A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 1 December 2016.

[View the peer-reviewed version](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2661) (peerj.com/articles/2661), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Neches RY, Flynn KJ, Zaman L, Tung E, Pudlo N. 2016. On the intrinsic sterility of 3D printing. PeerJ 4:e2661 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2661>

On the intrinsic sterility of 3D printing

3D printers that build objects using extruded thermoplastic are quickly becoming commonplace tools in laboratories. We demonstrate that with appropriate handling, these devices are capable of producing sterile components from a non-sterile feedstock of thermoplastic without any treatment after fabrication. The fabrication process itself results in sterilization of the material. The resulting 3D printed components are suitable for a wide variety of applications, including experiments with bacteria and cell culture. Peer J PrePrints | http://dx.doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints with bacteria and cell culture.

Peer J PrePrints | http://dx.doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.542v1 | CC-BY 4.0 Open Access | rec: 15 Oct 2014, publ: 15 Oct

On the intrinsic sterility of 3D printing

Russell Y. Neches¹, Kaitlin J. Flynn², Luis Zaman^{3,4}, Emily Tung⁵, and **Nicholas Pudlo**²

U.C. Davis Genome Center University of Michigan Michigan State University University of Washington ⁵**Pivot Bio**

ABSTRACT

3D printers that build objects using extruded thermoplastic are quickly becoming commonplace tools in laboratories. We demonstrate that with appropriate handling, these devices are capable of producing sterile components from a non-sterile feedstock of thermoplastic without any treatment after fabrication. That is to say, the fabrication process itself results in sterilization of the material. The resulting 3D printed components are suitable for a wide variety of applications, including experiments with bacteria and cell culture.

Keywords: 3D printing, cell culture, microbiology, sterile technique, methods, PLA, polylactic acid

1 INTRODUCTION

Mass-produced, disposable products are ubiquitous in research laboratories. Indeed, roughly three billion microcentrifuge tubes are manufactured each year. [\[11\]](#page-11-0) The ubiquity of these products has helped to standardize molecular methods by reducing variability from experiment to experiment and from laboratory to laboratory. However, the proliferation of these products has come at the cost of in-house expertise in device fabrication. Without these skills, researchers are increasingly dependent on vendors to anticipate and provide for their needs. If an experiment calls for a component that is unusual or unique, researchers are forced to improvise or to redesign the experiment using more readily available components. These restrictions are not necessarily detrimental because standardized materials are crucial for reproducibility. Nevertheless, there are experiments in which the need for a custom component cannot be avoided.

Many researchers have turned to 3D printing, a process by which three-dimensional objects are built up additively, to fill these needs. In some respects, the technology is more limited than traditional fabrication techniques used for laboratory equipment, such as metalworking or glassblowing; it is mostly limited to materials that can be melted and extruded at relatively low temperatures (150C-300C), such as thermoplastics. At the time of this writing, there are few inexpensive machines capable of combining more than one material. In other respects, 3D printing is more powerful than traditional fabrication techniques; additive manufacturing permits the creation of geometries that are impossible by other means, such as captured free moving parts. However, the principal advantage of additive manufacturing is the ability to move directly from a digital design to a finished part. It is not necessary to have a wide variety of specialized shop tools or the personnel and skills needed to operate and maintain them. **Persons We denote that the internet burden (measured have the specific and the measured have the experiment of the measured have the specific and the measured for the measured for the measured for the measured for the me**

One of the most important properties of basic labware in the biological sciences is sterility, and one of the most frequent questions laboratory biologists ask when they first learn of 3D printing is, "Can I autoclave these things?" Unfortunately, most thermoplastics that are widely used in biomedical applications, particularly polylactic acid (PLA) and polyglycolic acid (PGA), will not survive a standard autoclave cycle [\[26\]](#page-12-0). Sterilization with γ-radiation is effective, but causes drastic changes to the biochemical properties of the material.^{[1](#page-1-0)} [\[10\]](#page-11-1) Here we detail our work demonstrating that the 3D printing process itself appears to be sufficient for ensuring sterility.

We note that the fused deposition modeling (FDM) 3D printing process, in which a thermoplastic filament is heated to melting and forced through a narrow tube under high pressure, resembles a sort of

¹For a detailed review of these studies, we recommend the review by Athanasiou and Niederauer. [\[1\]](#page-11-2)

Figure 1. Temperatures and durations for various methods of sterilization compared to fused deposition modeling (FDM) 3D printing. The extrusion process most closely resembles pasteurization, in which non-sterile liquid is forced through a narrow, heated tube. High-temperature, short-time (HTST) pasteurization used for milk, fruit juices and other beverages and ingredients. Ultra-high temperature (UTH) processing is used to produce products such as shelf-stable milk that do not require refrigeration. Stove-top pasteurization (30 minutes at 63◦C) is indicated as "stovetop" pasteurization. Thermization, a process used to extend the shelf life of raw milk that cannot be immediately used, such as at cheese making facilities. Typical autoclave cycles using prevacuum, and gravity displacement are indicated as "prevacuum" and "gravity," respectively. A typical "flash" sterilization cycle for a gravity displacement sterilizer is also indicated. Pasteurization processes are indicated in black, autoclave processes in red, and thermization in orange.

extreme pasteurization. Figure [1](#page-2-0) compares the FDM 3D printing to several sterilization processes (note that thermal contact time is in log scale). The 3D printing process holds the material at a higher temperature for longer duration than both Ultra-High Temperature (UTH) pasteurization, which is used to produce shelf-stable milk (138◦C for two seconds) and high-temperature, short-time (HTST) pasteurization used for dairy, juice and other beverages and liquid ingredients (71.5◦C to 74◦C for 15 to 30 seconds). The only legal pasteurization method that exceeds the thermal contact time typical of FDM 3D printing is mentioned in Title 21, Sec. 1240.61 of the Code of Federal Regulations, which permits milk to be treated at 63◦C for 30 minutes. This is a convenient sanitation regime for milk in non-commercial settings (indicated in Figure [1](#page-2-0) as "stovetop" pasteurization). 3D printing is also both hotter and longer duration than thermization, a process used to extend the shelf life of raw milk that cannot be immediately used, such as at cheese making facilities. **Prepare 1.0.** The state and the state of the state of state and the state of the state of

For most materials and toolpaths, FDM 3D printing is also hotter than typical autoclave cycles for both gravity displacement steam sterilization and prevacuum steam sterilization. "Flash" steam sterilization using a gravity displacement sterilizer must reach 132◦C for 3 minutes. The Centers for Disease Control guidelines for gravity displacement steam sterilization require that the cycle reaches 121◦C for 30 minutes, or 4 minutes at 132◦C using prevacuum steam sterilization. 3D printing thermoplastics using FDM typically requires temperatures between 190◦C and 240◦C, depending on the material and the print parameters. Because the fabrication process calls for different extrusion rates over the course of a print, the thermoplastic generally dwells in the melt region of the nozzle for between ten seconds and several minutes.

Here we report our findings for a battery of culturing experiments conducted with 3D printed parts manufactured with consumer 3D printers. Several variations of sterile technique were tested; we printed parts onto surfaces treated with ethanol, onto flame-treated aluminum foil, and under UV light. Finally, we printed onto non-sterile carpenter's tape, and then handled the parts with flamed forceps. To our surprise, all of these methods seem to be at least somewhat effective at producing sterile parts. We found that the resulting parts appear to be sterile under a wide variety of culture conditions known to enrich for a broad spectrum of microorganisms.

This work was carried out in three laboratories across the United States, with experiments coordinated

Figure 2. Growth after 96 hours at 37C in a shaking incubator. The leftmost beaker contains LB media inoculated with PLA plastic extruded from the printer nozzle at 220C. The center beaker contains LB media inoculated with a segment of unextruded PLA plastic filament from the same spool. The rightmost beaker contains uninoculated LB.

and results shared openly using Twitter. Much of this correspondance is directly referenced by this manuscript so that readers may follow how the research actually unfolded. The two 3D printers used are installed at the UC Davis Genome Center and the BEACON Center at Michigan State University, and most of the culturing work was done at the University of Michigan Medical School. After the initial experiments at UC Davis (Figure [2\)](#page-3-0), researchers at Michigan State University independently developed variations on those techniques. When the initial results were reproduced, a battery of test parts were prepared using several variations on the technique and mailed to the University of Michigan for culturing. The work was conducted in this way in order to reduce the "in our hands" effect, so that we could be reasonably confident that others could successfully achieve the same results. Person (and resulted and the UC-Davis are Theorem. Center and the Bith correspondance is inferrely reconsibled. The two 3D printers were resulted at the UC-Davis Genera in the BitACON Center and MichaEON Start and MichaE

2 RESULTS

In all of experiments described, the material used for 3D printing was non-sterile polylactide, or polylactic-acid (PLA) filament sourced from suppliers that primarily serve the hobbyist market. This material was selected for a number of reasons. PLA is very easy to work with in 3D printing, with good layerto-layer adhesion and very little shrinking or warping. It is also biodegradable, which is attractive for environmental reasons. PLA and related polymers are also known to be non-toxic, bio-compatible, and are widely used in medical applications, notably soluble medical sutures.

2.1 Enrichment experiments

To assess the potential for contamination after printing, 10mm diameter hollow cylinders (Figure [5\)](#page-6-0) were printed under a variety of conditions and incubated in several types of liquid media at different temperatures and under aerobic, microaerophilic and anaerobic conditions. Initially, cylinders from UC Davis and MSU were grown in lysogeny broth (LB) broth for 96 hours. No growth was observed in the experimental tubes or in the negative control, but high turbidity was observed in the positive control. Throughout this study, positive controls were prepared by dropping cylinders onto the laboratory floor followed by retrieval using ungloved hands from underneath the refrigerator or a similarly inconvenient location.

After these initial experiments indicated no growth on the 3D printed parts, several more cylinders were printed. At UC Davis, test parts were printed onto flame-treated aluminum foil and transferred into conical tubes using flamed forceps. One group of cylinders was printed while the printer was situated on an open lab bench, a second group was printed in a laminar flow hood, and a third group was printed in a laminar flow hood under a UV lamp. In the process, an ample supply of positive controls were created inadvertently. At Michigan State, test parts were printed onto an ethanol-treated build platform.

Further growth assays were conducted with each group of cylinders in LB, nutrient-rich ACESbuffered yeast extract (AYE) [\[5\]](#page-11-3) and Terrific Broth in aerobic conditions at 37C and 30C, revealing no growth from UV treated parts up to seven days post-inoculation (Table 1). Growth was observed with one non-UV treated part at 96 hours, which was determined to be contaminated with flora typical of human skin via selective plating and light microscopy. This was likely due to a handling mistake after printing. See section [3.7](#page-8-0) for methods of identification.

Figure 3. Macrophages derived from mouse bone-marrow after incubation with 3D printed parts that had been treated with UV (a), without UV treatment (b), and treated with UV after handling and before incubation (c) and a control set of cells grown without 3D parts (d). Photos representative of three replicates in two independent experiments. Cell size, morphology and confluency were determined to be consistent across all experimental groups.

To test for the presence of anaerobic organisms, parts printed with and without UV were incubated in anaerobic conditions at 37C using two growth mediums, AYE and a custom chopped meat broth (CM Broth). [\[12\]](#page-11-4) After seven days, no growth was observed in any tube except the positive control. After 14 days, a tube containing a sample that had been printed without UV became turbid. The positive control and cells incubated from the non-UV treated part were analyzed via 16S rRNA sequencing and found to contain bacteria associated with human skin (Data Supplement 1).

The germinants sodium taurocholate and glycine, known to germinate *Clostridium difficile* and some *Bacillus* spores, respectively, [\[32,](#page-12-2) [28\]](#page-12-3) were added to Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) medium and incubated anaerobically with 3D printed parts for 28 days at 37C. Microscopy and plating revealed no germination of these types of spores at weekly examinations.

2.2 Cell culture experiments

Sterile cell culture is a requirement for a variety of biological research applications. The biocompatibility of PLA and PLA-copolymers has been studied *in vitro* since at least 1975 [\[27\]](#page-12-4) and *in vivo* since at least 1966. [\[15\]](#page-11-5) These materials have been used for sutures and surgical implants in humans since at least 1974. [\[13\]](#page-11-6) More recently, there has been a shift towards using 3D printed scaffolds in combination with cell culture for tissue engineering. [\[3\]](#page-11-7) If the 3D printing process is sufficient to create sterile scaffolds, researchers could create useful scaffolds without damaging them with heat, steam, radiation or chemical sterilization programs.

We performed a simple assay to ascertain if 3D printed parts are sterile under cell culture conditions. 3D printed parts that had been printed either with or without UV treatment were cultured with bone marrow-derived mouse macrophages for six days. Contamination was assessed by plating on LB and charcoal yeast extract thymidine (CYET) agar plates and examination under light microscopy. No evidence of contamination was found either in cells alone (Figure [3d\)](#page-4-0) or cells cultured with parts either printed with (Figure [3a\)](#page-4-0) or without UV (Figure [3b\)](#page-4-0) as judged by growth on agar plates and microscopy. Cell morphology and growth rate appeared to resemble the control cells grown in the absence of a 3D printed part and no visible contaminants were observed. Additionally, cells grown in the presence of 3D printed parts were competent for infection by *Legionella pneumophila* (data not shown). Cells appeared to grow normally immediately adjacent to the part, though the opacity of the 3D printed part prevented inspection for growth directly on the printed surface. Thus, 3D printed parts do not appear to contaminate or affect the growth of bone marrow-derived macrophages under these conditions. To the present of the second material or since the second weak of the second the present the present of the second data secon

2.3 Motility assay

To demonstrate the utility of directly 3D printing sterile labware, we designed a simple four-well plate that could be used to assay bacterial motility. [\[17\]](#page-12-5) Each well is 70mm long and 10.3mm wide, and holds approximately 2.5mL of liquid media. The four-well plates were removed from the build platform by gloved hand, and were kept sterile in an empty 100mm Petri dish. We filled each well with 2mL of 0.2% w/v LB agar and allowed them to solidify for approximately 20 minutes. Then, we spotted 2μ l of a bacterial culture that had incubated for twelve hours into three lanes, and left the fourth as a control for contamination. In this experiment, we used three bacterial strains, JW1183, BW25113, and REL606. The first two are from the Keio Collection of single-gene knockouts, and REL606 is an *E. coli* B strain

Figure 4. A custom device for a motility assay fabricated using 3D printing. The device was found to be sterile without autoclaveing if contamination during post-fabrication handling is avoided.

that was used to initiate the *E. coli* Long-term Experimental Evolution Project [\[16\]](#page-12-6); JW1183 is a ycgR deletion, and BW25113 is the ancestral strain of the Keio Collection. [\[2\]](#page-11-8) The choice of the ycgR knockout was suggested by Chris Watters as a potential bacterial "superswimmer." [\[31\]](#page-12-7) Indeed, using this 3D printed plate, we were able to identify a strong swimming phenotype of the ycgR mutant (Figure [4d\)](#page-5-0). Contamination was not observed in the control wells from several plates printed on painter's tape, abraded foil, or abraded and flamed foil that was used to wrap the part after printing and stored overnight before use. These results demonstrate that direct 3D printing of sterile parts is a viable and useful approach for applications that may require a non-standard part.

Figure 5. A very simple model of a cylinder was created in OpenSCAD and exported in STL format. (a) The G-code toolpath visualization of test part in Cura. The slicing engine was set to a 0.4mm wall width (equal to the diameter of the nozzle), cooling fans inactive, no infill, a top and bottom layer height of zero, and a spiralized "Joris Mode" outer wall. (b) Test parts were then 3D printed on abraided and flamed aluminum foil at 220C with a feed rate of 50 mm/sec.

3 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Preliminary experiment

A sterile glass beaker containing roughly 20mL of LB media was placed under the nozzle of a fused deposition modeling (FDM) 3D printer. The nozzle was heated to 220C, and the extruder drive motor was driven forward until about 20mm of polylactide (PLA) filament had been melted and expelled through the nozzle and into the beaker. A tangle of molten and cooled PLA detached from the nozzle and fell into the beaker. The mouth of the beaker was then covered with sterile aluminum foil. An unopened sterile beaker of LB was prepared as the negative control. A positive control was prepared with a length of un-melted PLA filament from the spool. The three beakers were placed into a shaking incubator at 37C for 96 hours. The experiment, the progress and the reuslt were announced in real-time on Twitter to generate feedback and suggestions from the community, which sparked collaboration described in this paper [\[19,](#page-12-8) [22,](#page-12-9) [23,](#page-12-10) [20,](#page-12-11) [21\]](#page-12-12). No growth was observed in the negative control or the beaker inoculated with extruded material, and robust growth was observed in the positive control. Experimental setup and results were posted on Twitter as they occurred. **3.42 PREPRIE (STEARTS AND MORE)** The median of the state of the state of the state of the state behavior containing to public 20.287/period to the state of the state of

3.2 3D printing

The preliminary experiment seemed to indicate a potentially useful killing effect from the nozzle's heat and pressure, and so a slightly more realistic assay was conducted. A simple model was created using the OpenSCAD [\[14\]](#page-11-13) modeling language consisting of a cylinder of radius 4mm and height 10mm.

cylinder($r=4$, h=10);

The model was exported in Standard Tessellation Language (STL) format [\[4\]](#page-11-14). The manifold was then converted into G-code commands [\[30\]](#page-12-20) using Cura (version 13.12-test on Linux), using a wall width of 0.4mm (equal to the nozzle diameter), cooling fans inactive, no infill, a top and bottom layer height of zero, and a spiralized outer wall ("Joris mode," after Joris van Tubergen) to produce a small, open tube. The G-code was stored on a SD card and printed on an Ultimaker kit-based FDM 3D printer. A small patch of aluminum foil was lightly abraded with fine-grit sandpaper to improve surface adhesion properties, and flamed over a Bunsen burner until signs of melting appeared. The foil patch was then affixed to the build platform, so that the build area indicated in the G-code toolpath would be entirely within the untouched center of the patch. The G-code toolpath was also examined to insure that the nozzle would contact no surface except the build area on the foil. Printing was then initiated with a feed rate of 50 mm/sec at 220C. Once printing was complete, finished parts were immediately removed from the build area using flamed forceps and transferred to culture tubes or conical tubes for storage and shipping.

3.3 Independent reproduction of growth experiment on printed component

The experiment described in section [3.2](#page-6-1) was replicated at Michigan State University on a kit-built Ultimaker 3D printer modified with an E3D all-metal hot-end with a 0.4mm nozzle. A cylinder was designed using OpenSCAD with a radius of 4mm and a height of 12mm. The model was exported in STL format and sliced with Cura SteamEngine 13.12. The cylinder was printed with a wall thickness of 0.4mm, a feed-rate of 10mm/second (the effective speed with the minumum layer cooling time set

to 5 seconds), and a nozzle temperature of 225C. The print surface was prepared with 3M Scotch Blue painters tape, and was lightly wiped with ethanol before printing began.

Two printed cylinders were transfered to sterile glass tubes filled with 4mL of LB media with flamed tweezers. A fragment of unused filament was used as a positive control, and an uninoculated tube was used as a negative control. Tubes were transfered to a shaking incubator set at 30C. No growth was observed after 24 hours in any of the tubes with printed parts, while the unused filament contaminated the media. After two days, another cylinder was printed and incubated in LB broth. Again, after 24 hours no growth was observed. None of the tubes with printed parts showed signs of growth after 96 hours.

Figure 6. After 48 hours, only the positive control (left) was contaminated. Printed cylinders in LB did not appear to contaimate media.

3.4 Terrific Broth Experiments

Printed cylinders from UCD and MSU were dropped into glass culture tubes with 3mL of AYE or TB broth in independent experiments and transferred to a roller in a 37C warm room. After 96 hours, one of the "no UV" tubes in AYE broth became turbid with a mixed population of bacterial growth as examined by microscopy and plating on CYET agar (Figure [9\)](#page-9-0). Repeated experiments did not yield growth for these parts, and so the contaminaiton was likely due to a handling error. No growth was observed for any parts grown in TB.

Figure 7. 3D printed parts from UC Davis with and without UV treatement were suspended in sterile Terrific Broth supplemented with potassium salts. After 24h at 37C, no growth was observed for parts treated with UV. On the right, tubes from the above experiment at 48h. 96 hours after innoculation, no biotic growth was observed.

3.5 Meat Broth Experiments

Test parts were incubated for two weeks under anaerobic conditions at 37C in chopped meat broth (CM Broth) [\[12\]](#page-11-4) A non-UV treated test part fabricated at UC Davis exhibited evidence of growth. The contaminated media was plated on BHI+blood media and allowed to grow overnight (see Figure [9\)](#page-9-0), and 16S rRNA sequencing was performed on resulting colonies (see Section [3.7\)](#page-8-0).

Figure 8. After two weeks in anaerobic chamber at 37C in "meat broth," a non-UV treated part from UC Davis exhibited evidence of growth. All other parts were limpid, aside from the positive control. Contaminated media was plated on BHI+blood agar overnight (see Figure [9\)](#page-9-0), and 16S rRNA sequencing was performed on resulting colonies.

3.6 Cell culture

Sterility of 3D printed parts was assessed by incubating each part with bone marrow-derived macrophages from femurs of C57BL/6 mice (Jackson Laboratories) cultured in RPMI-1640 containing 10% heatinactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco) [\[29\]](#page-12-21). Microscopy was performed by culturing macrophages in plastic dishes with 3D parts for 6 days after the initial isolation from bone marrow in L-cell conditioned media and examining under light microscope.

3.7 Identification of contaminating organisms

Contaminated media (see Section [3.5\)](#page-7-0) was streaked onto BHI agar plates (Figure [9\)](#page-9-0) supplemented with 10% defibrinated horse blood (Quad Five, Catalog No. 210; Ryegate, MT USA) for colony isolation. Bacterial colonies with unique morphologies were picked into chopped meat broth and genomic DNA was extracted from a 1mL cell pellet using Phenol:Chloroform and ethanol precipitation after bead beating. Nearly full length 16S rDNA was amplified using primers 8F and 1492R (Eden et al 1991) and run on a 1% agarose gel to confirm amplification and size. PCR products were purified using the Qiagen MinElute PCR purification kit (Catalog No. 28006), quantified and bidirectional sequenced at the University of Michigan DNA Sequencing Core. Sequencing reads were analyzed using the DNASTAR Lasergene software suite (DNASTAR, Inc., Madison, WI USA). Results were used to search the nr database [\[25\]](#page-12-22) to using NCBI's BLAST online search tool determine the closest relatives. A total of three unique bacterial colonies were analyzed; two from a positive control and one from a non-UV treated 3D printed part. All three were 99% similar to their closest database hit, and found to be common skin associated microflora. The positive control yielded sequences related to *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Propionibacterium acnes*. Similarly, the non-UV treated 3D printed part was also a *Propionibacterium acnes* indicating that the bacteria present were likely introduced to the 3D parts post printing. Person of the state of the

Figure 9. 10µl of each AYE tube (positive control, PLA plastic and negative control) was struck out on Charcoal Yeast Extract solid media and incubated at 37C to grow for 24 hours. Growth revealed that the PLA test part (top, white) appeared to contain a different bacterial species than the positive control tube (bottom, yellow). The negative control was plated on the right (no growth). Under light microscope, both bacterial growths appear coccoid, with the yellow colonies forming clumps more often. Experiment was repeated with parts from UC Davis and Michigan State, plus controls. Contamination was not observed. Personal Veari Venezular distribution and include the specific term in the person of the persistent inclusion of the persistent of the persistent of the specific term in the specific term access ($\frac{1}{2}$ b) ($\frac{1}{2}$ b

3.8 Bacterial strains, culture conditions and reagents

For AYE growth experiments, 3D parts were cultured on a rolling spinner at 37C in N-(2-acetamido)- 2- aminoethanesulfonic acid (ACES; Sigma)-buffered yeast extract (AYE) broth supplemented with 100μ g/mL thymidine (Sigma).[\[5\]](#page-11-3)

Terrific Broth (TB) experiments were conducted on a rolling spinner at 37C in media containing yeast extract, tryptone and glycerol supplemented with 0.17 M KH2PO4, 0.72 M K2HPO4.

Chopped Meat Broth and BHI-Blood agar experiments were performed in a Coy anaerobic chamber (Grass Lake, MI) at 37C. [\[12\]](#page-11-4)

Anaerobic experiments were performed in anaerobic chambers from Coy Laboratories (Grass Lake, MI) in Brain-Heart Infusion broth supplemented with yeast extract (5g/L). 0.1% cysteine and 0.1% taurocholate were added as germinants.

3.9 3D printed parts from UC Davis

The following materials were prepared in Jonathan Eisen's laboratory at the UC Davis Genome Center and shipped to Michele Swanson's laboratory at the University of Michigan. All printed parts were printed using Printbl Orange 3mm PLA filament at 220C with a feed rate of 50 mm/sec, using the same G-code files described in section [3.2,](#page-6-1) and placed into sixteen 50mL conical tubes using flamed forceps. The contents of the conical tubes was as follows :

- Test objects, printed under biosafety hood (10x)
- Test objects, printed under biosafety hood with UV (10x)
- Test objects, printed under biosafety hood with UV, then dropped onto no-sterile surface during handling (2x)
- Test object, printed under biosafety hood with UV $(1x)$
- Test object, printed under biosafety hood without UV, dropped during handling $(1x)$
- Empty, unopened conical tube
- Test object, printed under biosafety hood without UV $(1x)$
- Test object, printed under biosafety hood without UV $(1x)$
- Test object, printed under biosafety hood with UV $(1x)$
- Test object, printed under biosafety hood with UV (1x)
- Test object, printed under biosafety hood with UV, handled with ungloved hands $(1x)$
- Test objects, printed on open bench and left on lab bench overnight $(2x)$
- Unused Printbl Orange 3mm PLA filament (3x)
- Unused Laywoo-D3 cherrywood 3mm printable wood filament (3x)
- Unused Protoparadigm White 3mm PLA filament (3x)
- Unused Printbl Crystal Blue 3mm PLA filament (3x)

3.10 3D printed parts from Michigan State University

Several printed parts were prepared at Michigan State University and sent to the Michele Swanson lab at the University of Michigan. Cylinders were printed using the same G-code and parameters described in section [3.2.](#page-6-1) All printed parts from Michigan State University were printed using Ultimaker translucent blue PLA. Each part was removed from the printbed using flamed forceps and transferred to a sterile 15mL plastic tube. The contents of the tubes was as follows :

- Test objects, printed on blue painters tape wiped down with ethanol $(3x)$
- Test objects, printed on abraded foil wiped with ethanol and flamed (3x)
- Unused Ultimaker translucent blue PLA filament (3x)

3.11 3D printing systems and materials

The 3D printing systems and materials used in this study are relatively inexpensive and available to the public. While it is likely that nearly any 3D printer that uses thermoplastic extrusion will perform similarly for these purposes, the exact devices and materials used in this study are available from the following suppliers :

• Ultimaker Original with v3 hot-end (UC Davis).

```
https://www.ultimaker.com/pages/our-printers/ultimaker-original
```
- • Ultimaker Original modified with a E3D hot-end (Michigan State University). <http://e3d-online.com/>
- • PLA (Poly-Lactic-Acid) filament, Blue-Translucent, 0.75 kg. 2.85mm diameter. <https://www.ultimaker.com/products/pla-blue-translucent>
- PLA filament, Orange, 1.0 kg 3mm diameter (2.85 actual). <http://shop.printbl.com/products/3mm-pla-filament-1kg-spool>

4 DISCUSSION

This work was inspired by the observation that, while most 3D printed products cannot be autoclaved, the extrusion temperatures typically used in 3D printing are significantly higher than temperatures used in most autoclave cycles. This led us to wonder if 3D printing is an intrinsically sterile process.

Sterility is a difficult property to judge due to the impossibility of proving a negative. In the experiments we have presented here, we endeavored to create advantageous conditions for growth for a reasonably wide range of organisms, and particularly organisms likely to be problematic for experiments in clinical microbiology, cell culture and molecular biology. We used the "richest" rich media available to us, and attempted to induce germination of spore forming bacteria using taurocholate and glycine, and incubated both aerobically and anaerobically. Of course, this is not exhaustive, and the culturing conditions used would not detect the presence of (for example) *Sulfolobus* or *Methanococcus maripaludis*. We did not perform culture-independent sampling, which would be of obvious interest. However, as a practical matter, we find that the printing process does indeed produce functionally sterile parts which should be suitable a wide variety of experiments. The SD prints (and the state state) and this tracky recisions can be
able to the state state in the state state in the state in th

While 3D printing is likely not the ideal method for producing all labware under all circumstances, there are nevertheless a wide variety of applications and settings in which the ability to produce small batches of sterile parts would be extremely useful. The ability to manufacture sterile parts on premises during extended fieldwork in remote locations can reduce logistical risks. Schools can print materials for student laboratory projects. Researchers in developing countries can reduce their reliance on costly imported disposable labware. Otherwise well-equipped laboratories can more cheaply obtain fully custom sterile components.

Our experiments indicated that there are several reasonable approaches to sterile technique, though we did not attempt to establish which among them is optimal. We anticipated much higher rates of contamination than were actually observed. In more than twenty incubations, we found only two contaminated parts. Based on plating, light microscopy and 16S rRNA sequence obtained from the culture and on the fact that other parts prepared in the same way failed to produce growth, it is likely that the part was contaminated after printing. These experiments are not intended to establish a quantitative measure of the rate of contamination characteristic of the process, but rather to demonstrate that sterile parts can be produced by direct 3D printing of non-sterile thermoplastic feedstock.

5 DECLARATIONS

Mice. Six- to eight-week-old female A/J and C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. Mice were housed in the University Laboratory Animal Medicine Facility at the University of Michigan Medical School under specific-pathogen-free conditions. The University Committee on Use and Care of Animals approved all experiments conducted in this study. Cells used in the work presented here were "extra" cells that were harvested for an unrelated and much more important experiment.

Funding. Russell Neches was supported by an Alfred P. Sloan Foundation grant to Jonathan Eisen. Luis Zaman was funded by an AT&T Research Labs Fellowship and the BEACON Center for the Study of Evolution in Action. Kaitlin J. Flynn was supported by a University of Michigan Rackham Merit Fellowship and the Molecular Mechanisms of Microbial Pathogenesis training program (NIH T32 AI007528).

This material is based in part upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement No. DBI-0939454. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. The funders had no role in the preparation of the manuscript. ATTER CONFIRE THE PRINT AND A CONFIRENCE CONFIRM (SPECTRED AND CONFIRM ACCESS). The mean of the system and continues the system of the mean of the me

REFERENCES

- [1] Athanasiou, K. A. and Niederauer, G. G. (1996). Sterilization, toxicity, biocompatibility and clinical applications of polylactic acid/ polyglycolic acid copolymers. *Biomaterials*, 17(2):93–102.
- [2] Baba, T., Ara, T., Hasegawa, M., Takai, Y., Okumura, Y., Baba, M., Datsenko, K. A., Tomita, M., Wanner, B. L., and Mori, H. (2006). Construction of escherichia coli k-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the keio collection. *Molecular systems biology*, 2(1).
- [3] Bose, S., Vahabzadeh, S., and Bandyopadhyay, A. (2013). Bone tissue engineering using 3D printing. *Materials Today*, 16(12):496–504.
- [4] Burns, M. (1993). *Automated fabrication : improving productivity in manufacturing*. PTR Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.
- [5] Feeley, J. C., Gibson, R. J., Gorman, G. W., Langford, N. C., Rasheed, J. K., Mackel, D. C., and Baine, W. B. (1979). Charcoal-yeast extract agar: primary isolation medium for Legionella pneumophila. *Journal of clinical microbiology*, 10(4):437–441.
- [6] Flynn, K. (2014a). 24h in terrific broth, 72 in aye, no growth from any parts! nothing under scope either @ryneches @luiszaman http://t.co/ypxbytwcjl.
- $^{[7]}$ Flynn, K. (2014b). first growth in 3d parts: 96h in aye. bugs in steril part diff than +ctrl. will repeat @luiszaman @ryneches http://t.co/andjevjrig.
- [8] Flynn, K. (2014c). Set up a quick pilot last night of @luiszaman's "foil" 3d plastic. no growth in aye so far! @ryneches http://t.co/3rihlmonyy.
- [9] Flynn, K. (2014d). #tweepsci update! after 1 wk in "meat broth" anaerobically, growth in "no uv" parts of @ryneches @luiszaman http://t.co/67uomxxayu.
- [10] Gilding, D. K. and Reed, A. M. (1979). Biodegradable polymers for use in surgery—polyglycolic/poly(actic acid) homo- and copolymers: 1. *Polymer*, 20(12):1459–1464.
- [11] Hashemi, R. (2006). The "Eppy". *The Scientist*.
- [12] Hehemann, J.-H. H., Kelly, A. G., Pudlo, N. A., Martens, E. C., and Boraston, A. B. (2012). Bacteria of the human gut microbiome catabolize red seaweed glycans with carbohydrate-active enzyme updates from extrinsic microbes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109(48):19786–19791.
- [13] Horton, C. E., Adamson, J. E., Mladick, R. A., and Carraway, J. H. (1974). Vicryl synthetic absorbable sutures. *The American surgeon*, 40(12):729–731.
- [14] Kintel, M. and Wolf, C. (2000–2004). OpenSCAD : The programmer's solid 3D CAD modeller. <http://www.openscad.org/>.
- [15] Kulkarni, R. K., Pani, K. C., Neuman, C., and Leonard, F. (1966). Polylactic acid for surgical implants. *Archives of surgery (Chicago, Ill. : 1960)*, 93(5):839–843.
- [16] Lenski, R. E., Rose, M. R., Simpson, S. C., and Tadler, S. C. (1991). Long-term experimental evolution in escherichia coli. i. adaptation and divergence during 2,000 generations. *American Naturalist*, pages 1315–1341.
- [17] Lewis, Z. (2014a). Bacteria "race tracks" for swimming/swarming bacteria, thingiverse thing #399245.
- [18] Lewis, Z. (2014b). @ryneches after 1 week in anoxic rcm, no growth in 3d print material (foil and etoh) tubes. growth in + control. http://t.co/otoposwmwx.
- [19] Neches, R. (2014a). Conjecture : 3d prints are sterile. let's find out. http://t.co/l3nrwwatrx.
- [20] Neches, R. (2014b). Does the 3d printing process produce sterile parts without autoclaving? let's find out. http://t.co/eh3wgkz5lm.
- [21] Neches, R. (2014c). @luiszaman @runforsushi 6 replicates after 96 hours, no growth! had to kill positive control because it was scary. http://t.co/qqbezkmm3j.
- $[22]$ Neches, R. (2014d). No growth in culture inoculated with 3d printer extruded plastic, pos. & neg. controls worked. so far, so good! http://t.co/eupogz15ms.
- [23] Neches, R. (2014e). Still no growth in media inoculated with plastic extruded from the 3d printer. w00t! http://t.co/mkcxjg3xr8.
- [24] Neches, R. (2014f). Tried inoculating some overnights from a 3d printed mini-flask. @luiszaman @runforsushi http://t.co/u4rnecpcqg.
- [25] Pruitt, K. D., Tatusova, T., and Maglott, D. R. (2005). NCBI Reference Sequence (RefSeq): a curated non-redundant sequence database of genomes, transcripts and proteins. *Nucleic acids research*, 33(Database issue):D501–D504.
- [26] Rozema, F. R., Bos, R. R., Boering, G., van Asten, J. A., Nijenhuis, A. J., and Pennings, A. J. (1991). The effects of different steam-sterilization programs on material properties of poly(L-lactide). *Journal of applied biomaterials : an official journal of the Society for Biomaterials*, 2(1):23–28.
- [27] Schwope, A. D., Wise, D. L., and Howes, J. F. (1975). Development of polylactic/glycolic acid delivery systems for use in treatment of narcotic addiction. *National Institute on Drug Abuse research monograph series*, (4):13–18. Perfect the content of the
	- [28] Sorg, J. A. and Sonenshein, A. L. (2008). Bile salts and glycine as cogerminants for Clostridium difficile spores. *Journal of bacteriology*, 190(7):2505–2512.
	- [29] Swanson, M. S. and Isberg, R. R. (1996). Identification of Legionella pneumophila mutants that have aberrant intracellular fates. *Infection and immunity*, 64(7):2585–2594.
	- [30] Thomas R. Kramer and Frederick M. Proctor and Elena R. Messina (2000). The NIST RS274NGC Interpreter - Version 3.
[31] Waters, C. (201
	- C. (2014). @luiszaman @ryneches @runforsushi just further evidence that that #cdigmp is the most important regulatory molecule in bacteria! https://twitter.com/waterslabmsu/status/436493654018899968.
	- [32] Wilson, K. H., Kennedy, M. J., and Fekety, F. R. (1982). Use of sodium taurocholate to enhance spore recovery on a medium selective for Clostridium difficile. *Journal of clinical microbiology*, 15(3):443–446.
	- [33] Zaman, L. (2014a). 3d printed "tracks" filled with soft agar. if sterile, will put super-swimmers in @ryneches @runforsushi @relenski http://t.co/7juf2dpnlw.
	- [34] Zaman, L. (2014b). 48hr going strong with no growth @ryneches @runforsushi @relenski http://t.co/ghrvqnpcub.
	- [35] Zaman, L. (2014c). Good news @ryneches @runforsushi @relenski, no growth in lb at 30c. even from my *cough cough* test in a fume hood! http://t.co/npdlvgvk4r.
	- [36] Zaman, L. (2014d). Next version of bacteria race tracks! made to fit into a petri dish @ryneches @runforsushi #3dprinting http://t.co/rcsdngkz0t.
	- [37] Zaman, L. (2014e). Surface sterilized pla that was "snapped" to expose fresh filament not growing in lb @ryneches @runforsushi http://t.co/8ni4qt543y.

12[/12](#page-12-1)