

1 Psycho-social factors of antenatal anxiety and depression in Pakistan: Is social support a
2 mediator?

3 Authors: Ahmed Waqas¹, Nahal Raza¹, Haneen Wajid Lodhi¹, Zerwah Muhammad¹,
4 Mehak Jamal¹, Abdul Rehman²

5 ¹ CMH Lahore Medical College and Institute of Dentistry, Shami Road, Lahore Cantt,
6 Pakistan

7 ²Allama Iqbal Medical College, Lahore

8 Lead & corresponding Author:

9 Ahmed Waqas

10 MBBS student (4th year)

11 Affiliated institute: CMH Lahore Medical College and Institute of Dentistry, Shami
12 Road, Lahore Cantt, Pakistan

13 Email Address: ahmedwaqas1990@hotmail.com

14 Phone number: +92-0343-4936117

15 Address: House # 733, Street# 5, Overseas-A, Bahria Town, Lahore

16

17 Funding support: None

18 Type of article: Original Article

19 Conflict of interest: None

20 Sponsorship: None

21 Disclosure: None

22

23 MeSH terms: Sex discrimination; Social psychology; Mental illness; Sexism

24 Original Article Word Count: 3517 words

25 Abstract Word Count: 376 words

26

27

28

29

30

Abstract

31 Introduction:

32 Pregnancy is generally viewed as a time of fulfillment and joy, however, for many
33 women; it can be a stressful event. In South Asia, it is associated with cultural stigmas
34 revolving around gender discrimination, abnormal births and genetic abnormalities. It is
35 also associated with several psychiatric problems in women; most notably, depression
36 and anxiety.

37 Methodology:

38 This cross sectional study was undertaken in four teaching hospitals in Lahore
39 from February, 2014 to June, 2014. 500 Pregnant women presenting at the outdoors of
40 obstetrics and gynecology department were interviewed. The questionnaire consisted of
41 three sections: Demographics, Hospital anxiety and depression scale and social provision
42 scale. Data was analyzed in SPSS v.20. Descriptive statistics were analyzed for
43 demographics. Pearson Chi Square, Bivariate Correlations and linear regression were run
44 to analyze associations of independent variables with scores on HAD scale and SPS.

45 Results:

46 There were a total of 500 respondents. Mean age of respondents was 27.41 years
47 (5.65). Anxiety levels of participants were categorized as Normal 145 (29%), borderline
48 110 (22%) and anxious 245 (49%). And depression levels were categorized as 218
49 (43.6%) normal, 123 (24.6%) borderline, 159(31.8%) depressed. Inferential analysis

revealed that higher scores on HAD scale were significantly associated with lower scores on SPS, rural background, history of harassment, abortion, C-sections and unplanned pregnancies ($P < .05$). Social support also mediated the relationship between total numbers of children, gender of previous offspring and scores on HAD. Women reporting higher numbers of female children were significantly associated with higher scores on HAD scale and lower on SPS scale. Whereas increasing number of male progeny were associated with low scores on these depression subscale ($P < .05$).

Conclusion:

Keeping in context the predominantly patriarchal socio-cultural setting, the predictors of antenatal anxiety and depression in Pakistan may differ from those of the developed countries. Rural women and working women showed higher levels of antenatal anxiety and depression, which contradicts studies from western countries. Our study revealed higher number of female progeny was associated with higher levels of depression and anxiety while male progeny had a protective influence. We, therefore, suggest that interventions designed to reduce antenatal anxiety and depression should take these unique factors, operating in developing countries and patriarchal societies, into account in their design and implementation.

Introduction

In recent years, a lot of work has been published on psychological science of pregnancy. While pregnancy is generally viewed as a time of fulfillment and joy, however, for many women, it can be a stressful event. In our part of the world, the South

72 Asia, it is associated with cultural stigmas revolving around gender discrimination,
73 abnormal births and genetic abnormalities. It is also associated with several psychiatric
74 problems in women; most notably, depression and anxiety.

75 Around the globe, studies have shown a high prevalence of psychiatric illnesses in
76 pregnant women. Estimates of prevalence of antenatal depression and anxiety vary.
77 Gaynes et al., in a systematic review of 109 English articles published from 1980-2004,
78 found that up to 13% pregnant women suffer from major or minor depression [1]. Faisal-
79 Cury et al. reported a higher prevalence of depression (20% of pregnant women) and
80 anxiety (60% of pregnant women) among pregnant women of Sao Paulo, Brazil in 2007
81 [2]. Owing to gender-sensitive cultural setting of South Asia, especially high prevalence
82 of psychiatric illnesses in pregnant females has been reported. For instance, study in rural
83 Bangladesh in 2011, estimated antenatal depression at 18% and antenatal anxiety at 29%
84 [3] and a 2006 study in Karachi, Pakistan reported a prevalence of antenatal depression as
85 34%. [4].

86 Several studies have drawn attention to the adverse outcomes of antenatal anxiety and
87 depression in the developing child. These outcomes include preterm birth [5][6], low
88 birth weight [5][7], reduced cognitive ability and increased fearfulness [8], increased
89 incidence of respiratory and skin illnesses in early life [9] and elevated awakening
90 cortisol levels [10]. Moreover, in a literature review, Kinsella et al. concluded that fetal
91 heart rate, activity, sleep patterns and movements, all indicators of its neurobehavioral
92 development, are significantly affected by maternal stress, depression and anxiety [11].
93 Antenatal depression is also the strongest predictor for postnatal depression [12] which is
94 itself associated with several adverse effects in the infant.

95 In the past decade, research has actively focused on elucidating the underlying causes of
 96 antenatal anxiety and depression. Antenatal depression has been found to be associated
 97 with domestic violence [13][14], low social support [12][15][16], social conflict [15], low
 98 income [16], antenatal anxiety [16][17], unwanted pregnancy [17][18], history of
 99 depression [12][17][18] and previous prenatal loss [19][20] while antenatal anxiety has
 100 been associated with low mastery, less positive attitude towards pregnancy, low income
 101 level, low education level, low marital satisfaction, low social support, infertility duration
 102 and history of treatment failure in Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ARTs) [21–23].
 103 Similar risk factors have been reported by various studies in our country [24–26].
 104 However, because of the cultural and socioeconomic environment of various developing
 105 regions of the world, several unique factors contribute to antenatal anxiety and depression
 106 in these regions. South Asia is among the most densely populated and poorest regions of
 107 the world. It faces huge social, economic and health challenges. Most societies are
 108 patriarchal and marked by discrimination against women. It is generally considered more
 109 desirable to have a male offspring than a female offspring [27][28]. Owing to cultural
 110 stigmas and gender discrimination, males enjoy better access to health facilities,
 111 education and employment. Qadir et al. have pointed out that this gender disadvantage is
 112 strongly associated with psychological morbidity among the women of Pakistan [29].
 113 Indeed, women in Pakistan have been found to have far greater prevalence of depression
 114 and stress than men [30]. Whether gender discrimination and son preference contributes
 115 to depression and anxiety among pregnant women is not known and to our knowledge, no
 116 study has been conducted to clarify this relationship. Thus, the purpose of our study is to
 117 bridge this gap in scientific knowledge and study the factors associated with antenatal

118 depression and anxiety with particular emphasis on the association that gender
119 discrimination and son preference have with the mental health of a pregnant woman.

120 **Methodology:**

121 This cross sectional study was undertaken in four teaching hospitals in
122 Lahore from February, 2014 to June, 2014 namely: CMH Lahore, Jinnah Hospital,
123 Lahore, Services Hospital, Lahore and Lady Willingdon Hospital, Lahore. This study was
124 approved by Ethics review committee of CMH Lahore Medical College and Institute of
125 Dentistry, Lahore (CMH LMC).

126 Pregnant women presenting at the outdoors of obstetrics and gynecology department
127 were included in this study. Only those women were included who had a low income or
128 lower middle income social background.

129 Data was collected through convenience sampling as we could not ensure random
130 sampling of data due to lack of resources. Participants were interviewed by four, 4th year
131 medical students of CMH LMC. These students underwent a 2-days interviewing skills
132 workshop at department of psychology, CMH Lahore. It was headed by experienced
133 psychologists working in said department. It was considered necessary due to sensitive
134 nature of questions asked in the questionnaire. 500 pregnant women were interviewed.
135 Only those women were included in the surveys that were willing to participate in it.
136 Written informed consent was provided by each participant. They were informed about
137 the objectives of the survey and ensured anonymity.

138 The questionnaire consisted of three sections: Demographics, Hospital anxiety and
139 depression scale and social provision scale. In demographics section, participants were

140 asked about their age, ethnicity, education, background, occupation, any history of
141 miscarriage, abortion, harassment, number of C-sections underwent and whether their
142 present pregnancy was planned or unplanned. Total number of offspring, their gender
143 and ages were also recorded.

144 The second part of the questionnaire consisted of Urdu translation of Hospital anxiety
145 and depression (DB Mumford). It is a widely used, reliable and validated psychological
146 instrument used for the screening of anxiety and depression in participants. It has two
147 subscales screening for anxiety and depression separately. Each subscale yields a score
148 ranging from 0-21, with increasing score associated with higher levels of anxiety and
149 depression. These scores can further be divided into three categories: 0-7 = Normal, 8-10
150 = Borderline abnormal (borderline case) and 11-21 = Abnormal (case).

151 The third section consisted of Urdu translation of Perceived social provision scale. It
152 assesses perceived social support in participants. It consists of 24 questions with a Likert
153 type response on a 4-point scale. Each statement describes her current social network.
154 Responses range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). This scale assesses six
155 provisions of social relationships including, guidance (advice or information), reliable
156 alliance (assurance that others can be counted on in times of stress), reassurance of worth
157 (recognition of one's competence), attachment (emotional closeness), social integration (a
158 sense of belonging to a group of friends), and opportunity for nurturance (providing
159 assistance to others) [31]. For the purpose of analysis, total score on SPS scale can also
160 be used.

161 Data was analyzed in SPSS v. 20. Frequency and descriptive statistics were analyzed for
 162 demographics and categories of HAD subscales. Data was visualized on Histogram to
 163 assess normality. Bivariate correlations were used to analyze associations between
 164 demographics, scores on HAD subscales and scores of social provision scale. Linear
 165 regression was employed to analyze associations between numbers of male children,
 166 female children and scores on HAD scale. Number of male children and female children
 167 were dichotomized. Dichotomous variable for male children was coded as “pregnant
 168 women with no male children (0) and those with one or more than one male children.
 169 Similarly, dichotomous variable for female children was coded as “pregnant women with
 170 zero or one female child (0) and pregnant women with more than one female children (1).
 171 Then in the next step, score on social provision scale was entered into the previous
 172 regression model to analyze its controlling effects.

174 **Results:**

175 There were a total of 500 respondents. Mean age of respondents was 27.41 years
 176 (5.65). Ethnic distribution of respondents was Punjabi 369 (73.8%), Urdu speaking 110
 177 (22%) and other 21 (4.2%). Education level of participants was reported as 85 (17%)
 178 Illiterate, High School 315 (63%), intermediate 60 (12%) and 40 (8%) graduates. Most of
 179 the respondents were housewives 441 (88.2%) and 59 (11.8%) were employed. Most of
 180 the respondents had an urban background 208 (41.6%) followed with rural 182 (36.4%)
 181 and semi urban 110 (22%). Most of the respondents were from lower middle class 284
 182 (56.8%), lower class 148 (29.6%), middle class 68 (13.6%). Planned pregnancy was

conceived by 135 (27%) of respondents and 365 (73%) had an unplanned pregnancy. History of miscarriage and abortion was reported by 44 (8.8%) and 110 (22%) respectively. 33 (6.6%) of the respondents had experienced harassment. Mean number of children of respondents was 1.5 (1.42). 81/500 (16.2%) and 136/500 (27.2%) of pregnant women had a history of at least one episiotomy and caesarian section respectively.

On Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HAD Scale), mean anxiety and depression scores were 9.71 (4.24) and 7.85 (4.03) respectively. Mean score of Social provision scale was 72.3 (12.2). Anxiety levels of participants were categorized as Normal (29%), borderline 110 (22%) and anxious 245 (49%). And depression levels were categorized as 218 (43.6%) normal, 123 (24.6%) borderline, 159(31.8%) depressed. Chi Square revealed significant association between background of participants, anxiety ($\chi^2 = 43.69$, $df = 4$) and depression ($\chi^2 = 83.19$, $df = 4$), (all $ps < .001$). This represents the fact that 123 (67.6%) of rural women were anxious and 83 (39.9%) of urban and only 39 (35.5%) of semi urban women were anxious. While, 91 (50%) of rural women, 40 (19.2%) Urban and 28 (25.5%) semi urban were depressed.

Bivariate correlation revealed a very significant and strong negative correlation between social support and anxiety ($r = -.433$, $p < .001$) and social support and depression ($r = -.453$, $p < .001$). Point biserial correlation revealed that occupation of pregnant women significantly correlated with anxiety $r_{pb} = .17$ and depression $r_{pb} = .16$ (all $ps < .001$).

Employed women reported higher levels of anxiety and depression. Anxiety and depression also had significant positive association with a history of harassment, miscarriage, abortion, number of c-sections, number of episiotomies and unplanned pregnancies (Table 1).

Significant associations were found between modes of birth, scores on anxiety, depression subscale and social provision scale (Table 2). Increasing number of C-sections were associated with higher scores on Perceived Social Provision Scale ($\rho = .13$, $P < .01$) and increasing number of episiotomies were associated with lower scores on Social Provision Scale ($\rho = -.10$, $P < .05$).

Number of female children and number of male children showed significant associations with depression. In all of these cases, social support acted as a controlling variable. Results are shown in table 3. More male children were associated significantly with low scores on depression subscale and more female progeny were associated with higher scores on it. This association was rendered non-significant when controlled for the effects of social support (Model 2).

Total number of children ($r = .096$, $p < .05$) and number of female children ($r = .128$, $P < .01$) were associated with high scores on anxiety scale. Number of female children were also associated negatively with scores on social provision scale ($r = -.103$, $P < .05$).

Point biserial correlation was significant between total number of female children and whether pregnant women had experienced harassment ($r_s = .11$, $p < .05$).

Discussion:

Our study showed a high prevalence of both antenatal depression (31.8%) and anxiety (49%) which is in consonance with many studies conducted in our country [4][25]. In comparison, studies from developed western countries generally show lesser

227 prevalence [32]. These results underscore the importance of prenatal depression and
228 anxiety as a major public health problem in Pakistan. To address this grave situation,
229 effective screening and intervention methods should be planned.

230 Studies in western countries generally report a higher incidence of psychiatric
231 disorders in urban population than rural population[33] In contrast, our study showed
232 almost twice the prevalence of antenatal depression and anxiety among rural women than
233 urban and semi-urban women. This apparent contradiction could be explained by the
234 unique environmental factors that pregnant women are exposed to, in developing South-
235 East Asian countries. In context of cultural setting of Pakistan, several social factors are
236 worth mentioning. First, there is a very large gap of standards of living and available
237 facilities between rural and urban communities, in developing countries. This situation is
238 not as grave in developed countries. Indeed, in Pakistan, rural areas are deprived of
239 several basic necessities of life including health services, water sanitation, gas, electricity
240 and higher educational facilities [34]. Furthermore, gender discrimination, while common
241 throughout the country, is especially evident in rural communities. Rural women are less
242 independent and have a lesser role in decision making than urban women. This also
243 adversely affects the mental health of rural pregnant women [35]. These factors, in our
244 opinion, are important contributors to the greater depression and anxiety among rural
245 pregnant women in our country. Our findings match the results from two studies among
246 pregnant women of Sindh, Pakistan, one in a rural community and the other in an urban
247 community of Sindh, which show significantly higher prevalence of depression among
248 rural pregnant women (60%) [26] than in urban pregnant women (39.4%) [36].

249 Developmental programs in the rural communities could help reduce the psychological
250 morbidity of rural pregnant women.

251 An important risk factor for antenatal depression and anxiety in our study was low
252 social support. Pregnant women who perceived low social support showed higher rates of
253 both depression and anxiety and vice versa. This finding has been consistently reported in
254 studies of predictors of antenatal depression and anxiety throughout the world [15][22].
255 This is hardly surprising since social support has been found to be connected to
256 depression and anxiety not just among pregnant women but the general population as
257 well [37]. The exact mechanism by which social support affects depression and anxiety
258 remain obscure. However, it is known that low social support can give rise to a sense of
259 isolation and loneliness which are both strongly associated with poor mental health [38].
260 In developing countries like Pakistan, low social support is a particular problem, as
261 demonstrated by the fact that it was the strongest predictor of antenatal depression and
262 anxiety in our study (r value of 0.453 for depression and 0.433 for anxiety). Causes of
263 low social support differ in urban and rural communities of Pakistan. Among urban
264 women, these include verbal and physical abuse by husband or in-laws, putting
265 restrictions on women and living in joint family systems [36] while among rural women,
266 low social support has been found to be due to lack of care by husband, large age
267 difference between husband and wife and greater number of children [26]. Many of these
268 factors are seldom found in developed countries. They highlight the need for society-
269 specific interventions in our country to improve social support and as a result, the mental
270 health of pregnant women.

271 An interesting finding in our study was the correlation between the occupation of
272 the pregnant women and antenatal depression and anxiety. In contrast to studies in
273 western population, which mention employment as a strong protective factor against
274 major depression in pregnancy [39], our study found employed pregnant women to be
275 actually more depressed and anxious than housewives. A study in Karachi, Pakistan also
276 apparently contradicts our findings by concluding that housewives, in general, are more
277 depressed than working women [40]. Several factors could explain this contradiction.
278 Most of these studies mention education as an important protective factor of antenatal
279 anxiety and depression. Therefore, low education levels of housewives as compared to
280 working women were associated with higher levels of anxiety and depression. However,
281 our study included respondents from low and lower middle socioeconomic class with
282 54% of the women educated less than the 10th grade. So, even most of the working
283 women may not have been educated highly enough for their working status to have a
284 positive effect on their mental health. Secondly, in recent years, there has been an
285 increase in inflation and a deterioration of socioeconomic conditions in Pakistan,
286 increasing the work stress on working women to meet the economic needs of their
287 household. It is also well-documented that greater work stress can precipitate anxiety and
288 depression in employed men and women [41]. This increased stress, combined with
289 demands of pregnancy, might be responsible for greater depression and anxiety in them
290 as compared to housewives, who are relatively protected from work stress. Finally,
291 another factor might also be operative in the social environment of our country. In many
292 orthodox Pakistani families, most of which belong to lower and lower-middle social
293 class, working women are highly stigmatized. They consider the rightful role of woman

294 to stay within the confines of her home and be an obedient wife and a loving mother. This
295 negative attitude of their relatives towards their work might be responsible for depression
296 and anxiety among the working pregnant women of lower and lower-middle social class
297 that were included in our study; housewives, in contrast, were protected from such
298 discrimination. Nevertheless, more research is required to clarify the relationship of
299 employment with antenatal depression and anxiety, especially in the context of the
300 cultural environment of our country.

301 In our study, history of one or more episiotomies and C-sections was associated
302 with a high incidence of antenatal anxiety and depression. This is in accordance with Kuo
303 S-Y et al.'s study which shows that more than a third of the women undergoing elective
304 cesarean section suffer from anxiety while only a fourth of the women show depression,
305 several months after the procedure [42]. The increasing prevalence of birth by cesarean
306 section (CS) is a major public health concern among many countries. Despite these
307 concerns, it is one of the most common obstetric procedures performed in this region.
308 Antenatal anxiety and depression in pregnant women because of a previous C-section or
309 episiotomy may be due to concerns about her own health, fear regarding the well-being
310 of her developing child and fear of another invasive procedure making her re-experience
311 the horrors of it such as being anesthetized and cut. However, there was a significant
312 difference between the incidences of anxiety and depression in women who had
313 undergone at least one caesarian section, episiotomy and normal vaginal delivery. In
314 Pakistan, women from low socio-economic backgrounds generally tend to avoid hospital
315 deliveries due to socio-cultural norms like "vaginal delivery creates an affectionate bond
316 with the baby", high expenses, fear of procedure, post operative infections and poor

317 knowledge [43]. Women prefer vaginal deliveries at home in care of untrained health
318 care professionals called “Dai”. And often report to hospital emergency departments with
319 life threatening complications. In our society, caesarian section is usually termed as “Bara
320 operation” (Big operation) due to fear and associated socio-cultural norms and bear
321 negative attitudes towards it. Therefore, these women enjoy significantly higher social
322 support as compared to those who have undergone episiotomies and normal vaginal
323 deliveries.

324 Other factors such as harassment, history of abortion and whether the pregnancy
325 was planned or unplanned were also significantly associated with antenatal anxiety and
326 depression and have appeared repeatedly in literature [15][17][20].

327 A novel and important finding in our study is the relation of the gender of
328 previous children with the amount of antenatal depression and anxiety. Having female
329 children is significantly associated with antenatal depression and anxiety and having male
330 children was a protective factor. Social support mediated this relationship. These results
331 make sense when we take into account the issue of gender discrimination and son
332 preference in South Asia. Family system in Pakistan is predominantly patriarchal.
333 Women are treated as second class citizens and denied their social rights. These include
334 honor killings, bride price, and dowry, disputed status of female testimony, forced
335 marriages and denying the right to have a career. Parents view their sons as bread earners
336 and continuation of the family name and their daughters as an economic burden. This is
337 partly due to the tradition of giving large amounts of dowry when marrying daughters,
338 especially in India and Pakistan. The dowry may be in the form of land, money, jewelry
339 or household items. In many wedding ceremonies, dowry is displayed and announced by

the bride's family. A bridal dress, in Pakistan, for instance, can be as expensive as half a million rupees (\$8380) and the whole event can sometimes cost 20 million rupees (\$335,000) [44], most of the expenses being paid by the bride's family. It is probably for these reasons that the rates of female feticide are alarmingly high in the region [45]. Even after birth, sons are given preference over daughters with respect to access to health and education facilities [46]. In this background, the relationship of high depression and anxiety in pregnant women with more female children makes perfect sense. Considering the societal pressure, pregnant women with female children are not only concerned about their offspring's gender themselves but are also subject to harassment, taunting and stigmatization by their family and relatives. This highlight how the unique social conditions in Pakistan arising from gender discrimination against females, give rise to a significant and previously unacknowledged predictor of antenatal depression and anxiety i.e. the gender of previous offspring. We encourage more research to prove or disprove this novel association. Widespread social and educational reforms to improve gender discrimination may help to decrease this factor.

Conclusion:

Keeping in context the predominantly patriarchal socio-cultural setting, the predictors of antenatal anxiety and depression in Pakistan may differ from those of the developed countries. Rural women and working women showed higher levels of antenatal anxiety and depression, which contradicts studies from western countries. Our study revealed higher number of female progeny was associated with higher levels of depression and anxiety while male progeny had a protective influence. We, therefore, suggest that interventions designed to reduce antenatal anxiety and depression should

363 take these unique factors, operating in developing countries and patriarchal societies, into
 364 account in their design and implementation.

365 **References**

- 366 1. Gaynes BN, Gavin N, Meltzer-Brody S, Lohr KN, Swinson T, et al. (2005)
 367 Perinatal depression: prevalence, screening accuracy, and screening outcomes.
 368 Evid Rep Technol Assess (Summ): 1–8.
- 369 2. Faisal-Cury a, Rossi Menezes P (2007) Prevalence of anxiety and depression
 370 during pregnancy in a private setting sample. Arch Womens Ment Health 10: 25–
 371 32. doi:10.1007/s00737-006-0164-6.
- 372 3. Nasreen HE, Kabir ZN, Forsell Y, Edhborg M (2011) Prevalence and associated
 373 factors of depressive and anxiety symptoms during pregnancy: a population based
 374 study in rural Bangladesh. BMC Womens Health 11: 22. doi:10.1186/1472-6874-
 375 11-22.
- 376 4. Hamirani MM, Sultana A, Ibrahim Z, Iqbal H, Sultana S (2006) FREQUENCY OF
 377 PRENATAL DEPRESSION IN SECOND AND THIRD TRIMESTERS OF
 378 PREGNANCY IN KARACHI: A HOSPITAL BASED STUDY. J Liaquat Uni
 379 Med Heal Sci 5(3): 106–109.
- 380 5. Dunkel Schetter C, Tanner L (2012) Anxiety, depression and stress in pregnancy:
 381 implications for mothers, children, research, and practice. Curr Opin Psychiatry
 382 25: 141–148. doi:10.1097/YCO.0b013e3283503680.
- 383 6. Sanchez SE, Puente GC, Atencio G, Qiu C, Yanez D, et al. (2013) Risk of
 384 Spontaneous Preterm Birth in Relation to Maternal Depressive, Anxiety and Stress
 385 Symptoms. J Reprod Med 58: 25–33.
- 386 7. Rahman a, Bunn J, Lovel H, Creed F (2007) Association between antenatal
 387 depression and low birthweight in a developing country. Acta Psychiatr Scand
 388 115: 481–486. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0447.2006.00950.x.
- 389 8. Bergman K, Sarkar P, O'Connor TG, Modi N, Glover V (2007) Maternal stress
 390 during pregnancy predicts cognitive ability and fearfulness in infancy. J Am Acad
 391 Child Adolesc Psychiatry 46: 1454–1463. doi:10.1097/chi.0b013e31814a62f6.
- 392 9. Beijers R, Jansen J, Riksen-Walraven M, de Weerth C (2010) Maternal prenatal
 393 anxiety and stress predict infant illnesses and health complaints. Pediatrics 126:
 394 e401–9. doi:10.1542/peds.2009-3226.

- 395 10. O'Connor TG, Ben-Shlomo Y, Heron J, Golding J, Adams D, et al. (2005)
 396 Prenatal anxiety predicts individual differences in cortisol in pre-adolescent
 397 children. *Biol Psychiatry* 58: 211–217. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.03.032.
- 398 11. Kinsella MT, Monk C (2013) Impact of Maternal Stress, Depression & Anxiety on
 399 Fetal Neurobehavioral Development. *Clin Obs Gynecol* 52: 1–15.
 400 doi:10.1097/GRF.0b013e3181b52df1.Impact.
- 401 12. Dayan J, Creveuil C, Dreyfus M, Herlicoviez M, Baleyte J-M, et al. (2010)
 402 Developmental model of depression applied to prenatal depression: role of present
 403 and past life events, past emotional disorders and pregnancy stress. *PLoS One* 5:
 404 e12942. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012942.
- 405 13. Mezey G, Bacchus L, Bewley S, White S (2005) Domestic violence, lifetime
 406 trauma and psychological health of childbearing women. *BJOG* 112: 197–204.
 407 doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.2004.00307.x.
- 408 14. Rodriguez MA, Heilemann M V, Fielder E, Ang A, Nevarez F, et al. (2008)
 409 Intimate Partner Violence, Depression, and PTSD Among Pregnant Latina
 410 Women. *Ann Fam Med* Vol 6: 44–52. doi:10.1370/afm.743.INTRODUCTION.
- 411 15. Westdahl C, Milan S, Magriples U, Kershaw TS, Rising SS, et al. (2008) Social
 412 Support and Social Conflict as Predictors of Prenatal Depression. *Obs Gynecol*
 413 110: 134–140.
- 414 16. Leigh B, Milgrom J (2008) Risk factors for antenatal depression, postnatal
 415 depression and parenting stress. *BMC Psychiatry* 8: 24. doi:10.1186/1471-244X-8-
 416 24.
- 417 17. Lancaster CA, Gold KJ, Flynn HA, Yoo H, Marcus SM, et al. (2010) Risk factors
 418 for depressive symptoms during pregnancy: a systematic review. *Am J Obs*
 419 *Gynecol* 202: 5–14. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2009.09.007.
- 420 18. Rich-Edwards JW, Kleinman K, Abrams A, Harlow BL, McLaughlin TJ, et al.
 421 (2006) Sociodemographic predictors of antenatal and postpartum depressive
 422 symptoms among women in a medical group practice. *J Epidemiol Community*
 423 *Health* 60: 221–227. doi:10.1136/jech.2005.039370.
- 424 19. Koleva H, Stuart S, O'Hara MW, Bowman-Reif J (2012) Risk factors for
 425 depressive symptoms during pregnancy. *Arch Womens Ment Heal* 14: 99–105.
 426 doi:10.1007/s00737-010-0184-0.
- 427 20. Blackmore ER, Côté-Arsenault D, Tang W, Glover V, Evans J, et al. (2011)
 428 Previous prenatal loss as a predictor of perinatal depression and anxiety. *Br J*
 429 *Psychiatry* 198: 373–378. doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.110.083105.

- 430 21. Gurung R a. R, Dunkel-Schetter C, Collins N, Rini C, Hobel CJ (2005)
 431 Psychosocial Predictors of Prenatal Anxiety. *J Soc Clin Psychol* 24: 497–519.
 432 doi:10.1521/jscp.2005.24.4.497.
- 433 22. Chan CY, Lee AM, Lam SK, Lee CP, Leung KY, et al. (2013) Antenatal anxiety
 434 in the first trimester : Risk factors and effects on anxiety and depression in the
 435 third trimester and. *OJPsych* 2013: 301–310.
- 436 23. Hashemieh C, Neisani Samani L, Taghinejad H (2013) Assessment of Anxiety in
 437 Pregnancy Following Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) and Associated
 438 Infertility Factors in Women Commencing Treatment. *Iran Red Crescent Med J*
 439 15: e14465. doi:10.5812/ircmj.14465.
- 440 24. Karmaliani R, Asad N, Bann CM, Moss N, McClure EM, et al. (2013) Prevalence
 441 of Anxiety, Depression and Associated Factors among Pregnant Women of
 442 Hyderabad, Pakistan. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 55. doi:10.1177/0020764008094645.
- 443 25. Ali NS, Azam IS, Ali BS, Tabbusum G, Moin SS (2012) Frequency and associated
 444 factors for anxiety and depression in pregnant women: a hospital-based cross-
 445 sectional study. *ScientificWorldJournal* 2012: 9 pages. doi:10.1100/2012/653098.
- 446 26. Zahidie A, Kazi A, Fatmi Z, Bhatti MT, Dureshahwar S (2011) Original Article
 447 Social environment and depression among pregnant women in rural areas of Sind ,
 448 Pakistan. *J Pak Med Assoc* 61: 1–3.
- 449 27. Zubair F, Dahl E, Sher Shah S, Ahmed M, Brosig B (2007) Gender preferences
 450 and demand for preconception sex selection: a survey among pregnant women in
 451 Pakistan. *Hum Reprod* 22: 605–609. doi:10.1093/humrep/del409.
- 452 28. Fuse K (2010) Variations in attitudinal gender preferences for children across 50
 453 less-developed countries. *Demogr Res* 23: 1031–1048.
 454 doi:10.4054/DemRes.2010.23.36.
- 455 29. Qadir F, Khan MM, Medhin G, Prince M (2011) Male gender preference, female
 456 gender disadvantage as risk factors for psychological morbidity in Pakistani
 457 women of childbearing age - a life course perspective. *BMC Public Health* 11:
 458 745. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-11-745.
- 459 30. Husain N, Creed F, Tomenson B (2000) Depression and social stress in Pakistan.
 460 *Psychol Med* 30: 395–402.
- 461 31. Rizwan M (2010) Urdu Translation and Psychometric Properties of Social
 462 Provision Scale. *Int J Eduational Psychol Assess* 4: 33–47.
- 463 32. Witt WP, Street NW, Hagen EW, Wichmann MA (2011) The prevalence and
 464 determinants of antepartum mental health problems among women in the USA: a

- 465 nationally representative population-based study. *Arch Womens Ment Heal* 13:
466 425–437. doi:10.1007/s00737-010-0176-0.
- 467 33. Peen J, Schoevers R a, Beekman a T, Dekker J (2010) The current status of urban-
468 rural differences in psychiatric disorders. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 121: 84–93.
469 doi:10.1111/j.1600-0447.2009.01438.x.
- 470 34. Rahman AUR, Hayat Y, Habib Z, Iqbal J (2011) RURAL-URBAN DISPARITIES
471 IN KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PAKISTAN. *Sarhad J Agric* 27: 477–483.
- 472 35. Rahman A (2007) Challenges and opportunities in developing a psychological
473 intervention for perinatal depression in rural Pakistan--a multi-method study. *Arch*
474 *Womens Ment Health* 10: 211–219. doi:10.1007/s00737-007-0193-9.
- 475 36. Kazi A, Fatmi Z, Hatcher J, Kadir MM, Niaz U, et al. (2006) Social environment
476 and depression among pregnant women in urban areas of Pakistan: importance of
477 social relations. *Soc Sci Med* 63: 1466–1476.
478 doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2006.05.019.
- 479 37. Grav S, Hellzèn O, Romild U, Stordal E (2012) Association between social
480 support and depression in the general population: the HUNT study, a cross-
481 sectional survey. *J Clin Nurs* 21: 111–120. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2702.2011.03868.x.
- 482 38. Cacioppo JT, Hughes ME, Waite LJ, Hawkley LC, Thisted R a (2006) Loneliness
483 as a specific risk factor for depressive symptoms: cross-sectional and longitudinal
484 analyses. *Psychol Aging* 21: 140–151. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.21.1.140.
- 485 39. Fall A, Goulet L, Vézina M (2013) Comparative study of major depressive
486 symptoms among pregnant women by employment status. *Springerplus* 2: 201.
487 doi:10.1186/2193-1801-2-201.
- 488 40. Soomro RH, Riaz F, Naved S, Soomro FH (2012) Comparative Analysis of
489 Depression among Housewives and Working Women in Bilal Colony of Kornagi
490 Area Karachi. *IJCRB* 3: 354–359.
- 491 41. Melchior M, Caspi A, Milne BJ, Danese A, Poulton R, et al. (2007) Work stress
492 precipitates depression and anxiety in young, working women and men. *Psychol*
493 *Med* 37: 1119–1129. doi:10.1017/S0033291707000414.
- 494 42. Kuo S-Y, Chen S-R, Tzeng Y-L (2014) Depression and anxiety trajectories among
495 women who undergo an elective cesarean section. *PLoS One* 9: e86653.
496 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086653.
- 497 43. Nisar N, Sohoo NA, Memon A (2009) Knowledge , Attitude and Preferences of
498 Pregnant Women towards Modes of Delivery. *JLUMHS* 8: 228–233.

- 499 44. Tohid O (2004) Pakistan's novel attack on poverty: ban wedding feasts -
500 CSMonitor.com.
- 501 45. Abrejo FG, Shaikh BT, Rizvi N (2009) "And they kill me, only because I am a
502 girl"...a review of sex-selective abortions in South Asia. Eur J Contracept Reprod
503 Health Care 14: 10–16. doi:10.1080/13625180802518231.
- 504 46. Alam A (2011) Impact of Gender Discrimination on Gender Development and
505 Poverty Alleviation. Sarhad J Agric 27: 329–339.

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522 Table 1: Correlations

Variable	Anxiety	Depression
Social Support	-.43 ³	-.45 ³
Occupation	.17 ³	.16 ³
Harassment	.13 ²	.10 ¹
Abortion	.10 ¹	.10 ¹
Pregnancy	.23 ³	.28 ³
C-section	-.09 ⁴	-.13 ²
Episiotomy	.15 ³	.10 ¹
Vaginal Delivery	.10 ¹	-.10 ¹

523 ¹ = $p < .05$, ² = $p < .01$, ³ = $p < .001$, ⁴ = marginally significant p-value

524

525

Table 2: Associations between modes of birth and scores on HAD scale

Mode	Anxiety				Depression			
	Normal	Borderline	Anxious	χ^2	Normal	Borderline	Depressed	χ^2
Episiotomy	18 (22%)	8 (9.9%)	55 (67.9%)	15.3 ³	27 (33.3%)	20 (24.7%)	34 (42%)	5.48 ⁴
C-section	51 (37.5%)	29 (21.3%)	56 (41.2%)	7.02 ¹	73 (53.7%)	32 (23.5%)	31 (22.8%)	9.20 ²

¹P < .05, ²P < .01, ³P < .001, ⁴Marginally significant

541 Table 3: Linear regression for depression scores (N = 500)

Model	Predictor	B	Std. Error (B)	Beta
Model 1 $R^2 = .022$	Male children	-.982	.366	-.121 ³
	Female Children	1.015	.424	-.108 ¹
Model 2 $R^2 = .213$	Male Children	-.661	.329	-.081 ¹
	Female Children	.524	.383	.056
	Social Support	-.146	.013	-.442 ³

542 ¹ = $p < .05$, ² = $p < .01$, ³ = $p < .001$, ⁴ = marginally significant p-value