A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 16 September 2014.

View the peer-reviewed version (peerj.com/articles/570), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Dentinger BTM, Suz LM. 2014. What's for dinner? Undescribed species of porcini in a commercial packet. PeerJ 2:e570 https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.570

What's for dinner?: Undescribed species in commercial porcini from China

Accurate diagnosis of the components of our food and a standard lexicon for clear communication is essential for regulating global food trade and identifying food frauds. Reliable identification of wild collected foods can be particularly difficult, especially when they originate in under-documented regions or belong to poorly known groups such as *Fungi*. Porcini, one of the most widely traded wild edible mushrooms in the world, are large and conspicuous and they are used as a food both on their own and in processed food products. China is a major exporter of porcini, most of it ending up in Europe. We used DNA-sequencing to identify three species of mushroom contained within a commercial packet of dried Chinese porcini purchased in London. Surprisingly, all three have never been formally described by science and required new scientific names. This demonstrates the ubiquity of unknown fungal diversity even in widely traded commercial food products from one of the most charismatic and least overlooked groups of mushrooms. Our rapid analysis and description makes it possible to reliably identify these species in the food chain, leading to an improved ability to regulate their harvest and trade, and to monitor potential adverse health effects from their consumption.

PeerJ PrePrints | http://dx.doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.423v1 | CC-BY 4.0 Open Access | received: 26 Jun 2014, published: 26 Jun 2014

1 Bryn T.M. Dentinger

2 Mycology Section, Jodrell Laboratory, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9

3 3DS, UK

4 Laura M. Suz

5 Mycology Section, Jodrell Laboratory, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9

6 3DS, UK

7 Corresponding author:

8 Bryn T M Dentinger

9 Jodrell Laboratory, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 3DS, UK

10 (020) 8332 5106

11 b.dentinger@kew.org

PeerJ PrePrints | http://dx.doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.423v1 | CC-BY 4.0 Open Access | received: 26 Jun 2014, published: 26 Jun 2014

Introduction

Fungi is one of the most diverse Kingdoms of eukaryotes with estimates ranging from 500,000 to nearly 10 million species, yet they remain vastly underdocumented (Bass & Richards, 2011). Present rates of description, which add on average about 1200 new species annually (Hibbett et al., 2011), are grossly inadequate for the task. Recent attempts to accelerate species description using short, unique DNA sequences 'DNA barcoding' (Hebert et al., 2003) and rapid, short description 'turbo-taxonomy' (Butcher et al., 2012) hold promise for meeting this enormous challenge, yet they still remain marginal to traditional methods for formal diagnosis of fungal diversity.

Although taxonomists regard new fungal taxa as commonplace, they are often of little apparent consequence to human society and largely go unnoticed by the public. Like all groups of organisms, our knowledge of fungal diversity is biased towards taxa of greatest concern to ourselves, such as fungi used as food. For example, wild mushrooms collected and sold as food around the world generally belong to a handful of well-known taxa (e.g. truffles and chanterelles), most of which have long histories of use in European cuisine. However, even some of these well-known groups have been shown to contain underappreciated levels of diversity. One of these, porcini, has recently been shown to be far more diverse than previously thought (Dentinger et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2012), suggesting the potential for unknown species to end up in the international food supply chain. This could pose a health concern and lead to undesirable effects on our environment through improper regulation of their harvest.

Porcini are estimated to have an annual worldwide production up to 100,000 metric tons (Dentinger et al., 2010). However, their harvest is restricted to wild foraging since, to date, their cultivation has failed. The high prices for this wild food foraged locally in Europe and North America, and an increasing demand from a growing population and the trend in wild foraged foods, has driven the market towards less costly sources, such as China. According to the official

PeerJ PrePrints | http://dx.doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.423v1 | CC-BY 4.0 Open Access | received: 26 Jun 2014, published: 26 Jun 2014

website of Yunnan Province (www.yunnan.cn), the major exporter of wild mushrooms in China, locally-sourced porcini have been exported to Europe since 1973, and mushrooms of Chinese origin now account for approximately half of all dried porcini in Italy (Sitta & Floriani, 2008), even though they are more closely related to *Boletus aereus* than they are to the core commercial species, *B. edulis* (Dentinger et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2012, Sitta & Floriani, 2008).

We set out to identify the contents of dried porcini originating in Yunnan, China, commercially available in the UK (www.tropicalwholefoods.com) using DNA barcoding and generalized mixed Yule coalescent (GMYC) analysis, a widely used approach to delimit species using single-locus data (Pons et al., 2006).

Material and Methods

A packet of dried porcini from the company Tropical Wholefoods (Fullwell Mill Ltd, Sunderland, Tyne and Wear, UK; www.tropicalwholefoods.com) was purchased from the retailer Gaia Wholefoods (Twickenham, Middlesex, UK; www.gaiawholefoods.co.uk) in southwest greater London in October 2013. Fifteen pieces of mushroom were removed from the packet and DNA extracted using the Sigma Extract-N-Amp kit. The full ITS region of the nrDNA was PCR-amplified using primers ITS1F and ITS4 (White et al., 1990; Gardes & Bruns, 1993). Successful amplicons were purified using ExoSAP-IT (USB, Cleveland, OH) and sequenced bidirectionally using BigDye3.1 with an ABI 3730 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Complementary unidirectional reads were aligned and edited using Sequencher 4.2 (GeneCodes, Ann Arbor, MI).

A total of 38 ingroup sequences and one outgroup sequence (*Boletus aereus*, UDB000940) were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) in SeaView v4.4.0 (Galtier, Gouy & Gautier, 1996) and the terminal gaps converted to missing data. A maximum likelihood tree was generated under a GTR+G substitution model using the Pthreads parallelized version of RAxML v7.0.3 (Stamatakis, 2006; Ott et al., 2007) with nonparametric rapid bootstrapping set to

automatically terminate with the 'autoMRE' function. A GMYC analysis using the single method (Pons et al., 2006; Fujisawa & Barraclough, 2013) was conducted with the 'splits' package (v1.0-18) in R version 2.15.0 (R Development Core Team 2009) on an ultrametric tree generated using BEAST v1.8.0 (Drummond et al., 2012). The BEAST analysis applied a rate-smoothing algorithm using an uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock model (Drummond et al., 2006), the GTR+G substitution model, speciation under a Yule process, the 'ucld.mean' prior set to a gamma distribution with a shape of .001 and a scale of 1000 with all other priors set to default values, and 10 million generations sampling every 1000 generations. An ultrametric starting tree was provided using the best ML tree from RAxML with branches transformed using non-parametric rate smoothing in TreeEdit v1.0a10 on The perl script Burntrees [Nylander J.A.A., http://www.abc.se/~nylander/burntrees/burntrees.html] was used to sample every 98 trees from the stationary posterior distribution in the BEAST analysis after the first 250 were discarded as the burn-in. These 100 trees were imported for Bayesian GMYC (bGMYC) analysis in R (Reid & Carstens, 2012). Twenty-six GMYC models were evaluated within the 95% confidence and significant clusters were described as new taxa using the 'turbo-taxonomy' approach (Butcher et al. 2012), facilitated by the rapid e-publishing tool available through Index Fungorum (www.indexfungorum.org). Voucher material was deposited in the fungarium at the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (K) and all sequences were submitted to GenBank (KF815926-937, KF854281-283).

Results and Discussion

The GMYC model with the greatest significant ML score included three ML clusters (1-10 clusters with 95% confidence) plus the root (4 ML entities; 2-23 with 95% confidence). GMYC supports for the three ML clusters were weak, low bGMYC posterior probabilities indicated a substantial level of phylogenetic uncertainty, while the maximum likelihood

bootstraps strongly supported reciprocal monophyly (79%, 76% and 100% for each cluster respectively; Figure 1). Three species could be identified, corresponding to lineages previously reported in phylogenetic analyses (Dentinger et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2012, Sitta & Floriani, 2008), but none of which were formally named or described. Review of recent treatments of Chinese boletes also did not provide names for these taxa, which have been treated as a handful of species that occur in Europe and North America (Zang, 2006). These new taxa were officially published 12 October 2013 (see http://www.indexfungorum.org/Publications/Index%20Fungorum%20no.29.pdf for details on morphology[1] and voucher information).

Together with improvements in single-locus diagnosis leading to more robust inferences of evolutionary significant units (Butcher et al., 2012), rapid survey and diagnosis of vast communities of undescribed diversity is initiating a revolution in taxonomy. This is particularly true for *Fungi*, which are hyperdiverse and largely cryptic, requiring indirect detection with environmental sequencing for documenting their true diversity. As a consequence, a vast quantity of fungal diversity is only known from DNA sequences, and these are accumulating in public databases at incredibly rapid rates (Hibbett et al., 2011). Turbo-taxonomy is an important improvement to efficiency in reconciling molecular diagnosis with a standard application of names that enable universal communication about biodiversity. Together, DNA sequence-based diagnosis and turbo-taxonomy catalyzes description of new species, thereby greatly accelerating the rate at which diversity can be documented and recognized. Although descriptions based on features of organisms that are readily observed without specialized techniques are ideal, this is not always possible and descriptions based on features of DNA sequences could be automated to satisfy rules on naming. Automated pipelines that integrate analysis, taxonomy, and nomenclature will soon complete this revolution, enabling us to capture the most comprehensive baseline

1 The numbers reported in the original descriptions should be multiplied by 2.43 to achieve correct measurements of cells and spores.

information on global organismal diversity possible. Given estimated rates of species extinction from 0.1-5% per year (Costello et al., 2013), automated diversity diagnosis offers the only tractable solution presently available to filling this knowledge gap. And as has been shown here with the three new species of porcini in a widely available commercial product, this knowledge gap can and does have direct impacts on our lives.

Conclusions

Our analysis of 15 pieces from a single packet showed three species corresponding to lineages that although previously reported in phylogenetic analyses have never been formally named or described until now. The recognition of these species will enable better regulations to improve food safety and to enable countries to adhere to international agreements on exploitation of wildlife, i.e. the Convention on Biological Diversity.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Rachel Mason Dentinger, who serendipitously supported this research through a spontaneous purchase of dried porcini for our dinner, and to Paul Kirk for nomenclatural advice and for facilitating the e-publication of the taxonomic treatments cited in this study. Meredith Oyen helped locate and translate the Chinese website.

References

Bass D, Richards TA. 2011. Three reasons to re-evaluate fungal diversity 'on Earth and in the ocean'. *Fungal Biology Reviews* 25:159-164.

127 Butcher BA, Smith MA, Sharkey MJ, Quicke DLJ. 2012. A turbo-taxonomic study of *Thai*
128 *Aleiodes* (*Aleiodes*) and *Aleiodes* (*Arcaleiodes*) (hymenoptera: braconidae: rogadinae) based
129 largely on COI barcoded specimens, with rapid descriptions of 179 new species. *Zootaxa* 3457:1-
130 232.

131 Costello MJ, May RM, Stork NE. 2013. Can we name Earth's species before they go extinct?
132 *Science* 339:413-416.

133 Dentinger BTM, Ammirati JF, Both EE, Desjardin DE, Halling RE, Henkel TW, Moreau P-A,
134 Nagasawa E, Soytong K, Taylor AF, Watling R, Moncalvo J-M, McLaughlin DJ. 2010. Molecular
135 phylogenetics of porcini mushrooms (*Boletus* section *Boletus*). *Molecular Phylogenetics and*
136 *Evolution* 57:1276-1292.

137 Drummond AJ, Suchard, MA, Xie D, Rambaut A. 2012. Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti
138 and the BEAST 1.7. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 29:1969-1973.

139 Drummond AJ, Ho SYW, Phillips MJ, Rambaut A. 2006. Relaxed Phylogenetics and Dating with
140 Confidence. *PLoS Biology* 4:e88.

141 Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
142 throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research* 32:1792-1797.

143 Feng B, Xu J, Wu G, Zeng N-K, Li Y-C, Tolgor B, Kost GW, Yang Z-L. 2012. DNA sequence
144 analyses reveal abundant diversity, endemism and evidence for Asian origin of the porcini
145 mushrooms. *PLoS ONE* 7:e37567.

146 Fujisawa T, Barraclough TG. 2013. Delimiting species using single-locus data and the
147 generalized mixed Yule coalescent approach: A revised method and evaluation on simulated data
148 sets. *Systematic Biology* 62:707-724.

149 Galtier N, Gouy M, Gautier C. 1996. SEAVIEW and PHYLO_WIN: two graphic tools for
150 sequence alignment and molecular phylogeny. *Computer Applications in the Biosciences* 12:543-
151 548.

152 Gardes M, Bruns TD. 1993. ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes –
153 application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. *Molecular Ecol*ogy 2:113–118.

154 Gouy M, Guindon S, Gascuel O. 2010. SeaView version 4: a multiplatform graphical user
155 interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. *Molecular Biology and*
156 *Evolution*. 27:221-224.

157 Hibbett DS, Ohman A, Glotzer D, Nuhn M, Kirk PM, Nilsson RH. 2011. Progress in molecular
158 and morphological taxon discovery in Fungi and options for formal classification of
159 environmental sequences. *Fungal Biology Reiews* 39:147-182.

160 Hebert PDN, Cywinska A, Ball SL De Waard JR. 2003. Biological identifications through DNA
161 barcodes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences* 270:313-
162 321.

163 Ott M, Zola J, Aluru S, Stamatakis A. 2007. Large-scale Maximum Likelihood-based
164 Phylogenetic Analysis on the IBM BlueGene/L. *Proceedings of ACM/IEEE Supercomputing*
165 *conference*. Article No. 4.

166 Pons J, Barraclough TG, Gómez-Zurita J, Cardoso A, Duran DP, Hazell S, Kamoun S, Sumlin
167 WD, Vogler AP. 2006. Sequence-based species delimitation for the DNA taxonomy of
168 undescribed insects. *Systematic Biology* 55:595-609.

169 Reid NM, Carstens BC. 2012. Phylogenetic estimation error can decrease the accuracy of species
170 delimitation: a Bayesian implementation of the general mixed Yule-coalescent model. *BMC*
171 *Evolutionary Biology* 12:196.

172 Sitta N, Floriani M. 2008. Nationalization and globalization trends in the wild mushroom
173 commerce of Italy with emphasis on porcini (*Boletus edulis* and allied species). *Economic*
174 *Botany* 62:307-322.

175 Stamatakis A. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with
176 thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 22:2688.

177 White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal
178 ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, et al., ed. *PCR Protocols: a Guide to*
179 *Methods and Applications* San Diego: Academic Press.

180 Zang M. 2006. *Flora fungorum sinicorum: Boletaceae (I)*. Beijing: Science Press.

Figure 1. Ultrametric tree rooted with *Boletus aereus* and with branch lengths transformed using the uncorrelated relaxed clock model in BEAST. Clades with red branches represent the three maximum likelihood clusters in the GMYC model with the greatest ML score calculated using the single method in the 'splits' package in R. Terminal labels in blue represent sequences derived from individual pieces of mushroom sampled from a commercial packet of porcini. Pie charts on branches show maximum likelihood bootstraps ('MLBS'; lightest red), GMYC supports [19] ('GMYC'; medium red), and posterior probabilities of the cluster as calculated using bGMYC ('bGMYC'; darkest red).

Figure 1

Ultrametric tree rooted with *Boletus aereus* and with branch lengths transformed using the uncorrelated relaxed clock model in BEAST.

Clades with red branches represent the three maximum likelihood clusters in the GMYC model with the greatest ML score calculated using the single method in the 'splits' package in R. Terminal labels in blue represent sequences derived from individual pieces of mushroom sampled from a commercial packet of porcini. Pie charts on branches show maximum likelihood bootstraps ('MLBS'; lightest red), GMYC supports [19] ('GMYC'; medium red), and posterior probabilities of the cluster as calculated using bGMYC ('bGMYC'; darkest red)

PeerJ PrePrints | http://dx.doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.423v1 | CC-BY 4.0 Open Access | received: 26 Jun 2014, published: 26 Jun 2014