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Abstract—Distributed open source software development has largely turned to GitHub, a pull-based software development collaboration platform. Recent studies have deployed data science techniques on the large datasets available about millions of projects on GitHub. Some research has focused on pull request (PR) acceptance predictors and evidence was found of sexual discrimination among members. In this paper I analyzed the influence of gender on PR acceptance on a project level, comparing different popular projects regarding their discrimination factors. Several projects were identified that have significant differences between male and female PR acceptance rates.

1 INTRODUCTION

SOFTWARE development has adapted to the needs for distributed development through the concepts of social coding and pull based software development. These concepts have been pushed by platforms like GitHub which provides a platform for some of the biggest Open Source Software (OSS) projects. Projects like rails, docker, angular, node or swift are publicly hosted with some of them having thousands of followers and contributors. Open Source software development has been described as meritocracies [1], however recent research has identified social factors to influence decisions of project managers. This holds also true for the acceptance of contributions by others through PRs. [2]. Inevitably, a social coding environment such as GitHub is accompanied with social interaction that influences the project progress.

An obvious factor in social interaction is gender. Research showed that women are being treated unequally in professional environments, receive promotions less likely than men and are less likely to be hired when compared to the male competition [3, 4]. More specifically, OSS projects are exhibiting sexist behavior. About 1.5% of the total number of members in communities of ‘free/libre/open source software (F/LOSS)’ are female compared to 28% in proprietary software [5]. More current research shows a percentage of about 9% female users on GitHub [6].

Hoogendoorn et al. found equal gender mix teams to perform better than male or female dominated teams in the context of business students. Increased mutual monitoring, a form of informal Clan Control [8], is a strong beneficial factor in mixed gender teams.

Vasilescu et al. more specifically found gender diversity to have beneficial effects on OSS team performance. This creates an economic incentive for organizations to promote diversity in their teams and communities.

Tsay et al. found project managers to use social cues to evaluate contributions almost half of the project members are aware of other users gender [6]. Consequently the effect of the perceived gender on this contribution process can be of interest in the ongoing debate about gender inequality. A statistically significant difference between the acceptance rate of PRs created by men and women could be determined by Terrell et al.. Women whose profile publicly displays their gender have a 4.1% lower chance of their PR being accepted compared to men and a 10% lower chance than those women that did not disclose their gender. This is especially interesting as this percentage only holds true for ‘visible’ women. Those that decided to withhold information about their gender in their profile have a higher chance of having their request accepted.

A variety of different topology factors were examined by Terrell et al.. They have analyzed their results for different biases to ensure validity. Most notably, an extensive covariate analysis was performed. They analyzed those factors that were previously determined as suitable PR merge predictors. No explanations for the bias towards men were found, which lead them to the conclusion that gender really is the causing factor. They also analyzed different programming languages and their relation to the acceptance rates. They did not compare gender-dependent acceptance rates on a project level, allowing project specific environment and culture to explain the discovered differences.

These factors, the underrepresentation of women on GitHub, the observed sexist behavior within OSS communities as well as the social influences on decisions that were believed to be purely lead by meritocratic reasoning raise the following question:

What quantitative evidence for gender bias in PR acceptance rate can be found on a project level on GitHub?
To answer the research question and due to a large amount of data accessible, data analytics techniques need to be applied. To ensure sufficient data is available for each project, the biggest 100 projects on GitHub are selected.

This paper quantitatively analyzes differences of gender participation in projects. It does not try to determine the relevance of gender in comparison to other social cues in the process of deciding whether to merge or decline a PR. Instead, this work is looking for project level differences in gender dependent PR statistics.

The structure of the paper is as follows: First, some background on gender inequality research in professional settings and more specifically in OSS will be provided. Afterward, general research on GitHub data is reviewed and the most recent research of gender influences on GitHub will be introduced. In the next chapter, the research method and data acquisition will be described to facilitate the reproducibility of this work. Subsequently, the results will be presented and discussed. Finally, limitations and ideas for further research complete the paper.

2 BACKGROUND AND THEORY

Past research on online communities analyzed effects of gender, tenure, network embeddedness and other social factors on individual participation, team performance and project success. [6, 10, 11]. Results show influences of social cues on peer performance evaluation as well as effects of network embeddedness to generally positively influence project success.

Gender has been a particular topic in past research as the ratio of male to female participants in OSS has historically always been low with surveys ranging from 1-5% in 2006 and recent surveys from 2013 showing results of about 10% female participants [6, 5]. This chapter will first touch on gender inequality in OSS and then summarize previous research performed on GitHub data.

2.1 Gender inequality in OSS

The Free/Libre and Open Source Policy Support (FLOSSPOLS) from 2006 has clearly described the underrepresentation of women in OSS. Studies between 2002 and 2006 reported low one digit percentages of women participating in OSS and these numbers have increased slightly in the last years [6]. Reasons for these numbers have been summarized in the FLOSSPOLS by Krieger and Leach. The authors report cultural and social arrangements such as a ‘hacker ethic’ and ‘individuals as carriers of agency’ as strong reasons for this inequality. The culture of OSS is being described as code-centric instead of product-centric, leading contributions to be evaluated as less relevant if they are not code-based.

2.2 Using GitHub as a research data set

With the change of tools used by developer communities in recent years, past research often used websites such as SourceForge or StackOverflow as their sources of data for empirical research [10, 11]. Newer research has moved towards GitHub as it is now the biggest source for publicly available software projects [6].

2.2.1 Gender and Tenure diversity in GitHub Teams

According to Vasilescu et al., diversity is a significant predictor for team productivity. A survey of 4,500 GitHub users showed about half the users were aware of most of their teammate’s gender, making it the second most salient attribute after programming skills. This “contradicts earlier claims of obscurity of gender in OSS”. Furthermore, there are differences in the subjective importance of diversity in teams. Some respondents did not consider diversity to be relevant as it is “more about the contributions to the code than the ‘characteristics’ of the person” while others characterize diversity as a “source of creativity”. Overall, gender diversity is positively correlated with project productivity and highly significant. Finally, gender diversity negatively impacts turnover, helping projects to sustain their developer base [6].

2.2.2 Promises and perils of mining Git(Hub)

Bird et al. and later Kalliamvakou et al. have analyzed the data available on git-based projects and GitHub API data to define a number of guidelines for researchers when approaching these types of data. While the research by Bird et al. focused on Git, the underlying versioning system of GitHub, Kalliamvakou et al. focused on GitHub specifically. The perils defined should be taken into account when analyzing GitHub based data and are therefore listed below:

1) A repository is not necessarily a project
2) Most projects have very few commits
3) Most projects are inactive
4) A large portion of repositories are not for software development
5) Two-thirds of projects (71.6% of repositories) are personal
6) Only a fraction of projects use PRs. And of those that use them, their use is very skewed
7) If the commits in a pull-request are reworked (in response to comments) GitHub records only the commits that are the result of the peer-review, not the original commits

1. the projects were sorted based on their stars which can be used as a proxy for their popularity and their community size. Unfortunately, the Application Programming Interface (API) does not permit sorting based on PR count.
8) Most PRs appear as non-merged even if they are actually merged
9) Many active projects do not conduct all their software development in GitHub

Peril 1-3,5 are not applicable to this research as it focuses on the most popular projects.

Peril 4 is relevant. It confronts the fact that GitHub, although mainly considered to be a software development code sharing platform, actually hosts many different projects as well. As an example, the top 20 projects on GitHub include "free-programming-books" and "You-Dont-Know-JS", repositories containing books, "awesome", a repository containing a list of links to resources and "gitignore", a project including templates for a type of file often used on GitHub. If significant differences in PR gender or acceptance rates can be observed, the affected projects need to be controlled for being actual software development projects.

Peril 6 is relevant and results also need to be checked against this problem. The most notable project is "linux" which is hosting a mirror of the Linux kernel git repository. PRs are not accepted via GitHub and all PRs are closed without merge and the creators reference to the proper hosting site.

Peril 7 is not relevant as we are not analyzing the contents of PRs but only their states.

Peril 8 and 9 are relevant. The fact that many PRs appear as non-merged although they actually were introduces a bias in the data and reduces the overall percentage of merged PRs. There is no obvious reason to believe there are more PRs that have this false negative value for one or the other gender. Peril 9 shows a limitation of this work which is the narrow focus on PRs as an indicator for gender differences. It suggests a big part of software development occurs in many different areas, be it forums, chats or mailing lists [13].

The final conclusion of Kalliamvakou et al. is to carefully select the repositories analyzed and ensure the data acquired is actually suitable to answer the research question.

2.2.3 Research into PR acceptance factors

This work leans on the work of Tsay et al. who analyzed factors that may predict the acceptance of a PR as well as Terrell et al. who focused this analysis further towards gender differences.

Tsay et al. showed the number of comments, as well as the age of a repository, were the best predictors for determining whether a PR would be accepted or rejected. Also, the amount of previous interaction between the PR submitter and the project manager deciding to merge the PR influences the likelihood of a merge. In summary, they observed a social factor in the evaluation processes of project managers and therefore gave reason to the research investigating detailed social factors in the space of OSS on GitHub.

Terrell et al. have continued this research path and investigated the influence of gender on the evaluation process. They used similar data sources as others and enriched those with gender information for users, a process repeated in this work. Based on this data they could correlate gender and PR acceptance statistics, finding women’s PR acceptance rates were higher but only for those women that did not reveal their gender on GitHub. If they did so and their gender was clearly visible on the platform (through names or photos), their acceptance rate was lower than those of men. Due to the large number of PRs analyzed, statistical significance is easily achieved. Davison and Burke’s meta-analysis on sex discrimination found an average Pearson correlation of \( \rho = 0.07 \) between gender and job selection which, compared with the results of Terrell et al. of \( \rho = 0.02 \) is higher. The results are therefore less impactful than the average sexual discrimination observed, yet they can still be interesting for further understanding, especially in seemingly meritocratic environments. Also, a project level investigation might reveal a strong variance in this correlation, revealing projects with stronger correlations.

3 METHODS AND DATA

To determine project level differences, three subtasks can be identified that need to be completed for the question to be answered adequately. Acquiring the data, preparing it and analyzing it. To retrieve and prepare the data, a NodeJS tool has been written that combines data from GHTorrent as well as using the GitHub API to acquire all necessary data. All data was locally stored in a NoSQL Mongo database and later transferred to comma separated values (csv) files that could easily be imported to Microsoft Excel for the final statistical calculations and validations.

3.1 Data acquisition

Fetching the data included first fetching the PRs for each repository. This was performed using the GitHub REST API, a public interface for programs and applications to interact with GitHub. Each batch of PRs was then processed and placed into a queue which processed the PRs one at a time. For each PR, the GitHub user that created it was queried from the GHTorrent database and cached locally since many PRs were created by similar users. The entire software to collect, analyze and store this data was written in NodeJS, a JavaScript (JS) based runtime environment that allows for easy handling of many parallel web requests as well as handling JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) documents easily. Both the underlying database as well as the GitHub API consume and produce JSON documents and as such, a JS based technology was reasonable.

3.2 GHTorrent data set

Gousios created the GHTorrent project. It watches the event stream of GitHub and stores everything in two separate databases, a relational database and a
3.3 Determining Gender

After the necessary data was collected the user’s profile was used to determine the gender. For each user, a gender inference was attempted from their login name, their email address, and their full name. Not every user has all three attributes added to their profile. 74% of all PRs were created by users with a full name added to their profile, allowing for a much higher success rate in inferring gender from profiles than by simply using the login name as was done in previous analyses.

3.3.1 GenderComputer

To infer the gender, the genderComputer by Vasilescu et al. was used. This algorithm uses several heuristics such as the origin/country of the user as well as common name patterns and ultimately a name-gender dictionary to infer the gender from a given user. It has a reported success rate of about 32% [6] using profile names. In this study, a higher success rate was achieved due to the availability of full names which can be added by users on GitHub and was done by 66% of the users that were analyzed.

3.3.2 Social network profile matching

Terrell et al. suggested an additional external verification of gender through the consultation of social network APIs such as Google+ to determine the gender of those users that are not identifiable through the previous step. This work only used the genderComputer tool as it is simpler to use and offered already high success rates due to the now available data of real names of users. An additional verification with facebook would have been desirable as it is much more adopted than Google+ [15, 16]. However, the company does not offer an API that allows for resolving profiles from email addresses. Hence the previously mentioned tool was the most efficient and effective approach to analyze all 43130 users that created the PRs.

3.4 Determining PR acceptance

To ensure higher precision of the merged status Gousios et al. suggest a manual approach for determining the ultimate merging decision for each merge. This approach ensures that all merged PRs are captured. This is due to the setup of GitHub, allowing for PRs to either be merged through GitHub’s own facilities but also through
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Figure 1. Screenshot of PR

Git, the underlying technology of GitHub, using its native merge tools. The GitHub API provides a flag “merged_at” flag which indicated those PRs that have been merged through the GitHub UI. Since the UI transfers information about gender more easily through profile pictures and linked profiles than a command line based tool, those PRs are actually of higher interest for this study. To rate the acceptance of a contribution, we therefore consider a merged PR that has been flagged so by the GitHub systems to be an accepted contribution and a closed but not merged PR to be a rejected contribution.

The entire processing chain is summarized in Figure 2. In the actual implementation, a parallel architecture was used as well as a database backed prioritized queue to better handle the large amount of PRs and repositories while allowing for pausing and restarting of the processing engine.

After the data preparation, the analysis of the data can be performed. To analyze the differences between projects, all past PRs of each project are analyzed. For each PR, a tuple of id, repository, creator’s gender and merge result is created.

4 Results

A total of 43,039 users and 183,249 PRs were analyzed. The genderComputer determined the gender of 63.23% of

2 The entire code of the processing chain can be found at https://github.com/pascalwhoop/github-gender-processing
the total number of users, determining the gender from their provided real name, their username and then their email address in descending order of result prioritization. The success rate was higher than those reported by Vasilescu et al., most likely because we focused on PRs that were in the largest projects on GitHub. Users in these repositories have more complete profiles in comparison to the large long tail of small and empty or personal projects, with 66.15% of all users analyzed having entered their name to their profiles, although it's an optional field. Of the gendered users, a total of 1985 or 7.27% were female which is slightly lower than the 9% reported by [10]. Women have created on average 4.18 and men 4.69 PRs.

Using the gender-linked PRs and user profiles, summary statistics were created for each project. A sample of these results is shown in Table 1.

To analyze the results, the chi-squared test for statistical significance was used. This test offers the ability to determine a correlation between two variables [18, p.102ff.]. In the study, the correlation between the expected number of declined or merged PRs for each gender and the actual number was to be determined.

To evaluate correlation and calculate statistical significance, the chi-squared pearson test requires the calculation of a test statistic \( \chi^2 \) which is then compared to a chi-squared probability of a defined probability barrier (0.005 in this study). The formula for calculating the test statistic is visible in Equation 1.

\[
\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{(O_{i,j} - E_{i,j})^2}{E_{i,j}}
\]

In this study, both \( r \) and \( c \) were 2, causing \( df = 1 \) and \( \alpha = 7.879 \) with \( \chi^2(p < 0.005, df = 1) \).

Out of the 100 projects analyzed, 53 offered usable results that complied with the rule of having a count of at least 5 in each of the fields used for the \( \chi^2 \) test [18, p.104]. Of those 53 projects, 18 projects had results that were statistically significant with \( \chi^2(p < 0.005, df = 1) \). The results of those 18 projects are summarized in Table 2.

As Terrell et al. noted, simple statistical significance is not a good indicator for such results alone and therefore, those projects with a strong statistical significance have been controlled for the Pearson correlation. The results are summarized in Table 3. Negative values describe projects in which women are less likely to have their PR accepted and positive values describe projects in which women are more likely to have their PR accepted.

The distribution of the number of PRs created per user is visualised in Figure 3. Despite the logarithmic scale of \( x \), the power-law distribution is evident. It visualizes that...
most users only create a single PR and few create a large amount.

Referring back to the perils of mining GitHub defined by Kalliamvakou et al., the results need to be controlled for peril 4, 6 and 8. Peril 4 (usage of GitHub for non-software projects) is applicable to 'free-programming-books', the last project in Table 2 which is an information software projects) is applicable to 'free-programming-books'. Peril 6 (not all projects use PRs) is applicable to a few projects. For this, the average merge rate per project was a good indicator to find those projects that tend to not use GitHub PR system systematically. "angular.js", "node", and "jquery" all had a merging average of 15% or less with an average of 50.24% across all PRs. While Jquery is a more mature project, predating GitHub, angular.js has been developed mainly on GitHub infrastructure which therefore suggests that this project has not used the PR concepts or has used other forms of including contributions (such as commit squashing or Git-based git-request-pull commands). This has changed with "angular", which is the second major version of angular.js, which has a 30% PR merge average, a 23% increase. At this point, a correlation analysis between gender and type of PR acceptance technique was not performed, referring to the work of Terrell et al. who have not identified this as a possible reason.

5 Discussion and limitations

The quantitative results of the gender bias on GitHub have shown an interesting picture. While previous research has found a gender bias analyzing the overall PR acceptance rate of most repositories on GitHub, the results that focus on a project level difference have offered a more detailed picture. While most repositories only offered statistically insignificant results, 18 showed a significant bias and 7 projects have shown a Pearson correlation between merge result and gender of $\rho > 0.10$, exceeding those results observed by Davison and Burke with an average Pearson correlation of $\rho = 0.07$ between gender and job selection. The reasons for these biases can be various but ultimately require a qualitative inspection of the projects noted to investigate social differences between them. At first, the project "Ghost", which is the only project having a significant and strong bias towards female acceptance probability, stands out. It is also the project with the highest female participation rate (24% of all female PRs). This is due to one active woman, accounting for 86.79% of all female PRs. All other projects either showed insignificant results or were biased towards male contributions.

This leads to the conclusion that the overall bias observed in previous research includes some examples of
projects which tend to have a rather strong bias towards male contribution acceptance. Although the work of Vasilescu et al. regarding gender and tenure diversity and project success are hardly applicable to the 100 most successful projects on GitHub, the results still leave room for interpretation. The projects listed in Table 3 all, with the previously described exception of Ghost, show strong biases towards male contributions.

An interesting development can be observed between the two projects angular.js and angular, the latter being the second version of the first one. This allows for a coincidental observation of a temporal change of bias in a community. $\rho$ has changed from $-0.074$ to $0.008$, effectively eliminating the correlation between gender and merge decision.

The research method introduces a few limitations. Those threats to validity originating from performing empirical research on Git repositories [12] or specifically GitHub data [13] has been considered in the research method and its implications were discussed. However, this paper has not specifically reprocessed all PRs to identify all merges as such but rather relied on the GitHub APIs data. This might lead to a lower number of merged PRs as are actually correct. The results also rely on the results of the genderComputer regarding the member’s gender. Some projects could exhibit a strong bias due to very active members creating large amounts of PRs whose gender has been wrongly classified. A manual control of the three most active contributors of each of the 18 projects exhibiting significant bias has not revealed such a case.

Lastly, the study has investigated simply the correlation between gender and merge rate, it does not infer the cause of the PR merge decision outcome to be the gender. The literature does suggest the average female contribution be of better quality than that of a male possibly due to the survivorship bias observed with female software developers [9].

6 Conclusion
The observed results of overall bias with some outliers towards more significant bias against women are logical, as they describe a similar picture as [9], enriching these results with a higher precision on a project level and showing outliers on this level of detail. Further qualitative research should now be able to investigate a small number of specific projects and determine why they are more biased than others and compare the results with those that do not exhibit bias, such as the “rails” and “kubernetes” projects. This work, therefore, pinpoints a small number of projects that seem to most probably hold revelations about what influences gender bias in OSS. Best practices from these projects could help to slowly transform many other sociometric superstar projects into purely meritocratic communities, which by the laws of network theory would then have strong effects on many smaller projects. The goal of a purely bias free community has both ethical and economical motivations and as such should be pursued further by the community as a whole.
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