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**Abstract**

**Background.** During steady walking, gait parameters fluctuate from one stride to another with complex fractal patterns and long-range statistical persistence. When a metronome is used to pace the gait (sensorimotor synchronization), long-range persistence is replaced by stochastic oscillations (anti-persistence). Fractal patterns present in gait fluctuations are most often analyzed using detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA). This method requires the use of a discrete times series, such as intervals between consecutive heel strikes, as an input. Recently, a new nonlinear method, the attractor complexity index (ACI), has been shown to respond to complexity changes like DFA. But in contrast to DFA, ACI can be applied to continuous signals, such as body accelerations. The aim of this study was to further compare DFA and ACI in a treadmill experiment that induced complexity changes through sensorimotor synchronization.

**Methods.** Thirty-six healthy adults walked 30 minutes on an instrumented treadmill under three conditions: no cueing, auditory cueing (metronome walking), and visual cueing (stepping stones). The center-of-pressure trajectory was discretized into time series of gait parameters, after which a complexity index (scaling exponent alpha) was computed via DFA. Continuous pressure position signals were used to compute the ACI. Correlations between ACI and DFA were then analyzed. The predictive ability of DFA and ACI to differentiate between cueing and no-cueing conditions was assessed using regularized logistic regressions and areas under the receiver operating characteristic curves (AUROC).

**Results.** DFA and ACI were both significantly different among the cueing conditions. DFA and ACI were correlated (Pearson’s $r = 0.78$). Logistic regressions showed that DFA and ACI could differentiate between cueing/no cueing conditions with a high degree of confidence (AUROC = 1.0 and 0.96, respectively).

**Conclusion.** Both DFA and ACI responded similarly to changes in cueing conditions and had comparable predictive power. This support the assumption that ACI could be used instead of DFA to assess the long-range complexity of continuous gait signals.

---

**Introduction**

Gait is a stereotyped sequence of movements that enable human beings to move through their environment. A fluid and stable gait requires the complex coordination of dozens of muscles controlling multiple joints. Biomechanical and energy constraints limit the range of gait movements to a narrow window (Holt et al., 1995); for example, at a preferred walking speed, step length and step time vary by only a few percent (Terrier, Turner & Schutz, 2005). It was previously thought that these small variations were random noise introduced by residual neuromuscular inaccuracies; however, after studying the structure of gait variability among hundreds of consecutive strides, it was observed that stride-to-stride fluctuations were not totally random but instead exhibited a fractal pattern (Hausdorff et al., 1995). Fractal fluctuations in time series produced by living beings have been deemed to be a signature of their complex...
internal organization and of the feedback loops needed to adapt behaviors to environmental
changes (Goldberger et al., 2002; West, 2013). Accordingly, physiological time series most often
exhibit scaling properties and statistical persistence. Regarding human walking, the complex
fluctuations in stride intervals, stride speeds, and stride lengths exhibit fractal patterns with
inverse power-law memory (Hausdorff et al., 1995; Terrier, Turner & Schutz, 2005); that is, a
change occurring at a given gait cycle can potentially influence another cycle dozens of steps
later.

The fractal pattern of gait fluctuations can be disrupted by sensorimotor synchronization. It is
possible for humans to synchronize their stepping with external rhythmic cues, such as walking
in time with a musical rhythm (auditory cueing). In such cases, stride-to-stride fluctuations
become anti-persistent; that is, stride intervals tend to oscillate stochastically around the imposed
pace (Terrier, Turner & Schutz, 2005; Delignières & Torre, 2009; Sejdić et al., 2012; Choi et al.,
2017). In other words, a long stride interval has a higher probability of being followed by a short
stride interval. Similarly, time series of stride speeds are anti-persistent in treadmill walking, in
which a constant speed is imposed by the treadmill belt (Dingwell & Cusumano, 2010). The
fractal pattern of stride speeds can be restored using self-paced treadmills, in which the belt
speed is dynamically controlled by the walking subjects (Choi et al., 2017). In treadmill
experiments, if an additional instruction of gait synchronization is superimposed on the task of
walking at the belt speed, a generalized anti-persistent pattern is then observed (Terrier & Déria,
2012; Roerdink et al., 2015; Choi et al., 2017). This phenomenon exists both when
synchronizing stride intervals to a metronome (auditory cueing), and when aligning step lengths
to visual cues projected onto the treadmill belt (visual cueing) (Terrier, 2016).

In 2010, Dingwell and Cusumano hypothesized that the emergence of anti-persistence was
linked to the degree of voluntary control dedicated to the gait. They suggested that, during a
normal gait, deviations go uncorrected and can persist across consecutive strides (under-
correction). In contrast, in paced walking, deviations are followed by rapid corrections that lead
to anti-persistence (over-correction). This “tight control” hypothesis has been supported by other
studies (Roerdink et al., 2015; Bohnsack-McLagan, Cusumano & Dingwell, 2016). Earlier this
year, Roerdink et al. further demonstrated that the degree of anti-persistence can be modulated
by constraining the maneuverability range on a treadmill (Roerdink et al., 2019). In short,
characterizing the noise structure of gait variability helps us to better understand gait control;
among other things, it can provide information about whether a gait is highly controlled or more
automated. In addition, cued walking has important applications for rehabilitation in gait
disorders (Yoo & Kim, 2016; Pereira et al., 2019).

Detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA) is typically the preferred method to identify the
fluctuation structure in a time series of gait parameters. Introduced in 1995 by Hausdorff et al.,
DFA identifies the modification of a signal’s variance at different time scales. DFA can unmask
underlying fluctuation structures that may be otherwise obscured by time series trends (Peng et
al., 1995). The presence of power-law scaling is determined through the scaling exponent alpha
(\(\alpha\)); if the exponent is small (\(\alpha < 0.5\)), the fluctuations are deemed to be anti-persistent. Statistical
persistence corresponds to $\alpha$ values higher than 0.5 and an $\alpha$ value equal to 0.5 indicates a random, uncorrelated noise (see Appendix B in Terrier & Dériaz [2013] for further information).

DFA requires a non-periodical, discrete time series as an input. Foot switches, i.e., pressure sensitive insoles, can be used to detect heel strikes on the ground and can thus collect time series of stride intervals (Hausdorff, Ladin & Wei, 1995; Sejdić et al., 2012; Almurad et al., 2018). Several methods using the continuous measure of the positions of various body parts have also been proposed: 1) high-accuracy GPS (Terrier, Turner & Schutz, 2005); 2) 3-D video analysis (Dingwell & Cusumano, 2010); and 3) an instrumented treadmill that records the center-of-pressure trajectory (Terrier & Dériaz, 2012; Terrier, 2016; Roerdink et al., 2019). These methods require a preliminary discretization of the position signals via minima/maxima detection algorithms (Terrier & Schutz, 2005; Roerdink et al., 2008; Dingwell & Cusumano, 2010). Other studies attempted to retrieve stride intervals from acceleration signals (Terrier & Dériaz, 2011), but the correct discrimination of strides can be challenging (González et al., 2010; Riva et al., 2013; Terrier & Reynard, 2018).

The discrete gait time series that are analyzed through DFA are fundamentally the output of a continuous process. Indeed, gait control coordinates muscles and joints continuously during successive gait cycles; this process generates stride intervals, stride lengths, and stride speeds as outputs. It is questionable whether it is even possible to retrieve the fractal signature of long-range stride fluctuations in a continuous signal that could capture both intra- and inter-stride gait dynamics. In 2013, I hypothesized that an attractor that reflects short-term gait dynamics could also contain information about long-term gait complexity (Terrier & Dériaz, 2013). In 2018, I explored this hypothesis further (Terrier & Reynard, 2018): I proposed the use of a new gait complexity index computed from continuous signals, which I named the attractor complexity index (ACI).

ACI is a new term for long-term local dynamic stability (LDS)—also referred to as divergence exponent or lambda ($\lambda$)—which was introduced by Dingwell et al. in 2000 (Dingwell et al., 2000; Dingwell & Cusumano, 2000). This algorithm, based on Lyapunov exponents used in chaos theory (Dingwell, 2006; Mochizuki & Aliberti, 2017), has been recommended to assess gait stability and fall risk (Bruijn et al., 2013). LDS requires the construction of an attractor in the phase space by means of time delay embedding of continuous signals, such as body accelerations (Takens, 1981; Rosenstein, Collins & De Luca, 1993; Terrier & Dériaz, 2013). LDS is defined as the divergence rate among attractor trajectories. The divergence rate can be evaluated at different intervals, either immediately after the initial separation between adjacent trajectories (short-term LDS) or several strides later (long-term LDS). In the years following Dingwell’s seminal articles, it became clear that long-term LDS was in fact not a good index for predicting fall risk and gait stability (Bruijn et al., 2013), but that short-term LDS had better properties for gait stability analysis, as shown in modeling studies (Su & Dingwell, 2007; Bruijn et al., 2012).

Given that long-term LDS is not a gait stability index, renaming it as ACI seems appropriate. Indeed, as demonstrated through a modelling approach, ACI is highly sensitive to the noise
structure of stride intervals (Terrier & Reynard, 2018). More precisely, a low ACI is associated
with statistical anti-persistence, and a high ACI is associated with persistence. Furthermore, it
has been shown that when stride intervals are kept constant, divergence curves become flat after
only two strides (see Fig. 2 in Terrier & Reynard [2018]). Although additional theoretical work
is required to explore the causes of this sensitivity, it can be assumed that the complex gait
dynamic is reflected by wider boundaries in the attractor, which allows further long-term
divergence. In contrast, statistical anti-persistence signals a less complex gait dynamic, a more
restricted attractor, and therefore a lower long-term divergence rate. The fact that no divergence
is observed if stride intervals are kept constant further supports this hypothesis.

The objective of the present study was to confirm that ACI can be used to assess gait
complexity from continuous signals without preliminary discretization. In my 2018 study
(Terrier & Reynard, 2018), I hybridized acceleration signals with artificial signals to explore this
assumption. Here, in order to apply ACI to real signals, I computed both ACI and scaling
exponents (\(\alpha_s\)) from a center-of-pressure trajectory recorded in a treadmill experiment that
submitted participants to either visual or auditory cueing. I then explored the responsiveness of
ACI to the cueing conditions, as well as correlations between ACI and \(\alpha_s\). The ability of ACI and
\(\alpha_s\) to predict cueing conditions was also assessed. The study also had two secondary objectives:
to test the appropriateness of different intervals for computing ACI, and to evaluate short-term
LDS’s sensitivity to cueing.

**Materials & Methods**

**Data**

Data from a previous study were re-analyzed (Terrier, 2016). In summary, 36 individuals walked
for 30 min on an instrumented treadmill at their preferred speed. They were exposed to three
different conditions of 10 min duration each: 1) normal walking with no cueing (NC); 2) walking
while synchronizing their gait cadence to an isochronous metronome (auditory cueing, AC); and
3) walking while targeting visually projected shapes with their feet (visual cueing, VC).

**Ethics statement**

The present study is a re-analysis of an anonymized database and is not considered as a human
research needing authorization from an ethic committee. Consent was obtained for
anonymization and reuse. Please refer to the ethic statement in the original publication for further
information (Terrier, 2016).

**Data availability**

Individual data are available in a supplementary file.

**Data processing**

For each condition, 1,000 steps (500 gait cycles) were recorded. The force platform embedded
into the treadmill recorded the position (Cartesian coordinates, anteroposterior [AP] and
mediolateral [ML] axes) of the center of pressure at a sampling rate of 500Hz. Based on the
detection of heel strikes in the anteroposterior (AP) signal, time series of stride time (ST), stride
length (SL) and stride speed (SS) were computed (Roerdink et al., 2008). Next, the noise
structure of stride-to-stride fluctuations were assessed with DFA (for in-depth descriptions of the DFA algorithm, see Terrier, Turner & Schutz [2005] and Terrier & Dériaz [2012, 2013]; DFA results—the scaling exponents $\alpha$—are shown in Terrier [2016]).

The 500Hz signal from the AP and ML signals were then low-pass filtered (18Hz 12th order Butterworth) and down-sampled to 100Hz. After the selection of 300 strides (from the 100th to the 400th strides), truncated signals were resampled at a constant number of 30,000 samples, i.e., 100 points per stride.

Computations of nonlinear indexes of gait stability (LDS) and complexity (ACI) were implemented via the same methods as in previous studies that used Rosenstein’s algorithm (Terrier & Dériaz, 2013; Terrier & Reynard, 2015). High dimensional attractors were built according to the delay-embedding theorem. The average mutual information of each signal was used to assess the time delay. A common dimension of five was determined with a global false nearest neighbor analysis. Average divergence of the attractor was defined as $\text{avg}(\ln[d(ji)])$, that is, the logarithm of the $i^{th}$ Euclidian distance $d$ downstream of the $j^{th}$ pair of nearest neighbors in the attractor, averaged over all pairs. Time was normalized by ST. Resulting divergence curves are shown in Fig. 1. The exponential divergence rate, calculated as $\text{avg}(\ln[d(ji)]) / \text{stride}$, was evaluated with linear fits across several spans as follows: 0–0.5 stride (LDS), 1–4 strides (ACI 1-4), 4–7 strides (ACI 4-7), and 7–10 strides (ACI 7-10).

Statistics

Notched boxplots were used to depict the distribution of the individual results (Figs. 2 and 3). Descriptive statistics (means and standard deviations [SD]) were computed for the ACIs (Table 1). LDS statistics can be found in the supplementary file. Fig. 4 shows the effect sizes (Hedges’ $g$) of the differences between conditions (i.e., AC minus NC, and VC minus NC), as well as Bonferroni corrected 95% confidence intervals.

The correlations among the variables are illustrated in Fig. 5 through scatter plots and linear fits. Pearson’s correlation coefficients ($r$) and associated $p$-values (null hypothesis for a null correlation coefficient) were also assessed.

Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator LASSO (Tibshirani, 1996) and logistic regressions were used to assess the extents to which DFA, LDS and ACI could differentiate between the cueing (AC and VC) and NC conditions. The LASSO algorithm had the advantage of regularizing the fit for lower overfitting and of selecting the most important predictors. The dependent binary variable was coded as NC = 1 (36 observations), and AC and VC = 0 (72 observations). Three models were fitted as follows: Model 1: the independent variables were LDS-AP and LDS-ML (2 predictors); Model 2: the independent variables were ACI 1-4, ACI 4-7, and ACI 7-10 for both the ML and AP directions (6 predictors); and Model 3: the independent variables were $\alpha$-ST, $\alpha$-SL, and $\alpha$-SS (3 predictors). All $\alpha$ values were taken from Terrier (2016).

The LASSO regularization factor was set via 10x cross-validation. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to illustrate the models’ diagnostic abilities. Areas under the curves (AUCs), along with bootstrapped confidence intervals, were computed as well (Fig. 6). Sensitivity and specificity at $p = 0.5$ were also evaluated. Fig. 7 presents the standardized
coefficients for the three logistic models, which indicate the relative importance of each predictor.

Results

Divergence curves (Fig. 1) revealed a clear difference between cueing and NC conditions, especially for the AP signal. In the NC condition (black curve), divergence increased steadily, with moderate dampening. In contrast, for both AC and VC, dampening occurred more rapidly after four strides.

LDS and ACI are defined as slopes of the divergence curves measured at different intervals. Given the dampening, it was expected that ACI measured further from the initial separation would exhibit lower values. This was confirmed, as shown in the Fig. 3 boxplots: ACI 1-4 was higher and more variable than either ACI 4-7 or ACI 7-10. Furthermore, the LDS, which was computed during the first step, was larger (Fig. 2).

As shown by the effect size plots in Fig. 4, ACIs decreased strongly when individuals followed auditory or visual cues. The effect was most pronounced for the AP signal, for which both AC and VC had comparable effects. In contrast, a relevant difference existed between NC and VC for the ML signal.

Fig. 5 shows the correlations among the LDS, ACI, and scaling exponents. Of particular note is the high correlation found between ACI 4-7 measured by the ML direction and the scaling exponents ($r = 0.78$ with $\alpha$-ST, and $r = 0.72$ with $\alpha$-SL). Other ACI spans exhibited weaker correlations. ML-LDS was not correlated with other variables, while AP-LDS was weakly correlated with scaling exponents ($r = 0.37$ with $\alpha$-ST, and $r = 0.29$ with $\alpha$-SL).

Using the ACIs and scaling exponents, multivariable logistic models differentiated very well between the cueing and NC conditions. The AUCs were close to 1 ($\alpha$ AUC = 0.996, ACI AUC=0.980; Fig. 6). ACI model’s sensitivity was 92% and specificity was 86%. LDS was a rather poorer predictor (AUC = 0.82, sensitivity = 93%, specificity = 50%).

As shown in Fig. 7, The LASSO algorithm selected the most significant predictors, and no important ones were set to 0. The strongest predictors were $\alpha$-ST and ACIs measured in the AP direction over long-term spans (4-10).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to further explore whether ACI could be used to assess gait complexity from continuous signals. The results strongly support the hypothesis that both DFA and ACI measure the same thing: their values were strongly correlated, they both differed strongly between the cueing and NC conditions, and they both predicted cueing conditions with high degrees of sensitivity and specificity. The results also show that ACI should be measured in the AP direction and between four to seven strides downstream from the initial separation. In addition, LDS measured in the ML direction seemed insensitive to cueing, further supporting its use as a pure gait stability index.
A previous study assessed the effect of AC on stride-to-stride fluctuations in a treadmill experiment among 20 young adults (Terrier & Dériaz, 2012). Scaling exponents of SL and ST were strongly anti-persistent (α < 0.5) under the AC condition. Based on the same data, another study investigated the effects of AC on LDS and ACI (Terrier & Dériaz, 2013). ACI (still referred to as λ-L at that time) was computed over a timescale between the 4th and 10th strides. The standardized effect size of the difference between the NC and AC conditions was -3.3 for both the AP and ML signals. In addition, a substantial correlation between the scaling exponents and ACI was found (canonical correlation: r = 0.83). Another research group also found similar results in a study that combined a foot-switch and an accelerometer to evaluate overground walking (Sejdić et al., 2012); they found that both ACIs (λ-LT) and scaling exponents were substantially lower when the walk was paced with a metronome. The results of the present study confirm ACI’s sensitivity to an AC (effect size < -2; Fig. 4). Overall, ACI seems sensitive to changes of long-range fluctuation patterns induced by auditory sensorimotor synchronization.

The influence of VC on ACI had not been previously studied. The present results indicate that both VC and AC induced similar modifications to ACIs measured from the AP signal (Figs. 1 and 4). Previous research has also demonstrated that VC and AC have similar effects on scaling exponents (Terrier, 2016), which are incidentally computed from the discretization of the AP signal. In contrast, the present study found that when using ML measures, VC had less of an effect than did AC (Fig. 4). It is worth noting that the VC procedure consisted of participants aiming their feet toward rectangular visual targets (stepping stones). As a result, the task required voluntary leg control in both the AP and ML directions. Further analyses are needed to specifically explore gait lateral control under such circumstances, for instance by analyzing time series of step widths, which would be computed from the discretization of the ML signal (see Terrier, 2012).

LDS and ACI are rates of divergence (i.e., slopes) computed from an average logarithmic divergence curve (Fig. 1). Contrary to a real chaotic attractor, gait divergence curves do not exhibit a linear region, from which the slope should be computed according to the Rosenstein algorithm (Rosenstein, Collins & De Luca, 1993; Terrier & Dériaz, 2013). In fact, as illustrated in Fig. 1, the divergence rate diminishes continuously along the curve. The determination of range for computing ACI is therefore not straightforward. In their seminal researches, Dingwell et al. computed the slope between the 4th and 10th strides, but without a clear justification for this range (Dingwell et al., 2000; Dingwell & Cusumano, 2000). Subsequent studies followed identical spans. However, based on an examination of the divergence curves, it may be unnecessary to go that far from initial separation to estimate a meaningful long-term divergence, especially since this also increases computational cost. For instance, it was recently shown that an ACI (LDS-L) computed between the 2nd and 6th strides could discriminate between healthy individuals and patients suffering chronic pain of lower limbs (Terrier et al., 2017). In addition, the recent modeling study that introduced ACI observed that the ACI measured between the 2nd and 4th strides was more responsive to the stride-to-stride noise structure than the ACI measured between the 4th and the 10th strides, i.e., the originally proposed range (Terrier & Reynard, 2018).
Here, the results show that ACI 4-7 was superior to the other ranges: it exhibited the highest correlation with the scaling exponents of ST and SL ($r = 0.78$ and $0.72$; Fig. 5), it had the highest contrast with the NC condition (Fig. 4), and it was selected by the logistic model as the second highest predictor of cueing conditions (standardized coefficient $= 0.89$; Fig. 7). In short, it is very likely that it is not necessary to measure divergence after the 7th stride to assess ACI.

The results also indicate that LDS did not respond similarly in the ML and AP directions. Indeed, ML-LDS was not correlated with complexity measures (ACI and $\alpha$) and had no predictive power (Fig. 7). In contrast, AP-LDS was moderately, but significantly, correlated with complexity measures ($r = .37$ and .29, Fig. 5) and was solely responsible for the LDS model’s moderate capacity to differentiate between cueing conditions (AUC = .82; Fig. 7 and 8). ML-LDS has been shown to be an index of gait instability (Reynard et al., 2014) and fall risk (Bizovska et al., 2018). This may be due to the importance of lateral stability for maintaining a steady and safe gait (Bauby & Kuo, 2000; Gafner et al., 2017). The results of the present study support the use of ML-LDS for stability assessments given its independence from complexity measures. In contrast, it can be assumed that interactions exist between the long-term noise structure of a gait and its short-term stability in the AP direction; this lack of independence may obscure the significance of the AP-LDS measure. However, it is unclear whether results obtained from center-of-pressure trajectory are comparable to those obtained with other methods, such as trunk accelerometry; incidentally, a large-scale accelerometry study found that AP-LDS could predict future falls (van Schooten et al., 2015). The assumption that ML-LDS is better suited for gait stability assessments thus requires further investigations.

The biggest strength of the present study is in its substantial number of strides measured in a large sample of healthy adults (36), particularly when compared to other recent studies in the field (Sejdić et al., 2012; Bohnsack-McLagan, Cusumano & Dingwell, 2016; Roerdink et al., 2019). Evaluating gait complexity requires the analysis a large number of consecutive strides (Marmelat & Meidinger, 2019). Similarly, reliability results show that many consecutive strides are required to accurately assess ACI (Reynard & Terrier, 2014). Consequently, this study’s findings most likely offer good generalizability. The study’s primary limitation is that the analyses of the center-of-pressure trajectories are restricted to treadmill experiments with few potential applications. The center-of-pressure approach has the advantage of allowing an easy discretization to compare both discrete time series and continuous signals (Roerdink et al., 2008), but further investigations are required to explore ACI potential in real-life applications using inertial sensors such as accelerometers.

**Conclusions**

This study’s findings support the hypothesis that ACI can provide information about the stride-to-stride fluctuation structure of an individual’s gait based on continuous signals. Accordingly, information about gait complexity can be obtained while measuring a gait with inertial sensors, such as accelerometers (Terrier et al., 2017; Terrier & Reynard, 2018). ACI could thus assess the degree of motor control applied by walkers on their gait (the “thigh control” hypothesis; see
Dingwell & Cusumano [2010] and Roerdink et al. [2019]). A high ACI would indicate an automated gait, while a lower ACI would be a sign of greater voluntary attention dedicated to gait control. For example, it has been previously suggested that a low ACI in patients with lower limb pain is due to enhanced gait control to avoid putting too much weight on a painful leg (Terrier et al., 2017). Older studies that inappropriately used ACI as a gait stability index should be reinterpreted with the “thigh control hypothesis” taken into account. For example, Dingwell et al. found that patients suffering from peripheral neuropathy had lower ACIs, which was interpreted as a higher gait stability obtained by lowering walking speed (Dingwell et al., 2000). An alternative explanation would be that diminished sensory feedback required more attention dedicated to gait control.

The use of LDS to characterize gait stability and assess fall risk has gained popularity over recent years (Mochizuki & Aliberti, 2017; Bizovska et al., 2018; Mehdizadeh, 2018). Computing ACI in addition to LDS is straightforward and using the measures together could be fruitful, as information about gait automaticity and cautiousness would complement information about gait stability. It is hoped that the results of this study will help convince future researchers to reinstate the use of ACI to further enrich their gait analysis studies.
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Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the attractor complexity index (ACI)

Means and standard deviations (SD) of ACI measured in the 36 subjects under the three experimental conditions. AP: anteroposterior. ML: mediolateral.
Table 1: Descriptive statistics of attractor complexity index (ACI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N=36</th>
<th>ACI 1-4</th>
<th>ACI 4-7</th>
<th>ACI 7-10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACI x 1000</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>ML</td>
<td>AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No cueing</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>(0.31)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>(0.17)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditory cueing</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>(0.36)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual cueing</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>(0.43)</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>(0.20)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means and standard deviations (SD) of ACI measured in the 36 subjects under the three experimental conditions. AP: anteroposterior. ML: mediolateral.
Figure 1

Figure 1: Divergence curves

Using time-delay embedding, 5-dimensional attractors were reconstructed from the anteroposterior and mediolateral coordinates of a center-of-pressure trajectory. The logarithmic divergence from neighbor trajectories (y-axis) was averaged across trajectories and participants (N=36), and drawn against normalized time (strides, x-axis). Three curves are shown, one for each experimental condition.
Figure 2: Descriptive statistics of the local dynamic stability (LDS)

The notched boxplots summarize the distribution of individual results \((N = 36)\) across the three experimental conditions. The notch extremes correspond to the 95% confidence intervals of the medians. The red + symbols indicate outliers.
Figure 3: Descriptive statistics of the attractor complexity index (ACI)

The notched boxplots summarize the distribution of individual results (N = 36) across the three experimental conditions for the three different ACI spans. The notch extremes correspond to the 95% confidence intervals of the medians. The red + symbols indicate outliers.
Figure 4

Figure 4: Effect sizes of attractor complexity index (ACI)

Standardized effect size (Hedges’ g) of the difference between cueing and no-cueing conditions. Vertical lines are 95% confidence intervals (Bonferroni corrected). AC: auditory cueing; VC: visual cueing; NC: no-cueing.
Figure 5: Correlations and scatter plots across local dynamic stability (LDS), attractor complexity index (ACI), and scaling exponent (alpha) measures

Pearson’s correlation coefficients ($r$) are shown on the lower left, along with the results for the hypothesis test for $r = 0$. Bold values indicate significant results. In the upper right, scatter plots with the linear fits are shown. AP: anteroposterior; ML: mediolateral; ST: stride time; SL: stride length; SS: stride speed.
Figure 6: Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves

ROC curves for the three multivariable logistic models predicting cueing/no-cueing conditions: 1) local dynamic stability (LDS); 2) attractor complexity index (ACI); and 3) scaling exponent (alpha). Areas under the curves (AUCs) are written with their confidence intervals.
ROC Curves

Alpha AUC = 0.996  95%CI [0.982-1.000]
ACI AUC = 0.980  95%CI [0.947-0.994]
LDS AUC = 0.817  95%CI [0.697-0.902]
Figure 7: Standardized coefficients of the multivariable logistic models

Three multivariable logistic models were fitted: 1) local dynamic stability (LDS); 2) attractor complexity index (ACI); and 3) scaling exponent (Alpha). A least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) was used to regularize fitting. Bars show the value of the standardized beta coefficient of the regressions for each predictor. AP: anteroposterior; ML: mediolateral; ST: stride time; SL: stride length; SS: stride speed.