	b
Na (cmol*Kg soil^{-1})	flame photometry	1,3+/- 0,5	a	0,8 +/- 0,2	b	0,7 +/- 0,2	c
K (cmol*Kg soil^{-1})	flame photometry	1,6 +/- 0,5	a	1,3+/-0,3	b	1,6+/- 0,5	a
N (%)	Kjeldahl	0,28 +/- 0,1	a	0,32+/- 0,1	b	0,29+/-0,05	b
Nitrogenase activity (nanolitres of ethylene* gr dry soil*incubation hour $^{-1}$)	ARA	0,3+/- 0,3	a	0,2+/-0,2	b	0,2+/-0,3	b
Respiration (mg de CO_2*gr dry soil day^{-1})	alkaline incubation	0,09+/-0,06	a	0,07+/-0,05	b	0,05+/-0,05	c
MR 0-5 (Kg*cm^{-2})	cone	10+/- 6	a	2,5+/- 3	b	5,5 +/- 4	c
MR 5=10 (Kg*cm^{-2})	cone	13+/-7	a	5 +/-5	b	8+/- 5	c

496 NG: naturalized grassland; CG: cattle grazing, AG; agricultural system. Different letters
497 within a row indicate significant differences between systems, $P < 0.05$ Kruskall-Wallis
498 ANOVA tests.

499 **Table 2**: Observed and estimated species richness, mean density, and Shannon
500 diversity index.
501

	Richness observed (Sob)	Richness estimate (Chao)	Density (ind/m^2)	Shannon index
Naturalized grassland	7	7 +/- 0	46 +/- 19	0,53 a
Cattle - grazing	7	8,5 +/- 1,5	40 +/- 55	0,37 b
Agricultural system	7	7,25 +/- 0,4	76 +/- 56	0,57 a

502
503

504 **Table 3**: Mean (range) values of each measured variable as they relate to earthworm
505 genus presence (Non-clitelated specimens included)

Parameters	Genus			
	Aporrectodea	*Octolasion*	*Microscolex*	*Eukerria*
OM (%)	4,4 (3,7-5,3)	4,8 (4,4-6,1)	4,9 (3,2-5,9)	4,7 (4-5,9)
N (%)	0,29 (0,26-0,33)	0,29 (0,26-,33)	0,29 (0,27-0,34)	0,29 (0,25-0,34)
P (ppm)	8,7 (4,4-17,6)	7,7 (3,6-15,2)	9,3 (4,8-15)	6,8 (4,4-14,1)
Ca (cmol*Kg soil^{-1})	6,0 (5,5-6,4) a	6,6 (6,1-9) b	6,1 (5,8-7) c	5 (4,6-5,4) d
Mg (cmol*Kg soil^{-1})	1,7 (1,1-2) a	1,7 (1,1-1,9) a	1,6 (1,5-1,9) a	1,1 (1-1,6) b
Na (cmol*Kg soil^{-1})	0,8 (0,7-1) a	0,74 (0,4-0,9) a	0,9 (0,7-1,1) b	0,8 (0,7-1,1) a
K (cmol*Kg soil^{-1})	1,3 (1,1-1,7) a	1,5 (1,2-1,8) a	1,3 (1,1-1,8) a	1,1 (1-1,4) b
pH	6,2 (5,8-7) a	6,3 (6-6,8) a	6,8 (6,2-7,2) b	6 (5,6-6,5) c
Ec (dS*m^{-1})	0,6 (0,3-0,9) a	0,6 (0,3-0,9) a	0,7 (0,3-1,2) a	0,4 (0,2-0,7) b
Nitrogenase activity (nanolitres of ethylene* gr dry soil*incubation hour $^{-1}$)	0,15 (0,07-0,3) a	0,26 (0,11-0,35) a	0,27 (0,14-0,37) b	0,15 (0,12-0,18) a
Respiration (mg de CO_2*gr dry soil day^{-1})	0,04 (0,03-0,09) a	0,04 (0,03-0,09) ab	0,07 (0,04-0,1) b	0,05 (0,02-0,07) a
Rh (%)	0,3 (0,2-0,3) a	0,25 (0,17-0,29) ab	0,2 (0,2-0,3) b	0,3 (0,3-0,4) c
Bulk density (gr*cm^{-3})	1,2 (1,1-1,3) a	1,21 (1,1-1,3) a	1,2 (1,1-1,3) a	1,1 (1-1,2) b
MR 0-5 (Kg/cm^2)	4,6 (2,25-8,2) a	4,9 (3-8) ab	7,8 (4,3-12,5) b	0,78 (0-3) c
MR 5=10 (Kg/cm^2)	6,5 (3,5-10,8) a	7,6 (4-11,5) a	10 (7-17) b	2,6 (0,8-5,5) c

506 Variables measured at the sampling points were each earthworm genus was recorded.
507 Different letters within each row indicate significant differences between earthworm
508 genus, P < 0,05, Mann–Whitney U-test pairwise comparisons.
509