

**A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 4 April 2018.**

[View the peer-reviewed version](https://peerj.com/articles/4603) (peerj.com/articles/4603), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Escobar-Flores JG, Lopez-Sanchez CA, Sandoval S, Marquez-Linares MA, Wehenkel C. 2018. Predicting *Pinus monophylla* forest cover in the Baja California Desert by remote sensing. PeerJ 6:e4603  
<https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4603>

# 1 **Detection of *Pinus monophylla* Forest in the Baja California** 2 **Desert by Remote Sensing**

3 Jonathan. G. Escobar-Flores <sup>1</sup>, Carlos Antonio López-Sánchez <sup>2</sup>, Sarahi Sandoval <sup>3</sup>, Marco A. Márquez-  
4 Linares <sup>1</sup>, Christian Wehenkel <sup>2</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup> Instituto Politécnico Nacional. Centro Interdisciplinario De Investigación para el Desarrollo Integral  
6 Regional, Unidad Durango., Durango, México

7 <sup>2</sup> Instituto de Silvicultura e Industria de la Madera, Universidad Juárez del Estado de Durango, Durango,  
8 México

9 <sup>3</sup> CONACYT - Instituto Politécnico Nacional. CIIDIR. Unidad Durango. Durango, México

10

11 Corresponding Author:

12 Christian Wehenkel <sup>2</sup>

13 Km 5.5 Carretera Mazatlán, Durango, 34120 Durango, México

14 Email address: wehenkel@ujed.mx

15

## 16 **ABSTRACT**

17 **Background.** The Californian single-leaf pinyon (*Pinus monophylla* var. *californiarum*), a  
18 subspecies of the single-leaf pinyon (the world's only 1-needled pine), inhabits semi-arid zones  
19 of the Mojave Desert in southern Nevada and southeastern California (US) and also of northern  
20 Baja California (Mexico). This subspecies is distributed as a relict in the geographically isolated  
21 arid Sierra La Asamblea at elevations of between 1,010 and 1,631 m, with mean annual  
22 precipitation levels of between 184 and 288 mm. The aim of this research was i) to establish the  
23 distribution of *P. monophylla* var. *californiarum* in the Sierra La Asamblea, Baja California  
24 (Mexico) using Sentinel-2 images, and ii) to test and describe the relationship between this  
25 distribution of *P. monophylla* and five topographic and 18 climate variables. We hypothesized  
26 that i) the Sentinel-2 images can be used to accurately detect the *P. monophylla* distribution in

27 the study site due to higher resolution (x3) and increased number of bands (x2) relative to  
28 Landsat-8, and ii) the topographical variables aspect, ruggedness and slope are particularly  
29 influential because they represent important microhabitat factors that can affect where conifers  
30 can become established and persist. **Methods.** It was used an atmospherically corrected a 12-bit  
31 Sentinel-2A MSI image with eleven spectral bands in the visible, near infrared, and short-wave  
32 infrared light region combined with the normalized differential vegetation index (NDVI).  
33 Supervised classification of this image was carried out using a backpropagation-type artificial  
34 neural network algorithm. Stepwise multivariate binominal logistical regression and Random  
35 Forest regression including cross valuation (10 fold) were used to model the associations  
36 between presence/absence of *P. monophylla* and the five topographical and 18 climate variables.  
37 **Results.** Probably, *P. monophylla* covers 4,955 hectares in the isolated Sierra La Asamblea via  
38 supervised classification of Sentinel-2 satellite images. The NDVI was one of the variables that  
39 contributed to the detection and clearly separated the forest cover (NDVI > 0.35) from the other  
40 vegetation cover (NDVI < 0.20). The ruggedness was the best environmental predictor variable  
41 and indicated that the probability of *P. monophylla* occurrence was higher than 50% when the  
42 degree of ruggedness was greater than 17.5 m. When average temperature in the warmest month  
43 increased from 23.5 to 25.2 °C, the probability of occurrence of *P. monophylla* decreased.  
44 **Discussion.** The classification accuracy was similar to other studies using Sentinel-2A MSI  
45 images. Ruggedness is known to generate microclimates and provides shade that decreases  
46 evapotranspiration from pines in desert environments. Identification of *P. monophylla* in the  
47 Sierra La Asamblea as the most southern populations represents an opportunity for research on  
48 climatic tolerance and community responses to climatic variation and change.

49

## 50 INTRODUCTION

51 The Californian single-leaf pinyon (*Pinus monophylla* var. *californiarum*), a subspecies of the  
52 single-leaf pinyon (the world's only 1-needled pine), inhabits semi-arid zones of the Mojave  
53 Desert in southern Nevada and southeastern California (US) and also of northern Baja California  
54 (BC) (Mexico). It is cold-tolerant, drought resistant and is mainly differentiated from the typical  
55 subspecies *Pinus monophylla* var. *monophylla* by a larger number of leaf resin canals and longer  
56 fascicle-sheath scales (Bailey, 1987). This subspecies was first reported in BC in 1767 (Bullock  
57 et al. 2006). The southernmost record of *P. monophylla* var. *californiarum* in America was  
58 previously in BC, 26-30 miles north of Punta Prieta, at an elevation of 1,280 m (longitude -  
59 114°.155; latitude 29°.070, catalogue number ASU 0000235), and the type specimen is held in  
60 the Arizona State University Vascular Plant Herbarium.

61 This subspecies is distributed as a relict in the geographically isolated Sierra La Asamblea, at a  
62 distance of 196 km from the Southern end of the Sierra San Pedro Martir and at elevations of  
63 between 1,010 and 1,631 m (Moran, 1983, Table 2), with mean annual precipitation levels of  
64 between 184 and 288 mm (Roberts and Ezcurra, 2012, Table 2). The Californian single-leaf  
65 pinyon grows together with up to about 86 endemic plant species, although the number of  
66 species decreases from north to south (Bullock et al. 2008).

67 Adaptation of *P. monophylla* var. *californiarum* to arid ecosystems enables the species to survive  
68 annual precipitation levels below 150 mm. In fact, seeds of this variety display a high survival  
69 rate under shrubs such as *Quercus spp.* and *Arctostaphylus spp.*, a strategy that enables the pines  
70 to widen their distribution, as has occurred in the great basin in California (Callaway et al. 1996;  
71 Chambers, 2001) and for them to occupy desert zones such as the Sierra La Asamblea. Despite

72 the importance of this relict pine species, its existence is not considered in most forest  
73 inventories in Mexico, and its distribution is generalized in vegetation cover maps (CONABIO,  
74 2017).

75 Remote sensing techniques facilitate analysis of the temporal-space dynamics of the vegetation  
76 in isolated sites, as with the piñon pine in the Sierra de la Asamblea. Tree species distribution is  
77 generally modulated by hydroclimatic variables and topographies (Elliot et al. 2005), and it is  
78 therefore possible to determine the spatial conditions that favour the presence of forests,  
79 especially by using digital terrain models (DTMs). Such models have shown, e.g., that tropical  
80 and temperate forests tend to grow faster and more densely in sites with variable elevation and  
81 slope (Decastilho et al. 2006; Spasojevic et al. 2016). Another attribute that can be analyzed  
82 using DTMs is the slope, aspect and terrain ruggedness index (Riley et al. 1999), used to express  
83 the difference in elevation of adjacent cells in a digital elevation grid. The less intense solar  
84 radiation to which northern orientations are exposed is known to promote the growth and  
85 productivity of vegetation (Osem et al. 2009). In addition, forests in less rugged sites (flatlands  
86 and valleys) are known to be particularly susceptible to fire, while heterogeneous and highly  
87 rugged forest sites are more dispersed and less likely to be affected by fire (Ganteaume and  
88 Jappiot, 2013). Geomorphic attributes such as ruggedness and profile convexity have recently  
89 been used to classify vegetation types from satellite images (Franklin et al. 2000; Carler &  
90 Wolff, 2004; Waser et al. 2011) and have been used along with multi-temporal analysis of  
91 images to identify forest types (Zhu & Liu, 2014).

92 Remote sensing with Landsat images has proved useful for detecting forest cover; the Landsat-8  
93 satellite has sensors (7 bands) that can be used to analyze vegetation in spatial resolutions of 30

94 m (Johansen and Phinn, 2006). However, the European Space Agency's Copernicus program has  
95 made Sentinel-2 satellite images available to the public free of charge. The spatial resolution (10  
96 meters per pixel) is three times higher than that of Landsat images, thus increasing their potential  
97 for detecting and differentiating between types of vegetation cover (Drush et al. 2012; Borrás et  
98 al. 2017). The Sentinel-2 has 13 bands which provide high-quality radiometric images of spatial  
99 resolution 10 - 20 m in the visible and infrared regions of the electromagnetic spectrum. These  
100 images are therefore ideal for land classification (ESA, 2017).

101 The aim of this research was i) to establish the distribution of *Pinus monophylla* var.  
102 *californiarum* in the Sierra La Asamblea, Baja California (Mexico) using Sentinel-2 images, and  
103 ii) to test and describe the relationship between this distribution of *Pinus monophylla* and five  
104 topographic and 18 climate variables. We hypothesized that i) the Sentinel-2 images can be used  
105 to accurately detect the *P. monophylla* distribution in the study site due to higher resolution (x3)  
106 and increased number of bands (x2) relative to Landsat-8, and ii) the topographical variables  
107 aspect, ruggedness and slope are particularly influential because they represent important  
108 microhabitat factors that can affect where conifers can become established and persist (Marston,  
109 2010).

## 110 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 111 *Study area*

112 The Sierra La Asamblea is located in Baja California's central desert (-114° W 29° 19' N, range  
113 of altitude 280-1,662 m, Fig. 1). The climate is arid, with maximum temperatures of 40° C in the  
114 summer (García, 1998). The Sierra is steeper on the western slopes, with an average incline of  
115 35°, and with numerous canyons with occasional springs and oases. The valleys and plateaus are

116 common in the proximity of the Gulf of California. Granite rocks occur south of the Sierra and  
117 meta-sedimentary rocks along the north and southeast of the slopes. The predominant types of  
118 vegetation are the xerophilous scrub, which is distributed at elevations ranging from 200 to 1,000  
119 m. Chaparral begins at an altitude of 800 m, and representative specimens of *Adenostoma*  
120 *fasciculatum*, *Ambrosia ambrosioides*, *Dalea bicolor orcuttiana* *Quercus tuberculata*, *Juniperus*  
121 *california* and *Pinus monophylla* are also present at elevations higher than 1,000 m. Populations  
122 of the endemic palm tree *Brahea armata* also occur in the lower parts of the canyons with  
123 superficial water flow and through the rocky granite slopes (Bullock et al. 2006).

124 **Figure 1.** Map of the Sierra La Asamblea. The black circles indicate georeferenced sites  
125 occupied by *Pinus monophylla*.

#### 126 *Establishing the distribution of P. monophylla var. californiarum using Sentinel-2 images*

127 Sentinel-2A multispectral instrument (MSI) L1C dataset acquired on 11 October 2016, in the  
128 trajectory of coordinates latitude 29°814, longitude 114°93, was downloaded from the US  
129 Geological Survey (USGS) Gloval Visualizaton Viewer at <http://glovis.usgs.gov/>. The 12-bit  
130 Sentinel-2A MSI image has 13 spectral bands in the visible, NIR, and SWIR wavelength region  
131 with spatial resolutions of 10-60 m. However, the band one used for studies of coastal aerosol  
132 and the band ten applied for cirrus were not used in this study (ESA, 2017). Hence, the data  
133 preparation involved the resampling of the seven S2 bands acquired at 20 m and 60 m to obtain a  
134 layer stack of 11 spectral bands at 10 m (Table 1) using the ESA's Sentinel-toolbox ESA  
135 Sentinel Application Platform (SNAP) and then converted to ENVI format.

136 Because atmospherically improved images are crucial to assess spectral indices with spatial  
137 reliability and products comparison, level-1C data have been converted to level-2A (top-of-  
138 canopy) taking into account the effects of aerosols and water vapor on reflectance (Radoux et al.,

139 2016). These corrections have been realized using the Sea2Cor tool (Telespazio VEGA  
140 Deutschland GmbH, 2016) for the Sentinel-2 images.

141 **Table 1.** Sentinel-2 spectral bands used to detect the *Pinus monophylla* forest

142 The following equation was used to calculate the normalized difference vegetation index  
143 (NDVI):  $NDVI = (NIR - R) / (NIR + R)$ , where NIR is the near infrared light (band) reflected by  
144 the vegetation, and R is the visible red light reflected by the vegetation. The NDVI is useful for  
145 discriminating the layers of temperate forest from scrub and chaparral. Areas occupied by large  
146 amounts of unstressed green vegetation will have values much higher than 0 and areas with no  
147 vegetation will have values close to 0 and, in some cases, negative values (Pettorelli, 2013).

148 The NDVI image was combined with the previously described multi spectral bands. Supervised  
149 classification of this image was carried out using a backpropagation-type artificial neural  
150 network (ANN) algorithm. The input weights corresponded to the values of the pixels twelve of  
151 Sentinel-2 and of the NDVI image. A logistic activation function was used with a training rate of  
152 0.20 and 40 interactive processes. The network also calculates the error at each iteration (RMS)  
153 (Braspenning & Thuijisman, 1995). Additionally, the support vector machine algorithm (SVM)  
154 was used to classify the MSI (Mountrakis et al., 2011).

155 The training sites corresponded to two groups of georeferenced sites (Datum WGS-84, 11N)  
156 obtained during a project entitled "Oasis evaluation in Baja California". The coordinates of the  
157 first group of sites whose were obtained in the field in October 2014 and October 2015. Four  
158 classes were defined with the object improving the discrimination between vegetation cover. The  
159 following classes were considered: i) pines, 502 sites, ii) scrub, 563 sites, iii) chaparral, 419 site



160 and iv) no apparent vegetation, 419 sites. The second group comprised control sites and the  
161 coordinates were obtained by systematic sampling of the vegetation layers of the V series of land  
162 use and cover vegetation of the National Institute of Statistics and Geography (INEGI, 2015).  
163 The group included the following classes: i) pines, 596 sites, ii) scrub, 619 sites, iii) chaparral,  
164 481 sites, and iv) no apparent vegetation, 418 sites.

165 The classification was validated using a confusion matrix, which is a table that compares the real  
166 values with the classification results. The confusion matrix was also used to determine the user  
167 accuracy, which refers to the total number of correct pixels/total number of reference pixels  $\times$   
168 100% (Congalton, 1991). The accuracy of classification was calculated using the Kappa ( $K$ )  
169 coefficient. The  $K$  coefficient is a statistic used in accuracy assessment to measure whether one  
170 error matrix is significantly different from another. This statistic takes values of between -1 and  
171 +1, where values close to one indicate a high degree of agreement between classes and  
172 observations, and a value of 0 suggests that the observed agreement is random (Abraira, 2001).

### 173 *Relationship between the distribution of *P. monophylla* and topographic and climate variables*

174 To test and model the association between presence/absence of *P. monophylla* in the study area  
175 and topographical or climate variables, points estimates of the topographical variables  
176 ruggedness, slope, aspect, elevation and convexity and 18 climate variables (Table 2) were  
177 obtained from a national database managed by the University of Idaho  
178 (<http://forest.moscowfsl.wsu.edu/climate/>) and which requires point coordinates (latitude,  
179 longitude, and elevation) as the main inputs (Rehfeldt et al. 2006; Rehfeldt et al. 2006).

180 **Table 2.** Topographical and climatic variables considered in the study

181 For each variable in Table 2, a Kruskal-Wallis test was used to determine the difference in the  
182 median values in relation to presence and absence of *P. monophylla*. All variables for which no  
183 significant difference between the medians was obtained after Bonferroni correction ( $\alpha = 0.0005$ )  
184 were excluded from further analysis. The colinearity between the significant variables was  
185 measured using the Spearman correlation coefficient ( $r_s$ ). When the  $r_s$  value for the difference  
186 between two significant variables was larger than 0.7, only the variable with the lowest  $p$  value  
187 in the Kruskal-Wallis test was used in the multivariate regressions. Finally, stepwise multivariate  
188 binominal logistical regression and Random Forest regression including cross valuation (10 fold)  
189 were used to model the associations between presence/absence of *P. monophylla* and the most  
190 important topographical and climate variables (Shirk et al., 2017).

191 Regressions including cross valuation were carried out using the `trainControl`, `train`, `glm` (family  
192 = "binomial") and `rf` functions, as well as the "randomForest" and "caret" packages (Venables  
193 and Ripley, 2002) in R (version 3.3.2) (Development Core Team, 2017). The goodness-of-fit of  
194 the regression models was evaluated using Akaike information criterion (*AIC*), root-mean-square  
195 error (*RMSE*) and pseudo coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ).

## 196 RESULTS

197 Our model showed a potential *P. monophylla* cover of 4,955 hectares in the in the Sierra de la  
198 Asamblea, Baja California, Mexico. The supervised classification with ANN indicated an overall  
199 accuracy of identification 89.78%. This level of accuracy was obtained in the 32 interactions  
200 with 0.04 RMS training. The proportion of omission errors in the pine class was only 12.42%,  
201 *i.e.* 87.58% of the pixels were correctly classified. The chaparral class had the highest proportion  
202 of omission errors (27.65%) (Table 3, Fig. 2; Fig. 3). The value of NDVI in the pine forest

203 fluctuated between 0.30 - 0.41, and in chaparral between 0.24 - 0.28. The lowest values of NDVI  
204 occurred in the scrub vegetation with values between 0.10 - 0.15. The analysis using the SVM  
205 classifier only showed overall accuracy of 72%.

206 **Table 3.** Results of the classification monitored by neural network. The overall accuracy of  
207 classification was 89.78%.

208 **Figure 2.** (A) Detection of *Pinus monophylla* by neural network classification. The light yellow  
209 shading polygon represents pine forest published in the V series of INEGI (2013). (B)  
210 Distribution of pines in the rugged sites in the Sierra La Asamblea (Photograph by Jonathan  
211 Escobar).

212 **Figure 3.** Spectral signatures of cover vegetation in the Sierra La Asamblea, Baja California.

213 The Kruskal-Wallis test indicated that the median values for ruggedness ( $p < 2.1e-16$ ), slope ( $p <$   
214  $2.2e-16$ ), MTWM ( $p = 0.000014$ ), MMAX ( $p = 0.000048$ ) and SPRP ( $p = 0.00037$ ) were most  
215 different between sites with presence and absence of *P. monophylla*. The variable slope was  
216 closely correlated with ruggedness as well as with MMAX and MTWM ( $r_s > 0.7$ ). The  $p_{\text{slope}}$  of  
217 the Kruskal-Wallis test was larger than  $p_{\text{ruggedness}}$  and  $p_{\text{MMAX}}$  larger than  $p_{\text{MTWM}}$ . Slope and  
218 MMAX were therefore excluded from the multivariate regression analysis. The stepwise  
219 multivariate binominal logistical and Random Forest regression showed that the best “presence  
220 of pines” model included the independent variables ruggedness and average temperature in the  
221 warmest month (MTWM) (Table 4).

222 **Table 4.** Results obtained with the best multivariate binomial logistic regression model (AIC =  
223 611.96).

224 The ruggedness factor was the best predictor variable and indicated that the probability of *P.*  
225 *monophylla* occurrence was higher than 50% when the degree of ruggedness was greater than  
226 17.5 m (Fig. 4). When MTWM increased from 23.5 to 25.2 °C, the probability of occurrence of  
227 *Pinus monophylla* decreased (Fig. 5). After cross validation (tenfold), the Random Forest model  
228 revealed that the variables ruggedness and MTWM explained the variation in the presence of *P.*  
229 *monophylla*, with  $R^2 = 0.371$  and RMSE = 0.403.

230 **Figure 4.** The relationship between the probability (*P*) of occurrence of *Pinus monophylla* and  
231 the ruggedness (m) of the terrain in the Sierra La Asamblea, Baja California, Mexico.

232 **Figure 5.** The relationship between the probability (*P*) of occurrence of *Pinus monophylla* and  
233 the average temperature in the warmest month (MTWM) in the Sierra La Asamblea, Baja  
234 California, Mexico.

## 235 DISCUSSION

236 Detection of pine forest by using ANN proved efficient. The NDVI was one of the variables that  
237 contributed to the detection and clearly separated the forest cover (NDVI > 0.35) from the other  
238 vegetation cover (NDVI < 0.20). The presence of the blue palm *Brahea armata* and fan palm  
239 *Washingtonia filifera*, which grow in the canyons and had values of NDVI greater than 0.30,  
240 may have confused the classification. However, these species are restricted to an elevation of less  
241 than 1,000 m, and were therefore excluded from the classification (Bullock et al. 2008).

242 The overall classification accuracy in this study ( $K = 0.90$ ) was similar to other studies using  
243 Sentinel-2A MSI images. Immitzer et al. (2016) reported a  $K$  of 0.85 in tree detection in Europa  
244 using five classes and random forest classifier in Europa. Vieira et al. (2003) found a  $K = 0.77$  in

245 eastern Amazonia using seven classes and 1999 Landsat 7 ETM imagery. However, Sothe et al.  
246 (2017) reported a  $K = 0.98$  and  $K = 0.90$ , respectively evaluating three successional forest stages  
247 and field in a subtropical forest in Southern Brazil by Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8 Data associated  
248 with the support vector machine algorithm. Kun et al. (2014) showed a  $K$  of 0.70 to 0.85 in land-  
249 use type detection including forests in China using the support vector machine algorithm  
250 classifier and Landsat-8 images providing lower spatial resolution than Sentinel. The cause of  
251 this very good accuracy of Kun et al. was probably the large-scale and clearly differentiated  
252 land-use types used as classes.

253 Ruggedness of the terrain was the most important topographic variable, significantly explaining  
254 the presence of pines in the Sierra La Asamblea (Table 3). Ruggedness, which is strongly  
255 positively correlated with slope, may reduce solar radiation, air temperature and  
256 evapotranspiration due to increased shading (Di Castri et al. 1981; Tsujino et al. 2006; Bullock et  
257 al. 2008).

258 The pines were expected to colonize north facing slopes, which are exposed to less solar  
259 radiation than slopes facing other directions. However, the topographical variable aspect was not  
260 important in determining the presence of *P. monophylla* var. *californiarum* in the study site,  
261 possibly because of physiological adaptations regarding water-use efficiency and photosynthetic  
262 nitrogen-use efficiency (DeLucia and Schlesinger, 1991), as reported for the *Pinus monophylla*,  
263 *P. halepensis*, *P. edulis*, *P. remota*, in arid zones (Lanner & Van Devender, 2000; Helman et al.  
264 2017). The Mediterranean climate, with wet winters and dry summers, is another characteristic  
265 factor in this mountain range. In the winter in this part of the northern hemisphere, the lower  
266 position of the sun, which normally affects stronger the southern aspect by radiation could not

267 show to advantage due to clouds, rainfall and occasional snowfall (León-Portilla, 1988). During  
268 the summer, the level of solar radiation is high, but similar in all directions because the sun is  
269 closest to its highest point (Stage and Salas, 2007).

270 The above-mentioned finding contrasts with those of other studies reporting that north-eastern  
271 facing slopes in the northern hemisphere receive less direct solar radiation, thus providing more  
272 favourable microclimatic conditions (air temperature, soil temperature, soil moisture) for forest  
273 development, permanence and productivity than southwest-facing sites (Astrom et al. 2007;  
274 Stage & Salas, 2007; Hang et al 2009; Marston et al. 2010; Klein et al. 2014). DeLucia &  
275 Schleinger (1991) reported for the *P. monophylla* populations in the Great Basin California  
276 desert with summer rainfall (monsoon) that this tree species preferred an east-southeast aspect  
277 with lower solar radiation and evapotranspiration (DeLucia & Schleinger, 1991).

278 The probability of occurrence of *P. monophylla* was also related to the climatic variable MTWM.  
279 In the Sierra La Asamblea, this pine species was found in a narrow range of MTWM of between  
280 23.5° and 25.2° (Table 1), which, however, is a wider temperature range than reported for the  
281 other pine species (Tapias et al., 2004; Roberts & Ezcurra, 2012). Therefore, this species should  
282 adapt well to high temperatures in the summer (Lanner et al., 1998), which is usually a very dry  
283 period in the study site (León-Portilla, 1988). However, the probability of occurrence was the  
284 highest for an MTWM of 23.5°C (Fig. 5, which occurred at the top of the Sierra La Asamblea, at  
285 an elevation of about 1,660 m). We therefore conclude that this species can also grow well when  
286 the MTWM is below 23.5°C. On the other hand, considering MTWM as factor yielded a  
287 probability of occurrence of 25-80%. The spatial resolution of the climatic data by the national

288 database run by the University of Idaho is probably not adequate to describe the microhabitat of  
289 *P. monophylla* (Rehfeldt et al., 2006; Marston et al., 2010).

290 Identification of *P. monophylla* in the Sierra La Asamblea as the most southern populations  
291 represents an opportunity for research on climatic tolerance and community responses to climatic  
292 variation and change.

293

#### 294 **Acknowledgements**

295 We are grateful to E. Espinoza, F. Macias and A. Guerrero for their support in the field.

#### 296 **References**

297 Abraira, V. 2001. El índice kappa. *Semergen* 27: 247-249. DOI:10.1016/S1138- 3593(01)73955-  
298 X.

299 Allen, C.D., Macalady, A. .K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., Vennetier, M., Kitzberger, G.,  
300 Rigling, H., Breshears, D., Hoog, T, Gonzalez, P. K., Fensham, R., Zhangm, Z, Castro, J.,  
301 Demidova, N., Jong-Hwan, L., Allard, G., Running S., Semerci, A., Cobbt, N. 2010. A  
302 global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climatic  
303 change risks for forest. *Forest ecology and management* 259:660–684. DOI:  
304 10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001

305 Bailey, D. K. 1987. A study of *Pinus* subsection *Cembroides*. The single-needle pinyons of the  
306 California and the Great Basin. Notes from the Royal Botanic Garden, Edinburgh. 44:275–  
307 310.

- 308 Borràs, J., Delegido, J., Pezzola, A., Pereira, M., Morassi, G., Camps-Valls, G. 2017. Land use  
309 classification from Sentinel-2 imagery. *Revista de Teledetección* 48: 55–66. DOI:  
310 10.4995/raet.2017.7133.
- 311 Braspenning, P. J., Thuijsman, F. 1995. Artificial neural networks: an introduction to ANN  
312 theory and practice. Springer Science & Business Media. USA. 295 p.
- 313 Brockmann Consult, 2017. Sentinel Application Platform (SNAP). Available at:  
314 <http://step.esa.int/main/> / (accessed 18 April 2017).
- 315 Bullock, S. H., Heath, D. 2006. Growth rates and age of native palms in the Baja California  
316 desert. *Journal of arid environments* 67:391–402- DOI: 10.1016/j.jaridenv.2006.03.002.
- 317 Bullock, S. H., Salazar Ceseña, J. M., Rebman, J. P., Riemann, H. 2008. Flora and vegetation of  
318 an isolated mountain range in the desert of Baja California. *The Southwestern Naturalist*  
319 53:61–73. DOI: 10.1894/0038-4909(2008)53[61:FAVOAI]2.0.CO;2
- 320 Callaway. R. M., DeLucia E. H., Nowak, R., Schlesinger W. H. 1996. Competition and  
321 facilitation: contrasting effects of *Artemisia tridentata* on desert vs. montane pines.  
322 *Ecology* 77:2130–2141. DOI: 10.2307/2265707
- 323 Carleer, A., Wolff, E. 2004. Exploitation of very high resolution satellite data for tree species  
324 identification. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing* 70: 135–140. DOI:  
325 10.14358/PERS.70.1.135



- 326 Chambers, J. C. 2001. *Pinus monophylla* establishment in an expanding Pinus-Juniperus  
327 woodland: Environmental conditions, facilitation and interacting factors. *Journal of*  
328 *Vegetation Science* 12: 27–40.
- 329 CONABIO. 2017. Comisión Nacional para el Conocimiento y uso de la Biodiversidad.  
330 Geoportal de información. Sistema Nacional de información sobre Biodiversidad.  
331 Available at: <http://www.conabio.gob.mx/informacion/gis/> (accessed 12 February 2017).
- 332 Congalton, R. G. 1991. A review of assessing the accuracy of classifications of remotely sensed  
333 data. *Remote sensing of environment* 37:35–46.
- 334 DeCastilho, C. V., Magnusson, W. E., de Araújo, R. N. O., Luizao, R. C., Luizao, F. J., Lima, A.  
335 P., Higuchi, N. 2006. Variation in aboveground tree live biomass in a central Amazonian  
336 Forest: Effects of soil and topography. *Forest ecology and management* 234: 85–96. DOI:  
337 10.1016/j.foreco.2006.06.024
- 338 Development Core Team. 2017. A language and environment for statistical computing. R  
339 foundation for statistical computing, Vienna Austria. Available at: [http://www.R-](http://www.R-project.org)  
340 [project.org](http://www.R-project.org). (accessed 8 September 2017).
- 341 Drusch, M., Del Bello, U., Carlier, S., Colin, O., Fernández, V., Gascón, F., Hoersch, B., Isola,  
342 C., Laberinti, P., Martimort, P., Meygret, A., Spoto, F., Sy, O., Marchese, F., Bargellini, P.  
343 2012. Sentinel-2: ESA's Optical High-Resolution Mission for GMES Operational  
344 Services. *Remote sensing environment* 120: 25–36. DOI: 10.1016/j.rse.2011.11.026

- 345 Elliott, K.J., Miniati, C.F., Pederson, N., Laseter, S.H. 2005. Forest tree growth response to  
346 hydroclimate variability in the southern Appalachians. *Global Change Biology* 21: 4627–  
347 4641. DOI: 10.1111/gcb.13045
- 348 ESA, 2017. EuropeanSpaceAgency. Copernicus, Sentinel-2. Available At: <http://www.esa.int>  
349 (accessed 21 March 2016).
- 350 Franklin, S.E., Hall, R.J., Moskal, L.M., Maudie, A.J., Lavigne, M.B. 2000. Incorporating  
351 texture into classification of forest species composition from airborne multispectral images.  
352 *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 21: 61–79. DOI: 10.1080/014311600210993
- 353 Ganteaume, A., Jappiot, M. 2013. What causes large fires in Southern France? *Forest Ecology*  
354 *and Management* 294: 76–85. DOI: 10.1016/j.foreco.2012.06.055
- 355 García, E. 1998. Clasificación de Köppen, modificado por García, E. Comisión Nacional para el  
356 Conocimiento y Uso de la Biodiversidad (CONABIO), 1998. Available at:  
357 <http://www.conabio.gob.mx/informacion/gis/> (accessed 2 June 2017).
- 358 Heithecker, T. D., Halpern, C. B. 2007. Edge-related gradients in microclimate in forest  
359 aggregates following structural retention harvests in western Washington. *Forest Ecology*  
360 *and Management* 48: 163–173. DOI: 10.1016/j.foreco.2007.05.003
- 361 Helman, D., Osem, Y., Yakir, D., and Lensky, I. M. 2017. Relationships between climate,  
362 topography, water use and productivity in two key Mediterranean forest types with  
363 different water-use strategies. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 232: 319–330. DOI:  
364 10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.08.018

- 365 Immitzer, M., Vuolo, F., Atzberger, C. 2016. First Experience with Sentinel-2 Data for Crop and  
366 Tree Species Classifications in Central Europe. *Remote Sensing* 8:1-27. DOI:  
367 10.3390/rs8030166
- 368 INEGI. 2015. Conjunto de datos vectoriales de uso de suelo y vegetación escala 1:250 000, serie  
369 V. Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía. Aguascalientes. *Available at:*  
370 <http://www.conabio.gob.mx/informacion/gis/> (accessed 10 September 2015).
- 371 Johansen, K., Phinn, S. 2006. Mapping structural parameters and species composition of riparian  
372 vegetation using IKONOS and Landsat ETM+ data in Australian tropical  
373 savannahs. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing* 72: 71–80. DOI:  
374 10.14358/PERS.72.1.71
- 375 Klein, T., Hoch, G., Yakir, D., Körner, C. 2014. Drought stress, growth and nonstructural  
376 carbohydrate dynamics of pine trees in a semi-arid forest. *Tree physiology* 34: 981–992.  
377 DOI: 10.1093/treephys/tpu071
- 378 Kun, J., Xiangqin W., Xingfa G., Yunjun, J. Xianhong, X, Bin, L. 2014. Land cover  
379 classification using Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager data in Beijing, China. *Geocarto*  
380 *International* 29:941-951. DOI:10.1080/10106049.2014.894586
- 381 Lanner, R. M., Van Devender, T. R. 2000. The recent history of pinyon pines. In: Richardson, D.  
382 M. (eds). *The American Southwest*, Cambridge University Press. 171–182
- 383 León-Portilla. 1988. Miguel del Barco, Historia natural y crónica de la antigua California.  
384 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México. 483 p.

- 385 Marston, R. A. 2010. Geomorphology and vegetation on hillslopes: Interactions, dependencies,  
386 and feedback loops. *Geomorphology* 116: 206–217. DOI:  
387 10.1016/j.geomorph.2009.09.028
- 388 Mountrakis, G., Im, J. and Ogole, C. 2011. Support vector machines in remote sensing: A  
389 review. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 66(3): 247-259. DOI:  
390 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2010.11.001
- 391 Moran, R. V. 1983. Relictual northern plants on peninsular mountain tops. In: *Biogeography of*  
392 *the Sea of Cortez*; University of California Press, Berkeley, USA. 408–410.
- 393 Osem, Y., Zangy, E., Bney-Moshe, E., Moshe, Y., Karni, N., Nisan, Y. 2009. The potential of  
394 transforming simple structured pine plantations into mixed Mediterranean forests through  
395 natural regeneration along a rainfall gradient. *Forest Ecology Management* 259:14–23.  
396 DOI:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.034
- 397 Pettorelli, N. 2013. *The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index*. Oxford, University Press.  
398 United Kingdom. 194 p.
- 399 Radoux, J., Chomé, G., Jacques, D.C., Waldner, F., Bellemans, N., Matton, N., Lamarche, C.,  
400 d'Andrimont, R. and Defourny, P., 2016. Sentinel-2's potential for sub-pixel landscape  
401 feature detection. *Remote Sensing* 8(6): 488. DOI:10.3390/rs8060488
- 402 Rehfeldt G. A spline model of climate for the Western United States. 2006. Gen Tech Rep.  
403 RMRS-GTR-165. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain  
404 Research Station, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA.

- 405 Rehfeldt G. E, Crookston N. L, Warwell M. V, Evans J. S. 2006. Empirical analyses of plant-  
406 climate relationships for the Western United States. *International journal plant science*  
407 167, 1123–1150. DOI: 1058-5893/2006/16706-0005
- 408 Riley, S. J., S. D. Degloria, and R. Elliot. 1999. A terrain ruggedness index that quantifies  
409 topographic heterogeneity. *Intermountain Journal of Sciences* 5: 23–27  
410 (<http://arcscripsts.esri.com/details.asp?dbid=12435>).
- 411 Roberts, N., y E. Ezcurra. Desert Climate. 2012. In: Rebman, J. P, Roberts. NC, eds. Baja  
412 California Plant Field Guide; San Diego Natural History Museum. San Diego, USA. 1–23
- 413 Satage, A.R., Salas, C. 2007. Interactions of Elevation, Aspect, and Slope in Models of Forest  
414 Species Composition and Productivity. *Forest Science* 53:486-492. Available at:  
415 <http://www.ingentaconnect.com/>
- 416 Shirk A. J., Waring K., Cushman S., Wehenkel C., Leal-Sáenz A., Toney C., Lopez-Sanchez, C.  
417 A. 2017. Southwestern white pine (*Pinus strobiformis*) species distribution models predict  
418 large range shift and contraction due to climate change. *Forest Ecology Management* (in  
419 review).
- 420 Sothe, C., Almeida, C.M.D., Liesenberg, V. and Schimalski, M.B. 2017. Evaluating Sentinel-2  
421 and Landsat-8 Data to Map Sucessional Forest Stages in a Subtropical Forest in Southern  
422 Brazil. *Remote Sensing* 9(8): 838. DOI:10.3390/rs9080838
- 423 Spasojevic, M. J., Bahlai, C. A., Bradley, B. A., Butterfield, B.J., Tuanmu, M.N., Sistla, S.,  
424 Wiederholt, R., Suding, K. N. 2016. Scaling up the diversity-resilience relationship with

- 425 trait databases and remote sensing data: the recovery of productivity after wildfire. *Global*  
426 *Change Biology* 1421–1432. DOI: 10.1111/gcb.13174
- 427 Tapias R., Climent, J., Pardos., Gil, L. 2004. Life histories of Mediterranean pines. *Plant*  
428 *Ecology* 171: 53-68. DOI:10.1023/B:VEGE.0000029383.72609.f0
- 429 Telespazio VEGA Deutschland GmbH 2016. Sentinel-2 MSI-Level-2A. Prototype Processor  
430 Installation and User Manual. Available at:  
431 <http://step.esa.int/thirdparties/sen2cor/2.2.1/S2PAD-VEGA-SUM-0001-2.2.pdf>
- 432 Tsujino, R., Takafumi, H., Agetsuma, N., Yumoto, T. 2006. Variation in tree growth, mortality  
433 and recruitment among topographic positions in a warm temperate forest. *Journal of*  
434 *Vegetation Science* 17:281-290 DOI:10.1658/1100-9233(2006)17[281:VITGMA]2.0.CO;2
- 435 Venables W. N, Ripley B. D. 2002. Modern Applied Statistics with S-Plus. Fourth Edition.  
436 New York, Springer.
- 437 Vieira, I.C.G., de Almeida, A.S., Davidson, E.A., Stone, T.A., de Carvalho, C.J.R. and Guerrero,  
438 J.B. 2003. Classifying successional forests using Landsat spectral properties and ecological  
439 characteristics in eastern Amazonia. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 87(4): 470-481.  
440 DOI:10.1016/j.rse.2002.09.002
- 441 Waser, L. T., Ginzler, C., Kuechler, M., Baltsavias, E., Hurni, L. 2011. Semi-automatic  
442 classification of tree species in different forest ecosystems by spectral and geometric  
443 variables derived from Airborne Digital Sensor (ADS40) and RC30 data. *Remote Sensing*  
444 *of Environment* 115: 76–85. DOI: 10.1016/j.rse.2010.08.006

445 Zhu, X., Liu, D. 2014. Accurate mapping of forest types using dense seasonal Landsat time-  
446 series. *Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 96:1–11. DOI:  
447 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2014.06.012

448

449