# A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 6 October 2017.

<u>View the peer-reviewed version</u> (peerj.com/articles/3893), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Timme RE, Rand H, Shumway M, Trees EK, Simmons M, Agarwala R, Davis S, Tillman GE, Defibaugh-Chavez S, Carleton HA, Klimke WA, Katz LS. 2017. Benchmark datasets for phylogenomic pipeline validation, applications for foodborne pathogen surveillance. PeerJ 5:e3893 https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3893

# Benchmark datasets for phylogenomic pipeline validation, applications for foodborne pathogen surveillance

Ruth E Timme <sup>Corresp., 1</sup>, Hugh Rand <sup>1</sup>, Martin Shumway <sup>2</sup>, Eija K Trees <sup>3</sup>, Mustafa Simmons <sup>4</sup>, Richa Agarwala <sup>2</sup>, Steven Davis <sup>1</sup>, Glen Tillman <sup>4</sup>, Stephanie Defibaugh-Chavez <sup>5</sup>, Heather A Carleton <sup>3</sup>, William A Klimke <sup>2</sup>, Lee S Katz <sup>3,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, US Food and Drug Administration, College Park, maryland, United states

<sup>2</sup> National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, United States

<sup>3</sup> Enteric Diseases Laboratory Branch, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, United States

<sup>4</sup> Food Safety and Inspection Service, US Department of Agriculture, Athens, Georgia, United States

<sup>5</sup> Food Safety and Inspection Service, US Department of Agriculture, Wahington, DC, United States

<sup>6</sup> Center for Food Safety, University of Georgia, Griffin, Georgia, United States

Corresponding Author: Ruth E Timme Email address: ruth.timme@fda.hhs.gov

**Background**. As next generation sequence technology has advanced, there have been parallel advances in genome-scale analysis programs for determining evolutionary relationships as proxies for epidemiological relationship in public health. Most new programs skip traditional steps of ortholog-determination and multi-gene alignment, instead identifying variants across a set of genomes, then summarizing results in a matrix of single nucleotide polymorphisms or alleles for standard phylogenetic analysis. However, public health authorities need to document the performance of these methods with appropriate and comprehensive datasets so they can be validated for specific purposes, e.g., outbreak surveillance. Here we propose a set of benchmark datasets to be used for comparison and validation of phylogenomic pipelines.

**Methods**. We identified four well-documented foodborne pathogen events in which the epidemiology was concordant with standard WGS phylogenetic analysis. These are ideal benchmark datasets, as the trees, WGS data, and epidemiological data for each are all in agreement. We have placed these sequence data, sample metadata, and "known" phylogenetic trees in publicly-accessible databases and developed a standard descriptive spreadsheet format describing each dataset. To facilitate easy downloading of these benchmarks, we developed an automated script that uses the standard descriptive spreadsheet format.

**Results**. Our "outbreak" benchmark datasets represent the four major foodborne bacterial pathogens (*Listeria monocytogenes, Salmonella enterica, Escherichia coli,* and *Campylobacter jejuni*) and one simulated dataset where the "known tree" can be accurately called the "true tree". The downloading script and associated table files are available on GitHub: <u>https://github.com/WGS-standards-and-analysis/datasets</u>.

**Discussion**. These five benchmark datasets will help standardize comparison of current and future phylogenomic pipelines, and facilitate important cross-institutional collaborations. Our work is part of a global effort to provide collaborative infrastructure for sequence data and analytic tools – we welcome additional benchmark datasets in our recommended format, and will publish these on our GitHub site. Together, these datasets, dataset format, and the underlying GitHub infrastructure present a recommended path for worldwide standardization of phylogenomic pipelines.

- 1 Benchmark datasets for phylogenomic pipeline validation, applications
- 2 for foodborne pathogen surveillance.
- 3
- 4 Ruth E. Timme<sup>1</sup>, Hugh Rand<sup>1</sup>, Martin Shumway<sup>2</sup>, Eija K. Trees<sup>3</sup>, Mustafa Simmons<sup>4</sup>, Richa
- 5 Agarwala<sup>2</sup>, Steve Davis<sup>1</sup>, Glenn Tillman<sup>4</sup>, Stephanie Defibaugh-Chávez<sup>5</sup>, Heather A. Carleton
- 6 <sup>3</sup>, William A. Klimke <sup>2</sup>, Lee S. Katz <sup>3,6</sup>
- 7
- <sup>1</sup> Center for Food Safety & Applied Nutrition, U.S. Food & Drug Administration, College Park,
  Maryland
- <sup>2</sup> National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine, National
   Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Enteric Diseases Laboratory Branch, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta,
   Georgia
- <sup>4</sup>U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Office of Public Health
   Science, Athens, GA
- <sup>5</sup> U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Office of Public Health
   Science, Washington, D.C.
- 18 <sup>6</sup>Center for Food Safety, College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, University of
- 19 Georgia, Griffin, GA, USA
- 20
- 21 Corresponding Author: Ruth Timme<sup>1</sup>
- 22 Email address: ruth.timme@fda.hhs.gov

# Peer Preprints

### 23 Abstract

24 **Background**. As next generation sequence technology has advanced, there have been parallel 25 advances in genome-scale analysis programs for determining evolutionary relationships as 26 proxies for epidemiological relationship in public health. Most new programs skip traditional 27 steps of ortholog-determination and multi-gene alignment, instead identifying variants across a 28 set of genomes, then summarizing results in a matrix of single nucleotide polymorphisms or 29 alleles for standard phylogenetic analysis. However, public health authorities need to document 30 the performance of these methods with appropriate and comprehensive datasets so they can be 31 validated for specific purposes, e.g., outbreak surveillance. Here we propose a set of benchmark 32 datasets to be used for comparison and validation of phylogenomic pipelines.

Methods. We identified four well-documented foodborne pathogen events in which the epidemiology was concordant with standard WGS phylogenetic analysis. These are ideal benchmark datasets, as the trees, WGS data, and epidemiological data for each are all in agreement. We have placed these sequence data, sample metadata, and "known" phylogenetic trees in publicly-accessible databases and developed a standard descriptive spreadsheet format describing each dataset. To facilitate easy downloading of these benchmarks, we developed an automated script that uses the standard descriptive spreadsheet format.

- 40 **Results.** Our "outbreak" benchmark datasets represent the four major foodborne bacterial
- 41 pathogens (Listeria monocytogenes, Salmonella enterica, Escherichia coli, and Campylobacter
- 42 *jejuni*) and one simulated dataset where the "known tree" can be accurately called the "true tree".

43 The downloading script and associated table files are available on GitHub:

44 <u>https://github.com/WGS-standards-and-analysis/datasets</u>

45 **Discussion.** These five benchmark datasets will help standardize comparison of current and

- 46 future phylogenomic pipelines, and facilitate important cross-institutional collaborations. Our
- 47 work is part of a global effort to provide collaborative infrastructure for sequence data and
- 48 analytic tools we welcome additional benchmark datasets in our recommended format, and will
- 49 publish these on our GitHub site. Together, these datasets, dataset format, and the underlying
- 50 GitHub infrastructure present a recommended path for worldwide standardization of
- 51 phylogenomic pipelines.

#### 52 Introduction

53 Foodborne pathogen surveillance in the United States is currently undergoing an important 54 paradigm shift: pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) is being replaced by the much higher 55 resolution whole genome sequencing (WGS) technology (Swaminathan et al., 2001). The 56 generated WGS data are also more accessible, since raw genome data are now made public 57 almost immediately after collection. These advances began with an initial pilot project to build a public genomic reference database, "GenomeTrakr" (Allard et al., 2016) for pathogens from the 58 59 food supply and has matured through a second pilot project to collect WGS data and share it 60 publically in real time for every *Listeria monocytogenes* isolate appearing in the US food supply (both clinical and food/environmental isolates) (Jackson et al., 2016). The Real-Time Listeria 61 62 Project was initiated by PulseNet, the national subtyping network for foodborne disease 63 surveillance, and is coordinated by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the Food 64 and Drug Administration (FDA), The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), 65 and The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) of The United States Department of Agriculture. The success of the project confirmed that such a national laboratory surveillance 66 67 program using WGS is possible and highly efficient. Now, genome data are collected in real-68 time for the five major bacterial foodborne pathogens (Salmonella enterica, Listeria 69 monocytogenes, Escherichia coli, Vibrio parahaemolyticus and Campylobacter spp.); WGS data 70 are being deposited in either the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) or GenBank, and are being 71 clustered into phylogenetic trees using SNP analysis; results are publically available at NCBI's 72 pathogen detection site (NCBI). The list of pathogens under active genomic surveillance is 73 growing. As of Oct. 1, 2016, approximately 85k genomes have been sequenced and contributed 74 towards this pathogen surveillance effort and are publicly available.

The collaboration among the FDA, NCBI, FSIS, and CDC has been formalized as the Genomics and Food Safety group (Gen-FS) (CDC, 2015). One of the first directives for Gen-FS is ensuring consistency across the different tools for phylogenomic analysis used by group participants. The best way to accomplish this is to have standard benchmark datasets, enabling researchers to assess the consistency of results across different tools and between version updates of any single tool. Each agency has been using compatible bioinformatics workflows for their WGS analysis: PulseNet-participating laboratories use whole genome multilocus sequence typing (wgMLST),

# Peer Preprints

82 NCBI uses the Pathogen Detection Pipeline, the FDA, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN) uses SNP-Pipeline, and the CDC uses Lyve-SET (Davis et al., 2009; Katz et 83 84 al., 2013; Allen et al., 2015; Quick et al., 2015; Davis et al., 2015; Jackson et al., 2016; Moura et al., 2016). These methods have been designed to match the specific needs of the different 85 agencies performing bacterial foodborne pathogen surveillance. Other workflows that can be 86 87 used for outbreak investigation could also benefit from standardized benchmark datasets, e.g., 88 NASP, Harvest, kSNPv3, REALPHY, SNVPhyl, cgMLST (Gardner & Hall, 2013; Treangen et 89 al., 2014; Bertels et al., 2014; Bekal et al., 2016; Roe et al., 2016). Therefore it is incumbent 90 upon the community of users to provide standard benchmarks for validation and consistency 91 across the diversity of analysis packages. Such validation is essential for the use of genomic data

92 as the basis for regulatory action

93 A few bacterial pathogen outbreak datasets with raw reads have been made public, for example,

94 genomes from several Yersinia pestis isolates from North America (Roe et al., 2016), a

95 Peptoclostridium difficile outbreak dataset from the UK (Treangen et al., 2014), a Clostridium

96 *difficile* outbreak in the UK (Eyre et al., 2013), the S. enterica subsp. enterica serovar Bareilly

97 (S. enterica ser Bareilly) 2012 outbreak in the US (Hoffmann et al., 2015), and an S. enterica

98 subsp. *enterica* serovar Enteritidis outbreak in the UK (Quick et al., 2015). However, these

99 datasets are not in a standardized format, making them difficult to acquire or use in automated

analyses. As of November 2016, no bacterial outbreak datasets have been specifically published

101 for use as benchmark datasets.

102 To address these problems, we present a set of outbreak benchmark datasets, the first step

103 towards having a "gold standard": this set consists of one empirical dataset for each of four

104 major foodborne bacterial pathogens (L. monocytogenes, S. enterica ser. Bareilly, E. coli, and C.

105 *jejuni*) and one simulated dataset generated from the S. Bareilly tree using the pipeline

106 TreeToReads (McTavish et al., 2016), for which both the true tree and SNP positions are known.

107 In addition, we propose a standard spreadsheet format for describing these and future benchmark

108 datasets. That format can be readily applied to any other bacterial organism, and supports

109 automated data analyses. Finally, we present Gen-FS Gopher, a script for easily downloading

110 these benchmark datasets. All of these materials are freely available for download at our GitHub

111 site:

#### 112 URL: https://github.com/WGS-standards-and-analysis/datasets

#### 113 Materials & Methods

Each of the four empirical datasets is either representative of a food recall event in which food
was determined to be contaminated with a specific bacterial pathogen, or of an outbreak in which
at least three people were infected with the same pathogen. In either scenario, all outbreak
members were epidemiologically linked. All isolates listed in these benchmark datasets were
sequenced at our federal or state-partner facilities, using either an Illumina MiSeq (San Diego,
CA) or a Pacific Biosciences (Pacbio) instrument (Menlo Park, CA). Importantly, these
collective datasets represent four different major taxa of bacterial foodborne pathogens.

#### 121 Results

122 The *L. monocytogenes* dataset (Supplemental Table S1) comprises genomes spanning the genetic 123 diversity of the 2014 stone fruit recall (Jackson et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2016). In this event, a 124 company voluntarily recalled certain lots of stone fruits, including peaches, nectarines, plums, 125 and pluots, based on the company's internal tests, which were positive for the presence of L. 126 *monocytogenes*. The advantage of this dataset is that it describes a polyclonal phylogeny having 127 three major subclades, two of which include clinical cases. The genome for one isolate was 128 closed, yielding a complete reference genome. This dataset also includes three outgroups which 129 were not associated with the outbreak.

130 The *C. jejuni* dataset (Supplemental Table S2) represents a 2008 outbreak in Pennsylvania

associated with raw milk (Marler, 2008). This dataset reflects a clonal outbreak lineage withseveral outgroups not related to the outbreak strain.

133 The E. coli dataset (Supplemental Table S3) is from a 2014 outbreak in which raw clover sprouts 134 were identified as the vehicle (CDC, 2014). Nineteen clinical cases appeared to have the same 135 clone of Shiga-toxin-producing E. coli O121. The genome for one isolate that was 136 epidemiologically unrelated to the outbreak but phylogenetically related was closed, yielding a 137 complete reference genome. Only three of the available 19 clinical isolates were included in this 138 dataset; these isolates were so highly clonal that adding more genomes from the outbreak would 139 not provide additional insights. This dataset also includes seven closely related outgroup isolates 140 that were not part of the outbreak.

# Peer Preprints

141 A S. enterica ser. Bareilly dataset (Supplemental Table S4) was derived from a 2012 outbreak in 142 mid-Atlantic US states associated with spicy tuna sushi rolls (CDC, 2012). Both 143 epidemiological data and WGS data indicate that patients in the United States became infected with S. enterica ser. Bareilly by consuming tuna scrape that had been imported for making spicy 144 tuna sushi from a fishery in India (Hoffmann et al., 2015). This benchmark dataset includes 18 145 146 clonal outbreak taxa, comprising both clinical and food isolates. Five outgroups are also included 147 in this dataset, one of which was closed, serving as the reference genome. The simulated dataset (Supplemental Table S5) was created using the TreeToReads v 0.0.5 148 (McTavish et al., 2017), which takes as input a tree file (true phylogeny), an anchor genome, and 149 150 a set of user-defined parameter values. We used the S. enterica ser. Bareilly tree as our "true" 151 phylogeny and the closed reference genome (CFSAN000189) as our anchor. The parameter 152 values were set as follows: number of variable sites = 150, base genome name = 153 CFSAN000189, rate matrix = 0.38, 3.83, 0.51, 0.01, 4.45, 1, freq matrix = 0.19, 0.30, 0.29, 0.22,

- 105 CI SI 1000107, Iuo\_muurix 0.50,5.05,0.51,0.01,7.75,1, noq\_muurix 0.17,0.50,0.27,0.22,
- 154 coverage = 40, mutation\_clustering = ON, percent\_clustered = 0.25, exponential\_mean = 125,
- 155 read\_length = 250, fragment\_size = 500, stdev\_frag\_size = 120. The output is a pair of raw
- 156 MiSeq fastq files for each tip (simulated isolate) in the input tree and a VCF file of known SNP
- 157 locations. This simulated dataset is useful for validating the number and location of SNPs
- 158 identified from a given bioinformatics pipeline, and can help measure how close an inferred
- 159 phylogeny is to the true phylogeny. This dataset comprises 18 simulated outbreak isolates and
- 160 five outgroups.

### 161 The dataset format:

Tables 1 and 2 list the standardized descriptions used in each dataset, beginning with the required key/value pairs, followed by the available field names. Table 3 illustrates the use of this standardized reporting structure: columns in this format provide accession numbers for the sequence and phylogenetic tree data. Columns also contain epidemiological data characterizing the isolate as inside or outside of that specific outbreak. These data are housed at NCBI, a partner of the International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration (INSDC) (Karsch-Mizrachi et al., 2012), and at OpenTree (Hinchliff et al., 2015). The tree topologies provided for

169 each dataset are all maximum likelihood trees (Zwickl, 2006), inferred from a SNP Pipeline

### NOT PEER-REVIEWED

# Peer Preprints

(Davis et al., 2015) data matrix and these topologies did not change significantly even when the
analyses were run using wgMLST or Lyve-Set. To the best of our knowledge, the tree
accompanying each dataset closely represents the true phylogeny, given the genomes collected
and known epidemiology. For each benchmark dataset we include the following data:
NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) accessions for each isolate.

- 175 2. An NCBI BioSample accession for each isolate.
- 176 3. A link to a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree stored at the OpenTreeOfLife177 (Hinchliff et al., 2015).
- 178 4. NCBI assembly accessions for annotated draft and complete assemblies (where
- available). Information is provided about which one is appropriate for use as a reference.

181 The benchmark table format is a spreadsheet divided into two sections: a header and the body.

182 The header contains generalized information of the dataset in a key/value format where column

183 A is the key and the value is in column B. The available keys with example values are given in

184 Table 1. Any property in the header applies to all genomes; for example, all isolates described in

185 the spreadsheet should be of the same organism as listed in the header. The body of the dataset

186 provides information for each taxon, or tip in the tree. Accessions, strain IDs, key to isolates in

187 clonal event, and sha256sums are included here (Table 2). An example is given in Table 3.

188 To ensure that every dataset is easily and reliably downloadable for anyone to use, we have

189 created a script called Gen-FS Gopher (GG) that automates the download process. GG

190 downloads the assemblies, raw reads, and tree(s) listed in a given dataset spreadsheet.

191 Additionally, GG uses the sha256sum program to verify each download. Because some files

192 depend on others (e.g., downloading the reverse read depends on the forward read; the

193 sha256sha256 checksums depend on all reads being downloaded), GG creates a Makefile, which

194 is then executed. That Makefile creates a dependency tree such that all files will be downloaded

195 in the order they are needed. Each of our five benchmark datasets, described in Table 4, can be

196 downloaded using this GG script.

#### 197 Discussion

198 The analysis and interpretation of datasets at the genomic scale is challenging, due to the volume 199 of data as well as the complexity and number of software programs often involved in the process. 200 To have confidence in such analyses, it is important to be able to verify the performance of 201 methods against datasets where the answers are already known. Ideally, such datasets provide a 202 basis for not just testing methods, but also helping to provide a basis for ensuring the 203 reproducibility of new methods and establishing comparability between bioinformatics pipelines. 204 Having an established table format and tools to ensure easy and accurate downloads of 205 benchmark datasets will help codify how data can be shared and evaluated. Here we have 206 described five such datasets relevant for bacterial foodborne investigations based on WGS data. 207 We have also established a standard file format suitable for these and future benchmark datasets, along with a script that is able to read and properly download them. It is to be emphasized that 208 209 these benchmark datasets are useful for comparisons of phylogenomic pipelines and do not 210 replace a more extensive validation of new pipelines. Such a new pipeline must be validated for typability, reproducibility, repeatability, discriminatory power, and epidemiological concordance 211 212 using extensive isolate collections that are representative for the correct epidemiological context 213 (van Belkum et al., 2007).

214 The Gen-FS Gopher script along with five new benchmark datasets encourages reproducibility in 215 the rapidly growing field of phylogenomics for pathogen surveillance. Currently, when new 216 datasets are published the accessions to each data piece are embedded in a table within the body 217 of the manuscript. Extracting these accessions from a PDF file can be arduous for large datasets. 218 Without the GG script one would have to write their own program for downloading data from 219 multiple databases (BioSample, SRA, GenBank, Assembly database at NCBI, and 220 OpenTreeOfLife) or manually browse each database using cut/paste operations for each 221 accession, downloading one by one. Using either route, the end result is often a directory of 222 unorganized files and inconsistent file names, requiring tedious hand manipulation to get the 223 correct file names and structure set up for local analysis. Because any given table of data is not in a standardized format, this process becomes a one-off, and the process has to be onerously 224 225 reinvented for each table. Each step of this manual process increases the risk for error and 226 degrades reproducibility. Our datasets and download script democratize this process: a single

command can be cut/pasted into a unix/linux terminal, resulting in the automated download of
the entire dataset (tree, raw fastq files, and assembly files) organized correctly for downstream
analysis.

230 Further experimental validation of these and future empirical datasets will strengthen this 231 resource. We will continue to work on these datasets using Sanger-sequence validation and will 232 encourage future submitters to validate their datasets, too. Additionally, we encourage future 233 submitters to make their entire datasets available through INSDC and OpenTree in our 234 recommended format. The participants in Gen-FS are also starting a collaboration with the 235 Global Microbial Identifier Program ("Global Microbial Identifier," 2011) that goes beyond the 236 annual GMI Proficiency Test. Researchers from around the world will be encouraged to 237 contribute validated empirical and simulated datasets, providing a more diverse set of benchmark 238 datasets. To aid in quality assurance, we suggest a minimum of 20x coverage for each genome in 239 a dataset. Submissions following our described spreadsheet format will ensure compatibility 240 with our download script, and should include isolates with as much BioSample metadata as 241 possible including values such as the outbreak code and isolate source (e.g., clinical or 242 food/environmental). Our work will allow other researchers to contribute benchmark datasets for 243 testing and comparing bioinformatics pipelines, which will contribute to more robust and reliable 244 analyses of genomic diversity. The GitHub page for that effort can be accessed here: 245 https://github.com/globalmicrobialidentifier-WG3/datasets.

#### 246 Acknowledgements

247 We would like to thank Chris Tillman at CFSAN and Cheryl Tarr at CDC for sequencing work

on *L. monocytogenes*. We would also like to thank Collette Fitzgerald, Vikrant Dutta, Janet

249 Pruckler, and Grant Williams from CDC in helping identify and sequence the isolates from a

- 250 well understood Campylobacter outbreak. Additionally, Andre Weltman and Lisa Dettinger
- 251 from the Pennsylvania Department of Health gave vital information pertaining to the
- 252 Campylobacter outbreak. We would like to acknowledge Philip Bronstein at FSIS-USDA for his
- 253 efforts. Lastly, we would like to acknowledge Lili Fox Vélez from FDA for scientific writing
- support.
- 255

### 256 References

257	Allard MW, Strain E, melka D, Bunning K, Musser SM, Brown EW, Timme R 2016. The
258	Practical value of Food Pathogen Traceability through Building a Whole-Genome
259	Sequencing Network and database. Journal of Clinical Microbiology: JCM.00081–16. DOI:
260	10.1128/JCM.00081-16.
261	Allen JM, Huang DI, Cronk QC, Johnson KP 2015. aTRAM - automated target restricted
262	assembly method: a fast method for assembling loci across divergent taxa from next-
263	generation sequencing data. BMC bioinformatics 16:98. DOI: 10.1186/s12859-015-0515-2.
264	Bekal S, Berry C, Reimer AR, Van Domselaar G, Beaudry G, Fournier E, Doualla-Bell F, Levac
265	E, Gaulin C, Ramsay D, Huot C, Walker M, Sieffert C, Tremblay C 2016. Usefulness of
266	High-Quality Core Genome Single-Nucleotide Variant Analysis for Subtyping the Highly
267	Clonal and the Most Prevalent Salmonella enterica Serovar Heidelberg Clone in the Context
268	of Outbreak Investigations. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 54:289–295. DOI:
269	10.1128/JCM.02200-15.
270	Bertels F, Silander OK, Pachkov M, Rainey PB, van Nimwegen E 2014. Automated
271	Reconstruction of Whole-Genome Phylogenies from Short-Sequence Reads. Molecular
272	biology and evolution 31:1077–1088. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msu088.
273	CDC 2012.Multistate Outbreak of Salmonella Bareilly and Salmonella Nchanga Infections
274	Associated with a Raw Scraped Ground Tuna Product (Final Update). Available at
275	https://www.cdc.gov/salmonella/bareilly-04-12/ (accessed December 1, 2016).
276	CDC 2014.Multistate Outbreak of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli O121 Infections
277	Linked to Raw Clover Sprouts (Final Update). Available at
278	https://www.cdc.gov/ecoli/2014/o121-05-14/index.html (accessed December 1, 2016).
279	CDC 2015. Annual Report to the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services. Center
280	for Disease Control and Prevention.
281	Chen Y, Burall LS, Luo Y, Timme R, melka D, Muruvanda T, Payne J, Wang C, Kastanis G,
282	Maounounen-Laasri A, De Jesus AJ, Curry PE, Stones R, KAluoch O, Liu E, Salter M,
283	Hammack TS, Evans PS, Parish M, Allard MW, Datta A, Strain EA, Brown EW 2016.
284 285	Listeria monocytogenes in Stone Fruits Linked to a Multistate Outbreak: Enumeration of
283 286	Cells and Whole-Genome Sequencing. <i>Applied and Environmental Microbiology</i> 82:7030–7040. DOI: 10.1128/AEM.01486-16.
280	Davis MA, Baker KNK, Call DR, Warnick LD, Soyer Y, Wiedmann M, Gröhn Y, McDonough
287	PL, Hancock DD, Besser TE 2009. Multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat method for
288	typing Salmonella enterica serovar Newport. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 47:1934–
290	1938. DOI: 10.1128/JCM.00252-09.
291	Davis S, Pettengill JB, Luo Y, Payne J, Shpuntoff Al, rand H, Strain E 2015. CFSAN SNP
292	Pipeline: an automated method for constructing SNP matrices from next-generation
293	sequence data. <i>PeerJ Computer Science</i> 1:e20. DOI: 10.7717/peerj-cs.20.
294	Eyre DW, Cule ML, Wilson DJ, Griffiths D, Vaughan A, O'Connor L, Ip CLC, Golubchik T,
295	Batty EM, Finney JM, Wyllie DH, Didelot X, Piazza P, Bowden R, Dingle KE, Harding
296	RM, Crook DW, Wilcox MH, Peto TEA, Walker AS 2013. Diverse sources of <i>C. difficile</i>
297	infection identified on whole-genome sequencing. The New England journal of medicine
298	369:1195–1205. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1216064.
299	Gardner SN, Hall BG 2013. When Whole-Genome Alignments Just Won't Work: kSNP v2
300	Software for Alignment-Free SNP Discovery and Phylogenetics of Hundreds of Microbial
301	Genomes. PloS one 8:e81760. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081760.

302 Hinchliff CE, Smith SA, Allman JF, Burleigh JG, Chaudhary R, Coghill LM, Crandall KA, 303 Deng J, Drew BT, Gazis R, Gude K, Hibbett DS, Katz LA, Laughinghouse HD, McTavish 304 EJ, Midford PE, Owen CL, Ree RH, Rees JA, Soltis DE, Williams T, Cranston KA 2015. 305 Synthesis of phylogeny and taxonomy into a comprehensive tree of life. *Proceedings of the* 306 National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 112:12764–12769. DOI: 307 10.1073/pnas.1423041112. 308 Hoffmann M, Luo Y, Monday SR, Gonzales-Escalona N, Ottesen AR, Muruvanda T, Wang C, 309 Kastanis G, Keys C, Janies D, Senturk IF, Catalyurek UV, Wang H, Hammack TS, 310 Wolfgang WJ, Schoonmaker-Bopp D, Chu A, Myers R, Haendiges J, Evans PS, Meng J, 311 Strain EA, Allard MW, Brown EW 2015. Tracing Origins of the Salmonella Bareilly strain 312 causing a Foodborne Outbreak in the United States. The Journal of infectious diseases. DOI: 313 10.1093/infdis/jiv297. 314 Jackson BR, Tarr C, Strain E, Jackson KA, Conrad A, Carleton H, Katz LS, Stroika S, Gould 315 LH, Mody RK, Silk BJ, Beal J, Chen Y, Timme R, Doyle M, Fields A, Wise M, Tillman G, 316 Defibaugh-Chavez S, Kucerova Z, Sabol A, Roache K, Trees E, Simmons M, Wasilenko J, 317 Kubota K, Pouseele H, Klimke W, Besser J, Brown E, Allard M, Gerner-Smidt P 2016. 318 Implementation of Nationwide Real-time Whole-genome Sequencing to Enhance Listeriosis 319 Outbreak Detection and Investigation. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of 320 the Infectious Diseases Society of America 63:380–386. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciw242. 321 Karsch-Mizrachi I, Nakamura Y, Cochrane G, International Nucleotide Sequence Database 322 Collaboration 2012. The International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration. Nucleic 323 acids research 40:D33-7. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkr1006. 324 Katz LS, Petkau A, Beaulaurier J, Tyler S, Antonova ES, Turnsek MA, Guo Y, Wang S, Paxinos 325 EE, Orata F, Gladney LM, Stroika S, Folster JP, Rowe L, Freeman MM, Knox N, Frace M, 326 Boncy J, Graham M, Hammer BK, Boucher Y, Bashir A, Hanage WP, Van Domselaar G, 327 Tarr CL 2013. Evolutionary dynamics of Vibrio cholerae O1 following a single-source 328 introduction to Haiti. mBio 4. DOI: 10.1128/mBio.00398-13. 329 Marler C 2008. Hendricks' Farm and Dairy Raw Milk. 330 McTavish EJ, Pettengill J, Davis S, rand H, Strain E, Allard M, Timme RE 2017. TreeToReads a pipeline for simulating raw reads from phylogenies. BMC bioinformatics 18:178. DOI: 331 332 10.1186/s12859-017-1592-1. 333 Moura A, Criscuolo A, Pouseele H, Maury MM, Leclercq A, Tarr C, Björkman JT, Dallman T, 334 Reimer A, Enouf V, Larsonneur E, Carleton H, Bracq-Dieye H, Katz LS, Jones L, Touchon 335 M, Tourdjman M, Walker M, Stroika S, Cantinelli T, Chenal-Francisque V, Kucerova Z, 336 Rocha, Eduardo P. C., Nadon C, Grant K, Nielsen EM, Pot B, Gerner-Smidt P, Lecuit M, 337 Brisse S 2016. Whole genome-based population biology and epidemiological surveillance of 338 Listeria monocytogenes. Nature microbiology 2:16185. DOI: 10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.185. 339 NCBIPathogen Detection. Available at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pathogens/ (accessed July 340 14, 2017). 341 Quick J, Ashton P, Calus S, Chatt C, Gossain S, hawker J, Nair GB, Neal K, Nye K, Peters T, De 342 Pinna E, Robinson KS, Struthers K, Webber M, Catto A, Dallman T, Hawkey PM, Loman 343 NJ 2015. Rapid draft sequencing and real-time nanopore sequencing in a hospital outbreak 344 of Salmonella. Genome biology 16. 345 Roe C, Smith DE, Williamson CHD, Aziz M, Keim P, Hepp CM, Driebe EM, Lemmer D, Travis 346 J, Hicks ND, Schupp JM, Wagner DM, Engelthaler DM, Gillece JD, Sahl JW, Drees KP 2016. NASP: an accurate, rapid method for the identification of SNPs in WGS datasets that 347

- 348 supports flexible input and output formats. *Microbial Genomics* 2. DOI:
- 349 10.1099/mgen.0.000074.
- Swaminathan B, Barrett TJ, Hunter SB, Tauxe RV, CDC PulseNet Task Force 2001. PulseNet:
   the molecular subtyping network for foodborne bacterial disease surveillance, United States.
   *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 7:382–389. DOI: 10.3201/eid0703.010303.
- Treangen TJ, Ondov BD, Koren S, Phillippy AM 2014. The Harvest suite for rapid core-genome
   alignment and visualization of thousands of intraspecific microbial genomes. *Genome biology* 15:524. DOI: 10.1186/PREACCEPT-2573980311437212.
- van Belkum A, Tassios PT, Dijkshoorn L, Haeggman S, Cookson B, Fry NK, Fussing V, Green
   J, Feil E, Gerner-Smidt P, Brisse S, Struelens M, European Society of Clinical Microbiology
   and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) Study Group on Epidemiological Markers (ESGEM)
- 359 2007. Guidelines for the validation and application of typing methods for use in bacterial
- generation and the second secon
- 362 Zwickl D 2006. Genetic algorithm approaches for the phylogenetic analysis of large biological
   363 sequence datasets under the maximum likelihood criterion. The University of Texas at
   364 Austin.
- 365 Global Microbial Identifier 2011. Global Microbial Identifier.
- 366367 Tables
- 368 Table 1
- 369 Table 2
- 370 Table 3
- 371 Table 4
- 372 Supplemental Tables
- 373 Supplemental Table S1
- 374 Supplemental Table S2
- 375 Supplemental Table S3
- 376 Supplemental Table S4
- 377 Supplemental Table S5

# Peer Preprints

## Table 1(on next page)

Header for standardized table.

Key/value pair information that applies to the entire dataset. Organism and source are required but other key/value pairs are optional

1 Table 1. Available key/value pairs in the head of a dataset. Organism and source are required but other key/value pairs are

- 2 optional.
- 3

Кеу	Description	Example value(s)		
Organism	The genus, species, or other taxonomic description	Listeria monocytogenes		
Outbreak	Usually the PulseNet outbreak code, but any other descriptive word with no spaces	1408MLGX6-3WGS		
PMID	The Pubmed identifier of a related publication	25789745		
Tree	The URL to a newick-formatted tree	http://api.opentreeoflife.org/v2/study/ot_301/tree/tree2.tre		
Source	A person who can be contacted about this dataset	Cheryl Tarr		
DataType	Either empirical or simulated	Empirical		
IntendedUse	Why this dataset might be useful for someone in bioinformatics testing	Epidemiologically and laboratory confirmed outbreak with outgroups		

4

### Table 2(on next page)

Body of standardized table

- Reviews and evaluates data submissions in food and color additive petitions and premarket notifications (GRAS and Food Contact Surfaces notifications) to determine the safety of the use of a product in foods within the context of applicab Key/value pair information applies to each taxon, or tip in the tree. The required fields are biosample\_acc, strain, and sra\_acc. Any optional field can be blank or contain a dash (-) if no value is given. Field names are case insensitive. Table 2. Available field names for the body of a dataset. The required fields are biosample\_acc, strain, and sra\_acc. Any optional
 field can be blank or contain a dash (-) if no value is given. Field names are case insensitive.

3

Field	Description	required	Example value(s)		
biosample_acc	The identifier found in the NCBI BioSample database. This usually starts with SAMN or SAME.	Yes	SAMN01939119		
Strain The name of the isolate		Yes	CFSAN002349		
genBankAssembly	The GenBank assembly identifier	No	GCA_001257675.1		
SRArun_acc	The Sequence Read Archive identifier	Yes	SRR1206159		
outbreak	If the isolate is associated with the outbreak or recall, list the PulseNet outbreak code, or other event identifier here.	No	1408MLGX6-3WGS outgroup		
datasetname To which dataset this isolate belongs		Yes	1408MLGX6-3WGS		
suggestedReference	For reference-based pipelines, a dataset can suggest which reference assembly to use	Yes	TRUE FALSE		
sha256sumAssembly	The sha256 checksum of the genome assembly. This will help assure that the download is successful.	Yes	9b926bc0adbea331a0a71f7bf18f6c7a62ebde7d d7a52fabe602ad8b00722c56		
sha256sumRead1	The sha256 checksum of the forward read	Yes	c43c41991ad8ed40ffcebbde36dc9011f471dea6 43fc8f715621a2e336095bf5		
sha256sumRead2	The sha256 checksum of the reverse read	Yes	4d12ed7e34b2456b8444dd71287cbb83b9c45bd 18dc23627af0fbb6014ac0fca		

### Table 3(on next page)

### Example Dataset

- Reviews and evaluates data submissions in food and color additive petitions and premarket notifications (GRAS and Food Contact Surfaces notifications) to determine the safety of the use of a product in foods within the context of applicab This dataset compiles information from Table 1 and Table 2 and serves as an example for a hypothetical single-isolate dataset **Table 3. Example dataset.** This dataset compiles information from Table 1 and Table 2 and serves as an example for a hypothetical
 single-isolate dataset.

3 4

Organism	Listeria monocytogenes									
Outbreak	1408MLGX6-3WGS									
PMID	25789745									
Tree	http://api.opentreeoflife.org/v2/study/ot_301/tree/tree2.tre									
Source	Cheryl Tarr									
DataType	Empirical									
IntendedUse	Epi-validated outbreak									
biosample_acc	Strain	genBankAssembly	SRArun_acc	outbreak	datasetname	suggested Reference	sha256sum Assembly	sha256sum Read1	sha256sum Read2	
SAMN01939119	CFSAN002349	GCA_001257675.1	SRR1206159	1408MLGX6- 3WGS	1408MLGX6- 3WGS	TRUE	9b926bc0a dbea331a0 a71f7bf18f 6c7a62ebd e7dd7a52f abe602ad8 b00722c56	c43c41991 ad8ed40ffc ebbde36dc 9011f471d ea643fc8f7 15621a2e3 36095bf5	4d12ed7e3 4b2456b84 44dd71287c bb83b9c45b d18dc23627 af0fbb6014 ac0fca	

5 6

# Table 4(on next page)

Benchmark dataset characteristics

The key features of each dataset are given in this table.

1 Table 4. Key dataset characteristics. The key features of each dataset are given in this table.

2

Dataset	Organism	Number of Isolates <sup>a</sup>	Epidemiologically linked Isolates <sup>b</sup>	reference genome <sup>c</sup>	Type of dataset	Reference/Comment
Stone Fruit Food recall	L. monocytogenes	31	28	CFSAN023463	Empirical	PMID: 27694232
Spicy Tuna outbreak	S. enterica	23	18	CFSAN000189	Empirical	PMID: 25995194
Raw Milk Outbreak	C. jejuni	22	14	D7331	Empirical	http://www.outbreakdatabase.com/ details/hendricks-farm-and-dairy- raw-milk-2008/
Sprouts Outbreak	E. coli	10	3	2011C-3609	Empirical	http://www.cdc.gov/ecoli/2014/o12 1-05-14/index.html
Simulated outbreak	S. enterica	23	18	CFSAN000189	Synthetic	Simulated dataset based off the <i>S. enterica</i> spicy tuna outbreak tree and reference genome.

3

4 <sup>A</sup> Number of Isolates: Total number of isolates in the dataset

5 <sup>B</sup> Epidemiologically linked isolates: Number of isolates implicated in the recall or outbreak

6 <sup>C</sup> Reference genome: suggested reference genome for SNP analysis