

A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 16 September 2014.

[View the peer-reviewed version](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.570) (peerj.com/articles/570), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Dentinger BTM, Suz LM. 2014. What's for dinner? Undescribed species of porcini in a commercial packet. PeerJ 2:e570
<https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.570>

1 What's for dinner?: Undescribed species of porcini in a commercial packet

3 *Abstract*

4 Accurate diagnosis of the components of our food and a standard lexicon for clear
5 communication is essential for regulating global food trade and identifying food frauds.
6 Reliable identification of wild collected foods can be particularly difficult, especially when
7 they originate in under-documented regions or belong to poorly known groups such as *Fungi*.
8 Porcini, one of the most widely traded wild edible mushrooms in the world, are large and
9 conspicuous and they are used as a food both on their own and in processed food products.
10 China is a major exporter of porcini, most of it ending up in Europe. We used DNA-
11 sequencing to identify three species of mushroom contained within a commercial packet of
12 dried Chinese porcini purchased in London. Surprisingly, all three have never been formally
13 described by science and required new scientific names. This demonstrates the ubiquity of
14 unknown fungal diversity even in widely traded commercial food products from one of the
15 most charismatic and least overlooked groups of mushrooms. Our rapid analysis and
16 description makes it possible to reliably identify these species, allowing their harvest to be
17 monitored and their presence tracked in the food chain.

26 Bryn T.M. Dentinger
 27 Mycology Section, Jodrell Laboratory, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey,
 28 TW9 3DS, UK

29

30 Laura M. Suz
 31 Mycology Section, Jodrell Laboratory, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey,
 32 TW9 3DS, UK

33

34 Corresponding author:

35 Bryn T M Dentinger

36 Jodrell Laboratory, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 3DS, UK

37 (020) 8332 5106

38 b.dentinger@kew.org

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Introduction

Kingdom *Fungi* is one of the most diverse groups of eukaryotes with estimates ranging from 500,000 to nearly 10 million species, yet they remain vastly underdocumented (Bass & Richards, 2011). Present rates of description, which add on average about 1200 new species annually (Hibbett et al., 2011), are grossly inadequate for the task. Recent attempts to accelerate species description using short, unique DNA sequences ‘DNA barcoding’ (Hebert et al., 2003) and rapid, short description ‘turbo-taxonomy’ (Butcher et al., 2012) hold promise for meeting this enormous challenge (Riedel et al. 2013), yet they still remain marginal to traditional methods for formal diagnosis of fungal diversity.

Although taxonomists regard new fungal taxa as commonplace, they are often of little apparent consequence to human society and largely go unnoticed by the public. Like all groups of organisms, our knowledge of fungal diversity is biased towards taxa of greatest concern to ourselves, such as edible fungi. For example, wild mushrooms collected and sold as food around the world generally belong to a handful of well-known taxa (e.g. truffles and chanterelles), most of which have long histories of use in European cuisine. However, even some of these well-known groups have been shown to contain underappreciated levels of diversity. One of these, porcini, has recently been shown to be far more diverse than previously thought (Dentinger et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2012), suggesting the potential for unknown species to end up in the international food supply chain. Although no porcini are known to be poisonous, food allergens have been reported from them (Torricelli et al., 1997; Helbling et al., 2002; Castillo et al., 2013). Therefore, insufficient knowledge of the porcini species contained in food products could pose a health concern.

Porcini are estimated to have an annual worldwide consumption up to 100,000 metric tons (Hall et al., 1998). However, their harvest is restricted to wild foraging since, to date, their cultivation has failed. The high prices for this wild food foraged locally in Europe and

North America has driven the market towards less costly sources, such as China (Sitta & Floriani, 2008). According to the official website of Yunnan Province (www.yunnan.cn), the major exporter of wild mushrooms in China, locally-sourced porcini have been exported to Europe since 1973, and mushrooms of Chinese origin now account for approximately half of all dried porcini in Italy (Sitta & Floriani, 2008). The Chinese species of porcini have been shown previously to be more closely related to European *Boletus aereus* than they are to the core commercial species, *B. edulis*, with which they last shared a common ancestor millions of years ago (Dentinger et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2012).

We set out to identify the contents of dried porcini originating in Yunnan, China, commercially available in the UK using DNA barcoding and generalized mixed Yule coalescent (GMYC) analysis, a widely used approach to delimit species using single-locus data (Pons et al., 2006).

Material and Methods

A packet of dried porcini was purchased from a in southwest greater London in October 2013. Fifteen pieces of mushroom were removed arbitrarily from the packet and DNA extracted using the Sigma Extract-N-Amp kit. The full ITS region of the nrDNA was PCR-amplified using primers ITS1F and ITS4 (White et al., 1990; Gardes & Bruns, 1993). Successful amplicons were purified using ExoSAP-IT (USB, Cleveland, OH) and sequenced bidirectionally using BigDye3.1 with an ABI 3730 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Complementary unidirectional reads were aligned and edited using Sequencher 4.2 (GeneCodes, Ann Arbor, MI).

New sequences were combined with 22 related sequences downloaded from GenBank corresponding to “*Boletus* sp. nov. 2”(EU231965-66; Dentinger et al., 2010)”*Boletus* sp. nov. 6”(JN563907-08, -09, -11-13, -17; Feng et al., 2012), “*Boletus* sp. nov. 3”(EU231964;

101 Dentinger et al., 2010)"/"Boletus sp. nov. 7"(JQ172782-83, JN563901-06; Feng et al., 2012),
 102 and "Boletus sp. nov. 5"(JQ563914-16, -18-19; Feng et al., 2012). A total of 38 ingroup
 103 sequences and one outgroup sequence (*Boletus aereus*, UDB000940) were aligned using
 104 MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) in SeaView v4.4.0 (Galtier, Gouy & Gautier, 1996) and the terminal
 105 gaps converted to missing data. A maximum likelihood tree was generated under a GTR+G
 106 substitution model using the Pthreads parallelized version of RAxML v7.0.3 (Stamatakis,
 107 2006; Ott et al., 2007) with nonparametric rapid bootstrapping set to automatically terminate
 108 with the 'autoMRE' function. A GMYC analysis using the single method (Pons et al., 2006;
 109 Fujisawa & Barraclough, 2013) was conducted with the 'splits' package (v1.0-18) in R
 110 version 2.15.0 (R Development Core Team 2009) on an ultrametric tree generated using
 111 BEAST v1.8.0 (Drummond et al., 2012). The BEAST analysis applied a rate-smoothing
 112 algorithm using an uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock model (Drummond et al., 2006), the
 113 GTR+G substitution model, speciation under a Yule process, the 'ucl.d.mean' prior set to a
 114 gamma distribution with a shape of .001 and a scale of 1000 with all other priors set to
 115 default values, and 10 million generations sampling every 1000 generations. An ultrametric
 116 starting tree was provided using the best ML tree from RAxML with branches transformed
 117 using non-parametric rate smoothing in TreeEdit v1.0a10 on The perl script Burntrees
 118 [Nylander J.A.A., <http://www.abc.se/~nylander/burntrees/burntrees.html>] was used to sample
 119 every 98 trees from the stationary posterior distribution in the BEAST analysis after the first
 120 250 were discarded as the burn-in. These 100 trees were imported for Bayesian GMYC
 121 (bGMYC) analysis in R (Reid & Carstens, 2012). Twenty-six GMYC models were evaluated
 122 within the 95% confidence and significant clusters were described as new taxa using the
 123 'turbo-taxonomy' approach (Butcher et al. 2012), facilitated by the rapid e-publishing tool
 124 available through Index Fungorum (www.indexfungorum.org). Voucher material was

deposited in the fungarium at the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (K) and all sequences were submitted to GenBank (KF815926-937, KF854281-283).

Results and Discussion

The GMYC model with the greatest significant ML score included three ML clusters (1-10 clusters with 95% confidence) plus the root (4 ML entities; 2-23 with 95% confidence). GMYC supports for the three ML clusters were weak, low bGMYC posterior probabilities indicated a substantial level of phylogenetic uncertainty, while the maximum likelihood bootstraps supported reciprocal monophyly (79%, 76% and 100% for each cluster respectively; Figure 1). This result suggests that GMYC may be particularly sensitive to phylogenetic uncertainty, even though it distinguished the same three clades supported by ML bootstrapping. The phylogenetic uncertainty in this dataset is almost certainly caused by a high ratio of autapomorphic substitutions and insertion/deletion events to phylogenetically informative changes. These autoapomorphies translate into longer terminal branch lengths relative to internal nodes, which reduces the distinction of within and between cluster branching patterns, a phenomenon that is known to affect GMYC supports (Fujisawa & Barraclough, 2013). These autapomorphies may indicate true variation in the ITS region, although our own observations suggest they may instead be the result of sequencing and editing errors in the sequences downloaded from GenBank, for which we did not have the original trace files to confirm. Such errors can have large impacts on phylogenetic inference when the number of phylogenetically informative sites is small, such as in ITS sequences of recently diverged fungi, underscoring the importance of careful scrutiny during sequence preparation.

Three species could be identified based on corroboration of ML-supported reciprocal monophyly and GMYC clustering, and these corresponded to lineages previously reported in

150 phylogenetic analyses (Dentinger et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2012, Sitta & Floriani, 2008), but
151 none of which were formally named or described. Review of recent treatments of Chinese
152 boletes also did not provide names for these taxa, which have been treated as a handful of
153 species that occur in Europe and North America (Zang, 2006). New names were formally
154 published on 12 October 2013 (see
155 <http://www.indexfungorum.org/Publications/Index%20Fungorum%20no.29.pdf> for terse
156 descriptions¹, voucher information, and GenBank accessions corresponding to these taxa).

157 Together with improvements in single-locus diagnosis leading to more robust
158 inferences of evolutionary significant units (Butcher et al., 2012), rapid survey and diagnosis
159 of vast communities of undescribed diversity is initiating a revolution in taxonomy (Riedel et
160 al., 2013). This is particularly true for *Fungi*, which are hyperdiverse and largely cryptic,
161 requiring indirect detection with environmental sequencing for documenting their true
162 diversity (Taylor et al. 2014, Lücking et al. 2014). As a consequence, a vast quantity of
163 fungal diversity is only known from DNA sequences, and these are accumulating in public
164 databases at incredibly rapid rates (Hibbett et al., 2011). Turbo-taxonomy is an important
165 improvement to efficiency in reconciling molecular diagnosis with a standard application of
166 names that enable universal communication about biodiversity. Together, DNA sequence-
167 based diagnosis and turbo-taxonomy catalyze description of new species, thereby greatly
168 accelerating the rate at which diversity can be documented and recognized. Although
169 descriptions based on features of organisms that are readily observed without specialized
170 techniques are ideal, this is not always possible and descriptions based on features of DNA
171 sequences could be automated to satisfy rules on naming. Automated pipelines that integrate
172 analysis, taxonomy, and nomenclature will soon accelerate this revolution, enabling us to
173 capture the most comprehensive baseline information on global organismal diversity

¹ The numbers reported in the original descriptions should be multiplied by 2.43 to achieve correct measurements of cells and spores.

possible. Given estimated rates of species extinction from 0.1-5% per year (Costello et al., 2013), and using recent estimates of global fungal diversity of ~6 million species (Taylor et al., 2014), extinction rates may exceed description rates in *Fungi* by up to 5 times. An ‘integrative fast track’ approach (Riedel et al. 2013) offers the only tractable solution presently available to filling this knowledge gap. And as has been shown here with the three new species of porcini in a widely available commercial product, this knowledge gap can and does have direct impacts on our lives.

Conclusions

Our analysis of 15 pieces of dried porcini mushrooms from a single commercial packet showed three species corresponding to lineages that although previously reported in phylogenetic analyses have never been formally named or described until now. The recognition of these species enables them to be monitored in foods and facilitates countries’ adherence to international agreements on exploitation of wildlife, i.e. the Convention on Biological Diversity.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Rachel Mason Dentinger, who serendipitously supported this research through a spontaneous purchase of dried porcini for our dinner, and to Paul Kirk for nomenclatural advice and for facilitating the e-publication of the taxonomic treatments cited in this study. Meredith Oyen helped locate and translate the Chinese website. We are also grateful for the comments from the editor and four reviewers that improved this report.

References

199 Bass D, Richards TA. 2011. Three reasons to re-evaluate fungal diversity 'on Earth and in the
 200 ocean'. *Fungal Biology Reviews* 25:159-164.
 201

202 Butcher BA, Smith MA, Sharkey MJ, Quicke DLJ. 2012. A turbo-taxonomic study of Thai
 203 *Aleiodes* (*Aleiodes*) and *Aleiodes* (*Arcaleiodes*) (hymenoptera: braconidae: rogadinae) based
 204 largely on COI barcoded specimens, with rapid descriptions of 179 new species.
 205 *Zootaxa* 3457:1-232.
 206

207 Castillo C, Lara B, Cruz M-J, Muñoz X. 2013. Protein identification of two allergens of
 208 *Boletus edulis* causing occupational asthma. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical*
 209 *Care Medicine* 187:1146-1148.
 210

211 Costello MJ, May RM, Stork NE. 2013. Can we name Earth's species before they go extinct?
 212 *Science* 339:413-416.
 213

214 Dentinger BTM, Ammirati JF, Both EE, Desjardin DE, Halling RE, Henkel TW, Moreau P-
 215 A, Nagasawa E, Soyong K, Taylor AF, Watling R, Moncalvo J-M, McLaughlin DJ. 2010.
 216 Molecular phylogenetics of porcini mushrooms (*Boletus* section *Boletus*). *Molecular*
 217 *Phylogenetics and Evolution* 57:1276-1292.
 218

219 Drummond AJ, Suchard, MA, Xie D, Rambaut A. 2012. Bayesian phylogenetics with
 220 BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 29:1969-1973.
 221

222 Drummond AJ, Ho SYW, Phillips MJ, Rambaut A. 2006. Relaxed Phylogenetics and Dating
 223 with Confidence. *PLoS Biology* 4:e88.

224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246

Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research* 32:1792-1797.

Feng B, Xu J, Wu G, Zeng N-K, Li Y-C, Tolgor B, Kost GW, Yang Z-L. 2012. DNA sequence analyses reveal abundant diversity, endemism and evidence for Asian origin of the porcini mushrooms. *PLoS ONE* 7:e37567.

Fujisawa T, Barraclough TG. 2013. Delimiting species using single-locus data and the generalized mixed Yule coalescent approach: A revised method and evaluation on simulated data sets. *Systematic Biology* 62:707-724.

Galtier N, Gouy M, Gautier C. 1996. SEAVIEW and PHYLO_WIN: two graphic tools for sequence alignment and molecular phylogeny. *Computer Applications in the Biosciences* 12:543-548.

Gardes M, Bruns TD. 1993. ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes – application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. *Molecular Ecology* 2:113–118.

Gouy M, Guindon S, Gascuel O. 2010. SeaView version 4: a multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*. 27:221-224.

247 Hebert PDN, Cywinska A, Ball SL, De Waard JR. 2003. Biological identifications through
248 DNA barcodes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*
249 270:313-321.
250
251 Helbling A, Bonadies N, Brander KA, Pichler WJ. 2002. *Boletus edulis*: a digestion-resistant
252 allergen may be relevant for food allergy. *Clinical & Experimental Allergy* 32:771-775.
253
254 Hibbett DS, Ohman A, Glotzer D, Nuhn M, Kirk PM, Nilsson RH. 2011. Progress in
255 molecular and morphological taxon discovery in Fungi and options for formal classification
256 of environmental sequences. *Fungal Biology Reviews* 39:147-182.
257
258 Lücking R, Dal-Forno M, Sikaroodi M, Gillevet PM, Bungartz F, Moncada B, Yáñez-
259 Ayabaca A, Chaves JL, Coca LF, Lawrey JD. 2014. A single macrolichen constitutes
260 hundreds of unrecognized species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
261 *United States of America*. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1403517111
262
263 Ott M, Zola J, Aluru S, Stamatakis A. 2007. Large-scale Maximum Likelihood-based
264 Phylogenetic Analysis on the IBM BlueGene/L. *Proceedings of ACM/IEEE Supercomputing*
265 *conference*. Article No. 4.
266
267 Pons J, Barraclough TG, Gómez-Zurita J, Cardoso A, Duran DP, Hazell S, Kamoun S,
268 Sumlin WD, Vogler AP. 2006. Sequence-based species delimitation for the DNA taxonomy
269 of undescribed insects. *Systematic Biology* 55:595-609.
270

271 Reid NM, Carstens BC. 2012. Phylogenetic estimation error can decrease the accuracy of
 272 species delimitation: a Bayesian implementation of the general mixed Yule-coalescent model.
 273 *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 12:196.

274

275 Riedel A, Sagata K, Suhardjono YR, Tänzler R, Balke M. 2013. Integrative taxonomy on the
 276 fast track - towards more sustainability in biodiversity research. *Frontiers in Zoology* 10:15.

277

278 Sitta N, Floriani M. 2008. Nationalization and globalization trends in the wild mushroom
 279 commerce of Italy with emphasis on porcini (*Boletus edulis* and allied species). *Economic*
 280 *Botany* 62:307-322.

281

282 Stamatakis A. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses
 283 with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 22:2688.

284

285 Taylor DL, Hollingsworth TN, McFarland JW, Lennon NJ, Nusbaum C, Ruess RW. 2014. A
 286 first comprehensive census of fungi in soil reveals both hyperdiversity and fine-scale niche
 287 partitioning. *Ecological Monographs* 84:3–20.

288

289 Torricelli R, Johansson SG, Wütrich B. 1997. Ingestive and inhalative allergy to the
 290 mushroom *Boletus edulis*. *Allergy* 52:747-751.

291

292 White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal
 293 ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, et al., ed. *PCR Protocols: a Guide to*
 294 *Methods and Applications* San Diego: Academic Press.

295

296 Zang M. 2006. *Flora fungorum sinicorum: Boletaceae (I)*. Beijing: Science Press.

297

298

Figure 1. Ultrametric tree rooted with *Boletus aereus* and with branch lengths transformed using the uncorrelated relaxed clock model in BEAST. The relationship of *Boletus edulis* to the dataset is depicted using a dashed line. Clades with dark red branches represent the three maximum likelihood clusters in the GMYC model with the greatest ML score calculated using the single method in the ‘splits’ package in R. Terminal labels in blue represent sequences derived from individual pieces of mushroom sampled from a commercial packet of porcini. Pie charts on branches show maximum likelihood bootstraps (‘MLBS’; lightest red), GMYC supports [19] (‘GMYC’; medium red), and posterior probabilities of the cluster as calculated using bGMYC (‘bGMYC’; darkest red).

