

A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 6 June 2017.

[View the peer-reviewed version](https://peerj.com/articles/3444) (peerj.com/articles/3444), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Scrosati RA, Ellrich JA. (2017) Unimodal relationship between small-scale barnacle recruitment and the density of pre-existing barnacle adults. PeerJ 5:e3444 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3444>

Unimodal relationship between adult and recruit density in barnacle populations

Ricardo A Scrosati ^{Corresp., 1}, Julius A Ellrich ¹

¹ Department of Biology, St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish, Nova Scotia, Canada

Corresponding Author: Ricardo A Scrosati
Email address: rscrosat@stfx.ca

Recruitment is a key demographic step for population persistence. This paper focuses on understanding barnacle (*Semibalanus balanoides*) recruitment. In rocky intertidal habitats from the Gulf of St. Lawrence coast of Nova Scotia (Canada), winter ice scour is common. At the onset of intertidal barnacle recruitment in the spring, mostly only adult barnacles and bare substrate are visible at high elevations on the shore. We conducted a multiannual study to investigate if small-scale barnacle recruitment could be predicted from the density of pre-existing adult barnacles. In a year that exhibited a wide adult density range (ca. 0–130 individuals dm^{-2}), the relationship between adult density and recruit density (referred to the available area for recruitment, which excluded adult barnacles) was unimodal. In years that exhibited a lower adult density range (ca. 0–40/50 individuals dm^{-2}), the relationship between adult and recruit density was positive but simply resembling the lower half of the unimodal relationship. Overall, adult barnacle density was able to explain 26–40 % of the observed variation in recruit density. The unimodal adult–recruit relationship is consistent with previously documented intraspecific interactions. Between low and intermediate adult densities, the positive nature of the relationship relates to the ability of adult barnacles to attract settlement-seeking larvae, likely enhancing local population persistence where it is most needed. Between intermediate and high adult densities, where population persistence may be less compromised and the abundant adults may be detrimental to recruit growth, the negative nature of the relationship suggests that larvae are stimulated to settle elsewhere. This unimodal pattern may be particularly common on shores with moderate rates of larval supply to the shore, as high larval supply rates may swamp the coast with settlers, decoupling recruit density from local adult abundance.

1 **Unimodal relationship between adult and recruit density in barnacle populations**

2 Ricardo A. Scrosati *, Julius A. Ellrich

3 St. Francis Xavier University, Department of Biology, Antigonish, Nova Scotia B2G 2W5,
4 Canada

5 * Corresponding author. Email: rsrosat@stfx.ca. Phone: 1-902-867-5289

6

6 **Abstract**

7 Recruitment is a key demographic step for population persistence. This paper focuses on
8 understanding barnacle (*Semibalanus balanoides*) recruitment. In rocky intertidal habitats from
9 the Gulf of St. Lawrence coast of Nova Scotia (Canada), winter ice scour is common. At the
10 onset of intertidal barnacle recruitment in the spring, mostly only adult barnacles and bare
11 substrate are visible at high elevations on the shore. We conducted a multiannual study to
12 investigate if small-scale barnacle recruitment could be predicted from the density of pre-existing
13 adult barnacles. In a year that exhibited a wide adult density range (ca. 0–130 individuals dm^{-2}),
14 the relationship between adult density and recruit density (referred to the available area for
15 recruitment, which excluded adult barnacles) was unimodal. In years that exhibited a lower adult
16 density range (ca. 0–40/50 individuals dm^{-2}), the relationship between adult and recruit density
17 was positive but simply resembling the lower half of the unimodal relationship. Overall, adult
18 barnacle density was able to explain 26–40 % of the observed variation in recruit density. The
19 unimodal adult–recruit relationship is consistent with previously documented intraspecific
20 interactions. Between low and intermediate adult densities, the positive nature of the relationship
21 relates to the ability of adult barnacles to attract settlement-seeking larvae, likely enhancing local
22 population persistence where it is most needed. Between intermediate and high adult densities,
23 where population persistence may be less compromised and the abundant adults may be
24 detrimental to recruit growth, the negative nature of the relationship suggests that larvae are
25 stimulated to settle elsewhere. This unimodal pattern may be particularly common on shores with
26 moderate rates of larval supply to the shore, as high larval supply rates may swamp the coast
27 with settlers, decoupling recruit density from local adult abundance.

28

28 Introduction

29 Recruitment is a key demographic step that affects the persistence of populations. Thus,
30 ecological research has often aimed at understanding its drivers (*Caley et al., 1996; Beck et al.,*
31 *2001; Palumbi & Pinsky, 2014*). As barnacles are abundant organisms on seashores worldwide,
32 they have often been used as model systems to study recruitment (*Jenkins et al., 2000; Navarrete*
33 *et al., 2008; Lathlean et al., 2013; Menge et al., 2015; Barbosa et al., 2016*). For barnacles,
34 settlement refers to the permanent contact with the substrate established by pelagic larvae
35 (*Jenkins et al., 2000*), while recruitment is the appearance of new organisms in a benthic habitat
36 that have resulted from the metamorphosis of settled larvae (*Ellrich et al., 2016a*). At regional
37 spatial scales, common drivers of barnacle recruitment are seawater temperature and pelagic
38 food (phytoplankton) supply, as both factors influence the growth and survival of the pelagic
39 larvae (*Menge & Menge, 2013; Rognstad et al., 2014; Scrosati & Ellrich, 2016*). At small spatial
40 scales, factors such as substrate rugosity (*Coombes et al. 2015*), water motion (*Bertness et al.,*
41 *1992; Ellrich & Scrosati, 2016*), macroalgal canopies (*Beermann et al., 2013*), and predator
42 chemical cues (*Johnson & Strathmann, 1989; Ellrich et al., 2015*) influence barnacle recruitment
43 in a variety of ways.

44 The presence of benthic conspecifics also influences barnacle recruitment. For instance,
45 experiments conducted under laboratory (*Crisp & Meadows, 1962; Matsumura et al., 2000;*
46 *Matsumura & Qian, 2014*) and field (*Chabot & Bourget, 1988; Raimondi, 1988; Jarrett, 1997*)
47 conditions have shown that chemical and visual cues from adult barnacles attract pelagic
48 conspecific larvae that are seeking benthic settlement. Such an attraction is believed to aid larvae
49 to locate adequate areas for growth and reproduction (*Clare, 2011*). In agreement with those
50 findings, field experiments found that the presence of adult barnacles in moderate densities

51 enhance barnacle recruitment at small spatial scales (*Bertness et al., 1992; Kent et al., 2003;*
52 *Beermann et al., 2013; Ellrich et al., 2016a*).

53 The above studies suggest that small-scale recruitment in barnacle populations could be
54 predicted from the density of pre-existing adult barnacles. Between low and intermediate adult
55 densities, larval attraction by conspecific adults should result in a positive relationship between
56 adult and recruit density. However, between intermediate and high adult densities, the abundant
57 conspecific cues might indicate to pelagic larvae the potential for detrimental intraspecific
58 interactions, as the resulting recruits might be crushed by growing adults or experience a reduced
59 food supply outcompeted by the abundant adults (*Bertness et al., 1998; Hooper & Eichhorn,*
60 *2016*). In those conditions, recruit density (referred to the substrate area available for settlement)
61 could decrease with adult density. Therefore, for a wide range of adult density spanning low to
62 high values, the adult–recruit relationship might be unimodal. This paper tests this hypothesis
63 using field data from rocky intertidal habitats from Atlantic Canada.

64 **Materials and methods**

65 We measured barnacle adult and recruit density at Sea Spray (45° 46.4' N, 62° 8.7' W), on
66 the southern coast of the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Nova Scotia. This is a long-term reference
67 location where we have monitored barnacle populations for several years (*Scrosati & Ellrich,*
68 *2016*). We surveyed habitats that face open waters, which makes these habitats wave-exposed,
69 with daily values of maximum water velocity ranging between 4–8 m s⁻¹ (*Scrosati & Heaven,*
70 *2007*). The substrate is volcanic bedrock with a homogeneous rugosity and slope. On this coast,
71 *Semibalanus balanoides* is the only species of intertidal barnacle (*Scrosati & Heaven, 2007*). In
72 Atlantic Canada, this species mates in autumn, breeds in winter, and releases larvae to the water
73 column in spring (*Bousfield, 1954; Crisp, 1968; Bouchard & Aiken, 2012*). On the studied coast,

74 recruits of *S. balanoides* appear in May and June (Ellrich *et al.*, 2015) across the entire intertidal
75 substrate (MacPherson & Scrosati, 2008; MacPherson *et al.*, 2008). The recruited organisms
76 reach reproductive maturity and adult size in the following fall (Ellrich *et al.*, 2016b). In winter,
77 the sea surface of the Gulf of St. Lawrence freezes extensively (Galbraith *et al.*, 2015) and the
78 ice causes physical disturbance in intertidal habitats as it moves with tides, winds, currents, and
79 waves (Scrosati & Heaven, 2006; Musetta-Lambert *et al.*, 2015). The ice melts before barnacle
80 recruitment begins. As a result of ice scour, the macroscopic organisms occurring in high-
81 intertidal habitats facing open waters (habitats where winter ice scour is intense) just before
82 barnacle recruitment are almost exclusively adult barnacles scattered across otherwise bare rocky
83 substrate. Benthic macroalgae and mobile consumers remain largely absent in such places during
84 the barnacle recruitment season, as they are recruited or move there in late spring and summer
85 (Scrosati & Heaven, 2007). Thus, no interspecific interactions seemingly influence barnacle
86 recruitment in those habitats, leaving adult barnacle density as an important potential predictor of
87 barnacle recruitment.

88 We measured barnacle adult and recruit density at the lower part of the high intertidal zone,
89 at an elevation of approximately 1.2 m above chart datum (lowest normal tide). At the second or
90 third week of June between 2007 and 2016, we took digital pictures of 29–33 (depending on the
91 year) 10 cm x 10 cm quadrats randomly positioned at that elevation following the coastline. We
92 used those pictures to measure adult and recruit density on a computer. In June, barnacle recruits
93 are easily distinguished from adults, because recruits are only 1–2 mm in basal diameter (Fig. 1).
94 Barnacle recruits were always abundant, but adult barnacles were often absent in the quadrats
95 between 2010 and 2016, preventing us from considering those years to meaningfully test our

96 hypothesis. Therefore, we evaluated the hypothesis of this study using the datasets for 2007,
97 2008, and 2009.

98 For each quadrat, we calculated barnacle adult density by dividing the number of adult
99 barnacles found therein by quadrat area (1 dm²). To calculate recruit density, we divided the
100 number of recruits found in a quadrat by the area that was available for larvae to settle and, thus,
101 for recruits to occur, which was quadrat area minus the area covered by adult barnacles (because
102 larvae did not settle on the adult barnacles).

103 We tested our hypothesis by analyzing the 2007, 2008, and 2009 datasets separately. For
104 each year, we fitted the data to a linear model, a power model, and a quadratic model,
105 considering adult barnacle density as the independent variable and recruit density as the
106 dependent variable. Then, we compared the three models using an information-theoretic
107 approach. We considered linear and power models to evaluate potentially positive adult–recruit
108 relationships. While a linear model would describe a constant rate of change of recruit density
109 along the observed gradient of adult density, a power model would allow that rate to vary along
110 that gradient, potentially making the model more realistic. We considered a quadratic model to
111 evaluate the potential unimodal nature of the adult–recruit relationship. All models included an
112 intercept to acknowledge the possibility that recruit density could have non-zero values in the
113 absence of adult barnacles. The models were: $N_R = a N_A + b$ (linear), $N_R = a N_A^b + c$ (power), and
114 $N_R = a N_A^2 + b N_A + c$ (quadratic), where N_R is recruit density and N_A is adult density.

115 For each year, we compared the models based on their values of the corrected Akaike's
116 information criterion (AICc). With such values, we calculated the weight of evidence for each
117 model, which we used to identify the best model (the one with the highest weight of evidence)
118 for each dataset. Then, for each year, we evaluated the plausibility of the best model relative to

119 the two least favoured ones by calculating the corresponding evidence ratios between the weight
120 of evidence for the best model and the weight of evidence for the two least supported models
121 (*Anderson, 2008*). We calculated the adjusted squared correlation coefficient (R^2) for all models
122 to determine the amount of variation in recruit density that was statistically explained by adult
123 density (*Sokal & Rohlf, 2012*). We conducted these analyses with PRISM 6.0c for MacOS.

124 **Results**

125 In 2007 and 2009, adult barnacle density at the quadrat scale was never higher than 46
126 individuals dm^{-2} , while barnacle recruits occurred abundantly in all quadrats (Fig. 2). For both
127 years, the best model describing the adult–recruit relationship was the power model (Table 1).
128 For 2007, the power model was 1.8 times more plausible than the linear model and 4.3 times
129 more than the quadratic model while, for 2009, it was 1.9 times more plausible than the linear
130 model and 3.5 times more than the quadratic model (Table 1). The power model also explained a
131 higher percentage of the observed variation in recruit density (31 % in 2007 and 26 % in 2009)
132 than the other two models (Table 1).

133 In 2008, adult barnacle density exhibited a wider range than in 2007 and 2009. The highest
134 value found in 2008 (129 individuals dm^{-2}) was almost three times higher than the highest value
135 found for the other two years. In 2008, barnacle recruits were also abundant on the shore (Fig. 2).
136 The best model describing the adult–recruit relationship in 2008 was the quadratic one, which
137 was 4.1 times more plausible than the power model and 14.2 times more than the linear one
138 (Table 1). For 2008, the quadratic model explained a higher percentage of the observed variation
139 in recruit density (40 %) than the other two models (Table 1).

140

140 Discussion

141 By monitoring natural barnacle populations spanning a wide range of adult density (in
142 2008), the predicted unimodal adult–recruit relationship was supported. When the available
143 range of adult density covered only low to intermediate values (in 2007 and 2009), a positive
144 relationship resembling the lower half of the unimodal relationship was found. This is a valuable
145 outcome for two reasons. The first one is that this study provides an example of how a natural
146 pattern can be predicted from experiments that evaluated different situations separately, namely
147 settlement and recruitment under moderate densities of adult barnacles (*Raimondi, 1988; Kent et*
148 *al., 2003; Beermann et al., 2013; Ellrich et al., 2016a*) and intraspecific interactions at high adult
149 densities (*Bertness et al., 1998; Hooper & Eichhorn, 2016*). The second reason is that local-scale
150 recruitment, a key driver of population persistence for sessile invertebrates, is hereby shown to
151 be linked to the abundance of adult conspecifics. Adult barnacles generally do not contribute to
152 local population persistence through the larvae they spawn, because such larvae are taken
153 elsewhere by currents and waves (*Caley et al., 1996*). However, the ability of adult barnacles to
154 attract settlement-seeking larvae (regardless of where those larvae come from) determines a
155 positive adult–recruit relationship for moderate adult densities, likely enhancing local population
156 persistence where it is most needed. Areas with higher adult densities, where persistence may be
157 less compromised, seem to stimulate larvae to settle elsewhere.

158 The unimodal adult–recruit relationship would likely hold mainly on shores where the
159 supply of pelagic larvae to intertidal habitats is moderate. On shores subjected to a high larval
160 supply (e.g., because of mild water temperatures or high planktonic food supply), larvae quickly
161 colonize preferred substrate and new larvae arriving to the shore eventually colonize less
162 preferred areas also abundantly (*Bertness et al., 1992*). This suggests that, under high larval

163 supply rates, barnacle recruit density could be unrelated to the density of pre-existing adults.
164 Seemingly in support of this notion, a study on Scottish shores subjected to an unusually high
165 supply of *S. balanoides* larvae reported no linear relationship between the density of recruits and
166 that of pre-existing adults (*Hansson et al., 2003*). However, the possible occurrence of nonlinear
167 relationships was not evaluated in that study. In addition, the examined dataset included density
168 values from several intertidal elevations, which likely increased data variability because larval
169 settlement rates increase towards lower elevations because of the longer immersion times
170 (*Bertness et al., 1992*). It would thus appear useful to apply the methodology of the present study
171 to evaluate on a suitable shore the notion that barnacle recruit density is unrelated to the density
172 of pre-existing adults under high rates of larval supply.

173 Finally, despite the variety of factors that influence barnacle recruitment at small scales
174 (*Johnson & Strathmann, 1989; Bertness et al., 1992; Beermann et al., 2013; Coombes et al.*
175 *2015; Ellrich et al., 2015; Ellrich & Scrosati, 2016*), it is remarkable that a single factor (adult
176 barnacle density) was able to explain 26–40 % of the variation in recruit density observed on our
177 shore. This may have been the case because the surveyed habitats exhibit a similar degree of
178 wave exposure and substrate rugosity, composition, and slope. This was, in fact, the main reason
179 to select this coast to address the objective of this study. In any case, a multifactorial field
180 experiment could evaluate the relative explanatory ability of several small-scale factors acting
181 simultaneously. This would be a profitable exercise, as the majority of studies have generally
182 evaluated the influence of only one or two factors at a time (*Johnson & Strathmann, 1989;*
183 *Beermann et al., 2013; Coombes et al., 2015; Ellrich et al., 2015; Ellrich & Scrosati, 2016*).
184

184 **Acknowledgements** Research funds were provided by a Discovery Grant awarded to R.
185 Scrosati by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and by
186 a scholarship awarded to J. Ellrich by Germany's Stiftung für Kanada-Studien.

187 **References**

- 188 **Anderson DR. 2008.** *Model-based inference in the life sciences: a primer on evidence*. New
189 York: Springer.
- 190 **Barbosa ACC, Gomes CC, Pereira GC, Bueno M, Flores AAV. 2016.** Local biological
191 drivers, not remote forcing, predict settlement rate to a subtropical barnacle population. *Marine*
192 *Ecology Progress Series* **543**:201–208.
- 193 **Beck MW, Heck KL, Able KW, Childers DL, Eggleston DB, Gillanders BM, Halpern B,**
194 **Hays CG, Hoshino K, Minello TJ, Orth RJ, Sheridan PF, Weinstein MP. 2001.** The
195 identification, conservation, and management of estuarine and marine nurseries for fish and
196 invertebrates. *BioScience* **51**:633–641.
- 197 **Beermann AJ, Ellrich JA, Molis M, Scrosati RA. 2013.** Effects of seaweed canopies and adult
198 barnacles on barnacle recruitment: the interplay of positive and negative influences. *Journal of*
199 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **448**:162–170.
- 200 **Bertness MD, Gaines SD, Stephens EG, Yund PO. 1992.** Components of recruitment in
201 populations of the acorn barnacle *Semibalanus balanoides* (Linnaeus). *Journal of Experimental*
202 *Marine Biology and Ecology* **156**:199–215.
- 203 **Bertness MD, Gaines SD, Yeh SM. 1998.** Making mountains out of barnacles: the dynamics of
204 acorn barnacle hummocking. *Ecology* **79**:1382–1394.

- 205 **Bouchard GM, Aiken RB. 2012.** Latitudinal variation in the reproductive cycle and size of the
206 northern rock barnacle *Semibalanus balanoides* (L.) (Cirripedia, Archaeobalanidae) in the Bay
207 of Fundy. *Crustaceana* **85**:779–787.
- 208 **Bousfield EL. 1954.** The distribution and spawning seasons of barnacles on the Atlantic coast of
209 Canada. *Bulletin of the National Museum of Canada* **132**:112–154.
- 210 **Caley MJ, Carr MH, Hixon MA, Hughes TP, Jones GP, Menge BA. 1996.** Recruitment and
211 the local dynamics of open marine populations. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*
212 **27**:477–500.
- 213 **Chabot R, Bourget E. 1988.** Influence of substratum heterogeneity and settled barnacle density
214 on the settlement of cypris larvae. *Marine Biology* **97**:45–56.
- 215 **Clare AS. 2011.** Toward a characterization of the chemical cue to barnacle gregariousness. In:
216 Breithaupt T, Thiel M, eds. *Chemical communication in crustaceans*. New York: Springer,
217 431–450.
- 218 **Coombes MA, La Marca EC, Naylor LA, Thompson RC. 2015.** Getting into the groove:
219 Opportunities to enhance the ecological value of hard coastal infrastructure using fine-scale
220 surface textures. *Ecological Engineering* **77**:314–323.
- 221 **Crisp DJ. 1968.** Differences between North American and European populations of *Balanus*
222 *balanoides* revealed by transplantation. *Fisheries Research Board of Canada* **25**:2633–2641.
- 223 **Crisp DJ, Meadows PS. 1962.** The chemical basis of gregariousness in cirripedes. *Proceedings*
224 *of the Royal Society of London B* **156**:500–520.
- 225 **Ellrich JA, Scrosati RA. 2016.** Water motion modulates predator nonconsumptive limitation of
226 prey recruitment. *Ecosphere* **7**:e01402.

- 227 **Ellrich JA, Scrosati RA, Molis M. 2015.** Predator nonconsumptive effects on prey recruitment
228 weaken with recruit density. *Ecology* **96**:611–616.
- 229 **Ellrich JA, Scrosati RA, Romoth K, Molis M. 2016a.** Adult prey neutralizes predator
230 nonconsumptive limitation of prey recruitment. *PLoS ONE* **11**:e0154572.
- 231 **Ellrich JA, Scrosati RA, Bertolini C, Molis M. 2016b.** A predator has nonconsumptive effects
232 on different life-history stages of a prey. *Marine Biology* **163**:5.
- 233 **Galbraith PS, Larouche P, Chassé J, Petrie P. 2012.** Sea-surface temperature in relation to air
234 temperature in the Gulf of St. Lawrence: interdecadal variability and long-term trends. *Deep-*
235 *Sea Research II* **77–80**:10–20.
- 236 **Hansson LJ, Hudson IR, Seddon RJ, Shaw O, Thomason JC. 2003.** Massive recruitment of
237 the barnacle *Semibalanus balanoides* in the Clyde Sea (Scotland, UK) in the spring of 2000.
238 *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **83**:923–924.
- 239 **Hooper RC, Eichhorn MP. 2016.** Too close for comfort: spatial patterns in acorn barnacle
240 populations. *Population Ecology* **58**:231–239.
- 241 **Jarrett JN. 1997.** Temporal variation in substratum specificity of *Semibalanus balanoides*
242 (Linnaeus) cyprids. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **211**:103–114.
- 243 **Jenkins SR, Åberg P, Cervin G, Coleman RA, Delany J, Della Santina P, Hawkins SJ,**
244 **LaCroix E, Myers AA, Lindegarth M, Power AM, Roberts MF, Hartnoll RG. 2000.**
245 Spatial and temporal variation in settlement and recruitment of the intertidal barnacle
246 *Semibalanus balanoides* (L.) (Crustacea: Cirripedia) over a European scale. *Journal of*
247 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **243**:209–225.

- 248 **Johnson LE, Strathmann RR. 1989.** Settling barnacle larvae avoid substrata previously
249 occupied by a mobile predator. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **128**:87–
250 103.
- 251 **Kent A, Hawkins SJ, Doncaster CP. 2003.** Population consequences of mutual attraction
252 between settling and adult barnacles. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **72**:941–952.
- 253 **Lathlean JA, Ayre DJ, Minchinton TE. 2013.** Temperature variability at the larval scale
254 affects early survival and growth of an intertidal barnacle. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*
255 **475**:155–166.
- 256 **MacPherson EA, Scrosati R. 2008.** Population structure of the barnacle *Semibalanus*
257 *balanoides* (Cirripedia) across intertidal environmental stress gradients in northern Nova
258 Scotia, Canada. *Crustaceana* **81**:725–736.
- 259 **MacPherson EA, Scrosati R, Chareka P. 2008.** Barnacle recruitment on ice-scoured shores in
260 eastern Canada. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **88**:289–
261 291.
- 262 **Matsumura K, Hills JM, Thomason PO, Thomason JC, Clare AS. 2000.** Discrimination at
263 settlement in barnacles: laboratory and field experiments on settlement behaviour in response to
264 settlement-inducing protein complexes. *Biofouling* **16**:181–190.
- 265 **Matsumura K, Qian P-Y. 2014.** Larval vision contributes to gregarious settlement in barnacles:
266 adult red fluorescence as a possible visual signal. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **217**:743–
267 750.

- 268 **Menge BA, Menge DNL. 2013.** Dynamics of coastal meta-ecosystems: the intermittent
269 upwelling hypothesis and a test in rocky intertidal regions. *Ecological Monographs* **83**:283–
270 310.
- 271 **Menge BA, Gouhier TC, Hacker SD, Chan F, Nielsen KJ. 2015.** Are meta-ecosystems
272 organized hierarchically? A model and test in rocky intertidal habitats. *Ecological Monographs*
273 **85**:213–233.
- 274 **Musetta-Lambert JL, Scrosati RA, Keppel EA, Barbeau MA, Skinner MA, Courtenay SC.**
275 **2015.** Intertidal communities differ between breakwaters and natural rocky areas on ice-scoured
276 Northwest Atlantic coasts. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **539**:19–31.
- 277 **Navarrete SA, Broitman BR, Menge BA. 2008.** Interhemispheric comparison of recruitment to
278 intertidal communities: pattern persistence and scales of variation. *Ecology* **89**:1308–1322.
- 279 **Palumbi SR, Pinsky ML. 2014.** Marine dispersal, ecology, and conservation. In: Bertness MD,
280 Bruno JF, Silliman BR, Stachowicz JJ, eds. *Marine community ecology and conservation*.
281 Sunderland: Sinauer, 57–83.
- 282 **Raimondi PT. 1988.** Settlement cues and determination of the vertical limit of an intertidal
283 barnacle. *Ecology* **69**:400–407.
- 284 **Rognstad RL, Wethey DS, Hilbish TJ. 2014.** Connectivity and population repatriation:
285 limitations of climate and input into the larval pool. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **495**:175–
286 183.
- 287 **Scrosati R, Heaven C. 2006.** Field technique to quantify intensity of scouring by sea ice in
288 rocky intertidal habitats. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **320**:293–295.

- 289 **Scrosati R, Heaven C. 2007.** Spatial trends in community richness, diversity, and evenness
290 across rocky intertidal environmental stress gradients in eastern Canada. *Marine Ecology*
291 *Progress Series* **342**:1–14.
- 292 **Scrosati RA, Ellrich JA. 2016.** A 12-year record of intertidal barnacle recruitment in Atlantic
293 Canada (2005-2016): relationships with sea surface temperature and phytoplankton abundance.
294 *PeerJ* **4**:e2623.
- 295 **Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ. 2012.** *Biometry. The principles and practice of statistics in biological*
296 *research*. New York: W. H. Freeman.
- 297

297 **Table 1.** Summary information for the models describing the adult–recruit relationship: a, b, and
 298 c are the parameters of the equations described in Methods, w is the weight of evidence for each
 299 model, and n is the number of surveyed quadrats.


300

| Model | a | b | c | Adjusted R^2 | AICc | w | Evidence ratio |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|-------|--------|----------------|
| 2007 (n = 30) | | | | | | | |
| Linear | 12.82 | 912.80 | - | 0.22 | 328.9 | 0.3077 | 1.8 |
| Power | 138.20 | 0.34 | 815.80 | 0.31 | 326.8 | 0.5607 | 1 |
| Quadratic | -0.34 | 25.44 | 877.90 | 0.25 | 329.7 | 0.1315 | 4.3 |
| 2008 (n = 29) | | | | | | | |
| Linear | 2.02 | 329.90 | - | 0.24 | 288.5 | 0.0536 | 14.2 |
| Power | 61.65 | 0.35 | 218.00 | 0.35 | 286.0 | 0.1872 | 4.1 |
| Quadratic | -0.04 | 7.65 | 228.80 | 0.40 | 283.2 | 0.7592 | 1 |
| 2009 (n = 32) | | | | | | | |
| Linear | 15.86 | 779.40 | - | 0.20 | 352.8 | 0.2887 | 1.9 |
| Power | 175.70 | 0.33 | 617.30 | 0.26 | 351.5 | 0.5529 | 1 |
| Quadratic | -0.49 | 31.49 | 736.10 | 0.20 | 354.0 | 0.1584 | 3.5 |

301

302

302




303

304

305 **Fig. 1.** Typical view in June of a wave-exposed, high-intertidal habitat on the Gulf of St.
306 Lawrence coast of Nova Scotia, showing barnacle adults (a) and recruits (r). The sampling
307 quadrat is 10 cm x 10 cm. Photograph by R. A. Scrosati.

308



308

309 **Fig. 2.** Relationships between standardized barnacle recruit density (referred to the available
310 area for recruitment in each quadrat) and the density of pre-existing adult barnacles (always
311 referred to quadrat area) in June 2007, 2008, and 2009 for wave-exposed, high-intertidal habitats
312 on the Gulf of St. Lawrence coast of Nova Scotia. Each graph shows the model that best
313 describes the adult–recruit relationship (see Table 1 for model parameters).