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ABSTRACT

Background: Longitudinal investigations into the origins of adult essential hypertension have found elevated blood pressure in children to accurately track into adulthood, however the direct causes of essential hypertension in adolescence and adulthood remains unclear.

Methods: Cohort study, we revisited 152 Peruvian adolescents from a birth cohort evaluated with monthly anthropometric measurements between 1995 and 1998, and obtained anthropometric and blood pressure measurements 11 to 14 years later. We used multivariable regression models to study the effects of infantile and childhood growth trends on blood pressure and central obesity in early adolescence.

Results: In regression models adjusted for childhood growth, each 0.1 SD increase in weight for length from 0 to 5 months of age, and 1 SD increase from 6 to 30 months of age, predicted decreased adolescent systolic blood pressure by 1.3 mm Hg (95% CI -2.4 to -0.1) and 2.5 mm Hg (95% CI -4.9 to 0.0), and decreased waist circumference by 0.6 (95% CI -1.1 to 0.0) and 1.2 cm (95% CI -2.3 to -0.1) respectively. Growth in infancy and early childhood was not a significant predictor of adolescent waist-to-hip ratio.

Conclusions: Rapid compensatory growth in early life has been posited to increase the risk of long-term cardiovascular morbidities such that nutritional interventions may do more harm than good. However, we found increased weight growth during infancy and early childhood to predict decreases in adolescent systolic blood pressure and central adiposity.

Abstract word count: 235
INTRODUCTION
The prevalence of hypertension has increased dramatically in the past two decades among adults in developing nations and globally among adolescents and children. Currently, high blood pressure is the leading risk factor for death globally and is the cause of an estimated 57 million disability adjusted life years.

Longitudinal investigations into the origins of adult essential hypertension have found elevated blood pressure in children to accurately track into adulthood, however the direct causes of essential hypertension in adolescence and adulthood remains unclear. The developmental origins of disease theory posits that characteristic growth patterns during the prenatal period (often proxied as birth weight or length), infancy (birth to two years), and childhood (2-9 years) predict subsequent risk for elevated adult blood pressure and essential hypertension.

To date, the association of these three developmental periods on adolescent and adult blood pressure has varied in both reproducibility and magnitude. Low birth weight has been well established to predict higher adolescent and adult blood pressure. However, the degree of association has differed across populations and some investigations were unable to replicate these findings. Evidence regarding the influence of weight gain in infancy on adolescent and adult blood pressure remains inconclusive, with studies showing positive and negative associations, or no association. Likewise, increased rates of childhood weight gain have also been linked to later blood pressure. Yet, the clinical importance of this association remains difficult to determine, as increased weight gain is also highly predictive of obesity, a confounding factor, as BMI has been found to positively associate with blood pressure.

We sought to address the following research question: how does growth rate in infancy and early childhood, independently, affect blood pressure and central adiposity in adolescence in a...
middle-income country? To answer this, we took advantage of a longitudinal cohort of Peruvian infants tracked in infancy via monthly growth measurements and revisited them at adolescence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study setting was Pampas de San Juan de Miraflores, a peri-urban shanty-town (pueblo joven) located 25 kilometers south of Lima, Peru. Initially settled in the early 1990s, with temporary structures lacking water or sewage lines, the community has undergone many economic and social developments over the last two decades. By 2008, over 75% of homes were constructed from brick or cement with in-home water and sewage. Improved transportation within this community has changed patterns of exercise as “moto-taxis” have decreased the need to walk from main byways to the residential regions. The diet, while maintaining many typical aspects, now is more similar to an urban setting, an energy dense diet. The region continues its transition from a communicable to a chronic disease society, with current rates of hypertension ranging from 12.6% and 14.4 in private urban clinics, to 19.5 and 11.4 in residents of Pampas de San Juan de Miraflores, for men and women respectively. Further details of this community are described elsewhere.

Exposures measured in infancy

The original birth cohort study was conducted from February 1995 to December 1998. Beginning in 1995, participants were enrolled at no more than 6 months of age and were tracked via daily diarrhea surveillance and monthly measurements of height and weight. Length was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm with a locally made wooden platform and sliding footboard and weight to the nearest 0.1 Kg with Salter scales (Salter Housewares LTD, Tonbridge, England).
The anthropometric data gathered in the original 1995 study were reanalyzed in 2008 to calculate length for age (LAZ) and weight for length (WLZ) z-scores using the 2006 World Health Organization (WHO) child growth standards developed for children from age 0 to 5 years\(^{29}\). LAZ is used in place of height for age (HAZ) z-score in younger children due to the measurement being made while the child is lying on their back. Based on standard international classifications, for baseline variables, stunting was calculated as LAZ < -2 standard deviations (SD), underweight and overweight as a WLZ < -2 and > 2 SD, respectively. A diarrhea day was defined as a day on which the mother reported the child had diarrhea and the child had passed at least three liquid or semi-liquid stools. A diarrhea episode began on the first diarrhea day and ended on the last diarrhea day followed by two consecutive days without diarrhea.

**Follow-up assessment and outcomes**

Between April 2008 and July 2009, we conducted a follow-up assessment in those eligible for this study\(^{30}\). Participants were re-contacted and written informed consent was obtained if they were enrolled in the initial study at no later than three months of age and completed at least 12 months of longitudinal follow-up. This round consisted of a socioeconomic survey, anthropometric and blood pressure measurements. The socioeconomic survey explored housing condition, food access, maternal and paternal education and living accommodations. Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm with a locally constructed wooden stadiometer, waist circumference and hip circumference were measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a tape measure. Blood pressure and heart rate measurements were made using an automated monitor (Omron HEM 742). The same trained individual made all measurements, in triplicate. The mean of the three measurements were used in analysis, with the exception of blood pressure, where the mean of the latter two values was used.
The data were then used to calculate body mass index (BMI) \((\text{Kg/height}^2)\) and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR).

BMI for age (BAZ) z-score at follow-up was calculated using the 2007 WHO anthropometric reference for children and adolescents\(^{31}\), standardized for individuals aged 5 to 19. Socioeconomic survey data was used to adjust for socioeconomic status (SES). Maternal education and number of people per room in 2008 were used as proxies of socioeconomic deprivation, long-term and current SES respectively. We defined deprived SES as participants whose mothers did not receive education beyond primary school and homes with a concentration of greater than 3 people per room of a residence.

The outcomes of interest were systolic and diastolic blood pressure, waist circumference and WHR.

**Biostatistical analysis**

Data were analyzed in two stages, as described in greater detail elsewhere\(^{30}\). In the first stage, we estimated the longitudinal prevalence of diarrhea as the prevalence of diarrhea days in the first year of life multiplied by 100. We then estimated the slopes of change for LAZ and WLZ in infancy as a function of age and sex using a random-effects model\(^{32}\) while adjusting for longitudinal prevalence of diarrhea, at each monthly data point in infancy, to account for growth variations due to infection. In exploratory analyses, we found the change in LAZ per month of age to be relatively linear, while WLZ growth consistently had two slopes with an inflexion at \(\sim6\) months of age. Therefore, we summarized growth in LAZ using a single slope and WLZ in infancy using two slopes, one from 0 to 5 months of age, and another from 6 to 30 months, identified as infancy and early childhood. The
intercept values (predicted for month zero) were used as proxies of birth LAZ and WLZ, and interpreted as a measurement of prenatal growth.

In the second stage, we used a sequence of multiple linear regression models to assess the influence of growth in infancy and early childhood on the outcomes of interest. These analyses were performed independently for LAZ and WLZ in infancy. We used the following regression models: Model 1 adjusted for sex, age at the time of follow-up, and socioeconomic deprivation; and Model 2 adjusted for the variables in model 1 as well as adolescent BAZ and current height. Adjustment for adolescent BAZ addressed any growth that occurred in between the end of serial measurement in infancy and the follow-up visit. Additionally, we adjusted for current height to minimize overestimating the influence of our exposures of interest, LAZ and WLZ at birth and the rate of change in each during infancy, due to its strong correlation to blood pressure. Additionally, we stratified growth rates in tertiles and compared the mean blood pressure values for each tertile. All analyses were performed using STATA version 9.2 (STATA Corp., College Station TX).

**Ethics**

The original 1995 study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of A.B. PRISMA (Lima, Peru) and the Johns Hopkins School of Public Health (Baltimore, MD, USA). Institutional Review Boards of A.B. PRISMA and Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, both in Lima, Peru, approved the follow-up study.

**Role of the funding source**

The study sponsors had no role in study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.
RESULTS

Cohort characteristics

In the 2008-2009 follow-up visit, we completed measurement on 75% (147 out of 196) of the eligible participants (Figure 1). We found no differences in early change in height (p=0.387) or weight (p = 0.834), maternal height (p=0.172), the percentage of households without sewage connection (p=0.077) or in the type of house flooring (p = 0.860) between the 147 participants who completed follow-up and the remaining 49 (25%) participants of the original cohort that met inclusion criteria but did not participate in the follow-up assessments.

Table 1 shows summarized characteristics of the participants. Average age at enrollment for the group was 13.4 days and duration of diarrheal surveillance was 24.7 months. During the initial study in 1995, 46.9% of participants were stunted at some point in infancy. Rates of underweight and overweight were 5.5 and 57.2%. The rate of stunting at follow-up was 13.6%, while 1.4% and 10.2% were underweight vs. overweight, respectively.

Relationship between early growth and blood pressure in adolescence

We first examined the association between growth rates early in development and adolescent blood pressure. Table 2 shows the results of our multivariable regression of growth during development on adolescent blood pressure. Neither LAZ at birth nor change of LAZ was significantly associated with blood pressures measures in adolescence (Table 2). WLZ at birth showed a borderline significant association with systolic blood pressure, but none with diastolic blood pressure. The rate of change measures for WLZ were significantly associated with systolic blood pressure at follow-up when adjusted for adolescent BAZ and height, with increased growth predicting decreased adolescent blood pressure. For each 0.1 SD increase in WLZ from 0 to 5 months of age, and 1 SD
increase from 6 to 30 months of age, adolescent systolic blood pressure decreased by the order of 1.3 mm Hg and 2.5 mm Hg, respectively. When analyzed growth rates by tertiles, there was no evidence of an association with blood pressure (data not shown).

**Relationship between early growth and central adiposity in adolescence**

We next examined the influence of variable growth rates in infancy on adolescent central adiposity. LAZ at birth and rate of change in LAZ in infancy were strongly associated with adolescent waist circumference in multivariate regression unadjusted for adolescent body parameters (see Model 1 in Table 3). Each 1 SD increase in LAZ at birth was associated with a 3 cm increase in waist circumference, and each 1 SD per month increase in LAZ from 0-30 months of age was associated with a 1.8 cm increase in waist circumference. However, when adjusted for adolescent BAZ and height, these associations became attenuated. Neither LAZ at birth nor rate of change in LAZ in infancy was associated with WHR.

Similarly, WLZ at birth was positively associated with both waist circumference and WHR prior to adjustment, but these estimates attenuated once adjusted for BAZ and height. The rate of change in WLZ in early and late infancy was also positively associated with waist circumference and WHR prior to adjustment for adolescent body size. However, after adjustment, these relationships with adolescent adiposity became inversed and significant, with more rapid growth in infancy associating with a smaller waist circumference in adolescence.

**DISCUSSION**

Our longitudinal study aimed to assess the effects of early growth on adolescent blood pressure and central adiposity by taking advantage of serial measurement of anthropometric indicators, with data
accruing monthly for a period of up to 30 months, and thus overcoming limitations posed by single ascertainment of birth weight or modeling techniques that approximate growth patterns. We found increased rates of weight gain in infancy were strongly associated with decreased systolic blood pressure and waist circumference at adolescence. Weight gain in early and late infancy, respectively, predicted decreases in systolic blood pressure of 1.3 and 2.5 mm Hg, and decreases in waist circumference of 0.6 and 1.2 cm. This is consistent with findings observed in a population of Filipino adolescent males where increased weight gain from 0 to 2 years of age resulted in decreased odds of high adult blood pressure in males. The magnitude of the decrease in blood pressure observed in this study, via improved weight gain during infancy, is equivalent to that achieved via resource-intensive community-based lifestyle interventions in Pakistan.

The public health implications of these findings are substantial given that blood pressure in teens has been found to track into adulthood. The magnitude of reductions in blood pressure observed in our cohort could be linked to significant gains in terms of cardiovascular disease outcomes. In a meta-analysis of 61 prospective observational studies of blood pressure and vascular disease in adults, for each 2 mm Hg decrease in systolic blood pressure, to a minimum of 115 mm Hg, stroke mortality and cardiovascular mortality decreased by 10% and 7%, respectively.

Our findings contrast with recent reports from Belarus and the United Kingdom in which growth from birth was associated with increases in blood pressure in childhood and at early adolescence, respectively. Their findings from settings with advanced child health outcomes, e.g. low rates of stunting and child mortality, may indicate that, in healthy infants, increased rates of early growth pose increased cardiovascular risk as there is less need for compensatory growth following growth delays and stunting, both commonly encountered in the developing country settings. On the contrary, additional evidence from other similar resource-poor settings aligns with
our observations. Albeit weak, hospital admissions due to diarrhea during the first year of life have
been linked to high blood pressure in childhood\(^{36}\), and undernutrition has been linked to increased
cardiovascular risk\(^{37}\).

Much as growth during development has been correlated with later blood pressure, it has
also been found to predict an increased risk of overweight and obesity. We found increased weight
at birth and rate of weight gain in early and late infancy to associate with decreased adolescent
waist circumference, a measure of central adiposity and cardiovascular risk\(^{38}\). Birth length and
growth in length in infancy were not associated with the degree of central adiposity in adolescence.
These findings indicate that in this population, of generally undernourished children, rapid weight
gain during infancy may help decrease the risk for central adiposity in adolescence, possibly by
preventing compensatory weight gain later in childhood when the effects on cardiovascular risk are
greater. This is consistent with findings in Brazil, in which rapid growth during infancy in males
was associated with increased adolescent lean mass, while rapid growth in childhood was
associated with fat mass\(^{39}\). This appears to indicate that increased growth rates early in development
have a protective effect on adult cardiovascular risk factors such as stunting\(^{30}\), obesity\(^{39}\) and
hypertension\(^{10}\).

Our study has a number of strengths. While other studies looking at the developmental
origins of disease relied, at most, on yearly anthropometric measurements, frequent serial
measurement of our cohort afforded us enough power to find effects of early growth on systolic
blood pressure. The use of short interval serial measurements early in life and a relatively novel
methodology offered an accurate characterization of growth early in development. This
characterization of growth benefited uniquely from the longitudinal diarrheal data, which was
incorporated in our modeling to account for growth variations due to infection. Thus, our work
provides further advancements on the relationships of early life exposures to adolescent outcomes in countries with a high burden of childhood chronic under nutrition.

We also considered various potential limitations to our analysis. First, we did not directly measure birth weight or length, however there was a mean age of entry into the study of 14 days, with many individuals entering in the first days of life. Second, our estimations were adjusted for maternal education and overcrowding as proxies of long-term and current SES respectively. We considered that since the time of the initial study, maternal education was unlikely to have changed and thus a good proxy of SES at baseline\textsuperscript{40}. To account for socioeconomic variation over time, since the community was settled, we used number of people per room, a measure of SES at follow-up. Despite this approach, we did not, however, addressed socioeconomic change over time and this may have introduced some bias. As described earlier, most of the community setting progressed to meet basic needs by the time of follow-up, yet in general this area remains a resource-deprived area compared to Lima indicators\textsuperscript{41}. Third, we did not include breastfeeding as an explanatory variable in our model; however, since both type and duration of breastfeeding are strongly associated with growth patterns in early childhood\textsuperscript{42, 43}, breastfeeding is likely in the causal pathway of the relationship growth trajectories in early childhood and blood pressure in later life. Hence, we opted not to include breastfeeding in our analysis. Moreover, a large, long-term follow-up of 13,879 mother-infant pairs who were randomized to receive a breastfeeding promotion intervention vs. usual care did not prevent overweight or obesity, nor did it affect IGF-I levels at 11.5 years of age\textsuperscript{44}. Finally, our sample size did not enable sex stratification that could disentangle potential differences as with Filipino adolescents\textsuperscript{10}.

As has been described by Lucas and colleagues\textsuperscript{45}, the inclusion of current size in the regression model is an adjustment for growth between the time of exposure and time of follow-up.
Consistent with findings in other populations, adjustment for current size caused the beta coefficient for WLZ at birth to shift sign from positive to negative. This positive to negative shift indicates that, holding childhood growth constant, increased weight growth in the first 30 months of life may have a protective effect on adolescent blood pressure levels.

In low- and middle-income countries undergoing rapid economic development there is an increased risk of concurrent stunting and overweight, due to infectious disease associated growth retardation early in development followed by overnutrition in adolescence and adulthood. Rapid compensatory growth in underweight children has been posited to increase the risk of long-term cardiovascular morbidities such that nutritional intervention may do more harm than good.

However, we found increased weight growth during infancy and early childhood to predict decreases in adolescent systolic blood pressure and central adiposity. The impact of such findings at the population level, as indicated by the magnitude of the change in systolic blood pressure observed in this study, aligns with significant decreases in vascular mortality in the future. Thus, in addition to the known short term and long term benefits of increased growth in underweight children, increased weight growth early in development may also decrease rates of risk factors for cardiovascular disease and its associated health outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our special gratitude to the staff and the team of fieldworkers that contributed to different parts of this study. Most importantly, our sincere gratitude is extended to the people that agreed to take part in the study.
Author contributions to manuscript

RS, JJM, RHG and WC designed research; RS and JJM conducted research; RS and WC analyzed data; all authors participated in writing the manuscript; and, JJM had primary responsibility for final content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interests

We have no conflicts of interest to disclose.
REFERENCES


NOVELTY AND SIGNIFICANCE

What Is New?

• Taking advantage of a daily diarrheal surveillance study, this study benefits from monthly anthropometric measurements in infancy.
• This study moves beyond single ascertainment of birth weight or the growth curve modeling techniques to address the relationship between growth in infancy and blood pressure in adolescence.

What Is Relevant?

• The developmental origins of disease theory posits that characteristic growth patterns during the prenatal period, infancy and childhood predict subsequent risk for elevated adult blood pressure and essential hypertension.
• To date, the association of these three developmental periods on adolescent and adult blood pressure has varied in both reproducibility and magnitude.

Summary

• We found increased weight growth during infancy and early childhood to predict decreases in adolescent systolic blood pressure and central adiposity.
**FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure 1. Participant follow-up flow chart
### Table 1. Participant characteristics at baseline and follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline 1995-1998</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of entry into study (days), mean ± SD</td>
<td>13.4 ± 14.4</td>
<td>14.6 ± 15.2</td>
<td>11.9 ± 13.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up time (months), mean ± SD</td>
<td>24.7 ± 5.7</td>
<td>23.7 ± 6.4</td>
<td>26.1 ± 4.5</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight for length z-score (WLZ), mean ± SD</td>
<td>0.79 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.58 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth length for age z-score (LAZ), mean ± SD</td>
<td>-0.14 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-0.21 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-0.05 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stunted from 0-30 months (LAZ&lt;-2 SD), %</td>
<td>46.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>51.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>40.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight from 0-30 months (WLZ&gt;2 SD), %</td>
<td>57.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>53.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>61.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea prevalence, %</td>
<td>2.39 ± 2.57</td>
<td>2.41 ± 2.40</td>
<td>2.36 ± 2.79</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea episodes per month, mean ± SD</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up 2008-2009</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean ± SD</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.76</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.70</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.70</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stunted (LAZ&lt;-2 SD), %</td>
<td>13.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (BAZ&gt;2 SD), %</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg), mean ± SD</td>
<td>103.3 ± 8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg), mean ± SD</td>
<td>63.0 ± 7.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm), mean ± SD</td>
<td>71.1 ± 7.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist to hip ratio, mean ± SD</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Parameter</td>
<td>Model 1 †</td>
<td>Model 2 ‡</td>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>Model 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAZ at birth</td>
<td>1.59 (-0.2; 3.4)</td>
<td>-0.97 (-3.2; 1.3)</td>
<td>0.26 (-1.2; 1.7)</td>
<td>-0.35 (-2.3; 1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of change LAZ 0-30 months §</td>
<td>0.80 (-0.6; 2.2)</td>
<td>-0.94 (-2.6; 0.7)</td>
<td>-0.26 (-1.4; 0.9)</td>
<td>-0.71 (-2.1; 0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLZ at birth</td>
<td>0.60 (-2.0; 3.2)</td>
<td>-2.44 (-5.1; 0.2)</td>
<td>0.54 (-1.6; 2.7)</td>
<td>-0.85 (-3.2; 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of change WLZ 0-5 months **</td>
<td>-0.23 (-1.4; 0.9)</td>
<td>-1.25 (-2.4; -0.1)</td>
<td>0.05 (-0.9; 1.0)</td>
<td>-0.38 (-1.4; 0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of change WLZ 6-30 months</td>
<td>-0.77 (-3.3; 1.8)</td>
<td>-2.45 (-4.9; -0.0)</td>
<td>-0.02 (-2.1; 2.1)</td>
<td>-0.78 (-3.0; 1.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Birth weight and length were estimated via slope calculations.
† Model 1: adjusted for sex, age at the time of follow-up, and socioeconomic deprivation.
‡ Model 2: adjusted for the variables in model 1 as well as adolescent BAZ and height.
§ Rate of change in LAZ based on 1SD/month change.
** Rate of change in WLZ based on 0.1SD/month and 1SD/month change for 0-5 and 6-30 months, respectively.
Table 3. Adolescent measures of central adiposity by growth parameters in infancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Waist circumference (cm)</th>
<th>WHR (*100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β-coefficient (95% CI)</td>
<td>β-coefficient (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAZ at birth</td>
<td>2.97 (1.5; 4.5)</td>
<td>0.67 (-0.4; 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of change LAZ 0-30 months</td>
<td>1.78 (0.6; 3.0)</td>
<td>0.1 (-0.64; 0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLZ at birth</td>
<td>4.41 (2.3; 6.5)</td>
<td>-1.19 (-2.4; 0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of change WLZ 0-6 months</td>
<td>1.27 (0.3; 2.2)</td>
<td>-0.57 (-1.1; -0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of change WLZ 6-30 months</td>
<td>1.96 (-0.1; 4.1)</td>
<td>-1.19 (-2.3; -0.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Birth weight and length were estimated via slope calculations.
† Model 1: adjusted for sex, age at the time of follow-up, and socioeconomic deprivation.
‡ Model 2: adjusted for the variables in model 1 as well as adolescent BAZ and height.
§ Rate of change in LAZ based on 1SD/month change.
** Rate of change in WLZ based on 0.1SD/month and 1SD/month change for 0-5 and 6-30 months, respectively.