- 1 Understanding the context for pet obesity; self-reported beliefs and factors influencing
- 2 pet feeding and exercise behaviour among pet owners
- 3 Martin J. Downes^{1,&2}, Catherine Devitt³, Marie T. Downes⁴, Simon J. More²
- 4 Affiliations
- 5 1. Centre for Applied Health Economics, Griffith Health Institute, Gold Coast, Queensland,
- 6 Australia.
- 7 2. Centre for Veterinary Epidemiology and Risk Analysis, School of Veterinary Medicine,
- 8 University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland.
- 9 3. Consultant Social Science Research Professional, Glendalough, Co. Wicklow
- 10 4. Greencross Vets, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.
- 11 Corresponding author: Martin J. Downes
- 12 Postal Address: Centre for Applied Health Economics, Griffith University, Meadowbrook,
- 13 QLD 4131, Australia.
- 14 Tel: +61733821303
- 15 Email: m.downes@epinet.net

Abstract

- Background: Pet obesity contributes to increased risk of various diseases, such as cancer and diabetes mellitus as well as worsening of orthopaedic problems, and a reduction in survival rate. Changes in feeding regimes and increased amounts of exercise have been an important component of weight management programs. This study identifies the self-reported beliefs and factors that influence owner behaviour around feeding and exercising their pet. Pet owners were recruited through six different private veterinary practices (three city practices;
- 23 two in regional towns; and one in a rural area).
- Methods: An interview-administered survey questionnaire and focus group discussions were conducted for the study. Data was coded and managed using Nvivo 8 qualitative data analysis software.
 - **Results:** Seven focus groups were conducted with 43 participants in total. Feeding one's pet is influenced by beliefs about pet specific needs and pet food and pet health, pet owners' perceived control over the feeding regime, and the implications for feeding for the pet owner. Treats are used in the absence of owner control over pet begging and emotional attachment, and to influence pet behaviour. Pet exercise is influenced by beliefs about pet specific exercise needs, and the implications of exercising one's pet for the pet owner.
 - **Discussion:** Beliefs, and barriers to appropriate feeding and exercise are useful in explaining pet owners' behaviour and are; associated with the level of control over the feeding regime, control relating to pet behaviour, and the perceived ease of feeding and exercise. Understanding owner behaviours on feeding and exercise allows for a more targeted approach to preventing and treating pet obesity.

Introduction

Obese and overweight pet dogs and cats are a growing problem in higher income countries, with studies showing that between 20-40% of pets are categorised as either overweight or obese developed countries (Edney & Smith, 1986; Lund et al., 2005, 2006; McGreevy et al., 2005; Colliard et al., 2006, 2009). For the purpose of the paper, pet obesity is defined as an accumulation of adipose tissue mass in the body (German, 2006; German et al., 2010). Obesity is the most common nutritional disorder in pet dogs and cats, leading to a series of adverse health consequences including an increased risk of various diseases, such as cancer and diabetes mellitus, a worsening of existing orthopaedic problems, and an overall reduction in survival rates (Sloth, 1992; Klinkenberg, Sallander & Hedhammar, 2006; Zoran, 2010;

48 Laflamme, 2012).

Obesity in pet dogs and cats has been associated with certain socio-demographic profiles, including older pet owners, female owners, low income households (Lund et al., 2005, 2006; Colliard et al., 2006; Laflamme, 2012), and increasingly there is strong evidence to suggest a correlation between levels of obesity in humans and obesity levels in pets (Kushner et al., 2006). The human-animal bond, close relationships between owners and their pets and the over-humanization of pets can have negative consequences for levels of pet obesity. This can result in inappropriate feeding behaviour, which in turn influences pet obesity levels (Lund et al., 2005, 2006; Laflamme, 2012). In particular, treats are often used by pet owners as an expression of affection towards their pets, and unsurprisingly, this practice has been identified as a risk factor of pets being overweight (Kienzle, Bergler & Mandernach, 1998; Robertson, 2003; Gibbs, 2008).

In addition to these variables, owner beliefs about their level of control over the pet's behaviour, their knowledge about appropriate feeding, and their beliefs around pet exercise are important in explaining owner behaviour towards their pets (Rohlf et al., 2010). For example, owners of obese dogs were more likely to attach less importance to exercise and balanced nutrition than owners of normal weight dogs (Kienzle, Bergler & Mandernach, 1998). Pet-specific perceptions are also important in explaining the reasons for pet obesity – the larger the dog is perceived to be, the greater the amount of food that the pet is given (Kienzle, Bergler & Mandernach, 1998). Perceived control over feeding and exercise is also

important. Kienzle, Bergler and Mandernach (1998) showed that owners who believe they have control over feeding were more likely to have strong intentions to feed appropriately. Changes in feeding regimes and increased amounts of exercise have been an important component of weight management programs, especially in dogs (Roudebush, Schoenherr & Delaney, 2008; Courcier et al., 2010). As with perceived control over feeding one's pet, owners that felt in control of their dog's behaviour were more likely to exercise appropriately. The more that dog owners identified barriers to exercising their dog (e.g. lack of time, poor access to space to exercise one's dog, etc.), the less likely was their intention to exercise (Rohlf et al., 2010).

There are implications for the role of the veterinarian in counselling and advising pet owners to follow appropriate behaviour for the health and wellbeing of their pet. Pet owners may not recognise that their pet is overweight, and this can result in discrepancies between the pet's weight as perceived by the pet owner and that as evaluated by their veterinarian (White et al., 2011). Differences also exist in expectations between the pet owners and the veterinarians regarding obesity management strategies (Bland et al., 2010). These problems are accentuated by the reported differences in veterinary practice around weight evaluation with, for example, some dogs being infrequently weighed, bodyweight not commonly assessed and owners not always being told their dog's weight classification (McGreevy et al., 2005; German & Morgan, 2008). Veterinarians play an important role in providing information to pet owners on appropriate feeding and weight management for their pets; however, this may require additional methods of communication, rather than just providing verbal information (Colliard et al., 2006; White et al., 2011).

Understanding owner behaviours concerning pet feeding and exercise on feeding and exercise allows for a more targeted approach to preventing and treating pet obesity. The objective of this study was to identify the self-reported beliefs and factors that influence owner behaviour around feeding and exercising their pet.

Material and methods

Study design

- 96 Research ethical approval was granted by the University College Dublin (UCD) Human
- 97 Research Ethics Committee. Participants were required to sign a written form of consent. In
- 98 this study, qualitative research methods, in the form of focus groups, were used.

Participant recruitment

- 100 Pet owners were recruited through six different private veterinary practices (three city
- practices; two in regional towns; and one in a rural area). The practices selected were a
- 102 convenience sample to ensure compliance and each agreed to participate in the study. Seven
- focus groups were conducted with 43 participants in total; three to nine participants in each
- 104 group.

99

Data collection

- Information on pet owner demographics (age, location, type of dwelling, and household
- 107 composition) and pet demographics (type and number of pets in participating households)
- were collected using a survey, prior to the commencement of focus groups.
- 109 A topic guide was used to direct focus group discussion. Questions were asked on views and
- decisions on pet neutering; feeding and weight control; and pet exercise. A topic guide was
- used during the focus group process as follows:
- Why do you have a pet?
- Why did you choose that type of pet?
- What are your views on neutering dogs and cats?
- What influenced your decision to have your pet neutered or not?
- What are your views on pet diets, both homemade and commercial?
- What factors influence the weight of your pet?
- How do you feel about exercising your pet?
- Results on pet owners perceptions of pet neutering are reported elsewhere (Downes et al.
- submitted). All focus groups were audio-recorded and transcribed. The coding and the
- analysis process were assisted using Nvivo 8 (© QSR International Pty Ltd 2007) qualitative
- data analysis software. Basic codes were developed that described the content of the focus
- groups. Following this, second-level categories were formalised that provided a human

science explanation and interpretation of the data, and lastly, these categories were grouped together to form broad analytical themes.

Results

126

Table 1 presents the participant socio-demographic profile.

Table 1: Socio-demographic profile for participating pet owners (N = 43)

Socio-demographi	Frequency (%)		
Age	18–24	3 (7.0)	
	25–34	7 (16.3)	
	35–44	5(11.6)	
	45–54	8 (18.6)	
	55–64	14 (32.6)	
	65+	6 (14.0)	
	Total	43 (100.0)	
Gender	Female	30 (69.8)	
	Male	13 (30.2)	
	Total	43 (100.00)	
House Type	Apartment	1 (2.3)	
	Detached	18 (41.9)	
	Semi detached	13 (30.2)	
	Terraced house	9 (20.9)	
	Missing	2 (4.6)	
	Total	43 (100.00)	
Household	Lone parent with children	3 (7.0)	
Composition	Married or Cohabiting couple	11 (25.6)	
	Married or Cohabiting couple with children	13 (30.2)	
	Mixed non-family household	8 (18.6)	
	One person	8 (18.6)	
	Total	43 (100.0)	
Marital Status	Cohabitating	3 (7.0)	
	Divorced or Separated	2 (4.7)	
	Married	18 (41.9)	
	Single	20 (46.5)	
	Total	43 (100.0)	
Urban/Rural	Rural	15 (34.9)	
Location	Urban	28 (65.1)	
	Total	43 (100.0)	

Bold= most frequent category

Beliefs and self-reported factors influencing pet feeding

Feeding one's pet – Beliefs about pet specific needs

Pet-specific attitudinal beliefs are clear among participant statements. Cats are described as 'grazers', and are perceived as being more in control of their food intake. In comparison, dogs are perceived as being less in control and more likely to overeat, therefore greater monitoring of dog food intake was reported. A minority of owners refer to concepts like animal genetics and animal nature to describe the weight of the pet:

'It's in [pet dog] nature, she is big boned and loose skinned and the other dog is the opposite. I think some dogs; it is in their nature and some cats. It is very difficult to control weight, you can feed them the exact same and one will put on weight and one will lose weight'.

Feeding one's pet - beliefs about pet food and associated pet health

The types of food used was influenced by pet owner beliefs of what is necessary for good pet health and wellbeing, and this was influenced by how owners perceive the tangible health of their pet.

'I think the cat is allergic to a lot more... we put her on dried food....but if she doesn't like it, she won't eat it. So I tried putting her on some other kind of wet food. It's for her stomach, there's no meat, there's no milk & all that type of thing. She's very picky'.

This owner explains that meat was given to lactating and pregnant female dogs, 'but otherwise [the dogs] get just the nuts, they're all in good conditions, with shiny coats, if there was any problems I would change the diet, I have no skin trouble'. Again noting how healthy her cat was, this owner explains that the cat 'lives on [food type], he gets it dry and some canned and he is very happy with a little bit of fresh chicken, his coat is very healthy, he's fine'. Discussion was had on the effectiveness of current, popular diets in comparison to past diets (comprising of household leftovers, and vegetable waste):

156	'[the dog] lived to a great old age and he got nothing only what came out of the
157	house, big pots of spuds [potatoes] put on for them'.
158	'I had another [type of dog]. He only got scraps and he lived to be 16 years or maybe
159	17'.
160	Comments reflect a possible distrust of processed pet foods, especially as past diets prepared
161	at home by the owner did not seem to impact negatively on the pets' health. These statements
162	show that positive perceptions of pet health, based on an evaluation of tangible signs,
163	reinforce pet owners' positive beliefs around feeding behaviour.
164	Feeding one's pet - perceived control over the feeding regime
165	Owner control over feeding was undermined by other people's feeding behaviour towards the
166	pet. Taking place predominantly among dog owners, this lack of control takes place in
167	households where there is more than one occupant and when the pet spends time with
168	individuals other than the owner. These dog owners explained:
169	'My mother is a firm believer of spoiling dogs I've been feeding them [food type]
170	but my mother says that when the dog isn't eating the food, he doesn't like that food.
171	She gives them all the bits from the dinner. I know when my mother has given them
172	food because they won't eat I'm fighting a losing battle with my mother'.
173	'The dog used to go up to my father's; he was spoiled, grilled rashers. [My father
174	would] say 'oh yeah, [the dog] prefers boiled sausages this week, and chicken. [My
175	father] used to give [the dog] everything, steak, and he was getting fatter'.
176	'It is very hard when somebody else is giving food [to the dogs], even after you tell
177	them 'no', the vet said not to'. My friend comes [to my house], I see her buttering
178	bread, it's for the dog so now I have to put [the dog] out when we're eating she
179	keeps going under the table with food'.
180	This cat owner commented:

'[The cat] is 4 months. He is at the table every minute of the day. It is because my

207

182 brother, my mother and my father are insisting on giving the bit of chicken or the bit 183 of skin, "ah look he loves it". 184 Owners believed that having one person in the household with sole responsibility for feeding 185 the pet was a certain way of maintaining a normal weight. One dog owner mentioned the 186 benefit of keeping a feeding calendar: 187 'I live with two other people, so we have a calendar... mark that she [the cat] has had 188 her breakfast, she has had her dinner so that she is not getting fed by everyone'. 189 Difficulties were reported around monitoring food intake of individual animals if there were 190 two or more pets in the household and this could lead to one pet eating the other pets' food, 191 and hence putting on too much weight. A perceived lack of control was evident in 192 participants' statements on how pets (specifically, cats) steal food, or have a particular taste 193 for some food items, without much reference to the owner's control over what the pet was 194 allowed eat. 195 '[the cats] like chicken and hamburger and whatever they can steal from you' 196 'If there's left over curry, [the cat] will eat that'. 197 'My cat eats an awful lot of tuna and just loves it. No matter what I give him, when 198 the tuna comes out he would nearly take the door off the fridge just to get at tuna. He 199 loves it every time'. 200 <u>Feedings one's pet – perceived implications for feeding</u> 201 The importance of convenience for the owner only features in a small number of comments 202 on choice of diet for their pets. Comments related to; convenience around food preparation, 203 environmental (housing) requirements, longevity of particular food types, and cost. The following were examples of quotes reflecting the influence on convenience on owner's 204 205 choice of diet:

'It's cheaper to get mince meat and cook it up. In [retail outlet], you get the cheap

reduced sections of chicken. It's probably better than the dog food. Sometimes, I go to

208	[retail outlet], and get the tins of dog food for them. It works out cheaper, feeding
209	them the mince than the [food from the] tins'.
210	'The advertisement on the bag, with the indoor formula assuring that low stool odour
211	- when you live in a small flat in [other EU country], it is important'.
212	'It is convenient. The reason that I started on the [food type] is that you could get it
213	in 10 [kg] packets which, with only two cats to start off, it is a lot of cat food. It would
214	last you a while, don't have to buy cat food every day. You can have it delivered. It
215	turns up a week later with all your cat food. They might think you are odd buying 40
216	or 50 kg of cat food at a time but the bags don't go off for a year or so, throw them
217	under the stairs'.
218	Use of treats - perceived control over pet begging and emotional attachment
219	A failure to ignore begging for food, and an emotional attachment to the pet, resulted in
220	giving treats and food when the pet was present during pet owner meal times.
221	'[The dog] gets a little square of toast in the morning, when I'm having mine she sits
222	beside me waiting for her bit to be given to her, that is her one regular treat that she
223	gets'.
224	'She's [the cat] is there looking at you, and you feel so sorry for her'.
225	'I always buy the chocolate drops especially for dogs because when you are watching
226	TV on a Saturday, and you've got your chocolate out, the dog is begging for theirs,
227	have to have the treats for the dogs as well'.
228	Hand-feeding was also used where it is reported that the pet was underweight:
229	'One of my dogs loves to be hand fed, she is a very fussy eater, she's very finicky. If
230	she has not eaten for two days, I just have to hand feed her'.
231	These results also point to a relationship between food and affection for the pet, and how this
232	affection influences control over the feeding regime

233	Use	of	treats -	inf	luen	cing	5	pet	beh	av	io'	ur

Treats were used as a way of influencing the pet's behaviour, such as tempting the pet into the owner's house or encouraging greater food intake. The use of treats may be in response to a low perceived control over pet behaviour and pet feeding.

'[The cat] won't come in [into the house], so the only way I can get him in is by tuna, I only give him a little bit, maybe a tablespoonful, he gets that quite often, tinned tuna, normal that I would eat... I need to get him in cause he goes out the front [of the house] and his days will be numbered'.

'To get her [the cat] to be tempted to want to eat her dinner, you have to add a desert spoonful of the wet food as well'.

Similarly, treats were also used to reward particular types of pet behaviour, behaviour that is perceived as appropriate by the owner:

'When I'm leaving [the dog] behind, its standard practice, she has to get a dog biscuit to mind the house. She hasn't turned the house up yet, so it must be working'.

'I wanted to have something [in the diet] just for the sake of having something different. [The cat] is on heart tablets at the moment, so he gets the tuna. And he gets that with tablets, as a little treat. A reward for taking his tablets'.

Beliefs and self-reported factors influencing pet exercise

Exercising one's pet – Beliefs about pet specific exercise needs

There were clear differences between owners' beliefs towards the exercise needs of cats, and those of dogs. The general consensus among pet owners was that cats tend to exercise themselves and exercise can be facilitated through play. Cats were generally regarded as sedentary animals with occasional bursts of skittish activity of running and playing, and this was considered by most as sufficient. A minority of cat owners did play with their cats, or reference was made to other individuals in the household playing with the cat. Exercise was

258	regarded mainly for owner enjoyment and to facilitate social interaction with the cat, rather					
259	than as a means to facilitating exercise.					
260	'Cats get their own exercise because they chase one another. Tearing up and down					
261	the stairs, in the bathroom, and wine glasses being broken they get their ow					
262	exercise themselves'.					
263	'We take mice out and balls in the kitchen, so the cat does play with things himself in					
264	his own time, and he'll run up and down the garden, other times he'll just walk. I don't					
265	really have a routine of exercise; it's up to him [the cat]'.					
266	A greater number of dog owners agreed that they needed to be actively involved in the					
267	exercise of their dogs. The majority of dog owners reported exercising their dog at least once					
268	a day, most commonly by walking or swimming. For some, reference to specific dog breeds					
269	reflects owners' beliefs of what the dog requires to stay healthy, with emphasis placed on the					
270	importance of space.					
271	'[The dog] tends to be a little bit chubby, but I think the [dog type] do tend to be					
272	chubby. I'm just trying to keep it down. She gets a lot of exercise, plenty of swimming					
273	and running on the beach every day'.					
274						
275	However, as with cats, other participants reported that active play is sufficient exercise,					
276	particularly when the dog has access to space and play activities.					
277	'No, I don't need to [exercise the dog], the [type of dog] plays football, she exercises					
278	herself, they just run around, any of them will go hunting, after birds rats, anything,					
279	rabbits whatever, and they'll run around the field'.					
280	In general, exercise regimes of dogs were influenced by owners' beliefs of what their pet					
281	requires for development, wellbeing and health. Similarly, pets with particular health needs,					
282	and/or older pets were often excused from engaging in exercise.					

'The dogs at home are not lazy, the only one who is a bitch of 14 years and she's deaf

284	so I don't mind her sleeping a lot, but the others are very active'.					
285	For others, exercising the dog was perceived as necessary in controlling the dog's energy and					
286	perceived contentment levels.					
287	'[The dog] has to have [exercise] himself, he'd go mental otherwise'.					
288	'[The dog is] a bundle of energy like all dogs once they're walked, they're much					
289	calmer for the rest of the day'.					
290	Allowing the dog to roam without being attached to a lead, and allowing dogs to play with					
291	other dogs, was regarded as being more sufficient in exercising high energy dogs. Dog owner					
292	comments reflect what was regarded as important for exercise. Overall, participant comment					
293	reflected positive attitudes to pet exercise.					
294	Exercising one's pet – negative implications of pet exercising for the pet owner					
295	Though only reported by a minority of participants, exercise routines were undermined by					
296	dog owner experiences of stress. This was associated with fears over aggressive					
297	confrontations between dogs and dealing with behaviours that were difficult to manage (such					
298	as pulling on the lead).					
299	'I find that stressful! Another dog coming or whatever, I just think - Oh this is					
300	murder! I wouldn't enjoy myself as well'					
301	'The dog is strong on the lead and she has never really copped on to that, we never					
302	properly trained her so she does pull a bit, especially if she sees other dogs so it's not					
303	the most comfortable thing, and she doesn't get that much exercise from a walk'.					
304	'It's stressful because the little terrier, ever since she was a pup, bites my legs, she					
305	gets really excited and she only does it to me . When she gets outside the door, she					
306	goes into play stance and then bites, and even the Rottweiler, he's kind of looking at					
307	her and hitting her, tying to protect me from being bitten I suppose. Dragging me,					
308	well she is so small she doesn't drag but she will try to pull me down the road'.					

309 Only one cat owner referred to a desire to exercise her cat; however, she explained: 'I'm 310 afraid what people would think'.

Discussion

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

Overview

This study used qualitative research methods to explore the self-reported beliefs and behaviours of pet owners around feeding and exercising their pets (cats and dogs). The authors have found no other study in Ireland that has looked at feeding and exercise behaviour among pet owners. Overall, the results show that attitudinal beliefs and factors associated with the level of control over the feeding regime, control relating to pet behaviour, and the perceived ease of feeding and exercise, are useful in explaining pet owners' feeding and exercise behaviour. Similar to the findings of Rohlf et al. (2010), subjective norms (i.e. social pressures and the level of motivation to follow these) are not as apparent in pet owner explanations on feeding and exercising of their pets. Only one pet owner referred to the social consequences of what other people would think if she actively exercised her cat.

The feeding of one's pet draws on specific beliefs about pet-specific behaviours, what is needed for pet health and wellbeing, and how pet owners perceive tangible health signs. Similarly, exercise behaviour draws on beliefs about what the specific pet (i.e. cat or dog) requires. Cats were regarded as being self-sufficient, whereas exercise regimes for dogs were influenced by owners' beliefs of what their pet dog requires for development, wellbeing and health. Overall, participant comments reflect positive attitudes to pet exercise and only a minority of pet owners referred to difficulties experienced while exercising their dog. Interestingly, barriers such as cost of pet food and the negative implications of exercising one's pet are only reported by a minority of pet owners. The reported ease of maintaining a normal weight in pets is made difficult by a lack of control over the feeding behaviour of other individuals who have access to the pet. A perceived lack of control is evident in participants' statements on how pets (specifically, cats) steal food, or have a particular taste for some food items, without much reference to the owners control over what the pet is allowed eat. In the absence of a lack of control over pet behaviour, treats are used to influence and reinforce certain behaviours. The use of treats also reflects a failure to say 'no' to pets begging for food. Given the predominance of these two areas in explaining pet owner

342

343

344

345

346

348

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

339 feeding and exercise behaviour, the following discusses the implications for veterinary advice 340 and weight control.

Implications for veterinary advice and weight control initiatives

Veterinarians are in a unique position to communicate with animal owners about animal health (Wensley, 2008). In order to address obesity, it is important for veterinarians to understand why pet owners behave the way they do when feeding and exercising their pets. This understanding will assist veterinarians in tailoring communication strategies and initiatives around weight control (White et al., 2011). Results show that beliefs about pet specific characteristics, pet needs, and the resulting perceptions of pet health and welfare are important in explaining why particular diets are used. Factors such as palatability and diet performance affect owners' perception of food (Sanderson et al., 2005). In this study, pet health outcomes are important in reinforcing positive beliefs about certain feeding regimes and food types. For example, healthy skin and hair condition are tangible outcomes that justify choosing particular diets for owners. Understanding owner beliefs is important for weight control initiatives and in order for owners to respond appropriately they need to believe and acknowledge that the pet is overweight (Rohlf et al., 2010). This involves recognition of the obesity problem, followed by preparing for change in feeding and exercise behaviour and subsequently, implementation and maintenance of a disciplined programme of weight reduction (Gibbs, 2008). Owner education and motivation is also crucial (Courcier et al., 2010).

The results show differences in beliefs towards the exercise needs of specific pets, with dogs receiving some exercise and play being deemed sufficient for cats. While owners do not necessarily see the need to exercise their cat, time spent playing with a cat has been shown to be an effective method of assisting weight control (Kienzle & Bergler, 2006). This is a feature of pet cat ownership that can be further developed by veterinarians as part of a weight management program; by explaining the benefits of playing with a pet cat, both for the pet and the owner.

The giving of treats by other persons in the household reflects an expression of affection (Gibbs, 2008). In this study, treats are used to reward and influence pet behaviour. Giving human foods to pets during meal times and while food is being prepared is noted in the

results, and is presented by owners as being part of the routine of the owner-pet relationship. Owners themselves may receive positive rewards from giving human food to their pet and so the treat-giving is reinforced (Rohlf et al., 2010). This behaviour increases the risk of pet obesity (Kienzle, Bergler & Mandernach, 1998; Robertson, 2003; German, 2006). Owners who use play, rather than food, as a treat are more likely to have normal weight pets (Kienzle & Bergler, 2006) and this message needs to be communicated by veterinarians in weight management initiatives.

A lack of perceived control over the pet's feeding behaviour (such as stealing food) and the pet having specific food tastes was apparent in this study. Counselling can be beneficial to help owners build a greater sense of self-efficacy in managing their pet's diet and behaviour. Given the problem of multiple feeders in a household, veterinary practices need to take into account that in a multi-person household, with an overweight pet, more than one person may be responsible for over-feeding the pet. These situations require weight counselling to include all members of the household and not just the visiting pet owner. Encouraging a feeding regime that requires only one person to be in control of all the food that the pet receives could be beneficial. Given that owners often fail to complete a weight loss programme (Yaissle, Holloway & Buffington, 2004), lifestyle management, strategies to assist animal owners and continual monitoring of progress are important to maintain owner enthusiasm and cooperation (Sloth, 1992; German, 2006).

It is important to recognise owners' level of perceived control over exercising and the reality of access to open space. In this study, dog owners reported the benefits of allowing their dog to exercise off the lead. However, encountering aggressive or large dogs while out walking is a barrier for exercising a dog, as was negative interactions with people with children (Cutt, Giles-Corti & Knuiman, 2008). In Ireland, legislation is in place that states that dogs must be kept on a lead at all times in a public place (Irish Statute Book, 1998). Encouraging greater pet exercise requires the need for dog owners to have special areas where dogs can be safely allowed to exercise and interact with other dogs without a lead. Recognising a dual obesity epidemic (among owners and their pets), Kushner et al., (2006) highlight the effectiveness of a combined *People and Pets Exercising Together* (PPET) programme on both owner and pet weight reduction. There is potential for veterinary services to link with human medical services (including nutritional care services) to adopt a combined approach to pet and owner

obesity reduction. Further, there is also potential for veterinary services to advice professionals involved in human health care provision on involving pets in owner weight reduction programmes (Brown & Rhodes, 2006; Kushner et al., 2006).

Limitations in the study design and recommendations for future research

Focus groups were deemed the appropriate method for data collection. This type of group discussion enabled pet owners to share and compare experiences and opinions (Kitzinger, 1995; Gibbs, 1997). There were some limitations to the study. The sample was not stratified by gender, and this led to an over representation of female owners. The sample was not stratified by socio-economic group, though different geographical locations, urban and rural, were chosen to minimise this bias. Recruitment was through private veterinary practices, and therefore, it is probable that participants were more engaged in their pet's health.

Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) has been applied to understanding pet owner intentions and their behaviour towards their pets (Rohlf et al., 2010; Toukhsati et al., 2012). According to TPB, behavioural change requires changes in attitude and the use of behaviour-change techniques. TPB was not applied to this study; however, the research findings are consistent with the TPB in that behavioural beliefs and control beliefs do appear to underlie some of the participants self-reported behaviour.

At the time of research, there was no prevalence rate for pet obesity in Ireland. In the absence of this, the authors recommend that an indicator of prevalence be conducted, so as determine the extent of the problem. Results from this study would add an insightful dimension to a measurement of the prevalence of obesity.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the invaluable input from all pet owners who participated in the study and and Barna Veterinary Clinic, Blessington Pet Hospital, Primrose Hill Veterinary Hospital, Raheny Veterinary Hospital, Sandymount Pet Hospital and The Animal Health Centre for participating in the study. Support from the School for Veterinary Medicine, University College Dublin is also acknowledged. The authors would also like to thank Margret Nolan and Net Doyle for transcribing the focus group recordings.

428	References
429	Bland IM, Guthrie-Jones A, Taylor RD, Hill J. 2010. Dog obesity: Veterinary practices' and
430	owners' opinions on cause and management. Prev Vet Med 94:310-315.
431	Brown SG, Rhodes RE. 2006. Relationships among dog ownership and leisure-time walking
432	in Western Canadian adults. American Journal of Preventive Medicine 30:131-136.
433	Colliard L, Ancel J, Benet JJ, Paragon BM, Blanchard G. 2006. Risk factors for obesity in
434	dogs in France. J Nutr 136:1951S-1954S.
435	Colliard L, Paragon B-M, Lemuet B, Bénet J-J, Blanchard G. 2009. Prevalence and risk
436	factors of obesity in an urban population of healthy cats. Journal of Feline Medicine
437	& Surgery 11:135–140.
438	Courcier EA, Thomson RM, Mellor DJ, Yam PS. 2010. An epidemiological study of
439	environmental factors associated with canine obesity. J Small Anim Pract 51:362-
440	367.
441	Cutt H, Giles-Corti B, Knuiman M. 2008. Encouraging physical activity through dog
442	walking: Why don't some owners walk with their dog? Prev Med 46:120-126.
443	Edney AT, Smith PM. 1986. Study of obesity in dogs visiting veterinary practices in the
444	United Kingdom. Veterinary Record 118:391–396.
445	German AJ. 2006. The growing problem of obesity in dogs and cats. The Journal of Nutrition
446	136:1940S–1946S.
447	German AJ, Morgan LE. 2008. How often do veterinarians assess the bodyweight and body
448	condition of dogs? The Veterinary record 163:503-505.
449	German AJ, Ryan VH, German AC, Wood IS, Trayhurn P. 2010. Obesity, its associated
450	disorders and the role of inflammatory adipokines in companion animals. Veterinary
451	journal (London, England: 1997) 185:4–9.
452	Gibbs A. 1997. Focus Groups. Social Research Update:1-4.
453	Gibbs O. 2008. The growing problem of canine obesity: approaching the owner. Irish

- 454 *Veterinary Journal* 61:334 – 336.
- Irish Statute Book. 1998. Control of Dogs Regulations S.I. No. 442/1998. 455
- Kienzle E, Bergler R. 2006. Human-animal relationship of owners of normal and overweight 456 457 cats. The Journal of Nutrition 136:1947S-1950S.

458	Kienzle E, Bergler R, Mandernach A. 1998. A comparison of the feeding behavior and the
459	human-animal relationship in owners of normal and obese dogs. The Journal of
460	Nutrition 128:2779S–2782S.
461	Kitzinger J. 1995. Qualitative research. Introducing focus groups. British Medical Journal
462	311:299–302.
463	Klinkenberg H, Sallander MH, Hedhammar \AAke. 2006. Feeding, exercise, and weight
464	identified as risk factors in canine diabetes mellitus. The Journal of Nutrition
465	136:1985S–1987S.
466	Kushner RF, Blatner DJ, Jewell DE, Rudloff K. 2006. The PPET Study: people and pets
467	exercising together. Obesity (Silver Spring, Md.) 14:1762-1770.
468	Laflamme DP. 2012. Companion Animals Symposium: Obesity in dogs and cats: What is
469	wrong with being fat? Journal of Animal Science 90:1653-1662.
470	Lund EM, Armstrong PJ, Kirk CA, Klausner JS. 2005. Prevalence and risk factors for obesity
471	in adult cats from private US veterinary practices. International Journal of Applied
472	Research in Veterinary Medicine 3:88–96.
473	Lund EM, Armstrong PJ, Kirk CA, Klausner JS. 2006. Prevalence and risk factors for obesity
474	in adult dogs from private US veterinary practices. International Journal of Applied
475	Research in Veterinary Medicine 4:177.
476	McGreevy PD, Thomson PC, Pride C, Fawcett A, Grassi T, Jones B. 2005. Prevalence of
477	obesity in dogs examined by Australian veterinary practices and the risk factors
478	involved. Veterinary Record 156:695-702.
479	Robertson ID. 2003. The association of exercise, diet and other factors with owner-perceived
480	obesity in privately owned dogs from metropolitan Perth, WA. Prev Vet Med 58:75-
481	83.
482	Rohlf VI, Toukhsati S, Coleman GJ, Bennett PC. 2010. Dog Obesity: Can Dog Caregivers'
483	(Owners') Feeding and Exercise Intentions and Behaviors Be Predicted From
484	Attitudes? Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science 13:213-236.
485	Roudebush P, Schoenherr WD, Delaney SJ. 2008. An evidence-based review of the use of
486	therapeutic foods, owner education, exercise, and drugs for the management of obese
487	and overweight pets. J Am Vet Med Assoc 233:717–725.
488	Sanderson SL, Finco DR, Pogrelis AD, Stacy LM, Unger CF. 2005. Owner impressions of
189	three premium diets fed to healthy adult dogs. I Am Vet Med Assoc 227:1931–1936

490	Sloth C. 1992. Practical management of obesity in dogs and cats. <i>Journal of Small Animal</i>
491	Practice 33:178–182.
492	Toukhsati SR, Phillips CJ, Podberscek AL, Coleman GJ. 2012. Semi-Ownership and
493	Sterilisation of Cats and Dogs in Thailand. Animals 2:611-627.
494	Wensley SP. 2008. Animal welfare and the human-animal bond: considerations for veterinary
495	faculty, students, and practitioners. Journal of Veterinary Medical Education 35:532-
496	539.
497	White GA, Hobson-West P, Cobb K, Craigon J, Hammond R, Millar KM. 2011. Canine
498	obesity: is there a difference between veterinarian and owner perception? The Journal
499	of small animal practice 52:622–626.
500	Yaissle JE, Holloway C, Buffington CAT. 2004. Evaluation of owner education as a
501	component of obesity treatment programs for dogs. Journal of the American
502	Veterinary Medical Association 224:1932–1935.
503	Zoran DL. 2010. Obesity in dogs and cats: a metabolic and endocrine disorder. Veterinary
504	Clinics of North America. Small Animal Practice 40:221.
505	