

A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 28 August 2019.

[View the peer-reviewed version](#) (peerj.com/articles/7517), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Jame C, Tissier J, Maridet O, Becker D. 2019. Early Agenian rhinocerotids from Wischberg (Canton Bern, Switzerland) and clarification of the systematics of the genus *Diaceratherium*. PeerJ 7:e7517
<https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7517>

Early Agenian rhinocerotids from Wischberg (Canton Bern, Switzerland) and clarification of the systematics of the genus *Diaceratherium*

Claire Jame¹ Equal first author, Jérémie Tissier^{Corresp., Equal first author, 2, 3}, Olivier Maridet^{2, 3}, Damien Becker^{2, 3}

¹ Observatoire des Sciences de l'Univers de Rennes, Université Rennes I, Rennes, France

² Cenozoic Research Group, JURASSICA Museum, Porrentruy, Switzerland

³ Department of Geosciences, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland

Corresponding Author: Jérémie Tissier

Email address: jeremy.tissier@unifr.ch

Background. Wischberg is a Swiss locality in Bern Canton which has yielded numerous vertebrates remains from the earliest Miocene (= MN1). It has a very rich faunal diversity, one of the richest in Switzerland for this age. Among all the mammals reported in the original faunal list 70 years ago, three rhinocerotid species were identified. The material consists of two fragmentary skulls, cranial fragments, several mandibles, teeth and postcranial bones, in a rather good state of preservation.

Results. After reexamination of the material from this locality (curated in three different Swiss museums), and comparison with holotype specimens, we show that all rhinocerotid specimens from Wischberg can be referred to just two species. Most of the material can be attributed to the large size teleoceratine *Diaceratherium lemanense*, while only a few specimens, including a skull and mandible, belong to the much smaller sized *Pleuroceros pleuroceros*. We describe and illustrate for the first time most of these fossil remains. However, the systematics of the genus *Diaceratherium* is currently controversial, and we attempt to elucidate it based on our new observations, though a large-scale phylogenetic study should be done in the future to resolve it. The rhinocerotid association found in Wischberg is nonetheless typical of the MN1 biozone, which results from a faunal renewal occurring just before the end of the Oligocene.

1 Early Agenian rhinocerotids from Wischberg (Canton 2 Bern, Switzerland) and clarification of the systematics 3 of the genus *Diaceratherium*

4

5

6 Claire Jame¹, Jérémie Tissier^{2,3}, Olivier Maridet^{2,3}, Damien Becker^{2,3}

7

8 ¹ Observatoire des Sciences de l'Univers de Rennes, University of Rennes I, Rennes, France9 ² JURASSICA Museum, Porrentruy, Jura Canton, Switzerland10 ³ Department of Geosciences, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Fribourg Canton, Switzerland

11

12 Corresponding Author:

13 Jérémie Tissier^{2,3}

14 Route de Fontenais 21, Porrentruy, Jura, 2900, Switzerland

15 Email address: jeremy.tissier@unifr.ch

16

17 Abstract

18 **Background.** Wischberg is a Swiss locality in Bern Canton which has yielded numerous
19 vertebrates remains from the earliest Miocene (= MN1). It has a very rich faunal diversity, one of
20 the richest in Switzerland for this age. Among all the mammals reported in the original faunal list
21 70 years ago, three rhinocerotid species were identified. The material consists of two
22 fragmentary skulls, cranial fragments, several mandibles, teeth and postcranial bones, in a rather
23 good state of preservation.

24 **Results.** After reexamination of the material from this locality (curated in three different Swiss
25 museums), and comparison with holotype specimens, we show that all rhinocerotid specimens
26 from Wischberg can be referred to just two species. Most of the material can be attributed to the
27 large size teleoceratine *Diaceratherium lemanense*, while only a few specimens, including a
28 skull and mandible, belong to the much smaller sized *Pleuroceros pleuroceros*. We describe and
29 illustrate for the first time most of these fossil remains. However, the systematics of the genus
30 *Diaceratherium* is currently controversial, and we attempt to elucidate it based on our new
31 observations, though a large-scale phylogenetic study should be done in the future to resolve it.
32 The rhinocerotid association found in Wischberg is nonetheless typical of the MN1 biozone,
33 which results from a faunal renewal occurring just before the end of the Oligocene.

34

35 Introduction

36 The Aquitanian Lower Freshwater Molasse (USM) record of the Plateau Molasse is
37 characterised within the central and eastern area of the Swiss North Alpine Foreland Basin
38 (NAFB) by the floodplain deposits from the *Granitische Molasse* Formation, lateral equivalent
39 of the *Molasse grise de Lausanne* Formation from the western area (Habicht 1987, Berger et al.

40 2005a, b, Schweizerisches Komitee für Stratigraphie und Landesgeologie 2014). These
41 geological formations yielded many vertebrate localities, unfortunately recording mostly
42 incomplete assemblages and only a few large mammal species (Scherler et al. 2013). However,
43 Agenian land mammal associations are remarkably well documented in the locality of Wischberg
44 (MN1; Schaub & Hürzeler 1948, Engesser & Mödden 1997), Engehalde (MN2; Becker et al.
45 2010) and Wallenried (MN2; Becker et al. 2001, Mennecart et al. 2016).
46 From the area of Langenthal (Bern Canton, Switzerland), Gerber (1932, 1936) first reported
47 fossil rhinocerotids originating from the Wischberg locality (latitude 47.199157894°/longitude
48 7.763943664°; Fig. 1). A preliminary mammal list was provided by Schaub & Hürzeler (1948),
49 including Eulipotyphla, Rodentia, Lagomorpha, Cainotheriidae, non-ruminant Artiodactyla,
50 Ruminantia, Tapiridae and Rhinocerotidae. More recently, Lagomorpha have been reviewed by
51 Tobien (1975) and part of large mammals by Becker (2003) and Scherler et al. (2011, 2013).
52 Since the work of Engesser & Mödden (1997) on the mammal biozonation of the Lower
53 Freshwater Molasse of Switzerland, the mammal assemblage of Wischberg (Tab. 1) can be
54 considered as one of the most important and complete in the Swiss Molasse Basin, consistently
55 pointing to an early Aquitanian age (MN1 biozone; Agenian European Land Mammal Age).

56

57 **Figure 1:**

58 **General setting of Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian,
59 Early Miocene).**

60 **(A) Map of a part of Western Europe showing the location of Switzerland and the Molasse
61 Basin. (B) Enlargement of the Aquitanian palaeogeographical context of the Swiss Molasse
62 Basin, with detailed location of Wischberg locality. Modified from Becker et al. (2010).**

63

64 **Table 1:**

65 **Mammal assemblage of Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1,
66 Agenian, Early Miocene).**

67

68 Contrary to Schaub & Hürzeler (1948), the crano-mandibular, dental, and postcranial remains
69 from Wischberg are here attributed to two different rhinocerotid species, instead of three. In this
70 work, we first review the description and the identifications of the material, which can be
71 assigned to the single-horned and short-limbed teleoceratine *Diaceratherium lemanense* (Pomel,
72 1853), and the small-sized double-horned *Pleuroceros pleuroceros* (Duvernoy, 1853). Second,
73 we examine the systematics of the genus *Diaceratherium*, which is currently contentious, and the
74 ecological role of the Early Miocene Rhinocerotidae within the large herbivorous mammal
75 communities of Western Europe.

76

77 **Materials & Methods**

78 The fossil materials from Wischberg were discovered between 1931 and 1947 in two pits of
79 Aquitanian mottled marls and sands of the *Granitische Molasse* (Schaub & Hürzeler 1948) that

were exploited during the first half of the last century in Langenthal (Bern Canton, Switzerland).
The sites are no longer accessible due to anthropogenic developments. The studied material
includes twenty-five rhinocerotid specimens (and among them numerous casts) that are stored in
the natural history museums of Bern (*Naturhistorisches Museum des Burgegemeinde Bern*) and
Basel (*Naturhistorisches Museum Basel*) as well as in the local museum of Langenthal (where
the original skull and a mandible of *Diaceratherium lemanense* are exposed). It is worth to
clarify that the original specimens referred to *Pleuroceros pleuroceros*, except the semilunate
NMBE5031537, are lost and the work on this taxon is based on the remaining casts.

The rhinocerotid specimens from Wischberg have been described by means of anatomical
descriptions, comparative anatomy, and biometrical measurements. The sequence of described
dental and osteological features follows Antoine (2002). The dental terminology follows Heissig
(1969) and Antoine (2002), while dental and skeletal measurements were taken according to
Guérin (1980). The locomotion type is based on the gracility index of the McIII and MtIII (100 x
TDDia/L; Guérin 1980). All dimensions are given in mm and those between parentheses are
estimated.

The stratigraphical framework is based on geological time scales and European Land Mammal
Ages (ELMA) for the Neogene (Hilgen et al. 2012). Successions of Mammal Neogene units
(MN) were correlated by Berger (2011) based on biostratigraphic and magnetostratigraphic data
(BiochroM'97 1997, Engesser & Mödden 1997, Kempf et al. 1997, 1999, Mein 1999, Steininger
1999, Agustí et al. 2001).

Body masses of the rhinocerotid species found in Wischberg are estimated from dental
measurements and particularly from the lower first molar (m1) area (length × width). Teeth are
indeed the most frequent elements in the fossil record because of their higher fossilization
potential. Teeth are also the subject of extensive studies in palaeontology and biology due to the
diagnostic values of their morphology. Legendre (1989) developed several allometric equations
for different groups of extant mammals which always show correlation coefficients higher than
0.95, indicating high correlations between the body mass and the occlusal area of the first lower
molar. The equation used to estimate the body masses of rhinocerotids is based on the correlation
established for perissodactyls by Legendre (1989).

109

110 *Abbreviations*

111 **APD** antero-posterior diameter, **Cc** calcaneus, **dia** diaphysis, **dist** distal, **H** height, **I/i**
112 upper/lower incisor, **L** length, **M/m** upper/lower molar, **Mc** metacarpal, **MHNT** *Museum*
d'histoire naturelle de Toulouse, **ML** *Museum Langenthal*, **MNHN** *Muséum National d'Histoire*
naturelle (Paris), **Mt** metatarsal, **NMB** *Naturhistorisches Museum Basel*, **NMBE**
Naturhistorisches Museum der Burgergemeinde Bern, **P/p** upper/lower premolar, **prox** proximal,
SMNS *Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde Stuttgart*, **TD** transversal diameter, **W** width.

117

118 **Results**

119 **Systematic palaeontology**

- 120
121 Class Mammalia Linnaeus, 1758
122 Order Perissodactyla Owen, 1848
123 Superfamily Rhinocerotoidea Gray, 1821
124 Family Rhinocerotidae Gray, 1821
125 Subfamily Rhinocerotinae Gray, 1821
126 Genus *Pleuroceros* Roger, 1898
127
128 Type species: *Pleuroceros pleuroceros* (Duvernoy, 1853)
129 Included species: *Pleuroceros blandfordi* (Lydekker, 1884)
130
131 *Pleuroceros pleuroceros* (Duvernoy, 1853)
132 Fig. 2-3, Tab. 2
133
134 Stratigraphical range: Latest Oligocene (?MP29/30) to Early Miocene (MN1-MN2), western and
135 central Europe (Antoine & Becker 2013)
136 Occurrences:
137 - France: Billy-Base (Allier), ?MN29/30; Gannat, MN1 (type locality); Paulhiac, MN1;
138 Pyrimont-Challonges, MN1; Saulcet, MN1; Laugnac, MN2; Montaigu-le-Blin, MN2; (Duvernoy
139 1853, Lavocat 1951, de Bonis 1973, Hugueney 1997, Ginsburg & Bulot 2000, Antoine et al.
140 2010, Antoine & Becker 2013, Scherler et al. 2013)
141 - Germany: Flörsheim, MN2; Pappenheim, MN2 (Schlosser 1902, Heissig 1999)
142 - Switzerland: Wischberg, MN1 (Schaub & Hürzeler 1948, Heissig 1999, Becker 2003)
143 Referred material: Skull with right P1-M3 and left P2-M3 (original specimen lost, cast
144 NMBE5031553, cast NMB-AS77), fragmented mandible with right p4-m3 and left m1-2
145 (original lost, cast NMBE5026739, cast NMB-AS78), right semilunate (original NMBE5031537,
146 cast NMB-AS3), right McIV (original lost, cast NMB-AS79) from Wischberg (Switzerland,
147 MN1)
148
149 **Figure 2:**
150 ***Pleuroceros pleuroceros* (Duvernoy, 1853) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss**
151 **Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).**
152 **Partial skull NMBE5031553 in lateral (A), dorsal (B), medial (C) and occlusal (D) views**
153 **and left-side fragment from the same individual in occlusal (E) view. Mandible fragments**
154 **NMBE5026739 in labial (F), lingual (G) and occlusal (H) views with p4-m3 (right-side**
155 **fragment) and m1-2 (left-side fragment). Scale bars = 10 cm.**
156
157 **Figure 3:**
158 ***Pleuroceros pleuroceros* (Duvernoy, 1853) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss**
159 **Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).**

160 **Right semilunate NMBE5031537 in dorsal (A), proximal (B), distal (C), lateral (D) and**
161 **medial (E) views and right McIV (cast NMB-AS79) in dorsal (F), lateral (G), ventral (H),**
162 **medial (I) and proximal (J) views.**

163

164 **Table 2:**

165 **Dimensions [mm] of the cheek teeth of *Pleuroceros pleuroceros* (Duvernoy, 1853) from**
166 **Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).**

167

168 **Description**

169 **Skull.** NMBE5031553 is a cast of an incomplete, fragmented and transversally compressed skull
170 comprising a part of the frontals, the area of the right zygomatic arch, the right P1-M3 and the
171 left P2-M3. Few cranial characters are observable. We can note a remarkably curved upwards
172 jugal bearing a *processus postorbitalis*, an infraorbital foramen situated above the P3, an anterior
173 border of the orbit reaching the paracone of M1, an anterior base of the zygomatic process high
174 above the M1 as well as the presence of a *processus lacrymalis*.

175 **Mandible.** From the fragmented mandible NMBE5026739, the *corpus mandibulae* (height
176 below m3 = 71.5) does not seem to bear a median sagittal groove (*sulcus mylohyoideus*). The
177 retromolar space is short and the position of the *foramen mandibulare* (based on the transverse
178 slimming of the *corpus* in cross section) is located below the teeth neck.

179 **Upper teeth.** The dental wear of the tooth series is advanced. The premolar series is rather long
180 compared to the molar series (LP3-4/LM1-3 > 50; Tab. 2). The dental structures are simple,
181 without secondary enamel folds. The cheek teeth are brachydont (low-crowned), and the roots
182 are long and distinct. The upper cheek teeth lack crista and medifossette. The paracone fold is
183 present on all cheek teeth and strong on lesser worn teeth such as the M2-3. The premolars are
184 molariform (*sensu* Heissig 1969) and lack any crochet, antecrochet and constriction of both
185 protoloph and metaloph. The labial cingulum is reduced to the posterior part of the ectoloph and
186 the lingual cingulum is reduced to the opening of the median valley. On P2-4 the postfossette is
187 narrow and the metaloph is posterolingually oriented. The P1 is much narrower than P2 and
188 triangular in occlusal view. On P2, the protocone is equally developed than the hypocone, and
189 the protoloph is transverse, continuous and widely connected with the ectoloph. A crochet is
190 always present on upper molars, but the metaloph is not constricted. The labial cingulum is weak
191 and absent at the base of the paracone fold, whereas the lingual cingulum is reduced to the base
192 of the posterior half of the protocone, reaching the opening of the median valley. The metastyle
193 is long and the metacone fold is absent. On M1-2, the protoloph is slightly constricted and bears
194 an antecrochet, the metaloph is short and the distal part of the ectoloph is straight. A weak
195 mesostyle is present on M2. The M3 has a roughly triangular occlusal outline, though the
196 ectoloph and metaloph are fused in a characteristic convex ectometaloph without posterior
197 groove. The protoloph is rather transverse and straight, without constriction and antecrochet.
198 **Lower teeth.** On lower cheek teeth, the labial cingulum is reduced to a thin bulge at the base of
199 the external groove and the lingual one is completely absent. The external groove is developed

200 and is vanishing above the neck. The trigonid is angular and forms a right dihedron. The
201 metaconid and the entoconid are not constricted. The posterior valley is V-shaped, but wider on
202 lower molars. The hypolophid of the lower molars is oblique and there is no lingual groove on
203 the entoconid of m2-3.

204 **Semilunar.** The semilunate NMBE5031537 is rounded and eroded (DT=30.6, DAP= 53.2,
205 H=38.8). The medial and lateral facets are not preserved, except for the flat, ovoid, and sagittally
206 elongated proximal facet for the scaphoid. In proximal view, the ulna-facet is lacking and, in
207 anterior view, the anterior side is smooth with an acute distal border. The proximal facet is very
208 convex and short sagittally. The magnum-facet is roughly flat in its anterior half and concave
209 posteriorly.

210 **Metacarpals.** The McIV NMB-AS79 is short and rather gracile (L = 112.3, DTprox = 32.6,
211 DAPprox = 31.1, DTdia = 26.0, DAPdia = 15.2, DTdist = -, DAPdist = 28.8; IG = 23.0),
212 sagittally flattened, with a short insertion for the m. interossei. It bears a salient insertion for the
213 m. extensor carpalis, and a high and acute intermediate relief of the distal articulation. In
214 proximal view, the proximal facet is triangular and the articulation facet for the McV on the
215 lateral side is not preserved.

216

217 **Remarks**

218 Based on comparison with coeval rhinocerotid genera, the referred specimens point to a
219 remarkably small rhinoceros, excluding an assignation to the teleoceratine *Diaceratherium*.
220 Moreover, this genus differs by a developed external groove and a rounded trigonid on the whole
221 lower cheek teeth series. The acerathere (*sensu lato*) *Mesaceratherium* differs by the lack of
222 antecrochet and continuous lingual cingulum on P2-4, by the presence of a short metastyle and a
223 concave posterior part of the ectoloph on M1-2 as well as a rounded trigonid, a transverse
224 hypolophid on lower cheek teeth and a pentagonal outline of the proximal facet of the McIV
225 (Heissig 1969, de Bonis 1973, Antoine et al. 2010). The species *Protaceratherium minutum*
226 (Cuvier, 1822) is of similar size, but morphologically differs by a constricted metaconid and an
227 angular V-shaped external groove on lower cheek teeth as well as the lack of a labial and
228 continuous lingual cingulum, the presence of a usually multiple crochet on upper premolars, a
229 rounded distal border of the anterior side of the semilunate, and a trapezoid outline of the
230 proximal facet of the McIV (Antoine et al. 2010).

231 *Pleuroceros* shares many striking morphological similarities with these referred specimens, such
232 as a reduced lingual cingulum on upper premolars, a lack of antecrochet on P2-3, a straight
233 posterior part of the ectoloph on M1-2, and a smooth anterior side of the semilunate with an
234 acute distal border. According to the dimensions, *Pleuroceros blanfordi* (Lydekker 1884) is ca.
235 15% larger than those of the studied material and differs by a lingual bridge on P2-4
236 (semimolariform upper premolars, *sensu* Heissig 1969), a transverse metaloph and a hypocone
237 weaker than the protocone on P2, an usually constricted protocone on P3-4, the presence of an
238 antecrochet on P4, a weak mesostyle on M2, a constricted metaconid on lower cheek teeth, and a
239 continuous lingual cingulum on lower premolars (Antoine et al. 2010).

240 The dimensions as well as the postcranial, cranial and dental morphology of Wischberg
241 specimens are in fact extremely similar to the type material and other specimens of *Pleuroceros*
242 *pleuroceros* (Duvernoy, 1853) from Gannat (type locality, collection MNHN), notably by the
243 shape of the jugal bearing a *processus postorbitalis*, the molariform upper premolars lacking
244 antecrochet, the only slightly constricted protoloph on M1-2, the typically convex ectometaloph
245 of M3, the absence of antecrochet and protocone constriction on the M3, the reduction of the
246 labial cingulum, the rather smooth external groove and rounded trigonid on lower cheek teeth, as
247 well as an acute distal border of the anterior side of the semilunate and a somewhat short and
248 gracile McIV (Tab. 3; Duvernoy 1953, Roman 1912, de Bonis 1973, Antoine et al. 2010, pers.
249 obs.).
250

251 **Table 3:**

252 **Metapod lengths of *Pleuroceros pleuroceros* and *Diaceratherium* species.**
253 Comparisons of the metapod lengths [mm] based on *Pleuroceros pleuroceros* (Duvernoy,
254 1853; McIV NMB-AS79) and *Diaceratherium lemanense* (Depéret and Douxami, 1902;
255 MtIII NMBE5026811) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1,
256 Agenian, Early Miocene) with those of *P. pleuroceros* from Paulhiac (MN1, France; McII
257 and McIV in de Bonis 1973, p. 152 fig. 43.1 and p. 153 fig. 44.2), *D. lemanense* from Gannat
258 (MN1, France; McII and McIV NMB GN39, MtIII NMB-GN40), *D. asphaltense* from
259 Saulcet (MN1, France; McII, McIV and MtIII NMB-SAU1662) and Pyrimont-Challonges
260 (MN1, France; type material, McII UCBL-213016, McIV UCBL-213011 and 213012 and
261 MtIII UCBL-213016), and *D. tomerdingense* from Tomerdingen (MN1, Germany; type
262 material, MCII SMNS-16155a, McIV SMNS-16155b).
263

264 Genus *Diaceratherium* Dietrich, 1931
265

266 Type species: *Diaceratherium tomerdingense* Dietrich, 1931
267 Included species: *Diaceratherium lemanense* (Pomel, 1853), *Diaceratherium aurelianense*
268 (Nouel, 1866), *Diaceratherium asphaltense* (Depéret and Douxami, 1902), *Diaceratherium*
269 *aginense* (Répelin, 1917), *Diaceratherium lamilloquense* Michel in Brunet et al., 1987,
270 *Diaceratherium askazansorense* Kordikova, 2001
271

272 *Diaceratherium lemanense* (Pomel, 1853)
273 Fig. 4-7, Tab. 4-6
274

275 Stratigraphical range: Latest Oligocene (MP30) to Early Miocene (MN2), Western Europe
276 (Antoine & Becker 2013)

277 Occurrences: See Tab. 7.

278 Referred material: Skull with left M1-M3 (original exposed in ML, cast NMBE5031538, cast
279 NMB-AS75), right maxillary fragment with P3-M3 (original NMBE5031539), right and left I1

280 (original NMBE5031540), dental fragments of right I1 (original NMBE5031546), left i2
281 (original NMBE5031547), right P1 (original NMBE5031548), left P3 (original NMBE5031549),
282 right P3 (original NMBE5031550), two left lower cheek teeth (originals NMBE5031551 and
283 NMBE5031552), right hemi-mandible with i2 and p2-m3 (original NMBE5026738, cast NMB-
284 UM6719), reconstructed incomplete mandible with left and right dental series with p2-m3
285 (original specimen exposed in ML, cast NMBE5031541, cast NMB-AS76), right femur (original
286 NMBE5031542, cast NMB-UM6314), incomplete right tibia (original NMBE5031543), right
287 tibia (original NMBE5031544, cast NMB-UM6315), right calcaneus (original NMBE5031545),
288 two right astragali (original NMB-2017, original NMB-698), right MtII (original
289 NMBE5026812), right MtIII (original NMBE5026811) from Wischberg (Switzerland, MN1)
290

291 **Figure 4:**

292 ***Diaceratherium lemanense* (Depéret and Douxami, 1902) from Wischberg locality, Bern**
293 **Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).**
294 **Skull NMBE5031538 in laterodorsal (A), occlusal (B) and occipital (C) views. Right**
295 **hemimandible NMBE5026738 in labial (D), lingual (E) and occlusal (F) views. Right**
296 **maxillary fragment NMBE5031539 in labial (G), lingual (H) and occlusal (I) views. Scale**
297 **bar = 10 cm.**

298

299 **Figure 5:**

300 ***Diaceratherium lemanense* (Depéret and Douxami, 1902) from Wischberg locality, Bern**
301 **Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).**
302 **Left I1 NMBE5031540 in occlusal (A), lingual (B) and labial (C) views. Right I1**
303 **NMBE5031546 in occlusal (D), lingual (E) and labial (F) views. Right I1 NMBE5031540 in**
304 **occlusal (G), lingual (H) and labial (I) views. Left i2 NMBE5031547 in occlusal (J), lingual**
305 **(K) and labial (L) views. Left P3 NMBE5031549 in occlusal (M) and lingual (N) views.**
306 **Right P3 NMBE5031550 in occlusal (O) and lingual (P) views. Fragmentary right P1**
307 **NMBE5031548 in occlusal (Q), lingual (R) and labial (S) views. Fragmentary left p4**
308 **NMBE5031551 in occlusal (T), lingual (U) and labial (V) views. Scale bar = 1 cm.**

309

310 **Figure 6:**

311 ***Diaceratherium lemanense* (Depéret and Douxami, 1902) from Wischberg locality, Bern**
312 **Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).**
313 **Right femur NMB-UM6314 in anterior (A), medial (B), posterior (C) and lateral (D) views.**
314 **Right tibia NMBE5031544 in anterior (E), medial (F), posterior (G) and lateral (H) views.**
315 **Scale bar = 10 cm.**

316

317 **Figure 7:**

318 ***Diaceratherium lemanense* (Depéret and Douxami, 1902) from Wischberg locality, Bern**
319 **Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).**

320 **Right astragalus NMB-2017 in dorsal (A) and ventral (B) views. Right astragalus NMB-698**
321 **in dorsal (C) and ventral (D) views. Right calcaneus NMBE5031545 in dorsal (E), lateral**
322 **(F), ventral (G) and medial (H) views. Right MtIII NMBE5026811 in anterior (I), lateral**
323 **(J), posterior (K), medial (L) and proximal (M) views. Right MtII NMBE5026812 in**
324 **proximal (N), anterior (O), lateral (P), posterior (Q), medial (R) views. Scale bar = 10 cm.**

325

326 **Table 4:**

327 **Dimensions [mm] of the anterior teeth of *Diaceratherium lemanense* (Depéret and**
328 **Douxami, 1902) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1,**
329 **Agenian, Early Miocene).**

330

331 **Table 5:**

332 **Dimensions [mm] of the upper cheek teeth of *Diaceratherium lemanense* (Depéret and**
333 **Douxami, 1902) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1,**
334 **Agenian, Early Miocene).**

335

336 **Table 6:**

337 **Dimensions [mm] of the lower cheek teeth of *Diaceratherium lemanense* (Depéret and**
338 **Douxami, 1902) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1,**
339 **Agenian, Early Miocene).**

340

341 **Table 7:**

342 **Occurrences of *Diaceratherium* species in France, Switzerland and other countries.**
343 **Modified from Becker et al. (2009) with additions from Duranthon (1990, 1991), Antoine et**
344 **al. (1997), Boada-Saña et al. (2007), Antoine & Becker (2013), Mennecart et al. (2012) and**
345 **Becker et al. (2018).**

346

347 **Description**

348 **Skull.** The skull NMBE5031538 is long and relatively narrow (Lcondyles-nasals = 575.5,
349 Lcondyles-premaxilla = 615.5, Wfrontals = 158.5), belonging to a large-sized adult rhinocerotid.
350 It is incomplete and laterally compressed. It lacks the zygomatic arches, the occipital crest as
351 well as the anterior dentition and the right cheek teeth series, while only M1-3 are preserved in
352 the left one. The dental remains are much worn, indicating an aged individual. The separated
353 nasal bones are long, but less than the premaxilla, relatively thin, and bear a lateral apophysis.
354 Roughness for a small nasal horn is preserved at the tip of the nasals. In lateral view, the *foramen*
355 *infraorbitalis* and the posterior border of the U-shaped nasal notch are both located above the P3,
356 while the anterior border of the orbit is above the M1/2 limit. The minimum distance between the
357 posterior edge of the nasal notch and the anterior border of the orbit is 82.5 mm. The back of the
358 cheek teeth reaches the posterior half of the skull. The *processus lacrymalis* seems to be slightly
359 developed and the *processus postorbitalis* of the frontal is absent. The base of the *processus*

360 *zygomaticus maxillari* is high; it is about 2.5 centimetres above the neck of M2. The general
361 dorsal profile of the skull is slightly concave, characterized by a nasal tip pointing downwards
362 and by a slight posterior elevation of the parietal bones. In dorsal view, the postorbital
363 constriction is very moderate, and the fronto-parietal crests are well-separated. The *processus*
364 *postglenoidalis* is long, strong and transversally narrow. The articular surface of the latter defines
365 a right dihedron in cross section. The *processus postglenoidalis* is curved forward and contacts
366 the short *processus-posttympanicus*, partially closing the external auditory *pseudomeatus*. The
367 *processus paraoccipitalis* is long and well developed. The *foramen magnum* is circular. A
368 smooth median transverse ridge runs all over the occipital condyles, but there is no axial
369 truncation.

370 **Mandible.** The hemi-mandible NMBE5026738 bears a very weak median sagittal groove (*sulcus*
371 *mylohyoideus*) on the lingual side of the *corpus mandibulae*. The symphysis, probably thick, is
372 not constricted at the diastema level. It is upraised (about 30° with respect to the *corpus*
373 *mandibulae*) and its posterior border, as well as the *foramen mentale*, are located below p2. The
374 *corpus mandibulae* displays a straight ventral border with a constant height below p2-p4 (height
375 below p2 = 80.3) that gets slightly higher until m3 (height below m3 = 92.5). The *incisura*
376 *vasorum* is weakly marked, the *angulus mandibulae* not much developed and the retromolar
377 space rather long. The *foramen mandibulare* is located below the jugal teeth neck line. The other
378 referred mandibular specimen (casts NMBE5031541 and NMB-AS76) is greatly reconstructed
379 and the anterior part of the symphysis is missing. The *ramus mandibulae* (maximum height =
380 250.0) is inclined forward, with a *processus coronoideus* sagittally well developed. The *foramen*
381 *mandibulare* is also located much below the jugal teeth neck line.

382 **Anterior teeth.** The anterior dentition is reduced to the chisel-tusk shearing complex of I1-i2,
383 characteristic of the family Rhinocerotidae *sensu* Radinsky (1966). The referred I1 are almond-
384 shaped in cross section and the i2 are tusk-like.

385 **Upper cheek teeth.** The cheek teeth are low-crowned (brachyodont) and their roots are partly
386 joined. There is neither cement nor enamel foldings on the crowns of cheek teeth. The enamel is
387 thin and wrinkled. Due to the advanced dental wear and the fragmented state of upper cheek
388 teeth remains, only few characters can be identified. The protocone of upper molars and
389 premolars is not constricted. The lingual and labial cingulum are completely lacking on upper
390 molars, while the lingual one seems to be strong and continuous on upper premolars. The P1
391 NMBE5031548 is biradiculate and does not bear labial cingulum. The P3-4 are molariform
392 (*sensu* Heissig, 1969), the paracone fold seems poorly developed on upper molars and the M3 is
393 quadrangular in occlusal view, with a transverse protoloph and a posterior groove on the
394 ectometaloph.

395 **Lower cheek teeth.** The lower dental formula is 1i-3p-3m (there are neither alveoli nor any trace
396 of contact with the d1/p1 on p2). The lower premolar series is long compared to the molar series
397 (Lp3-4/Lm1-3 > 50; Tab. 6). The lingual cingulum of the lower cheek teeth is reduced to the
398 base of the opening of the anterior valley as an extension of the anterior cingulum. The labial
399 cingulum is only present at the base of the paraconid, while the posterior is only present on lower

400 premolars. The external groove is developed, oblique and vanishes before the neck. The trigonid
401 is angular on lesser worn teeth and forms an acute dihedron with a rather developed lingual
402 branch of the paralophid in occlusal view. The talonid valley is narrow and V-shaped on p2-m3.
403 The p2 displays a developed paraconid and a constricted paralophid (spur-like), an open
404 posterior valley, as well as marked anterior and external grooves of the ectolophid. The
405 hypolophid is transverse on lower molars and the entoconid of the lower molars does not bear a
406 lingual groove.

407 **Femur.** The femur (NMBE5031542) is rather slender ($L = 499.0$, $TD_{prox} = 187.5$, $APD_{prox} =$
408 69.0 , $TD_{dia} = 66.0$, $APD_{dia} = 55.0$, $TD_{dist} = 132.0$, $APD_{dist} = 130.5$). The *trochanter major* is
409 high, the articular facet of the head is slightly medially asymmetric, the *fovea capitis* is high and
410 narrow, and the third trochanter is developed. In medial view, the anterior border of the diaphysis
411 forms a slope break with the medial lip of the patellar trochlea. In anterior view, the distolateral
412 epicondyle is low and well developed, the proximal border of the patellar trochlea is horizontal.
413 The lateral lip is acute, while the lateral one is rounded.

414 **Tibia.** Two tibias are preserved: the specimen NMBE5031544 is complete and very well
415 preserved while the other (NMBE5031543) is incomplete. In distal view, the anterodistal groove
416 is well marked. The mediadistal gutter for the m. tibialis is present and shallow, and the posterior
417 apophysis is high and rounded. In lateral view, the proximal articulation for the fibula is low and
418 the diaphysis bears discontinuous contact marks for the fibula.

419 **Astragal.** Two astragali are preserved. They slightly differ by their dimensions (NMB-2017: TD
420 = 85.5 , $APD = 41.5$, $H = 74.0$; NMB-698: $TD = 76.8$, $APD = 40.0$, $H = 70.5$), but they are
421 proportionally and morphologically homogeneous (NMB-2017: $TD/H = 1.16$, $APD/H = 0.56$;
422 NMB-698: $TD/H = 1.09$, $APD/H = 0.57$). The fibula-facet is subvertical and transversally flat.
423 The *collum tali* is high. In proximal view, the posteroproximal border of the trochlea is sinuous.
424 In distal view, the trochlea is very oblique compared to the distal articulation and the posterior
425 stop on the cuboid-facet is present on NMB-2017 (not observable in NMB-698). The lateral lip is
426 very prominent, and the medial tubercle is low, salient, and laterally displaced. The calcaneus-
427 facet 1 (*sensu* Heissig 1972) is very concave. The laterodistal expansion of this latter facet is
428 lacking in NMB-698 (not observable in NMB-2017). The calcaneus-facet 2 is roughly oval, flat
429 and wider than high. The calcaneus-facet 3 is transversally developed and separated from the
430 calcaneus-facet 2 by a notch.

431 **Calcaneum.** The available calcaneus NMBE5031545 ($TD = -$, $APD = 65.5$, $H = 124.4$) is
432 incomplete, the *sustentaculum tali* is not preserved. Both fibular and tibial facets are lacking. The
433 *tuber calcanei* is high and slender in posterodistal view. The insertion for the m. fibularis longus
434 is marked, forming a deep notch. The *processus calcanei* is long ($APD = 51.5$) and narrow (TD
435 = 27.2). The cuboid-facet forms a transverse half-circle in distal view, and it is slightly convex
436 anteroposteriorly.

437 **Metatarsals.** The metatarsals have a long insertion for the m. interossei, a salient insertion for
438 the m. extensor carpalis, and a high and acute intermediate relief of the distal articulation. The
439 MtIII NMBE5026811 is rather robust ($L = 146.9$, $TD_{prox} = 47.4$, $APD_{prox} = 35.5$, $TD_{dia} =$

440 45.3, APDdia = 16.3, TDdist = 46.6, APDdist = 30.6; IG = 30.8), while the MtII NMBE5026812
441 is shorter and more slender (L = 130.6, TDprox = 31.7, APDprox = -, TDdia = 32.1, APDdia =
442 16.3, TDdist = 36.2, APDdist = 29.5). The MtII bears a narrow and sagittally elongated proximal
443 end. The mesocuneiform facet forms a half oval. An axially elongated posteromedial
444 entocuneiform-facet joins the proximal facet. On the lateral side, the posterior ectocuneiform
445 facet is oblique and lozenge-shaped while the anterior one is smaller and nearly vertical. The
446 anterior and posterior MtIII-facets are poorly developed, flat, and vertical. The cuboid-facet of
447 the MtIII NMBE5026811 is lacking. In proximal view, the anterior border has a sinuous articular
448 facet, while it is concave in anterior view. The MtIV-facets are independent, the posterior one is
449 distally displaced with respect to the anterior one. The diaphysis slightly widens distally,
450 reaching its maximal width (TDdist max = 53.4) immediately above the distal articulation,
451 especially due to a considerably developed distomedial tuberosity. No posterodistal tubercle is
452 present on the diaphysis.

453

454 **Remarks**

455 Based on dimensions and morphology, the referred specimen cannot be assigned to the small-
456 sized contemporaneous European rhinocerotids. *Protaceratherium minutum* (Cuvier, 1822)
457 differs by smaller dimensions, a spindly symphysis, an angular trigonid with a right dihedron on
458 lower cheek teeth, a continuous labial cingulum on lower premolars, an astragalus as high as
459 wide and the contact between Cc1 and Cc2 facets (de Bonis 1973, Ginsburg et al. 1981).
460 *Pleuroceros pleuroceros* (Duvernoy, 1853) also differs by smaller dimensions as well as a
461 smooth and U-shaped external groove on lower cheek teeth, a continuous lingual cingulum on
462 lower premolars, a very oblique fibula facet of the astragalus and a MtIII with a straight and
463 horizontal proximal facet in anterior view (de Bonis 1973, Antoine et al. 2010).
464 *Plesiaceratherium* Young, 1937 and *Mesaceratherium* Heissig, 1969 species are roughly of
465 similar size. The former differs by a flattening of the ectolophid on lower cheek teeth, external
466 roughnesses on p2-3, a ramus of the mandible inclined backwards, and metapodials much
467 slenderer (Yan and Heissig 1986, pers. obs.). The latter differs by a strongly raised symphysis, an
468 astragalus as high as wide and a proximal facet of the MtIII dorsoventrally elongated (Heissig
469 1969, de Bonis 1973).
470 The assignment of the referred specimens to the genus *Diaceratherium* is supported by their
471 dimensions and their morphology. The nasals (long, thin and totally separated), the deep, U-
472 shaped notch ending above P3, the orbital features (presence of a *processus lacrymalis*, anterior
473 border above M1/2), the mandible (straight profile of the base of the *corpus mandibulae*), the
474 dental remains (quadrangular M3, constricted paralophid and developed paraconid on p2) as well
475 as the astragali (lateral lip larger than the medial one and a low, salient, and laterally displaced
476 medial tubercle), are all characteristic of the genus *Diaceratherium* (Becker et al. 2009, 2010,
477 2018, Antoine et al. 2010, pers. obs.).
478 However, an attribution of the studied material to a specific taxon within this genus remains
479 difficult. Apart from “*Diaceratherium*” *massiliae* Ménouret and Guérin, 2009, whose generic

480 attribution remains doubtful by several non-*Diaceratherium* morphological features (Antoine &
481 Becker 2013), between five and seven species are usually considered as valid in the literature
482 (e.g. Heissig 1999, Boada-Saña et al. 2007, Becker et al. 2009, Antoine & Becker 2013).
483 The type species *Diaceratherium tomerdingense* differs by the presence of a reduced lingual
484 cingulum under the protocone and at the opening of the median valley on M1-2 as well as an
485 almost vertical external groove of lower premolars in labial view that does not vanish before the
486 neck. Furthermore, though its metacarpals cannot be directly compared to the metatarsals from
487 Wischberg, their length is much closer to those of *D. aginense* from Laugnac, than to those from
488 *D. lemanense* from Gannat (Tab. 3). Since in Wischberg the metatarsal's length is very close to
489 those for Gannat (*D. lemanense*), we assume that the metacarpals from Wischberg must have
490 been similar correlative, and thus much longer than those from *D. tomerdingense* (Dietrich
491 1931, pers. obs.).
492 The latest Oligocene diacerathere, *D. lamilloquense*, from La Milloque differs by the presence of
493 lingual cingulum under the protocone of M3, an angular trigonid on lower cheek teeth, and a
494 high proximal articulation for the fibula on the tibia (Michel 1983, Brunet et al. 1987). The
495 specimens from Castelmauro differ by the presence of labial cingulum in the external groove of
496 m2 and m3, a posterior facet for the MtII on the MtIII (Duranton 1990).
497 The skull NMBE5031538 and mandible NMBE5026738 differ from the type material of *D.*
498 *asphaltense* from Pyrimont in having slightly stouter nasals, a moderate postorbital constriction
499 of the skull, more distant frontoparietal crests, as well as a higher *corpus* of the mandible and a
500 lower position of the *foramen mandibulae* on the ramus (Depéret and Douxami 1902, pers. obs.).
501 Concerning the postcranial remains, some differences can be noted with *D. asphaltense* from
502 Pyrimont and Saulcet, such as a dorsoventrally reduced proximal facet of the MtIII for the
503 ectocuneiform, a laterally compressed distal facet of the calcaneus for the cuboid and a slender
504 *tuber calcanei* (Depéret and Douxami 1902, pers. obs.).
505 *Diaceratherium aginense* from Laugnac (type locality) differs from the Wischberg material in
506 displaying a completely closed external auditory pseudomeatus, a reduction of lingual cingulum
507 on upper molars, a more developed ectolophid groove of lower cheekteeth, a strong lingual
508 groove on the *corpus mandibulae*, a shorter posterodistal apophysis of the tibia, stouter
509 metapodials and a very concave navicular facet of the astragal in anterior view (Répelin 1917,
510 pers. obs.).
511 In *Diaceratherium aurelianense*, labial cingulum can be present on lower molars, the postorbital
512 process of the frontals is absent, the lesser trochanter of the femur is more developed and the
513 metapodials are more robust with a low and smooth intermediate relief in distal view (Mayet
514 1908, Cerdeño 1993, pers obs.).
515 Finally, the Early Miocene Kazakh species *Diaceratherium askazansorense* differs by a larger
516 size of the lower molars, a posteriorly increasing height of the horizontal ramus, more hypodont
517 teeth, a higher *colum talli* of the astragal and a shorter and wider *tuber calcanei* (Kordikova
518 2001).

519 The cranio-dental and postcranial characters of the diaceratherium from Wischberg are in fact
520 morphologically indistinguishable from those of *D. lemanense* from Gannat (type locality). The
521 nasals are small, and same sized as the type skull from Gannat, as well as the remaining nasal
522 bone of the type species *D. tomerdingense*, but much shorter than those of *D. asphaltense* from
523 Pyrimont, Bühler and Saulcet. Like the specimen from Gannat NMB Gn. 40, the proximal facet
524 of the MtIII is sagitally elongated and concave in anterior view. The astragal from this same
525 individual is very similar to the two specimens from Wischberg and is also wider than high. As
526 in *D. lemanense* from Montaigu (NMB S.G.18480), the *ramus mandibulae* is inclined forward,
527 with a sagittally well developed *processus coronoideus*. The lingual and labial cingulum are also
528 absent on lower cheek teeth. The material from Wischberg only differs by a slightly smaller size
529 compared to the type material. Therefore, we attribute the referred specimens from Wischberg to
530 *D. lemanense*.

531

532 Discussion

533 Systematic implications

534 The systematic of the genus *Diaceratherium* is far from consensual. Four species in particular
535 are contentious and often subject to synonymies: *D. lemanense*, *D. asphaltense*, *D.*
536 *tomerdingense* and *D. aginense*.

537 According to Antoine & Becker (2013) and Becker et al. (2018), *D. tomerdingense* is a junior
538 synonym of *D. aginense* and this latter is likely to be a junior synonym of *D. asphaltense*. More
539 recently, Becker et al. (2018) still accepted the synonymy of *D. tomerdingense* and *D. aginense*,
540 but maintained *D. asphaltense* as valid whereas, according to de Bonis (1973) and Boada-Saña et
541 al. (2007), *D. asphaltense* and *D. tomerdingense* should be considered as junior synonyms of *D.*
542 *lemanense*. However, no clear justification is ever provided, except for the synonymy of *D.*
543 *asphaltense* and *D. lemanense* by the phylogenetic analysis of Boada-Saña (2008). Yet, the
544 coding of *D. asphaltense* in this latter work is based on photographs of the type material from
545 Pyrimont-Challonges (Boada-Saña, 2008: tab. 1) and should be confirmed by direct
546 observations.

547 These synonymies probably derive from the absence of differential diagnoses between these four
548 species, and of designated type for *D. lemanense*. Indeed, a skull referred to “*Acerotherium*”
549 *lemanense* from the type locality of Gannat (Roman 1912, Pl. VIII fig. 1-3) was unfortunately
550 mistakenly considered as a reference specimen for comparison by Becker et al. (2009, 2018)
551 whereas Boada-Saña (2007) had designated another skull and mandible from Gannat (MNHN
552 AC 2375 and MNHN AC 2376 respectively) as lectotype. Regrettably, both skulls from Gannat
553 may belong to two different taxa, which led to unfortunate comparisons of specimens and
554 erroneous taxonomic attributions. The skull used by Becker et al. (2009, 2018) as reference
555 material of *D. lemanense* (FSL-213944) is remarkably similar to the skull attributed to *D.*
556 *lemanense* from Eschenbach (NMSG-P2006/1), but after direct observation could both be
557 referred to *Plesiaceratherium* Young, 1937, another genus of Miocene rhinocerotid.

558 Moreover, cranial remains from Saulcet (NMB-SAU-1662) and Bühler (NMSG-F13607) have
559 been referred to *D. asphaltense* (Becker et al. 2009, 2018), based on similarities with the type
560 skull of *D. asphaltense* from Pyrimont-Challonges (FSL-212997bis), but also on indisputable
561 dissimilarities with the non-*Diaceratherium* skull from Gannat (FSL-213944) and from
562 Eschenbach (NMSG-P2006/1). Currently, the question of the synonymy of *D. lemanense* and *D.*
563 *asphaltense*, as suggested by Boada-Saña et al. (2007), is still pending.
564 Finally, another systematic interpretation has been recently proposed by Heissig (2017), who
565 referred the species *D. aurelianense* to the genus *Prosantorhinus* because of characters not found
566 in other species of the genus *Diaceratherium*. These characters are “the deeply concave skull
567 profile with upslanting nasals, a wide nasal incision of medium depth, and the triangular last
568 upper molar.” Similarities between the two genera had already been expressed by Cerdeño
569 (1996) who referred some specimen previously attributed to *D. aurelianense* to the genus
570 *Prosantorhinus* but keeping both taxa as valid. Antoine et al. (2018) have also recently attributed
571 all the material previously referred as *Diaceratherium aurelianense* from Béon 2 to
572 *Prosantorhinus* aff. *douvillei*, which indicates indeed similarities between these two taxa, as also
573 already noted by Mayet (1908). However, Antoine et al. (2018) subsequently expressed
574 numerous anatomical differences between these two taxa, including the 20% size difference of
575 the MtIV, which is a character that specifically distinguishes these two genera. Moreover, the
576 characters used by Heissig (2017) seem quite labile to confirm the attribution of the species *D.*
577 *aurelianense* to the genus *Prosantorhinus*. Indeed, a recently described skull of *Diaceratherium*
578 *asphaltense* does show a deeply concave skull and slightly upslanted nasals (Fig. 8), though not
579 as much as the skull of *D. aurelianense*. Another skull of *D. asphaltense* from Saulcet has a
580 similar morphology, but it is true that *D. lemanense* and *D. aginense* do not show such an
581 upslanted nasal bone (though for this latter species the skulls illustrated by Répelin (1917) are
582 heavily reconstructed, and the global shape is very misleading). Finally, the M3 is indeed more
583 triangular in *D. aurelianense* than in other species of the genus, but it could be a character
584 specific to this species. Therefore, to the best of our knowledge, the four above-mentioned
585 problematic *Diaceratherium* species should be considered as valid (just like *D. lamilloquense*
586 and *D. askazansorense*), and *D. aurelianense* could still belong to the genus *Diaceratherium* (as
587 presented in Tab. 7), until a comprehensive phylogenetic analysis at Teleoceratina scale is
588 carried out.
589

590 **Figure 8:**
591 **Comparison of the skulls of *Diaceratherium*.**
592 (A) *D. asphaltense* (NMSG-F13607) from Bühler (MP30-MN1; Becker et al. 2018). (B) *D.*
593 *apshaltense* (NMB Sau 1662) from Saulcet (MN1). (C) *D. aurelianense* (MNHN.F.1888-4,
594 holotype) from Neuville-aux-Bois (MN3), original drawing from Heissig (2017). (D) *D.*
595 *aurelianense* (MHNT.PAL.2013.0.1001, cast of the holotype), from Neuville-aux-Bois
596 (MN3). (E) *D. aginense* (MHNM 1996.17.111.1, “skull B”, lectotype) from Laugnac (MN2),
597 original drawing from Heissig (2017). (F) *D. aginense* (FSL collection) from Laugnac

598 (MN2). (G) *D. lemanense* (MNHN-AC-2375, holotype) from Gannat (MN1). (H) *D.*
599 *lemanense* (cast NMBE5031538) from Wischberg (MN1).

600

601 Palaeobiogeographical and biostratigraphical implications

602 The record of two Rhinocerotid species in Wischberg is typical of the Agenian time period,
603 which is a period rather rich in rhinocerotoid diversity in Western Europe (Antoine & Becker
604 2013). The records of *Pleuroceros pleuroceros* and *Diaceratherium lemanense* are typical from
605 the MN1 biozone since Gannat (France) is the type locality of both taxa. In addition, both taxa
606 have in common an Asian sister species: *Pleuroceros blanfordi* both from the Early Miocene of
607 Pakistan (Antoine et al. 2010) and *Diaceratherium askazansorense* from the Early Miocene of
608 Kazakhstan (Kordikova 2001).

609 Furthermore, the presence of *Diaceratherium lemanense* in Wischberg extends the record of this
610 genus in Switzerland. Indeed, though the species *D. lemanense* was found in numerous French
611 localities, Wischberg is the only record of this species in Switzerland during the MN1 biozone
612 (Tab. 7). The genus *Diaceratherium* has a rather long record in Europe, from the Late Oligocene
613 to the early middle Miocene, and it crosses the Oligo-Miocene boundary. It is after this limit that
614 this genus extensively diversifies, with the presence of four different species during MN1: *D.*
615 *tomerdingense* (type species), *D. lemanense*, *D. asphaltense* and *D. aginense*. However, this high
616 diversity may be potentially artificial if synonymy occurs either between *D. aginense* and *D.*
617 *tomerdingense* or between *D. asphaltense* and *D. lemanense*. As discussed previously, a
618 comprehensive systematic and phylogenetic revision of this genus would be needed to solve this
619 matter.

620

621 Palaeoecology and diversification

622 The Agenian rhinocerotid fauna from Wischberg includes two co-occurring species: the large-
623 sized graviportal *Diaceratherium lemanense*, and the small-sized mediportal *Pleuroceros*
624 *pleuroceros*. The two taxa also differ by their body masses (Tab. 8), one being a
625 megaherbivorous with a body mass over 10^3 kg (Owen-Smith 1988).

626

627 **Table 8:**
628 **Estimation of rhinocerotid species body mass from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss**
629 **Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene), based on the allometric correlations with**
630 **the occlusal surface of the first lower molar (Legendre, 1989).**

631

632 This rhinocerotid association is comparable in composition to some contemporaneous Western
633 European localities such as Gannat, Paulhiac, Pyrimont-Challonges and Saulcet. This sympatric
634 association is characteristic of the MN1 biozone and results from the faunal renewal starting at
635 MP28 in Western Europe (Scherler et al. 2013). It is a period marked by the beginning of a major
636 worldwide diversification phase of Rhinocerotidae that lasted until the Late Miocene (Cerdeño
637 1998), and during which perissodactyls reach the maximum body size and mass among terrestrial

638 mammals (Smith et al. 2010). This rhinocerotid diversification may be due to the extinction of
639 other megaherbivorous competitors in Europe such as the Anthracotheriinae (latest Oligocene,
640 Scherler 2011, Scherler et al. 2018) or the Amynodontidae (Late Oligocene, Malez & Thenius,
641 1985). As for the other European perissodactyls, except for the Tapiroidea, which are present in
642 Europe until MN4, Palaeotheriidae are extinct since MP25 (Rémy 1995), Chalicotheriidae only
643 re-appear during MN2 (Coombs 2009), Equidae first appear with *Anchitherium* in MN3 (Kaiser
644 2009, Alberdi & Rodríguez 2012) and Eggysodontidae disappear in MN1 (Scherler et al. 2013).
645 However, none of those reached sizes over 10³ kg during this time. Within the Artiodactyla only
646 nine genera were present in Europe during MN1 (Scherler et al. 2013) and all of them were
647 smaller than the smallest rhinocerotids (Scherler 2011, Mennecart 2012). Finally, the
648 proboscideans, another group of megaherbivores who will later dominate the megaherbivore
649 communities, do not appear in Europe until MN4 (Antoine et al. 1997, Göhlich 1999). As a
650 result, the earliest Miocene is a period during which rhinocerotids are the dominating largest
651 herbivores and the only megaherbivores in Europe (Rössner & Heissig 1999, Scherler et al.
652 2013). This observation is of particular interest since, like extant African megaherbivores, Early
653 Miocene rhinocerotids likely had large food intake requirements and could have been able to
654 subsist on low-quality (i.e. high fibre) food resources (Demment & van Soest 1985, Owen-Smith
655 1988, Illius and Gordon 1992). Furthermore, due to their size, Early Miocene megaherbivorous
656 rhinocerotids are expected, like extant ones, to display specific life-history attributes, physiology
657 and ecological characteristics related to their body mass (Blueweiss et al. 1978, Brown et al.
658 2004), such as larger geographic ranges, higher potential for dispersal (e.g., Brown 1995, Gaston
659 2003), lower mortality rates and better resistance to limiting environmental factors (Erb et al.
660 2001). As a result, megaherbivores are considered to be a separate trophic guild among large
661 herbivores (Fritz et al. 2002), possibly better adapted to ecosystems with high plant biomass but
662 low-quality vegetation (Bell 1982).

663 The beginning of the Miocene is marked by a short glacial event (Mi-1; Zachos et al. 2001). This
664 sudden climatic event has induced significant changes in the European vegetation, promoting
665 fibre-rich plants associations. We observe indeed a lower proportion of C4 plants during the
666 MN1 than during the Oligocene (Urban et al. 2010) and an increase of mesothermic vegetations
667 at the expense of megathermic ones (e.g. Mosbrugger et al. 2005, Bessedik et al. 1984). Janis
668 (1976) hypothesized that perissodactyls (hindgut fermenters) were able to overcome competition
669 of other herbivorous large mammals by their ability to tolerate more fibrous herbage. This could
670 explain the diversification of rhinocerotids at the beginning of the Miocene, for which large size
671 might have increased their ability to monopolise resources (Fritz et al. 2002) and extract
672 nutrients from specific feeding niches (Illius & Gordon 1992). The evolutionary success and
673 rapid diversification of rhinocerotids during the earliest Miocene could consequently be linked to
674 this particular environmental change, triggered by the short glaciation event but also by the
675 absence of other megaherbivores. After the late Oligocene faunal renewal (Scherler et al. 2013),
676 the earliest Miocene, and especially the first one million-year period (MN1), may have been a
677 crucial time period for the Rhinocerotidae, and especially megaherbivorous taxa, to start

678 diversifying by occupying new ecological niches available at that time. Further analyses taking
679 into account all European rhinocerotids, with their masses and anatomical features, will be
680 necessary to test this hypothesis and better understand this unique transition in the European
681 assemblages of megaherbivores at the beginning of the Miocene.

682

683 **Conclusions**

684 Based on comparisons, the rhinocerotid specimens from Wischberg, a typical Agenian (MN1)
685 locality, can be attributed to two different taxa: *Diaceratherium lemanense* and *Pleuroceros*
686 *pleuroceros*. Though Schaub & Hürzeler 1948 had identified a third taxon, *Diaceratherium*
687 *asphaltense*, we believe that it should be attributed to the other contemporaneous species, *D.*
688 *lemanense*, based on morphological differences with the holotype material from Pyrimont-
689 Challonges (MN1, France). Furthermore, we believe that all *Diaceratherium* species found at the
690 present time in the literature could be considered as valid, until an extensive revision of this
691 genus is performed, preferentially through a phylogenetic analysis.

692

693 **Acknowledgements**

694 We are greatly indebted to all curators of the collections visited during this work, who kindly
695 helped us during our visit: Manuela Aiglstorfer and Reinhard Ziegler (SMNS), Christine Argot
696 and Guillaume Billet (MNHN), Christophe Borrely (MHNM), Loïc Costeur (NMB), Jana
697 Fehrensen (ML), Yves Laurent (MHNT), Ursula Menkveld-Gfeller (NMBE), Emmanuel Robert
698 (FSL). We are very grateful to Patrick Röschli (JURASSICA Museum) for preparing the plates.

699

700 **References**

- 701 Agustí, J., Cabrera, L., Garcés, M., Krijgsman, W., Oms, O., & Parés, J. M. (2001). A calibrated
702 mammals scale for the Neogene of Western Europe. State of the art. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 52,
703 247–260.
- 704 Alberdi, M. T., & Rodríguez, J. (2012). *Anchitherium* Meyer, 1844 (Perissodactyla, Equidae) de
705 Sansan. In Peigné S., Sen S. (eds), *Mammifères de Sansan* (pp. 487–533). Mémoires du Muséum
706 National d'Histoire Naturelle, 203.
- 707 Antoine, P.-O. (2002). Phylogénie et évolution des Elasmotheriina (Mammalia, Rhinocerotidae).
708 *Mémoires du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle de Paris*, 188, 1–359.
- 709 Antoine, P.-O., & Becker, D. (2013). A brief review of Agenian rhinocerotids in Western
710 Europe. *Swiss Journal of Geosciences*, 106, 135–146.
- 711 Antoine, P.-O., Duranthon, F. & Tassy, P., 1997. L'apport des grands mammifères
712 (Rhinocérotidés, Suoidés, Proboscidiens) à la connaissance des gisements du Miocène
713 d'Aquitaine (France). In J.-P. Aguilar, S. Legendre & J. Michaux (Eds.), *Actes du Congrès*
714 *Biochrom'97* (pp. 581–590). Montpellier: Ecole pratique des hautes études-Sciences de la vie et
715 de la terre-Institut de Montpellier.
- 716 Antoine, P.-O., Downing, K. F., Crochet, J.-Y., Duranthon, F., Flynn, L. J., Marivaux, L., et al.
717 (2010). A revision of *Aceratherium blanfordi* Lydekker, 1884 (Mammalia: Rhinocerotidae) from

- 718 the Early Miocene of Pakistan: postcranials as a key. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*,
719 160, 139–194.
- 720 Antoine, P.-O., Becker, D., Laurent, Y., & Duranthon, F. (2018) The Early Miocene
721 teleoceratine *Prosantorhinus* aff. *douvillei* (Mammalia, Perissodactyla, Rhinocerotidae) from
722 Béon 2, Southwestern France. *Revue de Paléobiologie*, 37, 367–377.
- 723 Becker, D. (2003). Paléoécologie et paléoclimats de la Molasse du Jura (Oligo-Miocène): apport
724 des Rhinocerotoidea (Mammalia) et des minéraux argileux. *GeoFocus*, 9, 1–327.
- 725 Becker, D., Rössner, G., Picot, L., & Berger, J.-P. (2001). Early Miocene ruminants from
726 Wallenried (USM, Aquitanian/Switzerland): biostratigraphy and paleoecology. *Eclogae
727 Geologicae Helveticae*, 94, 547–564.
- 728 Becker, D., Bürgin, T., Oberli, U., & Scherler, L. (2009). A juvenile skull of *Diaceratherium
729 lemanense* (Rhinocerotidae) from the Aquitanian of Eschenbach (eastern Switzerland). *Neues
730 Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Abhandlungen*, 254, 5–39.
- 731 Becker, D., Antoine, P.-O., Engesser, B., Hiard, F., Hostettler, B., Menkveld-Gfeller, U.,
732 Mennecart, B., Scherler, L., & Berger, J.-P. (2010). Late Aquitanian mammals from Engehalde
733 (Molasse Basin, Canton Bern, Switzerland). *Annales de Paléontologie*, 96, 95–116.
- 734 Becker, D., Antoine, P.-O., Mennecart, B., & Tissier, J. (2018). New rhinocerotid remains in the
735 latest Oligocene–Early Miocene of the Swiss Molasse Basin. *Revue de Paléobiologie*, 37, 395–
736 408.
- 737 Bell, R.H.V. (1982). *The effect of soil nutrient availability on the community structure in African
738 ecosystems*. In: Huntley, B.J. and Walker, B. H. (eds), pp. 193-216. Ecology of tropical
739 savannas, Springer.
- 740 Berger, J.-P. (2011). Du bassin molassique au fossé rhénan, évolution des paléoenvironnements
741 dans un avant pays dynamique. *Géochroniques, Magazine des Géosciences*, 117, 44–49
- 742 Berger, J.-P., Reichenbacher, B., Becker, D., Grimm, M., Grimm, K. I., Picot, L., Storni, A.,
743 Pirkenseer, C., Schäfer, H., & Derer, C. (2005a). Paleogeography of the Swiss Molasse basin and
744 the URG from Late Eocene to Pliocene. *International Journal of Earth Sciences*, 94, 697–710.
- 745 Berger, J.-P., Reichenbacher, B., Becker, D., Grimm, M., Grimm, K.I., Picot, L., Storni, A.,
746 Pirkenseer, C., & Schäfer, A. (2005b). Eocene-Pliocene time scale and stratigraphy of the Upper
747 Rhine Graben (URG) and the Swiss Molasse Basin (SMB). *International Journal of Earth
748 Sciences*, 94, 711–731.
- 749 Bessedik, M., Guinet, P. & Suc, J.-P. (1984). Données paléofloristiques en méditerranée nord-
750 occidentale depuis l’Aquitaniens. *Revue de Paleobiologie*, volume spécial : 25–31.
- 751 BiochroM'97 (1997). Synthèses et tableaux de corrélations. In J.-P. Aguilar, S. Legendre & J.
752 Michaux (Eds.), *Actes du Congrès BiochroM'97* (pp. 769-805). Montpellier: Ecole pratique des
753 hautes études-Sciences de la vie et de la terre-Institut de Montpellier.
- 754 Blueweiss, L., Fox, H., Kudzma, V., Nakashima, D., Peters, R. & Sams, S. (1978). Relationships
755 between body size and some life history parameters. *Oecologia*, 37(2):257-272.
- 756 Boada-Saña, A. (2008). *Phylogénie du rhinocérotidé Diaceratherium Dietrich, 1931 (Mammalia,
757 Perissodactyla)*. Master thesis dissertation (unpublished), University of Montpellier 2, France.

- 758 Boada-Saña, A., Hervet, S., & Antoine, P.-O. (2007). Nouvelles données sur les rhinocéros
759 fossiles de Gannat (Allier, limite Oligocène-Miocène). *Revue des Sciences Naturelles*
760 *d'Auvergne*, 71, 1–25.
- 761 Bonis, L. de (1973). *Contribution à l'étude des Mammifères de l'Aquitainien de l'Agenais:*
762 *rongeurs-carnivores-périssodactyles*. Mémoires du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle de
763 Paris, 28, 1–192.
- 764 Brown, J.H. (1995). *Macroecology*. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- 765 Brown, J.H., Gillooly, J.F., Allen, A.P., Savage, V.M. & West, G.B. (2004). Toward a metabolic
766 theory of ecology. *Ecology*, 85(7): 1771–1789.
- 767 Brunet, M., Bonis, L. de, & Michel, P. (1987). Les grands Rhinocerotidae de l'Oligocène et du
768 Miocène inférieur d'Europe occidentale: intérêt biostratigraphique. *Münchner*
769 *Geowissenschaftliche Abhandlungen*, 10, 59–66.
- 770 Cerdeño, E. (1993). Étude sur *Diaceratherium aurelianense* et *Brachypotherium brachypus*
771 (Rhinocerotidae, Mammalia) du Miocène moyen de France. *Bulletin du Muséum national*
772 *d'histoire naturelle de Paris*, 15, 25–77.
- 773 Cerdeño, E. (1996). *Prosantorhinus*, the small teleoceratine rhinocerotid from the Miocene of
774 Western Europe. *Geobios*, 29, 111–124.
- 775 Cerdeño, E. (1998). Diversity and evolutionary trends of the Family Rhinocerotidae
776 (Perissodactyla). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 141, 13–34.
- 777 Coombs, M. C. (2009). The chalicotheriid *Metaschizotherium bavaricum* (Perissodactyla,
778 Chalicotheriidae, Schizotheriinae) from the Miocene (MN5) Lagerstätte of Sandelzhausen
779 (Germany): description, comparison, and paleoecological significance. *Paläontologische*
780 *Zeitschrift*, 83, 85–129.
- 781 Cuvier, G. (1822). *Recherches sur les ossements fossiles, où l'on rétablit les caractères de*
782 *plusieurs animaux dont les révolutions du globe ont détruit les espèces*. 4ème édition, Paris, 10
783 vol.
- 784 Demment, M.W. & van Soest, P.J. (1985). A nutritional explanation for body-size patterns of
785 ruminant and non ruminant herbivores. *American Naturalist*, 125: 641–672.
- 786 Depéret, C., & Douxami, H. (1902). Les Vertébrés oligocènes de Pyrimont-Challonges (Savoie).
787 *Mémoires suisses de Paléontologie*, 29, 1–92.
- 788 Dietrich, W. O. (1931). Neue Nashornreste aus Schwaben (*Diaceratherium tomerdingensis* n. g.
789 n. sp.). *Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde*, 6, 203–220.
- 790 Duranthon, F. (1990). *Étude paléontologique (Rongeurs, Anthracothéridés, Rhinocérotidés) de*
791 *la molasse toulousaine (Oligo-miocène). Biostratigraphie et implications géodynamiques*.
- 792 Diplôme EPHE, Montpellier (unpublished).
- 793 Duranthon, F. (1991). Biozonation des molasses continentales oligo-miocènes de la région
794 toulousaine par l'étude des mammifères. Apports à la connaissance du bassin d'Aquitaine
795 (France). *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 313 (Série II), 965–970.
- 796 Duvernoy, G. L. (1853). Nouvelles études sur les rhinocéros fossiles. *Archives du Muséum*
797 *d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris*, 7, 1–144.

- 798 Engesser, B. & Mödden, C. (1997). A new version of the biozonation of the Lower Freshwater
799 Molasse (Oligocene and Agenian) of Switzerland and Savoy on the basis of fossil Mammals. In
800 J.-P. Aguilar, S. Legendre & J. Michaux (Eds.), *Actes du Congrès BiochroM'97* (pp. 475-499).
801 Montpellier: Ecole pratique des hautes études-Sciences de la vie et de la terre-Institut de
802 Montpellier.
- 803 Erb, J., Boyce, M.S. & Stenseth, N.C. (2001). Population dynamics of large and small mammals.
804 *Oikos*, 92: 3-12
- 805 Fritz, H., Duncan, P., Gordon, I.J. & Illius, A.W., (2002). Megaherbivores influence trophic
806 guilds structure in African ungulates communities. *Oecologia*, 131: 620–625.
- 807 Gaston, K.J. (2003). *The structure and dynamics of geographic ranges*. Oxford University Press,
808 Oxford.
- 809 Ginsburg, L., & Bulot, C. (2000). Le cadre stratigraphique du site de Sansan. *Bulletin du*
810 *Muséum national d'histoire naturelle*, 183, 39–67.
- 811 Ginsburg, L., Huin, J., & Locher, J. P. (1981). Les Rhinocerotidae (Perissodactyla, Mammalia)
812 du Miocène inférieur des Beilleaux à Savigné-sur-Lathan (Indre-et-Loire). *Bulletin du Muséum*
813 *National d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris*, 3, 345-361.
- 814 Gerber, E. 1932. Über den Fund eines Rhinoceratiden aus der unteren Süßwassermolasse von
815 Langenthal und dessen stratigraphische Stellung. *Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae* 25/2, 274-275.
- 816 Gerber, E. 1936. Über einen zweiten Rhinoceriden-Fund aus der unteren Süßwassermolasse von
817 Langenthal. *Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae* 29/2, 580.
- 818 Göhlich, U.B. (1999). Order Proboscidea. In G. Rössner and K. Heissig (eds.), *The Miocene*
819 *Land Mammals of Europe* (pp. 157–168). München: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil.
- 820 Guérin, C. (1980). Les rhinocéros (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) du Miocène terminal au
821 Pléistocène supérieur en Europe occidentale. Comparaison avec les espèces actuelles. *Documents*
822 *des Laboratoires de Géologie de Lyon*, 79, 1184 pp.
- 823 Gray, J. E. (1821). On the natural arrangements of vertebrate animals. *London Medical*
824 *Repository*, 15, 296–310.
- 825 Habicht, J. K. A. (1987). *Lexique stratigraphique international*. Volume I Europe. Fascicule 7:
826 Suisse. Fascicule 7b: Plateau suisse (Molasse). Commission Géologique Suisse et Service
827 Hydrogéologique et Géologique National, Birkhäuser AG, Reinach/Basel, 528 pp.
- 828 Heissig, K. (1969). Die Rhinocerotidae (Mammalia) aus der oberoligozänen Spaltenfüllung von
829 Gaimersheim bei Ingolstadt in Bayern und ihre phylogenetische Stellung. *Abhandlungen der*
830 *Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse*, 138, 1–
831 133.
- 832 Heissig K. (1972). Die obermiozäne Fossil-Lagerstätte Sandelzhausen. 5. Rhinocerotidae
833 (Mammalia), Systematik und Ökologie. *Mitteilungen der Bayerischen Staatssammlung für*
834 *Paläontologie und historische Geologie*, 12, 57–81.
- 835 Heissig K. (1999). Family Rhinocerotidae. In G. E. Rössner & K. Heissig (Eds.), *The Miocene*
836 *Land Mammals of Europe* (pp. 175–188). München: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil.

- 837 Heissig, K. (2017). Revision of the European species of *Prosantorhinus* Heissig, 1974
838 (Mammalia, Perissodactyla, Rhinocerotidae). *Fossil Imprint*, <https://doi.org/10.1515/if-2017-0014>
- 840 Hilgen, F. J., Lourense, L. J., & Van Dam, J. A. (2012). The Neogene Period. In F. M. Gradstein,
841 J. G. Ogg, M. D. Schmitz & G. M. Ogg (Eds.). *The Geologic Time Scale 2012. Volume 2* (pp.
842 923–978). Oxford: Elsevier.
- 843 Hugueney, M. (1997). Biochronologie mammalienne dans le Paléogène et le Miocène inférieur
844 du centre de la France: Synthèse réactualisée. . In J.-P. Aguilar, S. Legendre & J. Michaux
845 (Eds.), *Actes du Congrès BiochroM'97* (pp. 417–430). Montpellier: Ecole pratique des hautes
846 études-Sciences de la vie et de la terre-Institut de Montpellier.
- 847 Illius, A.W. & Gordon, I.J. (1992). Modelling the nutritional ecology of ungulate herbivores:
848 evolution of body size and competitive interactions. *Oecologia*, 89: 428-434.
- 849 Janis, C.M. (1976). The evolutionary strategy of the Equidae and the origins of rumen and cecal
850 digestion. *Evolution*, 30: 757-774
- 851 Kaiser, T. M. (2009). *Anchitherium aurelianense* (Equidae, Mammalia): a brachydont “dirty
852 browser” in the community of herbivorous large mammals from Sandelzhausen (Miocene,
853 Germany). *Paläontologische Zeitschrift*, 83, 131–140.
- 854 Kempf, O., Bolliger, T., Kälin, D., Engesser, B., & Matter, A. (1997). New magnetostratigraphic
855 calibration of Early to Middle Miocene mammal biozones of the north alpine foreland basin. In
856 J.-P. Aguilar, S. Legendre & J. Michaux (Eds.), *Actes du Congrès BiochroM'97* (pp. 547-561).
857 Montpellier: Ecole pratique des hautes études-Sciences de la vie et de la terre-Institut de
858 Montpellier.
- 859 Kempf, O., Matter, A., Burbank, D. W., & Mange, M. (1999). Depositional and structural
860 evolution of a foreland basin margin in a magnetostratigraphic framework: the eastern Swiss
861 Molasse Basin. *International Journal of Earth Sciences*, 88, 253–275.
- 862 Kordikova, E. G. (2001). Remarks on the Oligocene-Miocene mammal paleontology and
863 sequence stratigraphy of South-Western Betpakdala Steppe, South Kazakhstan. *Neues Jahrbuch
864 für Geologie und Paläontologie Abhandlungen*, 221, 35–79.
- 865 Lavocat, R. (1951). *Révision de la faune des mammifères oligocènes d'Auvergne et du Velay.*
866 Sciences et Avenir, Paris, 153 pp.
- 867 Legendre, S. (1989). Les communautés de mammifères du paléogène (Eocène supérieur et
868 Oligocène) d'Europe occidentale: structures, milieux et évolution. *Münchner
869 Geowissenschaftliche Abhandlungen*, 16: 1-110.
- 870 Linnaeus, C. (1758). *Systema Naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines,
871 genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis*. Vol. 1: Regnum animale. 10th
872 edition, Stockholm.
- 873 Lydekker, R. (1884). Additional Siwalik Perissodactyla and Proboscidea. Memoirs of the
874 Geological Survey of India. *Palaeontologia Indica*, 3, 1–34.
- 875 Malez, M., & Thenius, E. (1985). Über das Vorkommen von Amynodonten (Rhinocerotoidea,
876 Mammalia) im Oligo-Miozän von Bosnien (Jugoslavien). *Palaeontologia Jugoslavica*, 34, 1–26.

- 877 Mayet, L. (1908). Etude des Mammifères Miocènes des Sables de l'Orléanais et des Faluns de la
878 Touraine. *Annales de l'Université de Lyon, Nouvelle Série*, 24: 1-336.
- 879 Mein, P. (1999). European Miocene Mammal Biochronology. In G. E. Rössner & K. Heissig
880 (Eds.), *The Miocene Land Mammals of Europe* (pp. 25-38). München: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil.
- 881 Mennecart, B. (2012). The Ruminantia (Mammalia, Cetartiodactyla) from the Oligocene to the
882 Early Miocene of Western Europe: systematics, palaeoecology and palaeobiogeography.
GeoFocus, 32, 1–263.
- 883 Mennecart, B., Scherler, L., Hiard, F., Becker, D., & Berger, J. P. (2012). Large mammals from
884 Rickenbach (Switzerland, reference locality MP29, Late Oligocene): biostratigraphic and
885 palaeoenvironmental implications. *Swiss Journal of Palaeontology*, 131(1), 161-181.
- 886 Mennecart, B., Yerly, B., Mojon, P-O, Angelone, C., Maridet, O., Böhme, M., & Pirkenseer, C.
887 (2016). A new Late Agenian (MN2a, Early Miocene) fossil assemblage from Wallenried
888 (Molasse Basin, Canton Fribourg, Switzerland). *Paläontologische Zeitschrift*, 90(1), 101–123.
- 889 Ménouret, B., & Guérin, C. (2009). *Diaceratherium massiliae* nov. sp. des argiles oligocènes de
890 Saint-André et Saint-Henri à Marseille et de Les Milles près d'Aix-en-Provence (SE de la
891 France), premier grand Rhinocerotidae brachypode européen. *Geobios*, 42, 293-327.
- 892 Michel, P. (1983). *Contribution à l'étude des Rhinocérotidés oligocènes (La Milloque ; Thézels ;
893 Puy de Vauves)*. Thesis dissertation (unpublished). Université de Poitiers, France.
- 894 Mosbrugger, V., Utescher, T. & Dilcher, D.L. (2005). Cenozoic continental climatic evolution of
895 Central Europe. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
896 America, 102: 14964–14969.
- 897 Nouel, E. (1866). Mémoire sur un nouveau rhinocéros fossile. *Mémoires de la Société
898 d'Agriculture, Sciences, Belle-Lettres et Art d'Orléans*, 8, 241-251 (1864-1866).
- 899 Owen, R. (1848). *The archetype and homologies of the vertebrate skeleton*. London: 203 pp.
- 900 Owen-Smith, R.N. (1988) Megaherbivores. The influence of very large body size on ecology.
901 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 902 Pomel, M. (1853). *Catalogue méthodologique et descriptif des vertébrés fossiles découverts dans
903 le bassin hydrographique supérieur de la Loire, et surtout dans la vallée de son affluent
904 principal, l'Allier*. Paris: Baillière Ed.
- 905 Radinsky, L. B. (1966). The Families of the Rhinocerotoidea (Mammalia, Perissodactyla).
906 *Journal of Mammalogy*, 47 (4), 631–639.
- 907 Rémy, J. A. (1995). Le Garouillas et les sites contemporains (Oligocène, MP25) des
908 Phosphorites du Quercy (Lot, Tarn-et-Garonne, France) et leurs faunes de vertébrés. 8.
909 Périsodactyles: Palaeotheriidae. *Palaeontographica Abteilung A*, 236, 151–155.
- 910 Répelin, J. (1917) Études paléontologiques dans le Sud-Ouest de la France (Mammifères). Les
911 rhinocérotidés de l'Aquitaniens supérieur de l'Agenais (Laugnac). *Annales du Musée d'Histoire
912 Naturelle de Marseille*, 16, 1–47.
- 913 Roger, O. (1898). Wirbeltierreste aus dem Dinothereiensande der bayerisch-schwäbischen
914 Hochebene. *Bericht des Naturwissenschaftlichen Vereins für Schwaben, Neuburg*, 33, 1–46,
915 383–396.
- 916

- 917 Roman, F. (1912). Les rhinocérotidés de l'Oligocène d'Europe. *Archives du Musée des Sciences*
918 *Naturelles de Lyon*, 11, 1–92.
- 919 Rössner, G. E., & Heissig, K. (1999). *The Miocene Land Mammals of Europe*. München: Verlag
920 Dr. Friedrich Pfeil.
- 921 Schaub, S., & Hürzeler, J. (1948). Die Saügertierefauna des Aquitanian von Wischberg bei
922 Langenthal. *Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae*, 41, 354–366.
- 923 Scherler, L. (2011). *Terrestrial paleoecosystems of large mammals (Tapiridae,*
924 *Anthracotheriidae, Suoidea) from the Early Oligocene to the Early Miocene in the Swiss*
925 *Molasse Basin: biostratigraphy, biogeochemistry, paleobiogeography, and paleoecology*. Thesis
926 dissertation (unpublished). University of Fribourg, Switzerland.
- 927 Scherler, L., Becker, D., & Berger, J.-P. (2011). Tapiridae (Perissodactyla, mammalia) of the
928 Swiss Molasse Basin during the Oligocene-Miocene transition. *Journal of Vertebrate*
929 *Paleontology*, 31, 479–496.
- 930 Scherler, L., Lihoreau, F., & Becker, D. (2018). To split or not to split Anthracotherium? A
931 phylogeny of Anthracotheriinae (Cetartiodactyla: Hippopotamoidea) and its
932 palaeobiogeographical implications. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 185, 487–510.
- 933 Scherler, L., Mennecart, B., Hiard, F., & Becker, D. (2013). Evolutionary history of hoofed
934 mammals during the Oligocene-Miocene transition in Western Europe. *Swiss Journal of*
935 *Geosciences*, 106: 349–369.
- 936 Schlosser, M. (1902). Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Säugetierreste aus den süddeutschen Bohnerzen.
937 *Geologische und Paläontologische Abhandlungen, Jena*, N.F. 5: 117–258.
- 938 Schweizerisches Komitee für Stratigraphie und Landesgeologie (2014). *Unités*
939 *lithostratigraphiques de la Suisse*. Lexique lithostratigraphique de la Suisse (pp. 1–8).
- 940 Bundesamt für Landestopographie swisstopo, Wabern.
941 https://www.strati.ch/_Resources/Static/Packages/Swisstopo.Strati/docs/LithostratigraphischeEinhheitenSchweiz.pdf
- 942 Smith, F.A., Boyer, A.G., Brown, J.H., Costa, D.P., Dayan, T., Ernest, S.K.M., Evans, A.R.,
943 Fortelius, M., Gittleman, J.L., Hamilton, M.J., Harding, L.E., Lintulaakso, K., Lyons, S.K.,
944 McCain, C., Okie, J.G., Saarinen, J.J., Sibly, R.M., Stephens, P.R., Theodor, J. & Uhen, M.D.,
945 2010. The Evolution of Maximum Body Size of Terrestrial Mammals. *Science*, 330: 1216–1219.
946 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1194830>
- 947 Steininger, F. (1999). The Continental European Miocene. Chronostratigraphy, geochronology
948 and biochronology of the Miocene “European Land Mammal Mega-Zones” (ELMMZ) and the
949 Miocene “Mammal-Zones (MN-Zones)”. In G. E. Rössner & K. Heissig (Eds.), *The Miocene*
950 *Land Mammals of Europe* (pp. 9-24). München: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil.
- 951 Tobien, H. (1975). Zur Gebissstruktur, Systematik und Evolution der Genera *Piezodus*, *Prolagus*
952 und *Ptychoprolagus* (Lagomorpha, Mammalia) aus einigen Vorkommen im jüngeren Tertiär
953 Mittel- und Westeuropas. *Notizblatt des Hessischen Landesamtes für Bodenforschung zu*
954 *Wiesbaden*, 103, 103–186.

- 956 Urban, M.A., Nelson, D.M., Jimenez-Moreno, G., Chateauneuf, J.-J., Pearson, A. & Hu, F.S.
957 (2010). Isotopic evidence of C⁴ grasses in southwestern Europe during the Early Oligocene-
958 Middle Miocene. *Geology*, 38: 1091–1094.
- 959 Yan, D., & Heissig, K. (1986). Revision and Autopodial Morphology of the Chinese-European
960 Rhinocerotid Genus *Plesiaceratherium* Young 1937. *Zitteliana Abhandlungen der Bayerische
961 Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und historische Geologie, München*, 14, 81–110.
- 962 Young, C. C. (1937). On a Miocene mammalian fauna from Shantung. *Bulletin of the Geological
963 Society of China*, 17, 209–244.
- 964 Zachos, J.C., Shackleton, N.J., Revenaugh, J.S., Pälike, H. & Flower, B.P. (2001). Climate
965 response to orbital forcing across the Oligocene-Miocene boundary. *Science*, 292: 274–278.

Table 1(on next page)

Mammal assemblage of Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).

After Schaub & Hürzeler (1948)	After Tobien (1975), Scherler et al. (2013) and this study
<i>Talpidarum</i> indet.	Talpidae indet.
<i>Erinaceus priscus</i>	<i>Amphechinus edwardsi</i>
<i>Lagomorphum</i> aff. <i>Piezodus</i>	<i>Piezodus tomerdingensis</i>
<i>Cricetodon</i> cf. <i>hochheimensis</i>	<i>Eucricetodon</i> cf. <i>hochheimensis</i>
<i>Cricetodon collatus</i>	<i>Eucricetodon collatus</i>
<i>Plesiosminthus myarion</i>	<i>Plesiosminthus myarion</i>
<i>Rhodanomys schlosseri</i>	<i>Rhodanomys schlosseri</i>
<i>Rhodanomys</i> sp. nov.	<i>Rhodanomys</i> sp. nov.
<i>Eomyidarum</i> gen. nov.	<i>Ritteneria</i> sp.
<i>Gliridarum</i> gen. nov.	Gliidae indet.
<i>Cainotherium laticurvatum</i>	<i>Cainotherium laticurvatum</i>
<i>Elomeryx minor</i>	<i>Elomeryx minor</i>
<i>Palaeochoerus meissneri</i>	<i>Hyotherium meissneri</i>
<i>Amphitragulus</i> sp.	<i>Amphitragulus elegans</i>
<i>Tapirus intermedius</i> var. <i>robustus</i>	<i>Eotapirus broennimanni</i> (adult specimens)
<i>Tapirus brönnimanni</i>	<i>Eotapirus broennimanni</i> (juvenile specimens)
<i>Aceratherium lemanense</i>	<i>Diaceratherium lemanense</i>
<i>Diceratherium asphaltense</i>	<i>Diaceratherium lemanense</i>
<i>Diceratherium pleuroceros</i>	<i>Pleuroceros pleuroceros</i>

Table 2(on next page)

Dimensions [mm] of the cheek teeth of *Pleuroceros pleuroceros* (Duvernoy, 1853) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).

<i>Pleuroceros pleuroceros</i>							
casts NMBE5031553 and NMB-AS77				casts NMBE5026739 and NMB-AS78			
Upper tooth row	L _{P3-4}	L _{M1-3}	L _{P3-4} /L _{M1-3} x 100	Lower tooth row	L _{p3-4}	L _{m1-3}	L _{p3-4} /L _{m1-3} x 100
left	53.5	94.0	56.9				
right	54.0	95.0	56.8	right	-	101.	-
						5	
Upper cheek teeth	L	W	H	Lower cheek teeth	L	W	H
right P1	15.1	15.1	-				
left P2	23.2	26.8	-				
right P2	24.0	27.1	-				
left P3	25.7	34.6	-				
right P3	27.8	36.6	-				
left P4	27.8	37.8	-				
right P4	27.1	37.2	-	right p4	28.0	19.9	
left M1	31.8	38.1	-	left m1	30.5	18.2	
right M1	31.0	35.8	-	right m1	29.0	(19.0)	
left M2	37.5	40.3	20.2	left m2	34.5	21.3	
right M2	39.0	41.3	19.1	right m2	33.6	21.0	
left M3	32.0	37.5	23.7				
right M3	33.8	38.3	-	right m3	36.9	20.8	

Table 3(on next page)

Metapod lengths of *Pleuroceros pleuroceros* and *Diaceratherium* species.

Comparisons of the metapod lengths [mm] based on *Pleuroceros pleuroceros* (Duvernoy, 1853; McIV NMB-AS79) and *Diaceratherium lemanense* (Depéret and Douxami, 1902; MtIII NMBE5026811) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene) with those of *P. pleuroceros* from Paulhiac (MN1, France; McII and McIV in de Bonis 1973, p. 152 fig. 43.1 and p. 153 fig. 44.2), *D. lemanense* from Gannat (MN1, France; McII and McIV NMB GN39, MtIII NMB-GN40), *D. asphaltense* from Saulcet (MN1, France; McII, McIV and MtIII NMB-SAU1662) and Pyrimont-Challonges (MN1, France; type material, McII UCBL-213016, McIV UCBL-213011 and 213012 and MtIII UCBL-213016), and *D. tomerdingense* from Tomerdingen (MN1, Germany; type material, MCII SMNS-16155a, McIV SMNS-16155b).

Pleuroceros pleuroceros and *Diaceratherium* species

Metapod length

Species	Locality	McII	McIV	MtIII
<i>Pleuroceros pleuroceros</i>	Wischberg	-	112.3	-
	Paulhiac	126.0	112.5	-
<i>Diaceratherium lemanense</i>	Wischberg	-	-	146.9
<i>Diaceratherium lemanense</i>	Gannat	150.0	132.5	153.0
<i>Diaceratherium asphaltense</i>	Saulcet	135.0	124.0	131.5
<i>Diaceratherium asphaltense</i>	Pyrimont-	129.5	122.0	127.0
	Challonges		117.0	
<i>Diaceratherium tomerdingense</i>	Tomerdingen	116.5	100.0	-

1
2

Table 4(on next page)

Dimensions [mm] of the anterior teeth of *Diaceratherium lemanense* (Depéret and Douxami, 1902) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).

<i>Diaceratherium lemanense</i>								
Upper incisors (I1)	APD	TD	H	Lower incisors (i2)	APD	TD	H	
NMBE5031540 (left)	50.2	18.5	18.2	NMBE5031547 (left)	-	-	43.0	
NMBE5031540 (right)	-	17.5	17.1	NMBE5026738 (right)	31.9	24.0	41.2	
NMBE5031546 (right)	-	17	16.0					

Table 5(on next page)

Dimensions [mm] of the upper cheek teeth of *Diaceratherium lemanense* (Depéret and Douxami, 1902) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).

Diaceratherium lemanense

Original NMBE5031539, casts NMBE5031538 and NMB-AS75

Upper tooth row	L _{P3-4}	L _{M1-3}	L _{P3-4} /L _{M1-3} x 100
right	(68.0)	126.9	(53.6)
Upper cheek teeth	L	W	
right P4	(34.5)	(42.6)	
left M1	39.2	-	
right M1	39.7	47.0	
left M2	47.1	51.1	
right M2	44.0	50.5	
left M3	48.0	52.6	
right M3	46.1	-	

Table 6(on next page)

Dimensions [mm] of the lower cheek teeth of *Diaceratherium lemanense* (Depéret and Douxami, 1902) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).

*Diaceratherium lemanense*original NMBE5026738, cast NMB-
UM6719

casts NMBE5031541 and NMB-AS76

Lower tooth row	L _{p3-4}	L _{m1-3}	L _{p3-4} /L _{m1-3} x 100	Lower tooth row	L _{p3-4}	L _{m1-3}	L _{p3-4} /L _{m1-3} x 100
right	78.0	137.	56.9	left	77.0	130.	59.2
		0				0	
				right	76.5	133.	57.3
						5	
Lower cheek teeth	L	W		Lower cheek teeth	L	W	H
right p2	30.0	20.1		left p2	28.5	-	24.2
				right p2	28.0	16.9	26.9
right p3	36.0	25.0		left p3	38.2	22.1	-
				right p3	36.1	24.0	-
right p4	40.5	29.5		left p4	36.5	29.0	-
				right p4	38.5	26.5	
right m1	42.8	28.5		left m1	39.5	28.7	-
				right m1	40.5	26.5	
right m2	46.0	30.5		left m2	44.2	30.5	27.5
				right m2	46.8	29.8	28.0
right m3	49.5	28.5		left m3	47.5	28.5	31.0

Table 7(on next page)

Occurrences of *Diaceratherium* species in France, Switzerland and other countries.

Modified from Becker et al. (2009) with additions from Duranthon (1990, 1991), Antoine et al. (1997), Boada-Saña et al. (2007), Antoine & Becker (2013), Mennecart et al. (2012) and Becker et al. (2018).

P-MN zones	Taxa	Localities		
		France	Switzerland	Others
MN4	<i>D. aurelianense</i>	Artenay		Areeiro da Barbuda (Portugal), Areeiro de Santa Luzia (Portugal), Eggingen-Mittelhart 3 (= <i>D. cf. aurelianense</i> ; Germany), Quinta da Carrapata (Portugal), Quinta da Noiva (Portugal), Quinta da Trindade (Portugal), Quinta das Pedreiras (Portugal), Quinta do Narigão (Portugal), Vale Pequeno (Portugal)
MN3	<i>D. aurelianense</i>	Neuville-aux-Bois , Beaulieu, Chilleurs-aux-Bois, Chitenay, Esvres, La Brosse, Les Beilleaux, Les Buissonneaux, Marsolan, Mauvières, Navère, Ronville	Brüttelen, Cheyres, La Molière	Horta das Tripas (= <i>D. cf. aurelianense</i> ; Portugal), Molí Calopa (Spain), Rubielos de Mora (Spain), Wintershof-West (Germany)
MN2/3	<i>D. askazansorensen</i>			Askazansor (Kazakhstan)
MN2	<i>D. aginense</i>	Laugnac , Auterive, Beaupuy, Calmont-St-Cernin, Cintegabelle, Grépiac, Montaigu-le-Blin, Pouvourville, Venerque	Engehalde, La Chaux, Lausanne, Sous-le-Mont	Hessler (Germany)
	<i>D. aurelianense</i>			Loranca del Campo (= <i>D. cf. aurelianense</i> ; Spain)
	<i>D. lemanense</i>	Barbotan-les-Thermes, Cindré, Gans, Laugnac, Montaigu-le-Blin, Selles-sur-Cher, St-Gérand-le-Puy	Engehalde	Budenheim (Germany), Ulm-Michelsberg (Germany)
MN1	<i>D. aginense</i>	Gannat, Paulhiac		
	<i>D. asphaltense</i>	Pyrimont-Challonges , Saulcet		

	<i>D. lemanense</i>	Gannat , Bazas, Bézac, Caignac, Casteljaloux-Balade, Cindré, Ginestous, Grenade-sur-Garonne, Labastide-Beauvoir, Pechbonnieu, La Roche-Blanche-Gergovie, Paulhiac, Pech David, Randan, St-Loup Cammas, St-Michel-du-Touch, Saulcet, Saverdun, Toulouse Borderouge, Toulouse Embouchure	Wischberg	Finthen (Germany), Oppenheim (Germany), Weisenau (Germany)
	<i>D. tomerdingense</i>			Tomerdingen (Germany)
MP30/MN1	<i>D. asphaltense</i>		Bühler	
MP30	<i>D. lemanense</i>	Billy, Gannat « sommet », Thézels (= <i>D. aff. lemanense</i>), Toulouse-Borderouge		Rott bei Bonn (Germany)
MP29	<i>D. lamilloquense</i>	La Milloque , Castelmaurou, Castelnau d'Estrefonds, Dieupentale	Rickenbach	

Table 8(on next page)

Estimation of rhinocerotid species body mass from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene), based on the allometric correlations with the occlusal surface of the first lower molar (Legendre, 1989).

Rhinocerotidae from Wischberg	mean L m1	mean W m1	Estimated body mass (g)
<i>Diaceratherium lemanense</i> NMBE5026738	42.8	28.5	1'730'049
<i>Diaceratherium lemanense</i> casts NMBE5031541 and NMB-AS76	40.5	26.5	1'417'016
<i>Pleuroceros pleuroceros</i> casts NMBE5031553 and NMB-AS77	29.7	18.6	504'352

Figure 1

General setting of Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).

(A) Map of a part of Western Europe showing the location of Switzerland and the Molasse Basin. (B) Enlargement of the Aquitanian palaeogeographical context of the Swiss Molasse Basin, with detailed location of Wischberg locality. Modified from Becker et al. (2010).




Figure 2

Pleuroceros pleuroceros (Duvernoy, 1853) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).

Partial skull NMBE5031553 in lateral (A), dorsal (B), medial (C) and occlusal (D) views and left-side fragment from the same individual in occlusal (E) view.

Mandible fragments NMBE5026739 in labial (F), lingual (G) and occlusal (H) views with p4-m3 (right-side fragment) and m1-2 (left-side fragment). Scale bars = 10 cm.




Figure 3

Pleuroceros pleuroceros (Duvernoy, 1853) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).

Right semilunate NMBE5031537 in dorsal (A), proximal (B), distal (C), lateral (D) and medial (E) views and right McIV (cast NMB-AS79) in dorsal (F), lateral (G), ventral (H), medial (I) and proximal (J) views.



Figure 4

Diaceratherium lemanense (Depéret and Douxami, 1902) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).

Skull NMBE5031538 in laterodorsal (A), occlusal (B) and occipital (C) views. Right hemimandible NMBE5026738 in labial (D), lingual (E) and occlusal (F) views. Right maxillary fragment NMBE5031539 in labial (G), lingual (H) and occlusal (I) views. Scale bar = 10 cm.




Figure 5

Diaceratherium lemanense (Depéret and Douxami, 1902) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).

Left I1 NMBE5031540 in occlusal (A), lingual (B) and labial (C) views. Right I1 NMBE5031546 in occlusal (D), lingual (E) and labial (F) views. Right I1 NMBE5031540 in occlusal (G), lingual (H) and labial (I) views. Left i2 NMBE5031547 in occlusal (J), lingual (K) and labial (L) views. Left P3 NMBE5031549 in occlusal (M) and lingual (N) views. Right P3 NMBE5031550 in occlusal (O) and lingual (P) views. Fragmentary right P1 NMBE5031548 in occlusal (Q), lingual (R) and labial (S) views. Fragmentary left p4 NMBE5031551 in occlusal (T), lingual (U) and labial (V) views. Scale bar = 1 cm.




Figure 6

Diaceratherium lemanense (Depéret and Douxami, 1902) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).

Right femur NMB-UM6314 in anterior (A), medial (B), posterior (C) and lateral (D) views. Right tibia NMBE5031544 in anterior (E), medial (F), posterior (G) and lateral (H) views. Scale bar = 10 cm.



Figure 7

Diaceratherium lemanense (Depéret and Douxami, 1902) from Wischberg locality, Bern Canton, Swiss Molasse basin (MN1, Agenian, Early Miocene).

Right astragagus NMB-2017 in dorsal (A) and ventral (B) views. Right astragagus NMB-698 in dorsal (C) and ventral (D) views. Right calcaneus NMBE5031545 in dorsal (E), lateral (F), ventral (G) and medial (H) views. Right MtIII NMBE5026811 in anterior (I), lateral (J), posterior (K), medial (L) and proximal (M) views. Right MtII NMBE5026812 in proximal (N), anterior (O), lateral (P), posterior (Q), medial (R) views. Scale bar = 10 cm.



Figure 8

Comparison of the skulls of *Diaceratherium*.

(A) *D. asphaltense* (NMSG-F13607) from Bühler (MP30-MN1; Becker et al. 2018). (B) *D. apshaltense* (NMB Sau 1662) from Saulcet (MN1). (C) *D. aurelianense* (MNHN.F.1888-4, holotype) from Neuville-aux-Bois (MN3), original drawing from Heissig (2017). (D) *D. aurelianense* (MHNT.PAL.2013.0.1001, cast of the holotype), from Neuville-aux-Bois (MN3). (E) *D. aginense* (MHNM 1996.17.111.1, “skull B”, lectotype) from Laugnac (MN2), original drawing from Heissig (2017). (F) *D. aginense* (FSL collection) from Laugnac (MN2). (G) *D. lemanense* (MNHN-AC-2375, holotype) from Gannat (MN1). (H) *D. lemanense* (cast NMBE5031538) from Wischberg (MN1).

