

**A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 5 August 2019.**

[View the peer-reviewed version](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7462) (peerj.com/articles/7462), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Liu H, Xu T, Xu S, Ma L, Han X, Wang X, Zhang X, Hu L, Zhao N, Chen Y, Pi L, Zhao X. 2019. Effect of dietary concentrate to forage ratio on growth performance, rumen fermentation and bacterial diversity of Tibetan sheep under barn feeding on the Qinghai-Tibetan plateau. PeerJ 7:e7462 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7462>

# Effect of dietary concentrate to forage ratio on growth performance, rumen fermentation and bacterial diversity of Tibetan sheep under barn feeding on Qinghai-Tibetan plateau

Hongjin Liu<sup>Equal first author, 1, 2, 3</sup>, Tianwei xu<sup>Equal first author, 1, 2</sup>, Shixiao Xu<sup>Corresp., 1</sup>, Li Ma<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>, Xueping Han<sup>1, 3, 4</sup>, Xungang Wang<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>, Xiaoling Zhang<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>, Linyong Hu<sup>1, 2</sup>, Na Zhao<sup>1, 2</sup>, Yongwei Chen<sup>4</sup>, Li Pi<sup>1</sup>, Xinquan Zhao<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Northwest Institute of Plateau Biology, Chinese Academy of Science, Xining, China

<sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Adaptation and Evolution of Plateau Biota, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xining, China

<sup>3</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>4</sup> Technology Extension Service of Animal Husbandry of Qinghai, Xining, China

Corresponding Author: Shixiao Xu  
Email address: sxxu@nwipb.cas.cn

This study aimed to research the effects of different dietary concentrate to forage (C:F) ratio on growth performance, rumen fermentation and bacteria diversity of barn feeding Tibetan sheep. The experiment contains five treatments (HS1, HS2, HS3, HS4 and HS5; n=8, respectively) based on dietary C: F ratios 0:100, 15:85, 30:70, 45:55, and 60:40, respectively. The ruminal bacterial community structure was investigated through high-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA genes in V4 hypervariable region. The results showed that increasing dietary concentrate feed level from 0% to 60% exerted a positive effect on DMI, BW gain, gain rate and feed conversion ratio ( $F_{CR}$ ) in Tibetan sheep. The increases in dietary concentrate feed level elevated  $NH_3$ -N, propionate and valerate concentrations, whereas, reduced molar ratio of acetate to propionate (A/P ratio) ( $P < 0.05$ ). For rumen bacterial diversity, increases in dietary concentrate content contributed to lower alpha diversity indexes including Shannon wiener, Chao1 and observed species, meanwhile, significantly increased the abundances of the phylum *Bacteroidetes* and the genus *Prevotella\_1* ( $P < 0.05$ ). In conclusion, increases in dietary concentrate content improved the growth performance and Tibetan sheep fed diets of 45% concentrate obtained a better performance; the inclusion of concentrate in feed changed rumen fermentation from acetate fermentation to propionate fermentation, and improved the energy utilization efficiency of Tibetan sheep; the increase in concentrate content significantly reduced rumen bacterial diversity and changed the abundance of some core bacteria.

**Effect of dietary concentrate to forage ratio on growth performance, rumen fermentation  
and bacterial diversity of Tibetan sheep under barn feeding on Qinghai-Tibetan plateau**

Hongjin Liu<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Tianwei Xu<sup>a,b</sup>, Shixiao Xu<sup>a,b</sup>, Li Ma<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Xueping Han<sup>a,c,d</sup>, Xungang Wang<sup>a,b,c</sup>,  
Xiaoling Zhang<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Linyong Hu<sup>a,b</sup>, Na Zhao<sup>a,b</sup>, Yongwei Chen<sup>d</sup>, Li Pi<sup>a</sup>, Xinquan Zhao<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Northwest Institute of Plateau Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xining, China

<sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Adaptation and Evolution of Plateau Biota, Chinese Academy of Sciences,  
Xining, China

<sup>c</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>d</sup> Technology Extension Service of Animal Husbandry of Qinghai, Xining, China

Hongjin Liu and Tianwei Xu contributed equally to this research.

\*Corresponding author: prof. Shixiao Xu, Northwest Plateau Institute of Biology, Chinese  
Academy of sciences, 810001 Xining, China; E-mail: [sxxu@nwipb.cas.cn](mailto:sxxu@nwipb.cas.cn).

24

## 25 **Abstract:**

26 This study aimed to research the effects of different dietary concentrate to forage (C:F) ratio  
 27 on growth performance, rumen fermentation and bacteria diversity of barn feeding Tibetan  
 28 sheep. The experiment contains five treatments (HS1, HS2 HS3, HS4 and HS5; n=8,  
 29 respectively) based on dietary C: F ratios 0:100, 15:85, 30:70, 45:55, and 60:40, respectively.  
 30 The ruminal bacterial community structure was investigated through high-throughput sequencing  
 31 of 16S rRNA genes in V4 hypervariable region. The results showed that increasing dietary  
 32 concentrate feed level from 0% to 60% exerted a positive effect on DMI, BW gain, gain rate and  
 33 feed conversion ratio ( $F_{CR}$ ) in Tibetan sheep. The increases dietary concentrate feed level  
 34 elevated  $NH_3$ -N, propionate and valerate concentrations, whereas, reduced molar ratio of acetate  
 35 to propionate (A/P ratio) ( $P<0.05$ ). For rumen bacterial diversity, increases in dietary concentrate  
 36 content contributed to lower alpha diversity indexes including Shannon wiener, Chao1 and  
 37 observed species, meanwhile, significantly increased the abundances of the phylum  
 38 *Bacteroidetes* and the genus *Prevotella\_1* ( $P<0.05$ ). In conclusion, increases dietary concentrate  
 39 content improved the growth performance and Tibetan sheep fed diets of 45% concentrate  
 40 obtained a better performance; the inclusion of concentrate in feed changed rumen fermentation  
 41 from acetate fermentation to propionate fermentation, and improved the energy utilization  
 42 efficiency of Tibetan sheep; the increased in concentrate content significantly reduced rumen  
 43 bacteria diversity and changed the abundance of some core bacteria.

44 **Keywords** Rumen bacterial diversity, Tibetan sheep, 16S rRNA, Concentrate-to-forage ratio,  
 45 Rumen fermentation parameter, Growth performance

46 **Abbreviation key:** C:F ratios= dietary concentrate to forage ratio, HS1= C:F ratio of 0:100,

47 HS2= C:F ratio of 15:85, HS3= C:F ratio of 30:70, HS4= C:F ratio of 45:55, HS5= C:F ratio of  
48 60:40, DMI=dry matter intake, ADG=average daily BW gain,  $F_{CR}$  = feed conversation ratio.

## 49 Introduction

50 Tibetan sheep (*Ovis aries*) live exclusively on the Qinghai Tibetan Plateau (QTP) with a  
51 altitude greater than 3,000 (An et al. 2005), they have adapted well to extremely harsh  
52 environment and ingested grasses as their sole source of nutrition (Sasaki 1994; Wiener et al.  
53 2003). Under traditional management, Tibetan sheep mainly grazed on natural pasture without  
54 concentrate supplementation, and always suffered seasonal live-weight variations and viciously  
55 cycled in “alive in summer, strong in autumn, thin in winter, tired in spring”, due to seasonal  
56 fluctuations in natural pasture supply and the contradiction between herbage supply and  
57 livestock's requirement on the alpine pasture (Dong et al. 2006; Xue et al. 2005; Xu et al. 2017).  
58 During growing season (June to Oct.), natural pasture can provide enough herbage for livestock,  
59 the local people only drive livestock to alpine pasture for grazing. During non-growing season  
60 (Nov to May) and vegetation green-up periods, grazing livestock shared low performance mainly  
61 attributed to the decrement in herbage supply (both in quantity and quality). In addition,  
62 irrational grazing during this period destroyed regular growth of alpine plants and function  
63 recovery of alpine pasture. Previous studies have confirmed that spring short term rest-grazing is  
64 an effective measure for the functional recovery of alpine meadows (Li et al. 2014; Li et al.2017;  
65 Ma et al.2017), the local government encourages pastoralists to feed their livestock in warm shed  
66 during spring grazing break. However, few studies concerning growth performance of barn  
67 feeding Tibetan sheep during late non-growing and vegetation green-up periods were conducted,  
68 but which was quiet important for providing pastoralists guidance in livestock breeding.

69 The rumen microorganisms play an important role in the digestion of proteins,

carbohydrates, starch, sugars and fats, which provides energy and proteins to the host by producing volatile fatty acids and bacterial proteins through anaerobic fermentation (Ceconi et al. 2015; Jiang et al. 2015) and finally affects ruminants performance. The rumen microorganism can be affected by many factors, such as diet, hosts and geographic region (Clark. 1975; Lee et al. 2012). The dietary nutrition level is a major factor affecting rumen microbial diversity, healthy status and production capacity of ruminants (Clark. 1975). The effect of diet on the structure of rumen microbial communities has been widely investigated in Yak, Tan sheep, Holstein dairy cows, Mehshana buffalo and goats (Pitta et al. 2014; Jiao et al. 2015; Pitta et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2016; Xue et al. 2016). However, as the dominant ruminant and living foundation for local herdsman, the studies of Tibetan sheep have only focused on the growth performance, slaughter performance and economic benefit under different dietary supplementation (Baruah et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2015c; Dodd et al. 2011; Feng et al. 2013; Lee et al. 2012; Xu et al. 2017), but few comprehensive studies focused on the growth performance, rumen fermentation and rumen microbial communities of Tibetan sheep.

Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the growth performance, rumen fermentation and rumen bacterial diversity of Tibetan sheep under different dietary concentrate-to-forage ratios during late non-growing and green-up periods. We hypothesized that different C: F ratios could affect growth performance, rumen fermentation and rumen bacterial diversity in Tibetan sheep. These results will be of great importance for providing guidance for local herdsman in Tibetan sheep breeding and for future research on rumen microbial metabolism in Tibetan sheep.

## Materials and Methods

### Animals and Experimental Design

This study was conducted at Haibei Demonstration Zone of Plateau Modern Ecological Animal Husbandry Scientific and Technology in Haibei Prefecture, Qinghai Province, China (36°54' N, 100°56' E), from April 2016 to July 2016. All animal care procedures were consistent with the guidelines from the Institution of Animal Care and the Ethics Committee of the Northwest Institute of Plateau Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (NWIPB20160302). The processing of the samples after collection was performed strictly in accordance with the guidelines of NWIPB.

A total of 40 female yearling Tibetan sheep with familiar body conditions ( $21.39 \pm 1.18$  kg BW, and not under current antibiotic treatment) were randomly divided into five treatments (8 in each) under different C:F ratios (on dry matter basis): HS1(0:100), HS2 (15:85), HS3 (30:70), HS4 (45:55) and HS5 (60:40), respectively. All sheep were allocated into 5 pens within a warm shed. The concentrate and oat hay were manually mixed and fed (dry matter) based on 3.5% BW of Tibetan sheep. Before study, all the animals were fed the experimental diet for a 15-day adaptation phase. During formal 80 day experiment, the experimental animals were separately fed twice daily, at 8:00 am and 5:00 pm. The diets, salt brick and clean water were available throughout the entire experiment. The ingredients and nutrient compositions of the animal diet are shown in **Table 1 and Table S1**. The concentrate feed used in this study was produced by Menyuan Yongxing Ecological Agricultural Development Co., Ltd., and oat hay are harvested, bundled and stored in the year 2015.

### **Measurement and sampling**

The diets and oats in each treatment were weighed and recorded daily to estimate individual dry matter intake (DMI). Diet samples of approximately 500 g from each treatment were collected, dried at 60 °C, ground through a 1-mm sieve and stored in a vacuum dryer for

nutritional analysis. To measure animal growth performance, Tibetan sheep were weighed before feeding using an electronic balance at the beginning and end of formal experiment. We selected four Tibetan sheep from each treatment group for rumen fluid sampling. Specifically, on 80<sup>th</sup> day, rumen content samples were obtained 3-4 h after the morning feeding using a stomach tube attached to an electric pump, which has been confirmed to yield similar results for rumen microorganisms and fermentation parameters as sampling using a rumen cannula (Ramos-Morales et al. 2014). The rumen contents were filtered through four layers of sterilized gauze. Approximately 67 mL of liquid was obtained from the rumen of each Tibetan sheep. The rumen fluid was separated into three samples, one (approximately 2 mL) was transferred into sterilized freezing tubes and stored in liquid nitrogen for DNA extraction; the second sample (approximately 15 mL) was immediately used to measure the pH; and the third sample (approximately 50 mL) was used for the assessment of rumen fermentation parameters, including ammonia nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N) and rumen volatile fatty acids (VFAs).

#### **Chemical analysis and calculation**

The feed samples were dried in an oven at 135 °C for 3 h to obtain the DM (AOAC, 1990; Method No. 930.15). The total N was detected using Kjeldahl method; the crude protein content was calculated as 6.25×N (Method No. 984.13); the ether extract (EE) was measured using the Soxhlet system (Method No. 954.02); the acid detergent fiber (ADF) and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) of diet were analysed using method described by Soest et al. (1991); and the starch was measured according to PRC national standard (GB 5009.9-2016).

The body weight gain (BW gain), gain rate, average daily BW gain (ADG) and feed conversion ratio (F<sub>CR</sub>) were calculated according to the following equations:

$$BW_{\text{gain}} (\text{kg}) = BW_f - BW_i$$



$$\text{Gain rate (\%)} = 100 \times (\text{BW}_f - \text{BW}_i) / \text{BW}_i$$

$$\text{ADG (g/d)} = 1000 \times (\text{BW}_f - \text{BW}_i) / t$$

$$F_{CR} = \text{DM}_{\text{consume}} / (\text{BW}_f - \text{BW}_i)$$

where  $\text{BW}_f$  and  $\text{BW}_i$  are the final and initial body weights (kg), respectively,  $t$  is the experimental time (d), and  $\text{DM}_{\text{consume}}$  is the total feed consumed during the experiment (kg DM). The ruminal fluid pH was measured using a portable pH meter (PHSJ-3F; Precision Instruments Company, Shang Hai, China). For VFAs measurements, the rumen fluid was centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min, and the VFAs in the supernatant were analysed using a gas chromatograph Agilent 7890A (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a polar capillary column (DB-WAX, 30 m×0.25 mm×0.25 μm) and a flame ionization detector (FID, temperature set at 250°C). The temperature-programmed conditions were as follows: the temperature was maintained at 60 °C for 2 minutes, increased to 180 °C at rate of 10 °C /min, and increased to 250 °C at rate of 20 °C /min; the shunt ratio was 20:1; the flow rate was 1 mL/min; and the inlet temperature was 200 °C. The  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  content in the supernatant was quantified using a continuous flow analyser (SEAL Auto Analyser 3, Germany) described by Rhine et al. (1998).

#### **DNA extraction and PCR amplification**

Genomic DNA was directly extracted from 0.2 g of each semisolid-state sample using cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Porebski et al. 1997). The DNA quality was assessed via 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, and metagenomic DNA concentrations were determined with a NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo, Mass, USA). The DNA was then diluted to 1 ng/μL using sterile water and stored at -4°C for PCR amplification.

For PCR amplification, the V4 hypervariable region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified using the universal primers 515F (5'-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') and 806R

(5'- GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') with unique barcodes. PCRs were performed in 25 µL reactions consisting of 12.5 µL Phusion® High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (New England Biolabs), 1 µL of forward and reverse primers, 1 µL of template DNA and 9.5 µL of autoclaved distilled water. The thermal cycling program consisted of initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 40 s, annealing at 56°C for 1 min, and elongation at 72°C for 1 min and a final incubation step at 72°C for 10 min. For PCR product quantification and qualification, we obtained mixtures of the same volumes of 1× loading buffer (containing SYBR Green from Shanghai, SanoBio) and the PCR products were examined on a 2% agarose gel electrophoresis. Samples with a bright band between 400 and 450 bp were mixed and purified using a Qiagen Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Germany). Sequencing libraries were then generated using a TruSeq® Ultra™ DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, USA) following the manufacturer's recommendations, and the index codes were then added. The library quality was assessed using a Qubit® 2.0 fluorometer (Thermo Scientific) and an Agilent Bio analyzer system. The library was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq PE250 platform (Novogene, Beijing, China).

### Analysis of sequencing data

The paired-end reads were assigned to samples based on their unique barcodes, and the barcodes and primer sequences were then trimmed. The raw reads were filtered according to the following rules: Removing reads containing more than 10% of unknown nucleotides (N); removing reads containing less than 80% of bases with quality (Q-value) > 20. The FLASH (version 1.2.7) was then used to merge paired-ends reads as raw tags with a minimum overlap of 10bp and mismatch error rates of 2% (Magoc & Salzberg 2011). The noisy sequences of raw tags were filtered by QIIME (version 1.9.1) pipeline to obtain the high-quality clean tags

(Caporaso et al. 2010). The reads were then compared with a Gold database using the UCHIME algorithm to detect and remove the chimaera sequences (Edgaret et al. 2011). All the sequences were analysed using Uparse software (version 7.0.1001), and sequences with greater than or equal 97% similarity were assigned to the same operational taxonomic unit (OTU). Taxonomic information for each representative sequence was annotated using the Greengenes database based on the RDP classifier algorithm (version 2.2) (Wang et al. 2007).

## Statistical analysis

Alpha and species diversity indexes, including the Shannon-Wiener index, Chao1 index, Good's coverage and observed species were calculated by Qiime and graphed using Origin (version 8.0). The correlation among growth performance, rumen fermentation parameters and bacteria diversity were analyzed using SPSS (version 17.0), and heat map of correlations were also prepared using Origin. The beta diversity based on weighted UniFrac distance, was visualized through a principal coordinate analysis (PCoA). One-way ANOVA with Tuckey's test was performed to compare the differences in relative abundances among different treatments, and Duncan's multiple comparison test was used to determine the effects of dietary C: F ratios on BW gain, gain rate, ADG, DMI, DM consume and  $F_{CR}$  using SPSS (version 17.0). Effects were considered significant at  $P < 0.05$ . The results are shown as the means  $\pm$  SEMs.

## Results

### Growth performance of Tibetan sheep

As shown in **Table 2**, treatments HS4 and HS5 significantly increased BW gain, gain rate and ADG, whereas reduced  $F_{CR}$  as compared to treatments HS1 and HS2 ( $P < 0.05$ ). Tibetan sheep fed diet in group HS1 showed the lowest growth performance. No significant difference were detected in BW gain and  $F_{CR}$  between treatments HS4 and HS5, and the initial BW showed

no notably difference among the five groups ( $P=0.196$ ).

## Rumen fermentation parameters

As shown in **Table 3**, the  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ , propionate and valerate concentrations in treatments HS4 and HS5 were significantly higher than that of other three groups ( $P<0.05$ ). There was a decreasing trend for total VFA, acetate and isovalerate concentrations, but no significant differences were detected among the groups. The increases in dietary concentrate level significantly decreased A:P ratio ( $P<0.05$ ). The ruminal pH tended to decrease, but no significant difference was detected ( $P>0.05$ ), and the average pH value was approximately 6.61.

## Sequencing and taxonomic composition of the rumen bacterial community

A total of 1,497,607 PE reads were obtained, and 1,461,673 clean reads from 20 different samples were generated after quality control (**Table S2**). All the sequences were aligned and clustered into OTUs using a 97% sequence identity as a cut-off, which yielded a total of 49,216 OTUs (**Table S2**). Rarefaction curves were generated with a 3% cut of for comparisons of species and richness as shown in **Fig. 1**. All the curves of the observed species numbers tended to saturate to a plateau at the minimum sequence number of 60,000 tags. In addition, the HS4 and HS5 groups tended to cluster together with a lower observed species number than the HS1, HS2 and HS3 groups.

The taxonomic analysis detected a total of 41 phyla (**Table S3**), and the most abundant phyla were *Bacteroidetes* (52.18%), *Proteobacteria* (20.34%) and *Firmicutes* (14.34%). The top ten phyla, which exhibited the highest relative abundances, were prevalent in all the samples, accounting for nearly 98% of all sequences (**Fig. 2A**). The moderate abundant phyla included *Fibrobacteres* (1.25%), *Cyanobacteria* (1.04%), *Acidobacteria* (0.96%), *Tenericutes* (1.49%),

230 *Actinobacteria* (0.96%) and *Verrucomicrobia* (0.47%). The other known phyla accounted for  
231 1.48%, whereas the remaining sequences that were unclassified accounted for 0.26%.

232 At the genus level, we detected 129 distinct genera across all the groups (**Table S4**), and 10  
233 genera whose relative abundances more than 1% were perceived as the most important bacteria  
234 that affecting the rumen environment and digestive system (**Fig. 2B**). The genus with relatively  
235 high across all the samples were *Prevotella\_1* (26.81%), *Succinivibrionaceae\_UCG-002*  
236 (7.11%), *Ruminobacter* (6.03%), *Rikenellaceae\_RC9\_gut\_group* (4.73%), *Prevotellaceae\_UCG-*  
237 *003* (2.65%). Minor genera, such as *Prevotellaceae\_UCG-001*, *Erysipelotrichaceae\_UCG-004*,  
238 *Fibrobacter*, *Christensenellaceae\_R-7\_group* and *Ruminococcaceae\_NK4A214\_group*  
239 accounted for 1.23%, 1.09%, 1.01%, 1.22 and 1.09% of the sequences, respectively. The other  
240 known genera accounted for 11.32% of the sequences, whereas sequences that were unclassified  
241 accounted for 34.23% of the sequences.

#### 242 **Effect of diets with different C:F ratios on the bacterial community**

243 To determine alpha diversity, we calculated Shannon-Wiener, Chao 1, observed species and  
244 Good's coverage indexes, as shown in **Fig. 3**. The indexes of Shannon-Wiener, Chao 1 and  
245 observed species in treatments HS1, HS2 and HS3 were significantly higher than treatments HS4  
246 and HS5 (**Fig. 3A, B and C**). The Good's coverage among five treatment were greater than 99%  
247 (**Fig. 3D**). As shown in **Fig. 4**, the PCoA result showed that the rumen bacterial communities of  
248 the five treatments were mainly classified into three clusters (**Fig. 4**). The HS1 and HS2  
249 treatments clustered very closely together, the HS4 and HS5, and the HS3 treatments formed two  
250 clusters. In addition, HS3 treatment were closer to the cluster composed of the HS1 and HS2  
251 treatments, which only represented 7.14% of the variability obtained with PC2.

The relative abundance of bacterial taxa was used to describe the impact of diets with different C:F ratios on bacterial community. At the phylum level, top 8 phylum (relative abundance >1%) were analyzed in **Table S5**. The ruminal compositions of the HS1 to HS3 treatments contained a significantly lower relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes*, whereas higher relative abundances of *Proteobacteria*, *Acidobacteria*, and *Actinobacteria* than those of the HS4 and HS5 treatments ( $P<0.05$ ). No significant differences were found in the other phyla ( $P>0.05$ ). At the genus level, top 12 genera (relative abundance >1%) were analyzed in **Table S6**. Treatments HS4 and HS5 shared a higher relative abundance of *Prevotella\_1* than the other three treatments ( $P<0.05$ ). No significant differences were found in the rest of genera ( $p>0.05$ ).

#### **Relationships between rumen bacterial diversity and host growth performance**

As shown in **Fig. 5**, a significant negative correlation was found between bacterial diversity (including the Shannon-Wiener, Chao1, and observed species indexes) and growth performance (including BW gain, ADG, and DMI) with increases in the C:F ratio ( $P<0.05$ ).  $F_{CR}$  showed a negative correlation with increases in the DMI, BW gain and ADG and a positive correlation with the Shannon-Wiener, Chao1 and observed species indexes. In addition, growth performance showed significant positive correlations with increases in the dietary C:F ratio ( $P<0.05$ ).

#### **Relationships between rumen fermentation parameter and microorganisms**

A heat map of the correlations between the top 45 genera (relative abundance >0.1%) and rumen fermentation was constructed (**Fig. 6**). A total of 360 correlation coefficients were generated, and 33 of these coefficients (9.17% of the total correlation coefficients) showed significant correlations ( $P<0.05$ ). The fermentation parameters pH, acetate, isovalerate and A:P were significantly positively correlated with most genera (e.g., *Victivalls*, *Thalassospira* and *Sphaerochaeta*), whereas valerate and  $NH_3$  showed a negative correlation with most genera (e.g.,

Victivalls, *Ruminococcaceae\_UCG\_02*, and *Thalassosphira*). *Prevotella\_1*, which was the most abundant genera, was significantly positively ( $P < 0.05$ ) correlated with  $\text{NH}_3$ , propionate and valerate and negatively correlated with pH. No significant correlation were detected between butyrate and the top 45 genera.

## Discussion

### Growth performance of barn-fed Tibetan sheep

Previous studies mainly aimed to ensure that Tibetan sheep live through grazing breaks (Ma 2008; Xie et al. 2014), and there is little knowledge on the BW gain and feed efficiency of Tibetan sheep fed diets with different C:F ratios during late non-growing and vegetation green-up periods. Our results revealed that increases in dietary concentrate feed levels within a certain range (0% to 45%) exerted a positive effect on the feed intake, BW gain and  $F_{CR}$  of Tibetan sheep during spring grazing breaks. This finding can be mainly attributed to the fact that high-concentrate diet contains more digestive energy and nonstructural carbohydrates (Wang 2015), which leads to increased nutrient intake, faster digestion through the digestive tract, and then improved growth performance and higher feed efficiency (Haddad & Ata 2009; Haddad 2005).

Under traditional grazing, Tibetan sheep exhibit low growth performance ( $ADG = 36 \sim 55$  g/d) during spring, and more seriously, unsustainable grazing during this period decreases the plant species richness and biomass in alpine pasture (Ma 2008). In contrast, shortened rest-grazing increases the standing herbage biomass by 77%~189% (Li et al. 2017; Ma et al. 2017). Herein, we confirmed that a diet with diet with a 45% concentration supplementation significantly improves the performance of Tibetan sheep. Therefore, the use of spring rest-grazing combined with barn feeding could increase livestock performance and promote the functional recovery of alpine pasture.



# 298 **Effect of different C: F ratios on rumen fermentation parameters**

299       The pH, NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentration and VFA molar ratio are the main internal environmental  
300 indicators of rumen fermentation. If the rumen pH was in the range of 6.2 to 7.0, the ecological  
301 environment of rumen microorganisms could be relatively stable, which could ensure normal  
302 rumen fermentation (Weng et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2013). In our study, the ruminal pH ranged  
303 from 6.42 to 6.86, and this finding indicated that the increased proportion of concentrate did not  
304 induce acidosis, which is usually defined as a decrease in the rumen pH to less than the threshold  
305 value of 6.0 (Nocek 1997). The ruminant pH values obtained in the present study are inconsistent  
306 with previous studies (Cerrillo et al. 1999; Chen et al. 2015a). The pH value can be affected by  
307 many factors, such as higher doses of flour or starches (Lettat et al. 2010; Minuti et al. 2014), the  
308 time from concentrate feed supplementation to ruminal liquid collection (Lettat et al. 2010), and  
309 the insertion depth of the rumen catheter (Li et al. 2009). In our study, increases in the dietary  
310 concentrate level tended to decrease the ruminal pH, but no significant difference was detected  
311 ( $P>0.05$ ), which could be due to the starch content. The HS1 and HS2 groups had a lower starch  
312 content (25.58 and 26.95 mg/g, respectively), which led to a higher pH value, whereas the HS3,  
313 HS4 and HS5 groups had a higher starch content (32.55, 33.72 and 39.37mg/g, respectively),  
314 which resulted in a relatively lower pH value. The dietary C:F ratios did not significantly affect  
315 the total volatile fatty acids, which is in accordance with previous research (Chen et al. 2015a).  
316 This result might be due to the ability of the rumen system that can adapt to appropriate dietary  
317 C:F ratios through the self-adjustment of rumen microorganisms. Ammonium nitrogen which is  
318 the final product through the decomposition of protein and nonprotein in feed, and it is also the  
319 main nitrogen source for the synthesis of bacterial protein by rumen microorganisms. In our  
320 study, high concentrate feed level elevated NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentration, which is consistent with the



former study (Reddy & Reddy. 1985; Yang et al. 2001). The high dietary concentrate increased nitrogen content in the rumen, thereby increasing ruminal ammonia nitrogen (Moorby et al. 2006). As for individual VFA concentrations in current study, diets with high-concentrate levels slightly reduced acetate concentration, whereas significantly increased propionate proportion, thereby resulting in a significant reduction of A: P ratio. (Andrade & Schmidely 2006; Polyorach et al. 2014). From the point of view of energy utilization, a decrease in the A:P ratio reflects an improvement in the feed energy utilization efficiency, which also explains the improved growth performance of Tibetan sheep in the high-concentrate groups (HS4 and HS5).

### Core bacterial communities in the rumen

Although rumen microbial community composition varies with diet and host, a ‘core microbiome’ is found across a wide geographical range (Herdson et al. 2015). The dominance of *Bacteroidetes* or *Proteobacteria* could be attributable to variations in diet, environment, hosts and farming practices over a wide geographical range (Amato et al. 2013; Henderson et al. 2013). In our study, different dietary concentrate level induced *Bacteroidetes* (55.02%) to become the most abundant phyla, followed by *Proteobacteria* (22.10%), and this microbial distribution of major phyla was similar to that obtained in previous studies on Yaks (Chen et al. 2015b). These results might be related to the functions of rumen bacteria. Members of the phylum *Bacteroidetes* have a greater ability to degrade protein and carbohydrates than species belonging to *Proteobacteria* (Huo et al. 2014; Pitta et al. 2014). Our study also found that the relative abundance of *Firmicutes* was the third largest phylum and was lower than that of *Proteobacteria*. Similar results were observed in bovines and cattle during the transition from forage to concentrate (Jami et al. 2013). However, Xue et al. (2016) observed that the most abundant phyla in the rumen of natural pasture-grazing Tibetan sheep are *Bacteroidetes*,

followed by *Firmicutes*. One possible reason for this difference is that the hosts investigated by Xue et al. (2016) were grazed on natural pasture and that the forage nutrition and types differed from those in our study, and these differences might have resulted in a higher abundance of *Firmicutes*. Additionally, the phylum *Fibrobacteres*, with an abundance less than 1.5%, comprised only a small fraction of the community composition compared with those of other phyla, and these results agree with previous studies (Petri et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2016).

In our study, although different C:F ratio did not change the core structure of the rumen microbiome, the relative abundances of *Bacteroidetes* and *Proteobacteria* showed noticeable shifts at phylum level. Tibetan sheep fed high-concentrate diets significantly increased the relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes*, whereas, reduced the relative abundance of *Proteobacteria*. Previous research revealed that *Bacteroidetes* were the major rumen microorganisms in degrading non-fibrous carbohydrates and contained genes related to the degradation of non-fibrous polysaccharides (Russell & Diezgonzalez. 1998). In our study, high concentrate feed contained more non-fibrous carbohydrates and polysaccharides, thus, increased the abundance of *Bacteroidetes*. At the genus level, *Prevotella\_1* was the predominant genus, and the relative abundances of this genus significantly increased with increases in dietary C:F ratio. This finding was consistent with that previously obtained by Stevenson & Weimer et al. (2007). The high abundance of this genus can perhaps be explained from two points of view. Firstly, it is possible that this bacterial genus has a wide metabolic niche due to genetic relatedness or to the high genetic variability that enable this genus to occupy different ecological niches within the rumen. Secondly, *Prevotella\_1* strains play an important role in the degradation and utilization of plant noncellulosic polysaccharides, protein, starch and xylans. The increase in the abundance of this genus could be attributed to dietary nutrition changes, such as increased dietary protein and

starch. In addition, *Prevotella\_1* is also considered to be associated with propionic acid production (Strobel 1996), which might also explain the increasing proportion of propionate obtained with increase in the C:F ratio.

### **Relationship between bacterial diversity and growth performance of Tibetan sheep**

In the past 50 years, humans have drastically altered the diet that ruminants consume. The use of grain feed increases the productivity of animals and the economic benefit of animal husbandry. In our study, the diversity and richness was significantly lower in high dietary C:F ratios treatments than low dietary C: F ratios (HS1, HS2 and HS3). Lin et al. (2015) found that the Shannon diversity index of bacteria were higher in low concentrate diet group than in high concentrate diet group (Lin et al., 2015). Liu et al. (2015) discovered the diversity of ruminal epithelial bacterial community from goats fed the hay diet were significantly higher than those fed the high-grain diet (Liu et al., 2015). Diet composition may effect on the diversity index of microorganisms. The increase in microbial diversity could be because low dietary concentrate diets provide a greater range of carbohydrate substrates (e.g., cellulose and many heteropolysaccharides) than high dietary concentrate treatments and/ or because microorganisms grow faster in high pH conditions (Hobson & Stewart. 2012). The growth performance results indicated that increases in the concentrate feed level from 0 to 45%, exerted a positive effect on the feed intake, BW gain, gain rate and  $F_{CR}$  in Tibetan sheep. Therefore, based on our findings, we can conclude that improved growth performance is not linked to a higher diversity of rumen microorganisms. It is well known that a decrease in the bacterial diversity can induce the evolution of a certain bacterial group and thereby its dominance in the community. Thus, in our study, a decrease in bacteria diversity is associated with a higher dominance index (phyla *Bacteroidetes* and genera *Prevotella\_1*), which ensured that the host

could receive more nutrition and ultimately exhibits an improved growth performance. In addition, in our study, increases in the dietary C:F ratio from 0:100 to 30:70 were associated with increases in both the rumen bacterial diversity and the host growth performance, whereas, dietary C:F ratios of 45:55 and 60:40 could only promote growth performance of Tibetan sheep. Briesacher et al. (1992) observed that the digestive of the rumen is influenced by the number of bacterial species and the total abundance of bacteria. Wanapat et al. (2003) investigated the microorganisms in cattle and swamp buffaloes and observed that swamp buffaloes exhibit a stronger ability to digest cellulose than cattle due to their greater abundance of bacteria ( $1.6 \times 10^9/\text{mL}$ ) compared with that of cattle ( $1.36 \times 10^9/\text{mL}$ ). In our study, the HS3 group (C: F ratio 30:70) exhibited a richer microbial community and an increased in microbial diversity. Therefore, the inclusion of 30% concentrate in feed might be a good choice of diet that can be provided to Tibetan sheep. However, we did not perform digestibility experiments with all the treatments, which is a limitation of this study. Therefore, whether a rumen environment with a high bacterial richness and a high bacterial diversity is associated with a higher digestive capacity of Tibetan sheep remains to be investigated.

## Conclusion

The different dietary C: F ratios affected the growth performance, rumen fermentation and rumen bacterial diversity of Tibetan sheep. Increasing the dietary concentrate feed level from 0% to 60% exerted a positive effect on the DMI, BW gain, gain rate and  $F_{CR}$  of Tibetan sheep during late non-growing and green-up period, and Tibetan sheep fed with 45% concentrate level for barn feeding can result in a better improvement in animal performance. Moreover, high C:F ratios significantly increased ammonium nitrogen, reduced the A:P molar ratio and changed the composition of the bacterial community.

# 413 Acknowledgement

414 We would like to thank Yinfa Ji for his help in sampling. We also thank Hanzhong Ji,  
415 senior livestock engineer from Haibei Prefecture, for providing the experiment site.

# 416 Competing Interests

417 The authors declare no competing interests.

# 418 Funding

419 This work was supported by National Key R&D Plan (2016YFC0501905,  
420 2016YFC0501805), the “Strategic Priority Research Program” of CAS, “Key Technology  
421 Support Program” of Qinghai province (2018-S-2, 2015-SF-A4-2, 2016-NK-148, 2017-SF-A6,  
422 2017-NK-153), the Qinghai innovation platform construction project (2017-ZJ-Y20) and NSFC  
423 31402120.

# 424 Data Availability

425 The sequencing data for the 16S rRNA genes are publicly available in the NCBI  
426 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>) Short Read Archive under accession. No. PRJNA477411.

# 427 References

- 428 **Amato KR, Yeoman CJ, Kent A, Righini N, Carbonero F, Estrada A, Gaskins HR, Stumpf**  
429 **RM, Yildirim S, Torralba M, Gillis M, Wilson BA, Nelson KE, White BA, and Leigh**  
430 **SR. 2013.** Habitat degradation impacts black howler monkey (*Alouatta pigra*)  
431 gastrointestinal microbiomes. *ISME J* 7:1344-1353 DOI 10.1038/ismej.2013.16.
- 432 **An D, Dong X, and Dong Z. 2005.** Prokaryote diversity in the rumen of yak (*Bos grunniens*)  
433 and Jinnan cattle (*Bos taurus*) estimated by 16S rDNA homology analyses. *Anaerobe*  
434 11:207-215 DOI 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2005.02.001.
- 435 **Andrade PVD, and Schmidely P. 2006.** Influence of percentage of concentrate in combination

- with rolled canola seeds on performance, rumen fermentation and milk fatty acid composition in dairy goats. *Livestock Science* 104:77-90 DOI 10.1016/j.livsci.2006.03.010.
- Baruah K, Paul V, Ahmed F, Chakravarty P, Deori S, Sarma H, and Saikia A. 2012.** Effect of strategic feed supplementation on growth performance and nutrient utilization in yak calves during winter. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* 82:220. DOI 10.1016/j.applanim.2012.01.004.
- Briesacher S, May T, Grigsby K, Kerley M, Anthony R, and Paterson J. 1992.** Use of DNA probes to monitor nutritional effects on ruminal prokaryotes and *Fibrobacter succinogenes* S85. *Journal of Animal Science* 70:289-295. DOI 10.2527/1992.701289x.
- Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer N, Pena AG, Goodrich JK, and Gordon JI. 2010.** QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature methods* 7:335. DOI 10.1038/nmeth.f.303. DOI 10.1038/nmeth.f.303.
- Ceconi I, Ruiz-Moreno M, DiLorenzo N, DiCostanzo A, and Crawford GI. 2015.** Effect of urea inclusion in diets containing corn dried distillers grains on feedlot cattle performance, carcass characteristics, ruminal fermentation, total tract digestibility, and purine derivatives-to-creatinine index. *Journal of Animal Science* 93:357-369 DOI 10.2527/jas.2014-8214.
- Cerrillo MA, Russell JR, and Crump MH. 1999.** The effects of hay maturity and forage to concentrate ratio on digestion kinetics in goats. *Small Ruminant Research* 32:51-60 DOI 10.1016/s0921-4488(98)00153-9.
- Chen G, Song S, Wang B, Zhang Z, Peng Z, Guo C, Zhong J, and Wang Y. 2015a.** Effects

- of forage: concentrate ratio on growth performance, ruminal fermentation and blood metabolites in housing-feeding yaks. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* 28:1736 DOI 10.5713/ajas.15.0419.
- Chen GJ, Song SD, Wang BX, Zhang ZF, Peng ZL, Guo CH, Zhong JC, and Wang Y. 2015b.** Effects of Forage:Concentrate Ratio on Growth Performance, Ruminal Fermentation and Blood Metabolites in Housing-feeding Yaks. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci* 28:1736-1741 DOI 10.5713/ajas.15.0419.
- Chen Y-B, Lan D-L, Tang C, Yang X-N, and Li J. 2015c.** Effect of DNA extraction methods on the apparent structure of yak rumen microbial communities as revealed by 16s rdna sequencing. *Polish Journal of Microbiology* 64:29-36.
- Dong QM, Zhao XQ, Ma YS, Xu SX, and Li QY. 2006.** Live-weight gain, apparent digestibility, and economic benefits of yaks fed different diets during winter on the Tibetan plateau. *Livestock Science* 101:199-207. DOI 10.1016/j.Lnivprodsc i.2005.11.009.
- Dodd D, Mackie RI, and Cann IK. 2011.** Xylan degradation, a metabolic property shared by rumen and human colonic Bacteroidetes. *Mol Microbiol* 79:292-304 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07473.x.
- Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, and Knight R. 2011.** UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics* 27:2194-2200. DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381.
- Feng BF, Zhao XQ, Dong QM, Xu SX, Zhao L, and Cao J. 2013.** The Effect of Feed Supplementing and Processing on the Live Weight Gain of Tibetan Sheep During the Cold Season on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Journal of Animal & Veterinary Advances*

- 12:312-315. DOI 10.3923/javaa.2013.312-315
- Haddad SG, and Ata MA. 2009.** Growth performance of lambs fed on diets varying in  
concentrate and wheat straw. *Small Ruminant Research* 81:96-99. DOI  
10.1016/j.smallrumres.2008.11.015.
- Haddad SG. 2005.** Effect of dietary forage:concentrate ratio on growth performance and carcass  
characteristics of growing Baladi kids. *Small Ruminant Research* 57:43-49. DOI  
10.1016/j.smallrumres.2004.05.001.
- Henderson G, Cox F, Kittelmann S, Miri VH, Zethof M, Noel SJ, Waghorn GC, and  
Janssen PH. 2013.** Effect of DNA extraction methods and sampling techniques on the  
apparent structure of cow and sheep rumen microbial communities. *PLoS One* 8:e74787  
DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0074787.
- Henderson G, Cox F, Ganesh S, Jonker, A, Young W, and Janssen PH. 2015** Rumen  
microbial community composition varies with diet and host, but a core microbiome is  
found across a wide geographical range. *Sci. Rep.* 6:14567. DOI 10.1038/srep19175.
- Hobson PN, and Stewart CS. 2012.** The rumen microbial ecosystem: *Springer Science &  
Business Media.*
- Huo W, Zhu W, and Mao S. 2014.** Impact of subacute ruminal acidosis on the diversity of  
liquid and solid-associated bacteria in the rumen of goats. *World Journal of Microbiology  
& Biotechnology* 30:669-680 DOI 10.1007/s11274-013-1489-8.
- Jami E, Israel A, Kotser A, and Mizrahi I. 2013.** Exploring the bovine rumen bacterial  
community from birth to adulthood. *Isme Journal Multidisciplinary Journal of Microbial  
Ecology* 7:1069. DOI 10.1007/s11274-013-1489-8.
- Jiang S, Yang Z, Yang W, Li Z, Zhang C, Liu X, and Wan F. 2015.** Diets of differentially



- processed wheat alter ruminal fermentation parameters and microbial populations in beef cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* 93:5378-5385. DOI 10.2527/jas.2015-9547.
- Jiao J, Huang J, Zhou C, and Tan Z. 2015.** Taxonomic Identification of Ruminal Epithelial Bacterial Diversity during Rumen Development in Goats. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 81:3502-3509. DOI 10.1128/AEM.00203-15.
- Lee HJ, Jung JY, Oh YK, Lee SS, Madsen EL, and Jeon CO. 2012.** Comparative survey of rumen microbial communities and metabolites across one caprine and three bovine groups, using bar-coded pyrosequencing and <sup>1</sup>H nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Applied & Environmental Microbiology* 78:5983. DOI 10.1128/AEM.00104-12.
- Lettat A, Noziere P, Silberberg M, Morgavi DP, Berger C, and Martin C. 2010.** Experimental feed induction of ruminal lactic, propionic, or butyric acidosis in sheep. *J Anim Sci* 88:3041-3046. DOI 10.2527/jas.2010-2926.
- Li M, Penner GB, Hernandez-Sanabria E, Oba M, and Guan LL. 2009.** Effects of sampling location and time, and host animal on assessment of bacterial diversity and fermentation parameters in the bovine rumen. *J Appl Microbiol* 107:1924-1934. DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04376.x.
- Li LQ, Ma YS, Li SX, Wang XL, Wang YL, Jing ML, Li SY, Nian Y and Han HL.2017.** Effects of rest-grazing in the regreen-up period on moderately degraded steppification meadow of Qilian Mountain. *Pratacultural Science* 10:2016-2022 DOI 10.11829/j.issn.1001-0629.2017-0200.
- Li W. 2012.** The Effect and Influence Factors of Rumen Volatile Fatty Acid. *Chinese Journal of Animal Science* 48: 63-66.

- 528 **Lin B, Henderson G, Zou C, Cox F, Liang X, Janssen PH, and Attwood GT. 2015.**  
529 Characterization of the rumen microbial community composition of buffalo breeds  
530 consuming diets typical of dairy production systems in Southern China. *Animal Feed*  
531 *Science and Technology* 207:75-84. DOI 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2015.06.013.
- 532 **Liu JH, Bian GR, Zhu WY, and Mao SY. 2015.** High-grain feeding causes strong shifts in  
533 ruminal epithelial bacterial community and expression of Toll-like receptor genes in  
534 goats. *Front Microbiol* 6:167. 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00167.
- 535 **Magoc T, and Salzberg SL. 2011.** FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve  
536 genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 27:2957-2963 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507.
- 537 **Ma YS, Li SX, Wang YL, Sun XD, Jing ML, Li SY, Li LX and W XL.2017.** Effect of rest-  
538 grazing in the green-up period on degraded vegetation in alpine. *Acta Agrestia Sinica* 2:  
539 291-295. DOI: 10.11733/j.issn.1007-0435.2017.02.010.
- 540 **Ma HY. 2008.** Biomass measurement and Tibetan sheep barn supplementing experiment during  
541 spring rest-garzing period in alphine pasture aera. *Prataculture &Anim Husbandry* 6:34-  
542 35.
- 543 **Moorby JM, Dewhurst RJ, Evans RT, Danelo'n† JL. 2006.** Effects of Dairy Cow Diet Forage  
544 Proportion on Duodenal Nutrient Supply and Urinary Purine Derivative Excretion. *J. Dairy*  
545 *Sci.* 89: 3552-3562. DOI 10.1016/j.livsci.2013.11.013.
- 546 **Minuti A, Ahmed S, Trevisi E, Piccioli-Cappelli F, Bertoni G, Jahan N, and Bani P. 2014.**  
547 Experimental acute rumen acidosis in sheep: consequences on clinical, rumen, and  
548 gastrointestinal permeability conditions and blood chemistry. *J Anim Sci* 92:3966-3977. DOI  
549 10.2527/jas.2014-7594.
- 550 **Nocek, J. E. 1997.** Bovine acidosis: Implications on laminitis. *J. Dairy Sci.* 80:1005-1028 DOI

- 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(97)76026-0
- Petri RM, Schwaiger T, Penner GB, Beauchemin KA, Forster RJ, McKinnon JJ, and**
- McAllister TA. 2013.** Characterization of the core rumen microbiome in cattle during
- transition from forage to concentrate as well as during and after an acidotic challenge.
- PLoS One* 8:e83424 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0083424.
- Pitta D, Kumar S, Veiccharelli B, Parmar N, Reddy B, and Joshi C. 2014.** Bacterial diversity
- associated with feeding dry forage at different dietary concentrations in the rumen
- contents of Mehshana buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) using 16S pyrotags. *Anaerobe* 25:31-41
- DOI 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2013.11.008.
- Polyorach S, Wanapat M, and Cherdthong A. 2014.** Influence of Yeast Fermented Cassava
- Chip Protein (YEFECAP) and Roughage to Concentrate Ratio on Ruminal Fermentation
- and Microorganisms Using In vitro Gas Production Technique. *Asian-Australas J Anim*
- Sci* 27:36-45 DOI 10.5713/ajas.2013.13298.
- Porebski S, Bailey LG, and Baum BR. 1997.** Modification of a CTAB DNA extraction
- protocol for plants containing high polysaccharide and polyphenol components. *Plant*
- Molecular Biology Reporter* 15:8-15 DOI 10.1007/bf02772108.
- Ramos-Morales E, Arco-Pérez A, Martín-García AI, Yáñez-Ruiz DR, Frutos P, and Hervás**
- G. 2014.** Use of stomach tubing as an alternative to rumen cannulation to study ruminal
- fermentation and microbiota in sheep and goats. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*
- 198:57-66 DOI 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2014.09.016.
- Reddy. KJ, and Reddy. M. 1985.** Effect of feeding complete feeds on various nitrogen
- fractions and total VFA concentrations in the rumen fluid of sheep. *Indian Journal of*
- Animal Sciences.* DOI 10.1051/gse:19850410.

- 574 **Rhine ED, Mulvaney RL, Pratt EJ, and Sims GK. 1998.** Improving the Berthelot Reaction for  
575 Determining Ammonium in Soil Extracts and Water. *Soil Science Society of America*  
576 *Journal* 62:473-480. DOI 10.2136/sssaj1998.03615995006200020026x.
- 577 **Sasaki M. 1994.** Yak: Hardy multi-purpose animal of Asian highland. *Asian Livestock (FAO).*
- 578 **Soest PJV, Robertson JB, and Lewis BA. 1991.** Methods for Dietary Fiber, Neutral Detergent  
579 Fiber, and Nonstarch Polysaccharides in Relation to Animal Nutrition. *Journal of Dairy*  
580 *Science* 74:3583-3597. DOI 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78551-2.
- 581 **Stevenson DM, and Weimer PJ. 2007.** Dominance of Prevotella and low abundance of  
582 classical ruminal bacterial species in the bovine rumen revealed by relative quantification  
583 real-time PCR. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 75:165-174 DOI 10.1007/s00253-006-0802-y.
- 584 **Strobel HJ. 1992.** Vitamin B12-dependent propionate production by the ruminal bacterium  
585 Prevotella ruminicola 23. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 58:2331-2333.
- 586 **Wanapat M, Nontaso N, Yuangklang C, Wora-anu S, Ngarmsang A, Wachirapakorn C,**  
587 **and Rowlinson P. 2003.** Comparative study between swamp buffalo and native cattle in  
588 feed digestibility and potential transfer of buffalo rumen digesta into cattle. *Asian*  
589 *Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* 16:504-510 DOI 10.5713/ajas.2003.504.
- 590
- 591 **Wang QL, Hua LM, Wang GZ, and Liu L. 2015.** Effect of deferred grazing on grassland  
592 community characteristics and productivity in a lphine meadow. *Acta Agrectir Sinica*  
593 23:1068-1072.
- 594 **Wang W, Li C, Li F, Wang X, Zhang X, Liu T, Nian F, Yue X, Li F, Pan X, La Y, Mo F,**  
595 **Wang F, and Li B. 2016.** Effects of early feeding on the host rumen transcriptome and  
596 bacterial diversity in lambs. *Sci Rep* 6:32479 DOI 10.1038/srep32479.

**Weng XX 2013.** The study on rumen fermentation, volatile fatty acid absorption characteristics and genes expression in dairy cows receiving different types of diets [D] Lanzhou: Gansu Agricultural University

**Weimer PJ, Stevenson DM, Mertens DR, and Thomas EE. 2008.** Effect of monensin feeding and withdrawal on populations of individual bacterial species in the rumen of lactating dairy cows fed high-starch rations. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 80:135-145. DOI 10.1007/s00253-008-1528-9.

**Wiener G, Han JL, Long RJ, Wiener G, Han JL, and Long RJ. 2003.** Origins, domestication and distribution of yak. *Yak*.

**Xie J, Yan SM, Li J, Zhao YG, Wu TM, Shi Y, and Guo XY. 2014.** Effect of supplementary feeding of grazing Hulunbuir lactating ewes on lamb growth performance and ewe weight during the spring. *Feed Industry* 35: 43-46.

**Xue.B, Zhao. XQ, Zhang YS. 2005.** Seasonal changes in weight and body composition of yak grazing on alpine meadow grassland in the Qinghai-Tibetan plateau of China. *J. Anim. Sci.* 83:1908-1913. DOI 10.2527/2005.8381908x.

**Xue D, Chen H, Chen F, He Y, Zhao C, Zhu D, Zeng L, and Li W. 2016.** Analysis of the rumen bacteria and methanogenic archaea of yak (*Bos grunniens*) steers grazing on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Livestock Science* 188:61-71 DOI 10.1016/j.livsci.2016.04.009.

**Yang WZ, Beauchemin. K A, Rode LM. 2001.** Effects of Grain Processing, Forage to Concentrate Ratio, and Forage Particle Size on Rumen pH and Digestion by Dairy Cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 84:2203–2216. DOI 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(01)74667-X.

**Zhang T, Zhuang S, Dong WC, Chang GJ, Yan R, Wang T. 2013.** Effects of different dietary concentrate to forage ratios on rumen fluid pH and VFA levels and blood VFA levels

620 in dairy goats. Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine 4: 5-10.

621

622

623

624


625

626

# Figure 1

Figure 1 The rarefaction analysis among the 20 different samples.

The group HS1 samples included sheep HS1.1, HS1.2, HS1.3 and HS1.4. The treatment HS2 samples included sheep HS2.1, HS 2.2, HS2.3 and HS4. The treatment HS3 samples included sheep HS3.1, HS3.2 HS3.3 and HS3.4. The treatment HS4 samples included HS4.1, HS4.2, HS4.3 and H4.4. The treatment HS5 samples included HS5.1, HS5.2, HS5.3 and H5.4. The treatment HS1= concentrate to forage ratio 0:100, HS2= concentrate to forage ratio 15:85, HS3= concentrate to forage ratio 30:70, HS4= concentrate to forage ratio 45:55, HS5=concentrate to forage ratio 60:40.






## Figure 2

Dominant bacterial phylum in individual samples and the shared genera across the ruminal samples.

(A) The top 10 shared bacterial taxonomic composition across ruminal samples at the phylum level. (B) The top 10 shared bacterial taxonomic composition across ruminal samples.


Percentage is shown on the X-axis. The box represent the interquartile range between the first and the third quartiles, and the symbol “—” represent the max value, “x” represent the variation range from 1% to 99% and “□” represent the mean value. The treatment HS1= concentrate to forage ratio 0:100, HS2= concentrate to forage ratio 15:85, HS3= concentrate to forage ratio 30:70, HS4= concentrate to forage ratio 45:55, HS5=concentrate to forage ratio 60:40.



# Figure 3

The differences in bacteria community diversity and richness indexes among different feeding treatment.


(A) The Shannon-Wiener diversity among each treatment. (B) The Chao1 richness estimator among each treatment. (C) The observed species in each treatment. (D) The good coverage in samples among each treatment. The treatment HS1= concentrate to forage ratio 0:100, HS2= concentrate to forage ratio 15:85, HS3= concentrate to forage ratio 30:70, HS4= concentrate to forage ratio 45:55, HS5=concentrate to forage ratio 60:40.



# Figure 4

The principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) using weighted UniFrac metrics.


The treatment HS1= concentrate to forage ratio 0:100, HS2= concentrate to forage ratio 15:85, HS3= concentrate to forage ratio 30:70, HS4= concentrate to forage ratio 45:55, HS5=concentrate to forage ratio 60:40.



# Figure 5

The heat map of the correlations between bacterial diversity and growth performance of Tibetan sheep.


DMI=dry matter intake; ADG=average daily body weight gain; FCR=feed conversion ratio; BW again= body weight gain. The treatment HS1= concentrate to forage ratio 0:100, HS2= concentrate to forage ratio 15:85, HS3= concentrate to forage ratio 30:70, HS4= concentrate to forage ratio 45:55, HS5=concentrate to forage ratio 60:40. \* significant at  $P<0.05$ .



# Figure 6

The heat map of the correlations between bacterial diversity and growth performance of Tibetan sheep.

DMI=dry matter intake; ADG=average daily body weight gain; FCR=feed conversion ratio; BW again= body weight gain. The treatment HS1= concentrate to forage ratio 0:100, HS2= concentrate to forage ratio 15:85, HS3= concentrate to forage ratio 30:70, HS4= concentrate to forage ratio 45:55, HS5=concentrate to forage ratio 60:40. \* Significant at  $P<0.05$ .



# Table 1 (on next page)

The nutritional composition of the whole diets among different treatments (% DM basis).

<sup>a</sup>The treatments HS1, HS2, HS3, HS4 and HS5 refer to the C:F ratios of 0:100, 15:85, 30:70, 45:55 and 60:40, respectively. <sup>b</sup>DM= dry matter; CP= crude protein; EE= ether extract; ADF= acid detergent fibre; NDF= neutral detergent fibre. <sup>c</sup>Manufactured by Menyuan Yongxing Ecological Agricultural Development Co., Ltd. Contained corn (48%), wheat (30%), soybean meal (7%), colza cake (6%), cottonseed meal (5%), , salt (1%), pre-mix (1%), CaHPO<sub>4</sub> (1%) and CaCO<sub>3</sub> (1%).

Items	Groups <sup>a</sup>				
	HS1	HS2	HS3	HS4	HS5
Ingredient (%)					
Concentrate feeds <sup>c</sup>	0	15	30	45	60
Oats hay	100	85	70	55	40
Nutrient content					
DM <sup>b</sup>	93.42	92.70	91.82	91.23	90.35
CP	6.31	7.69	9.37	10.38	11.94
Starch (mg/g)	25.58	26.95	32.55	33.72	39.37
EE	2.13	2.21	2.36	2.37	2.49
ADF	34.1	30.68	27.33	23.79	19.64
NDF	57.64	52.04	44.85	39.05	33.12
Calcium	0.35	0.40	0.48	0.55	0.61
Magnesium	0.24	0.27	0.32	0.35	0.42
Phosphorus	0.22	0.27	0.33	0.38	0.45

## Table 2 (on next page)

The effects of different dietary C: F ratios on the growth performance of Tibetan sheep.

<sup>a</sup>The treatments HS1, HS2, HS3, HS4 and HS5 refer to concentrate-to-forage ratios of 0:100, 15:85, 30:70, 45:55 and 60:40. DMI=dry matter intake; DM consume= DMI×t, t is experimental time(d), BW=body weight; ADG= average daily BW gain . FCR= feed conversion ratio. Values in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).



Items	Groups <sup>a</sup>					p-value
	HS1	HS2	HS3	HS4	HS5	
Initial BW (kg)	21.31±0.92	22.13±3.06	21.25±1.69	19.88±1.1.81	22.19±2.34	0.196
Final BW(kg)	23.81±1.25 <sup>d</sup>	26.44±3.07 <sup>cd</sup>	28.38±2.23 <sup>bc</sup>	29.75±2.62 <sup>b</sup>	32.94±3.49 <sup>a</sup>	<0.01
BW gain (kg)	2.50±0.76 <sup>d</sup>	4.31±1.92 <sup>c</sup>	7.13±1.71 <sup>b</sup>	9.88±1.43 <sup>a</sup>	10.75±1.79 <sup>a</sup>	<0.01
Gain rate (%)	11.73±3.50 <sup>d</sup>	19.93±6.54 <sup>c</sup>	33.78±8.63 <sup>b</sup>	49.98±7.13 <sup>a</sup>	48.59±7.56 <sup>a</sup>	<0.01
ADG (g/d)	31.25±9.45 <sup>d</sup>	53.91±12.91 <sup>c</sup>	89.06±21.33 <sup>b</sup>	123.44±17.91 <sup>a</sup>	134.38±22.41 <sup>a</sup>	<0.01
DMI (g/d)	670.30±45.26 <sup>c</sup>	719.16±120.09 <sup>bc</sup>	741.21±71.22 <sup>abc</sup>	807.68±32.29 <sup>ab</sup>	851.93±98.86 <sup>a</sup>	<0.01
DM consume (kg)	53.62±3.62 <sup>c</sup>	57.53±8.98 <sup>bc</sup>	59.30±5.70 <sup>bc</sup>	64.61±4.98 <sup>ab</sup>	68.15±7.91 <sup>a</sup>	<0.01
FCR	23.86±9.75 <sup>a</sup>	13.94±3.38 <sup>b</sup>	8.92±3.06 <sup>c</sup>	6.67±1.15 <sup>c</sup>	6.44±1.00 <sup>c</sup>	<0.01

1

2

### **Table 3**(on next page)

The effects of different dietary C: F ratios on the rumen fermentation parameters of Tibetan sheep.

<sup>a</sup> The Treatments HS1, HS2, HS3, HS4 and HS5 refer to concentrate to forage ratios of 0:100, 15:85, 30:70, 45:55 and 60:40, respectively. <sup>b</sup> Values in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

Parameters	Groups <sup>a</sup>					P <sup>b</sup>
	HS1	HS2	HS3	HS4	HS5	
Ammonia nitrogen (mg/L)	46.20±12.37 <sup>c</sup>	46.20±12.3	64.00±3.88 <sup>b</sup>	67.10±8.21 <sup>b</sup>	107.06±15.0	<0.05
		7 <sup>c</sup>			7 <sup>a</sup>	
Acetate (%)	77.82 <sup>a</sup>	71.70 <sup>b</sup>	64.10 <sup>b</sup>	60.14±8.55 <sup>b</sup>	64.55±2.15 <sup>b</sup>	0.32
Propionate (%)	12.60±0.71 <sup>b</sup>	16.02±0.18 <sup>b</sup>	22.79±1.13 <sup>a</sup>	26.81±3.60 <sup>a</sup>	29.38±0.95 <sup>a</sup>	<0.05
Butyrate (%)	8.25±0.38	10.66±1.73	11.30±0.40	11.51±2.20	12.02±0.40	0.23
Isovalerate (%)	0.79±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.92±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.83±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.58±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.72±0.09 <sup>b</sup>	0.23
Valerate (%)	0.52±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.70±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	0.98±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	1.11±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	1.50±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	<0.05
Total volatile fatty acids (mmol/L)	74.94±10.76	66.12±2.95	65.21±1.00	67.44±1.56	61.24±7.67	0.11
Acetate to propionate ratio (A:P)	6.38±1.55 <sup>a</sup>	4.47±0.16 <sup>a</sup>	2.85±0.24 <sup>b</sup>	2.24±0.39 <sup>b</sup>	2.19±0.69 <sup>b</sup>	<0.05
pH	6.86±0.01	6.83±0.03	6.83±0.04	6.63±0.06	6.42±0.10	0.41