

Patient beliefs and attitudes towards the acceptability of receiving alcohol use enquiry from general practitioners: a literature review

Corresponding author

Mr Louis Leong

Medical student

University of New South Wales (UNSW) Australia

h.leong@student.unsw.edu.au

Other authors

Dr C W Michael Tam, BSc(Med) MBBS MMH(GP) FRACGP

Staff Specialist in General Practice, GP Unit, Fairfield Hospital, NSW 2176, Australia

Conjoint Senior Lecturer, UNSW Australia

m.tam@unsw.edu.au

Abstract

Background: Routine use of alcohol screening questionnaires is recommended in primary care, but patient beliefs and attitudes towards the acceptability of receiving alcohol enquiry from GPs are unclear.

Methods: We searched medical databases to identify published empirical research on patient beliefs, attitudes and experiences towards receiving alcohol discussions from GPs. Coherent themes were synthesised from the results of the included studies using a realist perspective. Seventeen studies were included in the review – the majority were quantitative surveys from the UK, Nordic countries, North America and Australia.

Results and Discussion: GPs are seen to be legitimate providers of lifestyle advice, but patients may not find alcohol enquiry acceptable in a specific consultation. Alcohol discussions are less acceptable than those on other health promotion topics. The context of the consultation, such as the reason for presenting and the patient-doctor relationship, has an important influence on the situational acceptability of alcohol enquiry.

Conclusion: Although GP involvement in health promotion is perceived as legitimate, alcohol enquiry in consultations can be fraught and unwelcome. Contextual factors pertaining to the consultation appear to be important but these have not been well explored in the literature. Conclusions from this review should be restricted to societies with “Temperance” drinking cultures.

1 **1. Background**

2 Since 1980, the World Health Organization (WHO) has advocated a preventive and health
3 promotion based approach to reducing the harms from alcohol drinking [1, 2]. As general
4 practitioners (GPs) have access to the at-risk population, often before the occurrence of
5 alcohol-related harm, primary care was seen to be the key setting for the early detection of
6 risky drinking, and the provision of brief alcohol interventions [3].

7 This strategy was supported by a multinational WHO collaborative project to develop an
8 alcohol screening instrument specifically for use in primary care – the result of which was
9 the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) [2, 4]. This questionnaire has since
10 been well validated in international primary care settings [5-7]. Brief alcohol interventions
11 have similarly been studied in primary care and are thought to be effective in reducing
12 alcohol consumption in risky drinkers [8].

13 This approach has also been adopted by policy makers of organisations representing
14 preventive health and health promotion. For instance, Australian, UK and US peak body
15 clinical practice guidelines all recommend that GPs routinely screen for risky drinking in
16 adult patients using the AUDIT, or an AUDIT-based screening questionnaire, and offer brief
17 interventions to risky drinkers [9-11].

18 However, there is consistent evidence that GPs do not use alcohol screening questionnaires
19 [12-14], and that detection of risky drinking is low [15-21]. In addition to the pragmatic
20 issues such as the time and resources involved in conducting screening and brief
21 interventions for risky drinking [22], GPs have expressed concerns towards the impacts that
22 alcohol use enquiry may have on the patient-doctor relationship and consultation [23] – for

1 instance, uncomfortable with being seen as judgmental [24], or intruding into the patient's
2 private life [25] or moral integrity [26-28].

3 Despite the growth in the body of academic literature on alcohol screening and brief
4 interventions, there has been little research into how acceptable these interventions are to
5 the intended recipients, i.e., community patients in general practice. This paper collates and
6 attempts to provide a synthesis of the empirical evidence of patient beliefs and attitudes
7 towards receiving alcohol enquiry. To our knowledge, no previous review exists.

9 **2. Aim**

10 To conduct a review of the literature on patient beliefs and attitudes towards the
11 acceptability of receiving alcohol use enquiry from general practitioners (GPs) and to
12 synthesise the findings.

14 **3. Method**

15 **3.1 Search strategy**

16 We conducted a search using Medline and Embase electronic databases in May 2014, using
17 combinations of the keywords "*patient*", "*alcohol*", "*general practice*", and "*primary health*
18 *care*".

19 Abstracts of the resulting articles published in English were reviewed against the inclusion
20 criteria. Full-text copies of promising abstracts were obtained for further scrutiny, and an
21 initial list of eligible papers was generated. Reference lists of the identified studies were

1 examined for possible older studies – “backward chaining” [29]. We used *Web of Science* to
2 conduct citation searching, finding who cited each of the identified studies to discover
3 newer studies – “forward chaining” [29]. Both of these processes were also used on
4 subsequent identified eligible articles iteratively to efficiently and comprehensively collate
5 the relevant published literature in this field.

6 **3.2 Inclusion/exclusion criteria**

7 We sought to identify all empirical studies published after the WHO report in 1980 on
8 patient beliefs, attitudes or experiences towards alcohol discussions with GPs in routine
9 primary care settings. Studies were included if: (i) the study participants resembled a
10 community general practice population, (ii) the research data were explicitly and directly
11 gathered from the participants, (iii) the findings referred to services delivered by a GP in a
12 primary care setting, and (iv) the study was published in 1980 or later. Thus, we excluded
13 studies if the participants were all members of a specific disease group (e.g., studies of
14 people with alcohol-use disorder), if the data collected were only second-hand (e.g., GP
15 perceptions of patient beliefs and attitudes), and if the clinical setting was specialised (e.g.,
16 emergency departments or hospital outpatient clinics).

17 **3.3 Quantity and setting of available evidence**

18 The initial bibliographic search resulted in 631, and 938 study abstracts for inspection from
19 Medline and Embase respectively. Of these, 6 empirical studies were found to be eligible
20 for review. One of the authors, CT, through prior academic work had an existing list of
21 important empirical studies on this topic. This, together with backward and forward
22 chaining techniques identified 11 studies in addition to those from the initial search.

1 The majority of the studies (15 of 17) were quantitative surveys conducted using a number
2 of methods, including postal self-administered questionnaires, random telephone
3 interviews, and exit polling after a GP visit (Table 1). There were two qualitative studies,
4 one of which used mixed-methods (Table 2).

5 The UK was the most common location, accounting for 6 of the included studies. A further 5
6 were from Nordic countries. The remainder were from Australia, North America, and other
7 European countries.

8 **3.4 Analysis**

9 We synthesised the results from the included studies using a realist qualitative approach.
10 [30]. Briefly, this philosophic perspective involves ontological realism, “there is a real world
11 that exists independently of our perceptions, theories, and constructions”, with
12 epistemological constructivism, “our understanding of this world is inevitably a construction
13 from our own perspectives and standpoint” (p. 5) [30]. That is we assumed that (i) “patient
14 acceptability” to receiving alcohol enquiry from GPs, and their beliefs and attitudes towards
15 this are actual phenomena, and (ii) the empirical evidence from the included studies could
16 inform us about these phenomena, but (iii) this evidence would be partial, interpretive, and
17 not free from context. We chose this perspective in analysis as we recognised that broader
18 social influences (e.g., drinking culture) may impact strongly on the beliefs surrounding risky
19 drinking detection [23, 25, 31].

20 We extracted the relevant data from the included studies – the results, but also took careful
21 consideration of the contexts and methods. Each study’s demographics, recruitment, data
22 collection techniques, and survey instruments were considered in the analysis. We
23 discussed the possible limitations and biases of the included evidence, and how this may

1 have influenced our interpretation of the results until consensus was reached. This process
2 was framed by the perspective that a cohesive social phenomenon existed, and that the
3 differences and apparent inconsistencies in the empirical data were explicable. Themes
4 were synthesised from the construction of a coherent explanation of the evidence. Given
5 the importance of context in our analysis, we reported on both how we understood the
6 evidence-base, and our synthesis of the evidence in our results.

7

8 **4. Results and discussion**

9 **4.1 Quantitative studies**

10 Most of the included studies used quantitative methods. Wallace and Haines (1984) is
11 recognised as one of the pioneering studies in the field of patient attitudes towards health
12 promotion [32]. They developed and used a self-administered questionnaire, the Health
13 Survey Questionnaire, which was subsequently applied in later study populations [33, 34].
14 The details and results of these studies are summarised in Table 1.

15 Although some of the results from these studies were not directly comparable, overall they
16 suggested that patients were positive towards alcohol discussions with their GPs. However,
17 there appeared to be striking variations in estimates between studies. In one UK study, only
18 52% of participants thought that their GP should “definitely” or “probably”, be “interested”
19 in their alcohol drinking [33]. On the other hand, 92% of participants in a US study agreed
20 with the statement “as part of my medical care, my doctor should feel free to ask me how
21 much alcohol I drink” [35], and in another, only 1.8% of participants had a “negative
22 opinion” towards a doctor or nurse talking about alcohol drinking with them [36].

1 Results from two large recent studies suggested that there may be significant patient
2 ambivalence towards alcohol enquiry from GPs. EUROPREVIEW, a cross-sectional survey
3 conducted in primary care practices across 22 European countries, reported in 2012 that
4 only 21.1% of risky drinkers would have liked to receive advice concerning alcohol intake
5 from their GPs [37]. This was similar to an older finding that more than half of patients did
6 not wish for advice on drinking [38]. Aligned with these results, a Swedish national survey
7 published in the same year reported that 28.6% of participants agreed with the statement,
8 “alcohol habits are people's own business and not something health care providers should
9 ask about” [39]. Furthermore, the same study also found that 47.2% and 79% agreed that
10 alcohol enquiry should only take place if the issue was brought up by the patient, or if the
11 patient consulted the doctor with alcohol symptoms respectively [39].

12 **4.2 Qualitative studies**

13 There were only two studies that used qualitative methods, both from the UK [40, 41]. The
14 details and results of these two studies are summarised in Table 2.

15 Stott and Pill (1990) conducted semi-structured interviews in the homes of the participants
16 – a cohort of mothers of lower social class [40]. This study reported that although there
17 were high numerical rates of agreement that GPs should have an interest in alcohol, the
18 participants maintained that it was only appropriate if their health could be directly or
19 potentially affected by this behaviour. There was a broad general agreement that alcohol
20 advice was an extension of the GP role, but decision to take action ultimately rested with
21 the individual.

22 Lock (2004) conducted focus group interviews of general practice patients from an area of
23 England she noted had a strong culture of heavy drinking [41]. These participants revealed

1 that when alcohol discussions occurred opportunistically, they responded to them well.
2 They expressed the preference for these discussions to be conducted with GPs over other
3 healthcare workers. An “appropriate” context was seen to improve acceptability – such as a
4 “well man clinic” or “new patient registration”. The participants also asserted that their
5 relationship with the GP was an important factor in the acceptability of enquiry, producing
6 positive and negative responses depending on existing rapport.

7 **4.3 Understanding the evidence**

8 It appeared that there was little clear empirical evidence available on the beliefs and
9 attitudes that patients have towards alcohol use enquiry from GPs. Moreover, this evidence
10 must be treated cautiously. The results of the two qualitative studies, which potentially
11 provided a conceptual framework to understanding the contexts of the quantitative results,
12 were limited by the narrow demographics of the participants. Results from the quantitative
13 surveys could not be taken at face value – it seemed improbable that the very large
14 variations between the numerical results of these studies represented actual regional
15 differences. It may be that study contexts and methodological biases account for some of
16 the inconsistencies in the quantitative evidence.

17 Firstly, survey respondents may have interpreted the wording of questionnaires in complex
18 manners. For instance, although 84% of participants agreed that “health care providers
19 should routinely ask about alcohol habits”, paradoxically half also agreed that alcohol
20 enquiry should only occur “if the issue was brought up by the patient” in the same survey
21 [39]. This result highlighted a second issue – the use of fixed choices in surveys meant that
22 little was actually known about the beliefs and attitudes that underlie the respondents’
23 categorical answers [40]. It may have been that the participants interpreted the earlier

1 statement as referring to the role responsibilities of a GP, and the latter to how he or she
2 conducted the consultation, but this is conjectural. Stott and Pill's criticism in 1990 of the
3 use of the survey method in understanding patient beliefs and attitudes in this field remains
4 highly relevant to the present day [40].

5 Thirdly, bias from social desirability may be a particular issue. Alcohol problems are one of
6 the most stigmatised health problems in contemporary society [42] and this seemed to have
7 been reflected in some of the quantitative results. For instance in one study, less than 2% of
8 participants self-reported that they had a problem with drinking, compared to 30%, 16%,
9 28% for a problem with weight, smoking and exercise respectively [33]. It is conceivable
10 that the quantitative results were biased towards being more accepting and positive of
11 alcohol discussions than in actual practice. This phenomenon could explain the particularly
12 positive attitudes reported in face-to-face and clinic waiting room surveys [40, 43].

13 **4.4 Evidence synthesis**

14 ***4.4.1. GPs have a legitimate social role as providers of lifestyle advice***

15 GPs seemed to have been viewed by patients as professionals with sufficient training and
16 experience to deal with health and lifestyle problems, including alcohol. There were
17 positive patient attitudes towards GPs taking a role in health promotion generally, though
18 this might not translate to specific consultations. The giving of lifestyle advice was seen by
19 some as an appropriate extension of a doctor's traditional role (Stott & Pill, 1990). If alcohol
20 issues were discussed, there was some evidence that patients preferred this with GPs or
21 practice nurses over other health professionals (Lock, 2004). It appeared that few patients
22 held categorically negative views to GP alcohol enquiry [36, 43].

1 **4.4.2. Alcohol discussions are less acceptable than those on smoking, exercise and**
2 **diet**

3 In surveys that measured patient attitudes towards discussions on a range of health
4 promotion topics, respondents typically had less positive attitudes towards alcohol [32-34,
5 37, 38, 44]. EUROPREVIEW in particular demonstrated that risky drinkers were half as likely
6 to have wanted advice, or believed that they needed to change compared to smokers,
7 individuals with unhealthy eating habits, or those with a lack of physical activity [37].

8 **4.4.3. The context of the consultation affects the acceptability of alcohol enquiry**

9 Contexts such as the reason for presenting and the relationship between patient and doctor
10 appeared to have an important influence on the legitimacy of GP alcohol enquiry. Alcohol
11 discussions were seen to have been acceptable if the topic was brought up by the patient,
12 or, if it was perceived by the patient to have been related to the reason they came. The
13 theme that alcohol discussions should be linked to a current health problem was a
14 reservation held by some participants across a number of studies [39-41]. In consultation
15 with a trusted GP, the perception of sufficient consultation time might have been an
16 incentive for patients to engage in alcohol discussions [41]. Patients have reported both
17 positive and negative experiences to receiving alcohol discussions [40, 41].

18 **4.5 Limitations**

19 There are a number of important limitations to our analysis that need to be considered.
20 Firstly, almost all of the included studies came from countries described as having
21 “Temperance” drinking cultures (UK, Scandinavia, US and Australia) [45]. It is perhaps not
22 surprising that the majority of this research came from countries that have been described
23 as having a “morally charged relationship with alcohol” (p. 9) [45]. As there are large cross-

1 cultural variations in attitudes towards alcohol, it is possible that our findings are culture
2 bound and not applicable to countries with other drinking cultures.

3 Secondly, our literature search was limited only to publications in English. This was a
4 pragmatic limitation. It was possible that we may have missed important non-English
5 studies in our review.

6

7 **5. Conclusion**

8 The empirical evidence-base on patient acceptability towards receiving alcohol enquiry from
9 GPs is limited in breadth and depth. A number of cautious conclusions can be made, and
10 these should be restricted to societies with “Temperance” drinking cultures. Although GP
11 involvement in health promotion was generally perceived as legitimate by patients, alcohol
12 enquiry in consultations was not necessarily welcome. Overall, alcohol enquiry and alcohol
13 discussions were less acceptable than other areas of health promotion (e.g., smoking,
14 exercise and diet). Contextual factors pertaining to the consultation, such as the reason for
15 the visit and the patient-doctor relationship, appeared to have important effects on the
16 acceptability of alcohol enquiry. Details of these have not been well explored in the
17 literature. Understanding these contextual factors may be crucial in implementing risky
18 drinking early detection strategies that are acceptable to patients.

19

1 **Acknowledgement**

2 Michael Tam received the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners (RACGP) Family
3 Medical Care, Education and Research Grant to fund a research project into understanding
4 patient acceptability and attitudes to receiving alcohol use enquiry from GPs. This review is
5 part of that project and the authors gratefully acknowledge the RACGP Foundation for their
6 support.

7

8

1 Table 1

2 Included studies – quantitative surveys

Study	Method	Participants and Location	Findings (summary)
Aalto et al., 2002 [36]	Self-administered questionnaire given at the clinic	1,000 GP patients (67% response) Finland	69% positive opinion of a doctor or nurse talking about alcohol drinking 1.8% negative opinion
Aalto & Seppä, 2004 [46]	Exit poll survey of after GP consultations	2,000 GP patients (60% response) Finland	81% responded that discussions on alcohol were useful
Brotans et al., 2012 [37]	Self-administered questionnaire filled in the clinic	8,007 patients (7,947 analysed) 22 European countries	21% of risky drinkers would like to receive advice 30.5% of risky drinkers reported need to change
Eggleston et al., 1995 [38]	Self-administered postal questionnaire	1,639 GP patients (76% response) United Kingdom	51.4% do not wish for advice on drinking
Herbert & Bass, 1997 [47]	Self-administered postal questionnaire	860 GP patients analysed Canada	85% think doctors should ask about drinking behaviour
Johansson et al., 2005 [48]	Self-administered postal questionnaire	9750 GP patients (69% response) Sweden	62% of patients who expected alcohol advice received it Patients expected advice for alcohol less than for exercise, diet and tobacco use
Mäkelä et al., 2011 [43]	Random population survey – face-to-face interviews	2,725 community members (74% response) Finland	More than 90% had positive or relatively positive attitudes towards being asked about their alcohol use by their doctor or nurse
Miller et al., 2006 [35]	Self-administered waiting room questionnaire	187 GP patients (159 analysed) USA	92% agreeing that “as part of my medical care, my doctor should feel free to ask me how much alcohol I drink”
Nilsen et al., 2012 [39]	Random population survey – self-administered postal questionnaire	5,981 community members (54% response) Sweden	84% agreeing that health care providers should routinely ask patients about their alcohol habits, but; 47% agreeing that health care providers should only ask “if the issue was brought up by the patient”, and; 29% agreeing that “alcohol habits are people’s own business and not something health care providers should ask about”
Richmond et al., 1996 [34]	Self-administered waiting room questionnaire	14,725 GP patients (88% response) Australia	69% agreeing that GPs should definitely or probably be interested in their drinking
Rush et al., 2003 [49]	Random household telephone survey (Ontario area)	941 community members (65% response) Canada	79% agreeing that GPs should routinely ask patients about their drinking
Slama et al., 1989 [50]	Random household telephone survey (Newcastle area)	309 community members (264 analysed) Australia	80% would appreciate (definitely or probably) their GP asking about alcohol related problems 42% would appreciate their GP doing so on each visit

Sullivan, 1988 [44]	Self-administered questionnaire given at the clinic	100 GP patients (86 analysed) United Kingdom	Lowest mean satisfaction score of 3.92 (range 3-5) when discussing alcohol, as compared to 9 other health promotion topics
Wallace & Haines, 1984 [32]	Self-administered postal questionnaire	3,452 GP patients (72% response) United Kingdom	80% agreeing that GPs should be interested in drinking problems
Wallace et al., 1987 [33]	Self-administered postal or clinic questionnaire	>70,394 GP patients (62,153 analysed) United Kingdom	52% agreeing that GPs should definitely or probably be interested in their drinking

1

2 Table 2

3 Included studies – studies using qualitative methods

Author	Method	Participants and Location	Findings (summary)
Lock, 2004 [41]	Focus group study – qualitative thematic analysis	31 GP patients United Kingdom	<p>Positive and negative beliefs and experiences</p> <p>Patients responded positively to questions and advice when in an appropriate context, and with practitioner with whom they have developed a relationship and rapport</p> <p>GP having the training and experience to deal with the problem</p> <p>Specific questions and advice were not always deemed to be appropriate or acceptable</p> <p>GP the preferred health professional to discuss alcohol issues, also because of good relationship or tradition</p> <p>Patients wanted to be praised and encouraged for trying to change behaviour</p> <p>Patients whose lifestyle behaviour was in excess had split views about the appropriateness of advice</p>
Stott & Pill, 1990 [40]	Qualitative semi-structured interview in participant's home	130 mothers of lower SES United Kingdom	<p>90% agreeing that GPs should definitely or probably be interested in their drinking, but for 41%, GP interest only appropriate if behaviour was linked to current illness/could lead to further problems</p> <p>Majority were broadly in favour of giving advice as an appropriate extension of the doctor's role</p> <p>Patients were anxious to assert the ultimate right of the individual to accept or reject advice and were aware of the constraints that might hinder the doctor undertaking health promotion</p> <p>The importance of the patient-doctor relationship was an explicit determinant of outcome, with patients rejecting advice from doctors who were not seen to care.</p>

References

1. World Health Organization, "Problems related to alcohol consumption," World Health Organization, Geneva, 1980.
2. J. B. Saunders, O. G. Aasland, T. F. Babor, J. R. de la Fuente, and M. Grant, "Development of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): WHO Collaborative Project on Early Detection of Persons with Harmful Alcohol Consumption--II," *Addiction*, vol. 88, no. 6, pp. 791-804, 1993.
3. P. Anderson, "Alcohol and Primary Health Care," *WHO Regional Publications, European Series No. 64*, World Health Organization, Copenhagen, 1996.
4. J. B. Saunders, O. G. Aasland, A. Amundsen, and M. Grant, "Alcohol consumption and related problems among primary health care patients: WHO Collaborative Project on Early Detection of Persons with Harmful Alcohol Consumption--I," *Addiction*, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 349-362, 1993.
5. M. M. Berner, L. Kriston, M. Bentele, and M. Harter, "The alcohol use disorders identification test for detecting at-risk drinking: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 461-473, 2007.
6. C. d. Meneses-Gaya, A. W. Zuardi, S. R. Loureiro, and J. A. S. Crippa, "Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): an updated systematic review of psychometric properties," *Psychology & Neuroscience*, vol. 2, pp. 83-97, 2009.
7. D. F. Reinert, and J. P. Allen, "The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test: An update of research findings," *Alcoholism-Clinical and Experimental Research*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 185-199, 2007.
8. E. F. Kaner, H. O. Dickinson, F. Beyer, E. Pienaar, C. Schlesinger, F. Campbell, J. B. Saunders, B. Burnand, and N. Heather, "The effectiveness of brief alcohol

interventions in primary care settings: a systematic review," *Drug and Alcohol Review*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 301-23, 2009.

9. RACGP, "Guidelines for preventive activities in general practice," Royal Australian College of General Practitioners, East Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 2012.
10. V. A. Moyer, "Screening and behavioral counseling interventions in primary care to reduce alcohol misuse: U.S. preventive services task force recommendation statement," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 159, no. 3, pp. 210-218, 2013.
11. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, "Alcohol-use disorders: diagnosis, assessment and management of harmful drinking and alcohol dependence," National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, London, 2011.
12. P. D. Friedmann, D. McCullough, M. H. Chin, and R. Saitz, "Screening and intervention for alcohol problems. A national survey of primary care physicians and psychiatrists," *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 84-91, 2000.
13. P. Nygaard, M. J. Paschall, O. G. Aasland, and K. E. Lund, "Use and Barriers to Use of Screening and Brief Interventions for Alcohol Problems Among Norwegian General Practitioners," *Alcohol and Alcoholism*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 207-212, 2010.
14. Z. Khadjesari, L. Marston, I. Petersen, I. Nazareth, and K. Walters, "Alcohol consumption screening of newly-registered patients in primary care: a cross-sectional analysis," *British Journal of General Practice*, vol. 63, no. 615, pp. e706-12, 2013.
15. M. M. Berner, M. Harter, L. Kriston, M. Lohmann, D. Ruf, G. Lorenz, and G. Mundle, "Detection and management of alcohol use disorders in German primary care influenced by non-clinical factors," *Alcohol and Alcoholism*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 308-16, 2007.

16. E. J. D'Amico, S. M. Paddock, A. Burnam, and F. Y. Kung, "Identification of and guidance for problem drinking by general medical providers: results from a national survey," *Medical Care*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 229-36, 2005.
17. A. L. Reid, G. R. Webb, D. Hennrikus, P. P. Fahey, and R. W. Sanson-Fisher, "Detection of patients with high alcohol intake by general practitioners," *British Medical Journal (Clinical Research Edition)*, vol. 293, no. 6549, pp. 735-7, 1986.
18. P. Rydon, S. Redman, R. W. Sanson-Fisher, and A. L. Reid, "Detection of alcohol-related problems in general practice," *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 197-202, 1992.
19. D. G. Buchsbaum, R. G. Buchanan, R. M. Poses, S. H. Schnoll, and M. J. Lawton, "Physician detection of drinking problems in patients attending a general medicine practice," *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 517-21, 1992.
20. A. L. Leckman, B. E. Umland, and M. Blay, "Prevalence of alcoholism in a family practice center," *Journal of Family Practice*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 867-70, 1984.
21. L. Vande Creek, R. L. Zachrich, and W. E. Scherger, "The use of standardized alcoholism screening tests in family practice," *Family Practice Research Journal*, vol. 2, pp. 11-17, 1982.
22. M. Johnson, R. Jackson, L. Guillaume, P. Meier, and E. Goyder, "Barriers and facilitators to implementing screening and brief intervention for alcohol misuse: a systematic review of qualitative evidence," *Journal of Public Health*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 412-21, 2011.
23. C. W. M. Tam, N. Zwar, and R. Markham, "Australian general practitioner perceptions of the detection and screening of at-risk drinking, and the role of the AUDIT-C: a qualitative study," *BMC Family Practice*, vol. 14, pp. 121, 2013.

24. M. A. Durand, "General practice involvement in the management of alcohol misuse: dynamics and resistances," *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 181-9, 1994.
25. B. Thom, and C. Téllez, "A difficult business: detecting and managing alcohol problems in general practice," *British Journal of Addiction*, vol. 81, no. 3, pp. 405-18, 1986.
26. E. Arborelius, and K. Damström Thakker, "Why is it so difficult for general practitioners to discuss alcohol with patients?," *Family Practice*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 419-22, 1995.
27. M. Aira, J. Kauhanen, P. Larivaara, and P. Rautio, "Factors influencing inquiry about patients' alcohol consumption by primary health care physicians: qualitative semi-structured interview study," *Family Practice*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 270-5, 2003.
28. A. M. Roche, C. Guray, and J. B. Saunders, "General practitioners' experiences of patients with drug and alcohol problems," *British Journal of Addiction*, vol. 86, no. 3, pp. 263-75, 1991.
29. A. Booth, "Unpacking your literature search toolbox: on search styles and tactics," *Health Information & Library Journal*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 313-7, 2008.
30. J. A. Maxwell, *A Realist Approach for Qualitative Research*, SAGE Publications, Inc, Thousand Oaks, CA, 2012.
31. T. Rapley, C. May, and E. Frances Kaner, "Still a difficult business? Negotiating alcohol-related problems in general practice consultations," *Social Science & Medicine*, vol. 63, no. 9, pp. 2418-28, 2006.

32. P. G. Wallace, and A. P. Haines, "General practitioner and health promotion: what patients think," *British Medical Journal (Clinical Research Edition)*, vol. 289, no. 6444, pp. 534-6, 1984.
33. P. G. Wallace, P. J. Brennan, and A. P. Haines, "Are general practitioners doing enough to promote healthy lifestyle? Findings of the Medical Research Council's general practice research framework study on lifestyle and health," *British Medical Journal (Clinical Research Edition)*, vol. 294, no. 6577, pp. 940-2, 1987.
34. R. Richmond, L. Kehoe, N. Heather, A. Wodak, and I. Webster, "General practitioners' promotion of healthy life styles: what patients think," *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 195-200, 1996.
35. P. M. Miller, S. E. Thomas, and R. Mallin, "Patient attitudes towards self-report and biomarker alcohol screening by primary care physicians," *Alcohol and Alcoholism*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 306-10, 2006.
36. M. Aalto, P. Pekuri, and K. Seppä, "Primary health care professionals' activity in intervening in patients' alcohol drinking: a patient perspective," *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 39-43, 2002.
37. C. Brotons, M. Bulc, M. R. Sammut, M. Sheehan, C. Manuel da Silva Martins, C. Bjorkelund, A. J. Drenthen, D. Duhot, S. Gorpelioglu, E. Jurgova, S. Keinanen-Kiukkanniemi, P. Kotanyi, V. Markou, I. Moral, A. Mortsiefer, L. Pas, I. Pichler, D. Sghedoni, R. Tataradze, E. Thireos, L. Valius, J. Vuchak, C. Collins, E. Cornelis, R. Ciurana, P. Kloppe, A. Mierzecki, K. Nadaraia, and M. Godycki-Cwirko, "Attitudes toward preventive services and lifestyle: the views of primary care patients in Europe. the EUROPREVIEW patient study," *Family Practice*, vol. 29 Suppl 1, pp. i168-i176, 2012.

38. J. Eggleston, J. Gallagher, M. Gallagher, T. Hares, E. Murray, N. Naroz, T. Owen, P. Price, L. Pym, L. Reed, and et al., "Who should give lifestyle advice in general practice and what factors influence attendance at health promotion clinics? Survey of patients' views," *British Journal of General Practice*, vol. 45, no. 401, pp. 669-71, 1995.
39. P. Nilsen, P. Bendtsen, J. McCambridge, N. Karlsson, and K. Dalal, "When is it appropriate to address patients' alcohol consumption in health care-national survey of views of the general population in Sweden," *Addictive Behaviors*, vol. 37, no. 11, pp. 1211-1216, 2012.
40. N. C. Stott, and R. M. Pill, "'Advise yes, dictate no'. Patients' views on health promotion in the consultation," *Family Practice*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 125-31, 1990.
41. C. A. Lock, "Alcohol and brief intervention in primary health care: what do patients think?," *Primary Health Care Research and Development*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 162-178, 2004.
42. L. Williamson, "Destigmatizing alcohol dependence: the requirement for an ethical (not only medical) remedy," *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 102, no. 5, pp. e5-8, 2012.
43. P. Mäkelä, M. Havio, and K. Seppä, "Alcohol-related discussions in health care--a population view," *Addiction*, vol. 106, no. 7, pp. 1239-48, 2011.
44. D. Sullivan, "Opportunistic health promotion: do patients like it?," *Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners*, vol. 38, no. 306, pp. 24-5, 1988.
45. The Social Issues Research Centre, *Social and cultural aspects of drinking: a report to the European commission*, The Social Issues Research Centre, Oxford, UK, 1998.

46. M. Aalto, and K. Seppä, "Usefulness, length and content of alcohol-related discussions in primary health care: the exit poll survey," *Alcohol and Alcoholism*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 532-5, 2004.
47. C. Herbert, and F. Bass, "Early at-risk alcohol intake. Definitions and physicians' role in modifying behaviour," *Canadian Family Physician*, vol. 43, pp. 639-44, 1997.
48. K. Johansson, P. Bendtsen, and I. Åkerlind, "Advice to patients in Swedish primary care regarding alcohol and other lifestyle habits: how patients report the actions of GPs in relation to their own expectations and satisfaction with the consultation," *The European Journal of Public Health*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 615-620, 2005.
49. B. R. Rush, K. A. Urbanoski, and B. A. Allen, "Physicians' enquiries into their patients' alcohol use: public views and recalled experiences," *Addiction*, vol. 98, no. 7, pp. 895-900, 2003.
50. K. J. Slama, S. Redman, J. Cockburn, and R. W. Sanson-Fisher, "Community Views About the Role of General Practitioners in Disease Prevention," *Family Practice*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 203-209, 1989.