A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 14 August 2019.

<u>View the peer-reviewed version</u> (peerj.com/articles/7504), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Fleury C, Gracy J, Gautier M, Pons J, Dufayard J, Labesse G, Ruiz M, de Lamotte F. 2019. Comprehensive classification of the plant non-specific lipid transfer protein superfamily towards its sequence-structure-function analysis. PeerJ 7:e7504 https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7504

Comprehensive classification of the plant non-specific lipid transfer protein superfamily towards its Sequence - Structure - Function analysis.

Cecile Fleury¹, Jerome Gracy², Marie-Francoise Gautier¹, Jean-Luc Pons², Jean-Francois Dufayard³, Gilles Labesse², Manuel Ruiz³, Frederic de Lamotte^{Corresp. 1}

¹ UMR AGAP, INRA, F-34060 Montpellier, France

² CBS, CNRS Univ Montpellier INSERM, Montpellier, France

³ UMR AGAP, CIRAD, F-34398 Montpellier, France

Corresponding Author: Frederic de Lamotte Email address: frederic.de-lamotte@inra.fr

Background. Non-specific Lipid Transfer Proteins (nsLTPs) are widely distributed in the plant kingdom and constitute a superfamily of related proteins. More than 800 different sequences have been characterized so far, but their biological functions remain unclear. It has been clear for years that they present a certain interest for agronomic and nutritional issues. Deciphering their functions means collecting and analyzing a variety of data from gene sequence to protein structure, from cellular localization to the physiological role. As a huge and growing number of new protein sequences are available nowadays, extracting meaningful knowledge from sequence-structure-function relationships calls for the development of new tools and approaches. As nsLTPs show high evolutionary divergence, but a conserved common right-handed superhelix structural fold, and as they are involved in a large number of key roles in plant development and defense, they are a stimulating case study for validating such an approach.

Methods. In this study, we comprehensively investigated 797 nsLTP protein sequences, including a phylogenetic analysis on canonical protein sequences, three-dimensional (3D) structure modeling and functional annotation using several well-established bioinformatics programs. Additionally, two integrative methodologies using original tools were developed. The first was a new method for the detection of i) conserved amino acid residues involved in structure stabilization and ii) residues potentially involved in ligand interaction. The second was a structure-function classification based on the Evolutionary Trace Display method using a new tree visualization interface. We also present a new tool for visualizing phylogenetic trees.

Results. Following this new protocol, an updated classification of the nsLTP superfamily was established and a new functional hypothesis for key residues is suggested. Lastly, this work allows a better representation of the diversity of plant nsLTPs in terms of sequence, structure, and function.

1 Comprehensive classification of the plant non-specific

2 lipid transfer protein superfamily towards its

3 Sequence – Structure – Function analysis

4

5 Cécile Fleury¹, Jérôme Gracy³, Marie-Françoise Gautier¹, Jean-Luc Pons³, Jean-François

6 Dufayard², Gilles Labesse³, Manuel Ruiz², Frédéric de Lamotte¹

7

8 ¹ INRA, UMR AGAP, F-34060 Montpellier, France

9 ² CIRAD, UMR AGAP, F-34398, Montpellier

10 ³ CBS CNRS Univ. Montpellier– INSERM, Montpellier, F-34090, France

11

12 Corresponding Author:

- 13 Frédéric de Lamotte¹
- 14 Avenue Agropolis TA A-108/03 Montpellier F-34398 Cedex 5 France
- 15 Email address: frederic.de-lamotte@inra.fr
- 16

17 ABSTRACT

18 Background. Non-specific Lipid Transfer Proteins (nsLTPs) are widely distributed in the plant

19 kingdom and constitute a superfamily of related proteins. More than 800 different sequences

20 have been characterized so far, but their biological functions remain unclear. It has been clear for

21 years that they present a certain interest for agronomic and nutritional issues. Deciphering their

22 functions means collecting and analyzing a variety of data from gene sequence to protein

structure, from cellular localization to the physiological role. As a huge and growing number of

24 new protein sequences are available nowadays, extracting meaningful knowledge from

25 sequence-structure-function relationships calls for the development of new tools and approaches.

As nsLTPs show high evolutionary divergence, but a conserved common right handed

27 superhelix structural fold, and as they are involved in a large number of key roles in plant

28 development and defense, they are a stimulating case study for validating such an approach.

29 Methods. In this study we comprehensively investigated 797 nsLTP protein sequences,

30 including a phylogenetic analysis on canonical protein sequences, three-dimensional (3D)

31 structure modelling and functional annotation using several well-established bioinformatics

32 programs. Additionally, two integrative methodologies using original tools were developed. The

33 first was a new method for the detection of i) conserved amino acid residues involved in

34 structure stabilization and ii) residues potentially involved in ligand interaction. The second was

35 a structure-function classification based on the Evolutionary Trace Display method using a new

36 tree visualization interface. We also present a new tool for visualizing phylogenetic trees.

37 **Results.** Following this new protocol, an updated classification of the nsLTP superfamily was

38 established and a new functional hypothesis for key residues is suggested.

- 39 Lastly, this work allows a better representation of the diversity of plant nsLTPs in terms of
- 40 sequence, structure and function.
- 41

42 INTRODUCTION

- 43 Since the work of Kader (Kader et al., 1984; Kader, 1996), numerous proteins capable of
- 44 transferring lipids have been annotated as non-specific lipid transfer proteins (nsLTPs). Their
- 45 primary sequences are characterized by a conserved 8-Cysteine Motif (8CM) (C-Xn-C-Xn-CC-
- 46 Xn-CXC-Xn-C-Xn-C), which plays an important role in their structural scaffold (José-Estanyol
- 47 *et al.*, 2004). Based on their molecular masses, plant nsLTPs were first separated into two types:
- 48 type I (9 kDa) and type II (7 kDa), which were distinct both in terms of primary sequence 40 identity and the digulfide band pattern (Douling at al = 2001)
- 49 identity and the disulfide bond pattern (Douliez *et al.*, 2001).
- 50 Plant nsLTPs are ubiquitous proteins encoded by multigene families, as reported in different
- 51 phylogenetic studies. However, these studies involve a limited number of sequences and/or
- 52 species: fifteen nsLTPs identified in *Arabidopsis* (Arondel *et al.*, 2000), restricted to Poaceae
- 53 (Jang et al., 2007) or Solanaceae (Liu et al., 2010). Around 200 nsLTPs have been identified in
- 54 wheat, rice and *Arabidopsis* genomes and classified into nine different types according to
- 55 sequence similarity (Boutrot *et al.*, 2008). More extensive studies including ancestral plants
- 56 indicate that nsLTPs are also present in liverworts, mosses and ferns, but not present in algae
- 57 (Edstam *et al.*, 2011; Wang *et al.*, 2012).
- 58 From a structural point of view, the nsLTP family belongs to the all-alpha class in the SCOP
- 59 database (Murzin et al., 1995), as these small proteins contain four or five helices organized in a
- 60 right-handed superhelix. To date, only 30 three-dimensional redundant structures corresponding
- to 8 different proteins have been experimentally determined. According to SCOP, the protein
- 62 fold called "Bifunctional inhibitor/lipid-transfer protein/seed storage 2S albumin" is found in at
- 63 least six distinct plant nsLTPs for which the 3D structure has been solved (from five species
- 64 *Triticum aestivum, Hordeum vulgare, Zea mays, Oryza sativa* and *Triticum turgidum*), and one
- 65 soybean hydrophobic protein. In the RCSB Protein Database (Berman et al., 2000) we listed four
- 66 more plant nsLTP 3D structures (from *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Phaseolus aureus*, *Prunus persica*
- 67 and *Arabidopsis thaliana*). According to the CATH database (Orengo *et al.*, 1997), nsLTPs
- 68 belong to the "Mainly alpha" class. They display the "Orthogonal Bundle" architecture and the
- 69 "Hydrophobic Seed Protein" topology. At this level, only one homologous superfamily called
- 70 "Plant lipid-transfer and hydrophobic proteins" can be found. The superfamily appears to contain
- 71 ten distinct protein sequences, lacking the *A. thaliana* nsLTP, but including the soybean
- 72 hydrophobic protein found in the SCOP database. Of the known nsLTP 3D structures, only
- 73 Boutrot's type I, II and IV are represented. An interesting point to be noted is that two different
- 74 cysteine pairing patterns have been observed (which correspond to a single cysteine switch
- 75 between two disulfide bridges): C1-C6 and C5-C8 in type I structures; C1-C5 and C6-C8 in type
- 76 II and IV structures. However, C2-C7 and C3-C4 bridges are common to all known nsLTP
- 77 structures and the overall fold is conserved among the whole family.
- From a functional point of view, plant nsLTPs are classified into different families depending
- 79 on the scope of interest and their properties (Liu *et al.* 2015). Plant nsLTPs belong to the

80 Prolamin superfamily (AF050), which includes the largest number of allergens (Radauer *et al.*, 2008). Indeed, several nsLTPs from fruits of the Rosaceae family, nuts or different vegetables 81 are food allergens, with fruit nsLTPs being mainly localized in the peel (Salcedo et al., 2007). 82 Plant nsLTPs are members of the pathogenesis-related proteins and compose the PR14 family 83 84 (van Loon *et al.*, 2006). Their role in plant defense mechanisms has been shown by the induction of *nsLtp* gene expression following pathogen infections, overexpression in transgenic plants, or 85 their antimicrobial properties (Molina & García-Olmedo, 1993; Cammue et al., 1995; Li et al., 86 2003; Girault et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2008). A role in plant defense signaling pathways has also 87 been suggested for an Arabidopsis type IV nsLTP, which needs to form a complex with glycerol-88 89 3-phosphate for its translocation and induction of systemic acquired resistance (Maldonado et al., 2002; Chanda et al., 2011). One wheat nsLTP competes with a fungal cryptogein receptor in 90 tobacco plasma membranes and, when the LTP is complexed with lipids, its interaction with the 91 92 membrane and its defense activity are enhanced (Buhot et al., 2001; Buhot et al., 2004). In 93 wheat, *nsLtp* genes display a complex expression pattern during the development of the seed (Boutrot et al., 2005). NsLTPs may also be involved in plant defense mechanisms through their 94 participation in cuticle synthesis (Debono et al., 2009). This function is supported by their 95 extracellular localization (Thoma et al., 1993; Pyee et al., 1994), the expression of different 96 nsLtp genes in leaf epidermis (Sterk et al., 1991; Pyee & Kolattukudy, 1995; Clark & Bohnert, 97 1999), a positive correlation between *nsLtp* gene expression and cuticular wax deposition 98 (Cameron *et al.*, 2006), and their ability to bind cutin monomers (i.e. hydroxylated fatty acids) 99 (Douliez et al., 2001). In addition, nsLtp gene transcripts are abundant or specifically present in 100 trichomes and one tobacco nsLTP seems to be required for lipid secretion from glandular 101 trichomes indicating that nsLTPs may play a role either in the secretion of essential oils or in 102 defense mechanism (Lange et al., 2000; Aziz et al., 2005; Choi et al., 2012). Several nsLtp 103 genes are up or down-regulated by application of different abiotic stresses including low 104 temperature, drought, salinity and wounding (Wang et al., 2012; Treviño & O'Connell, 1998; 105 106 Gaudet et al., 2003; Maghuly et al., 2009). A cabbage nsLTP isolated from leaves stabilizes thylakoid membranes during freezing (Sror et al., 2003). Transgenic orchids transformed with a 107 rice nsLTP exhibit an enhanced tolerance to cold stress (Oin et al., 2011). 108 Function in male reproductive tissues has also been shown for a lily nsLTP involved in pollen 109 110 tube adhesion (Mollet et al., 2000; Park et al., 2000) and the Arabidopsis LTP5 implicated in pollen tube guidance in the pistil (Chae et al., 2009; Chae & Lord, 2011). A tobacco nsLTP that 111 accumulates in pistils has been shown to be involved in cell wall loosening, and this activity 112 relies on the hydrophobic cavity of the protein (Nieuwland et al., 2005). 113 NsLTPs are possibly involved in a range of other biological processes, but their physiological 114 functions are not clearly understood. An analysis of gain of function or defective plant mutants 115 can address these issues (Maldonado et al., 2002; Chae et al., 2009). Site directed mutagenesis 116 led to the identification of residues involved in their antifungal activity, lipid binding and lipid 117 118 transfer (Ge et al., 2003; Cheng et al., 2008; Sawano et al., 2008). However, these approaches

are time-consuming and have so far been limited to a small number of proteins.

- 120 There is a lack of bioinformatic tools enabling investigations into such complex superfamilies of
- 121 proteins. Current programs such as GeneSilico Metaserver (Kurowski & Bujnicki, 2003) or
- 122 MESSA (Cong & Grishin, 2012) provide an overview of known information about protein
- 123 sequences, structures and functions, but studying inner relationships on a large scale requires a
- 124 knowledge visualization and classification tool that still needs to be developed.
- 125 As nsLTPs show high evolutionary divergence but a conserved common fold, and as they are
- 126 involved in a large number of key roles in plant development and defense, the nsLTP
- 127 superfamily constitutes a very interesting case study for validating such a method.
- 128 129

130 MATERIALS & METHODS

- 131 <u>1/ NsLTP sequences</u>
- 132

133 Definition of the protein sequence set

- 134 A first pool of plant nsLTPs sequences was retrieved from the UniProtKB (Swiss-Prot +
- 135 TrEMBL) (http://www.uniprot.org), Phytozome (http://www.phytozome.net) and NCBI
- 136 databases (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), using either Blast or keyword queries ("Plant lipid
- 137 transfer protein", "viridiplantae lipid transfer protein", "plant A9 protein", "A9 like protein",
- 138 "tapetum specific protein", "tapetum specific", "anther specific protein", "A9 Fil1"). Original
- 139 data obtained on the *Theobroma cacao* genome were also investigated (Argout *et al.*, 2011).
- 140 From this large pool of proteins, the plant nsLTP dataset was defined according to a new set of
- 141 criteria: (i) sequences from 60 to 150 residues long, including signal peptide; (ii) containing
- strictly eight cysteine residues after removal of the signal peptide; (iii) cysteine residues
- 143 distributed in the 8CM pattern (C-Xn-C-Xn-CC-Xn-C-Xn-C). We excluded multi-
- 144 domain proteins, i.e. the hybrid proline-rich and hybrid glycine-rich proteins in which the signal
- peptide is followed by a proline-rich or a glycine-rich domain of variable length (José-Estanyol
- 146 *et al.*, 2004). For each sequence, the signal peptide was detected and removed using SignalP 3.0
- 147 (Bendtsen *et al.*, 2004). In all, including the wheat, rice and *Arabidopsis* sequences previously
- 148 identified by Boutrot (Boutrot *et al.*, 2008), 797 non-redundant mature amino acid sequences
- belonging to more than 120 plant species were kept for analysis.
- 150

151 Sequence alignments and phylogenetic analysis

- 152 In order to achieve the best alignment, the pool of 797 sequences was aligned using both the
- 153 MAFFT (Katoh *et al.*, 2002; Katoh & Toh, 2010) and MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) programs with
- respective parameters of 1.53 for gap opening, 0.123 for gap extension and BLOSUM62 matrix;
- 155 maximum iteration 16.
- 156 The two resulting multiple alignments were compared and conflicts between the two were
- 157 highlighted. To discriminate between the two different cysteine patterns suggested (see Results
- 158 section), a restricted analysis was carried out using only the 10 nsLTPs for which at least one
- 159 structure had previously been experimentally determined. Two new 10-sequence alignments

- 160 were calculated, one by MUSCLE and one by MAFFT. Using the ViTo program (Catherinot &
- 161 Labesse, 2004), each alignment was projected on type I, II and IV nsLTP 3D structures, and the
- spatial distance of equivalent cysteine residues was evaluated. The alignment that minimized
- 163 these distances was selected as the best one.
- 164 Based on the best alignment, a phylogenetic tree was calculated using PhyML (Guindon *et al.*,
- 165 2010). Lastly, the tree was reconciled with the overall species tree using the Rap-Green program
- 166 (Dufayard *et al.*, 2005).
- 167

168 <u>2/ NsLTP three-dimensional structures</u>

169

170 Three-dimensional structure modeling

- 171 For 10 out of the 797 nsLTP dataset, one or more experimentally determined 3D structures were
- available and downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb). Theoretical
- 173 structures were calculated for the other 787 proteins using the @tome2 suite of programs to
- 174 perform homology modeling (Pons & Labesse, 2009) (http://atome.cbs.cnrs.fr). The quality of
- each final structure model was evaluated using Qmean (Benkert *et al.*, 2008). Structures with
- 176 low quality (i.e. for which the cysteine scaffold could not be fully modeled) were discarded from
- 177 further analysis (see Table 1).
- 178

179 Structural classification

- 180 All the remaining good-quality theoretical structures, together with the 10 experimental
- 181 structures composed the 3D structure pool of the study. Except for the cysteine pattern analysis
- 182 by ViTo, this structural pool was used in all further structural analysis.
- 183 The structures were compared to each other in a sequence-independent manner, using the
- 184 similarity matching method of the MAMMOTH program (Ortiz et al., 2002). The RMSD was
- 185 calculated for each pair of structures, using the superposition between matched pairs that resulted
- 186 in the lowest RMSD value. This superposition was computed using the Kabsch rotation matrix
- 187 (Kabsch, 1976; Kabsch, 1978) implemented in the MaxCluster program (Herbert,
- 188 http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/maxcluster, unpublished). We used the RMSD score matrix
- 189 calculated by MaxCluster as input for the FastME program (Desper & Gascuel, 2002) to
- 190 calculate a structural distance tree.
- 191

192 <u>3/ NsLTP functional annotation</u>

- 193 Extensive bibliographic work was carried out to collect and classify functional information
- 194 available in the literature about the nsLTPs of the dataset. Gene Ontology (GO), Plant Ontology
- 195 (PO) and Trait Ontology (TO) terms were collected from the Gramene Ontologies Database
- 196 (http://www.gramene.org/plant_ontology) and organized in a dedicated database, together with
- 197 the bibliographic references when available. The database was also enriched with additional
- 198 information, such as methods used for gene expression studies (northern, RT-PCR or microarray
- 199 data, *in situ* hybridization), protein purification, *in vitro* or *in planta* antifungal and antibacterial

- 200 activity, lipid binding or transport (fluorescence binding assay or *in vitro* lipid transfer).
- 201 Information about tissues and organs used in cDNA libraries was collected from the NCBI
- 202 databases (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).
- 203

204 <u>4/Integrative method 1: sequence -> structure -> function</u>

205 This method seeks to identify common ligand binding properties in nsLTPs clustered by

- 206 sequence similarity.
- 207

208 Sequence consensus for each nsLTP type

- 209 797 nsLTP sequences were clustered by type on the basis of regular expressions derived from the
- consensus motifs described in (Boutrot et al., 2008). Each type subfamily was then aligned
- 211 individually and the resulting sequence profiles were globally aligned using MUSCLE. For each
- type subfamily, the most frequent amino acids were selected at each alignment position to build
- the consensus sequence. A consensus amino acid was replaced by a gap if more than half of the
- sequences were aligned with a deletion at the considered position.
- 215

216 NsLTP sequence-structure analysis using Frequently Aligned Symbol Tree (FAST)

- 217 An original tool was designed to highlight conserved amino acid positions specific to each
- 218 nsLTP phylogenetic type, and which might be decisive for their function. The algorithm relied
- on a statistical analysis of each alignment row, after the sequences had been clustered according
- 220 to their phylogenetic distances.
- 221 For each type subfamily, the most frequent amino acids were selected at each alignment position
- to build the consensus sequence. A consensus amino acid was replaced by a gap if more than half
- of the sequences were aligned with a deletion at the considered position. We then calculated the
- amino acid conservations and specificities over each column of the multiple sequence alignment
- to delineate the functionally important residues in each nsLTP subfamily. This statistical analysis
- is explained in the appendix file.
- 227 In order to visualize the conserved and divergent regions of the sequences, different color ranges
- 228 were assigned to the nsLTP phylogenetic subfamilies. Conserved amino acid positions along the
- 229 whole alignment (CCP: Conserved Core Positions) are represented in grey/black, while
- 230 specifically conserved positions among proteins of the same subfamily (SDP: Specificity
- 231 Determining Positions) are represented in saturated colors corresponding to the family ones. The
- tool enabled scrolling down of the alignment to easily identify both types of conserved positions
- and two distant parts of the alignment could be displayed together to compare distant
- 234 phylogenetic subfamilies.
- 235 Contacts with ligands, solvent accessibility and other parameters could also be displayed above
- the alignment. Using the Jmol interface, conserved amino acid residues could be projected on
- nsLTP representative 3D structures, so that the potential role of each position could be
- 238 interpreted geometrically.
- 239

240 <u>5/ Integrative method 2: function -> structure -> sequence</u>

- 241 Structural Trace Display is a method, based on Evolutionary Trace Display (ETD, Erdin et
- al.,2010), that seeks to identify common structural (1D, 3D) properties in nsLTPs sharing similarfunctions.
- 244

245 Clustering of the structure tree

- 246 As in a phylogenetic tree, nsLTPs in the structure tree were clustered according to their
- 247 similarity. In the case of this particular tree, the similarity between nsLTPs was measured by a
- spatial distance in angströms (see paragraph 2/ NsLTP three-dimensional structures / Structural
- 249 classification). Decreasing distance cutoffs ranged from 11.5 Å (one cluster containing all nsLTP
- structures) to 0.5 Å. Each cutoff application caused a division of the tree into one or more sub-
- trees that contained leaves (i.e. nsLTP structures) whose structural proximity altogether
- 252 (represented by the pairwise RMSDs) was up to the value of the applied cutoff.
- 253

254 InTreeGreat: an integrative tree visualization tool

- 255 We developed an integrative tree visualization tool called InTreeGreat in order to display the
- whole or some parts of either sequence or structure distance trees.
- InTreeGreat was implemented using PHP and Javascript, in order to generate and manipulate anSVG graphical object.
- 259 The main objective of this tool is to graphically highlight correlations between 3D structures,
- evolution, functional annotations or any available heterogeneous data. In the context of this
- study, the interface was able to retrieve information from the nsLTP database to annotate the tree.
- 263 InTreeGreat includes functionalities such as tree coloration, fading, and collapsing.
- 264 Heterogeneous data related to sequences (e.g. annotations, nsLTP classification) can be
- 265 displayed in colored boxes, aligned to the tree.
- 266

267 Cluster Selection

- 268 Using InTreeGreat to investigate our annotated structure tree, we looked for clusters of nsLTPs
- sharing the same kind of functional annotations. We focused our attention on one interesting
- 270 functional role highlighted in several nsLTPs: the implication in plant defense mechanisms
- against pathogens (bacteria and/or fungus). In order to highlight structure-function relationships,
- 272 we studied three groups of nsLTPs (see Results section for details): (i) the so-called "defense
- 273 cluster" (43 proteins, distance cutoff = 1.5 Å); (ii) the cluster containing all type I fold proteins
- 274 (402 proteins, distance cutoff = 3 Å); (iii) a group manually composed of all type I fold nsLTPs
- 275 for which a functional role in defense and/or resistance against pathogens had been reported in
- the literature (28 proteins).
- 277 Within each of these 3 clusters, the protein structure showing the shortest RMS distance from all
- the others was selected as the representative structure of the cluster for the structural trace
- 279 calculation.
- 280

281 Structural Trace calculation

- A structure-based sequence alignment was carried out on the nsLTP structures by Mustang
- 283 software (Konagurthu *et al.*, 2006).
- 284 For each previously selected structural cluster, the corresponding set of protein sequences was
- extracted from the multiple structural alignment of the nsLTPs. The Evolutionary Trace (ET)
- 286 method (Lichtarge *et al.*, 1996) was applied: the partial multiple sequence alignment was
- submitted as input for the ETC program (locally installed,
- 288 http://mammoth.bcm.tmc.edu/ETserver.html) together with the representative structure of the
- 289 cluster (selected as described in the previous paragraph).
- 290 The "evolutionary" traces based on the structural alignments corresponding to the three nsLTP
- clusters were then compared to each other. To that end, the 30% top-ranked residues of the
- 292 defense cluster trace were considered as constitutive of the reference trace (i.e. 27 most
- 293 conserved amino acid residues) and their ranking and scores in the two other traces were
- analyzed. The results were compiled in a table and graphically visualized using PyMOL
- 295 (http://www.pymol.org/).
- 296
- 297

298 **RESULTS**

- 299 <u>1/ NsLTP sequences analysis</u>
- 300

301 NsLTP dataset

302 Over the last four decades numerous proteins, whose ability to transfer lipids has not always303 been demonstrated, have been annotated as nsLTPs on the basis of sequence homology. In order

- to understand more clearly the functional characteristics and the inner variability of this family,
- 305 we focused the study on the monodomain proteins, which present the strict and only nsLTP
- 306 domain, i.e. the eight-cysteine residues arranged in four disulfide bridges. In total, including the
- 307 wheat, rice and *Arabidopsis* sequences previously identified (Boutrot *et al.*, 2008), together with
- 308 sequences from the UniProt (Swiss-Prot/TrEMBL), NCBI and Phytozome databases, 797 non-
- 309 redundant mature nsLTP sequences belonging to more than 120 plant species were kept for
- analysis. This first step allowed the selection of a relevant set of proteins covering variability in
- 311 the nsLTP family. The number of sequences (798) was also large enough to challenge any
- analysis method we used during this study.
- 313

314 Sequence alignment and Cysteine pattern

- 315 The alignment of all non-redundant protein sequences for which the 3D structure was
- 316 experimentally determined (10 sequences) was carried out twice, using the MUSCLE program
- 317 on the one hand, and the MAFFT program on the other hand. The resulting alignments obtained
- 318 with standard settings are shown on Figures 1A1 and 1B1.
- 319 In both cases, cysteine residues of the 8CM aligned quite well among the three represented types
- 320 of nsLTPs (types I, II and IV), except for the Cys5-X-Cys6 (CXC) pattern region (where X

- 321 stands for any amino acid residue). MUSCLE did align type I Cys5 with types II and IV Cys5',
- as well as type I Cys6 with types II and IV Cys6' (Figure 1A1), just as previous studies typically
- showed (Liu et al., 2010; Siverstein et al., 2007). However, in the alignment carried out by
- 324 MAFFT (Figure 1B1), Cys5 of type I nsLTPs was equivalent to Cys6' of type II and IV nsLTPs,
- and not to the corresponding Cys5'.
- 326 While looking at the structures using ViTO, the small shift suggested by MAFFT alignment
- demonstrated better spatial correspondence between type I Cys5 and type II Cys6' (Figure 1B2).
- 328 The superposition of the 3D structures of types I and II nsLTPs showed that Cys5 and Cys6 of
- type I nsLTPs could not be superimposed on Cys5' and Cys6', respectively, of type II nsLTPs
- 330 (Figure 1A2), whereas Cys5 of type I nsLTPs could be superimposed on Cys6' of type II nsLTPs
- 331 (Figure 1B2). Note that the value of the RMSD between C-alpha of the superimposed Cys
- residues calculated for the two alignment options dropped from 7.32 to 2.15 with the second
- alignment, as shown by Figures 1A2 and 1B2. Furthermore, with the alignment we suggest, type
- 334 I hydrophylic X residue was exposed to the solvent, whereas type II apolar X residue was
- orientated toward the core of the protein, increasing the stability of the proteins.
- This compound approach allowed us to sort the 798 sequences unambiguously into two main families.
- 338

339 NsLTP sequence classification

- 340 Our dataset was mainly composed of nsLTPs from angiosperm species (19 monocotyledonous
- 341 species and 83 eudicotyledonous species) plus five gymnosperm species (35 sequences), one
- 342 lycophyte species (34 sequences) and two bryophyte species (17 sequences). The monocot
- 343 sequences were mainly represented by Poales nsLTPs (256 out of 270 sequences) whereas Rosid
- nsLTPs were the most abundant (364 out of 436 sequences) within eudicots.
- 345 The phylogenetic analysis showed that the pool of proteins clustered into nine different types, all
- highly supported (branch support >0.84). This result mostly confirmed Boutrot's classification,
- 347 defined on A. thaliana, T. aestivum and O. sativa nsLTP sequences, in nine types (Boutrot et al.,
- 348 2008). The main differences were the identification of a new group (named type XI), including
- 349 23 sequences, and that Boutrot's type VII nsLTPs disappeared from our dataset. Indeed, the
- 350 latter did not satisfy the 8CM criteria as they have only seven cysteine residues in their
- sequences. For the same reason, Wang's A, B, C and D types (Wang *et al.*, 2012) were not
- 352 represented in our classification.
- 353
- Type I nsLTPs formed a well-supported monophyletic group (branch support of 0.879) and
- 355 predominated over the other types, as they accounted for more than half of our dataset (417 out
- of 797 sequences). This was also observed by Wang (Wang *et al.*, 2012) with a set of 595
- 357 nsLTPs. Conversely, in Solanaceae, the most abundant nsLTPs belong to a type referred to as
- type X by Wang (70 out of 135 sequences) and which seems specific to that plant family (Liu *et*
- *al.*, 2010) but was not present in our dataset. To avoid any confusion, we did not used type X
- 360 denomination in this work. Type II nsLTPs were the second most abundant type (126 sequences)

361 followed by type V (70 sequences) and type VI (60 sequences). Type IX (12 sequences) was

- 362 mainly composed of *Physcomitrella patens* nsLTPs and type XI (23 sequences) was mainly
- 363 composed of nsLTPs from eudicot species. Twelve nsLTPs were not included in any of the
- identified types: these were mainly *P. patens* (6 sequences) and *S. moellendorfii* (4 sequences)
- 365 proteins (Figure 2).
- 366

Type XI were grouped in a cluster of 23 sequences in the phylogenetic tree, fairly well supported by a branch of 0.879 aLRT SH-like score. Type XI appeared between type I and the other types,

369 but even though type XI and I were grouped together in the tree, it remained unclear which of the

- 370 3 groups (type I, type XI, and other types) diverged first.
- 371

All nsLTP types were represented in eudicots while types IX, X (in Wang's nomenclature) and

- 373 XI were not identified in monocot species. Within the lycophyte and bryophyte species, no type
- 374 II, III, IV nor VIII nsLTPs were identified. In the same way, no type III, VIII, IX or XI were
- identified within gymnosperm species. Ten out of the 16 moss *P. patens* nsLTPs were type IX,
- the other 6 remained un-typed, and the only liverwort *Marchantia polymorpha* nsLTP was a type
- 377 VI. The 34 *S. moellendorfii* sequences were mainly types V and VI (15 and 7, respectively) and
- 378 seven nsLTPs belonged to the new type XI. The *P. patens* and *S. moellendorfii* nsLTPs formed
- independent branches or were located at the same branch as type V in Wang's phylogenetic tree
- 380 (Wang *et al.*, 2012) and were included in type D in Edstam's classification (Edstam *et al.*, 2011).
- 381 However, Edstam's type D included rice and *Arabidopsis* type IV, V and VI nsLTPs. Edstam's
- 382 type G nsLTPs, which corresponded to GPI-anchored LTPs and types J and K, which did not fit
- 383 our molecular mass criteria or contain more than one 8CM motif were not included in our384 dataset.
- 385

According to Yi and coworkers (Yi *et al.*, 2009), *Allium* nsLTPs may constitute a novel type of

- 387 nsLTPs harboring a C-terminal pro-peptide localized in endomembrane compartments. In the
- 388 prolamin superfamily tree of Radauer and Breiteneder (Radauer & Breiteneder, 2007), the *Allium*
- *cepa* nsLTP (192_ALLCE) is closed but not included in the type I nsLTPs. In our phylogenetic
- 390 tree, the three nsLTPs from *Allium* species were classified as type I. The 501_MEDTR *medicago*
- 391 nsLTP was suggested to belong to a new nsLTP subfamily involved in lipid signaling (Pii *et al.*,
- 392 2010) like *Arabidopsis* DIR1 (151_typeIV_ARATH). In our phylogenetic tree, both proteins
- 393 were identified as type IV nsLTPs.
- 394
- 395 The *Theobroma cacao* genome contains at least 46 *nsLtp* genes distributed across the ten
- 396 chromosomes. Several T. cacao *nsLtp* genes are organized in clusters, as observed in the rice,
- 397 Arabidopsis and sorghum genomes (Boutrot et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2012). Apart from nine
- 398 sequences that were classified in the new type XI, all other *T. cacao* nsLTPs were classified
- 399 within the previously identified types and belonged mainly to type I (14 sequences), type VI (7
- 400 sequences) and type V (6 sequences).

401

- 402 It is worth noting that all the nsLTPs identified as allergens (IgE binding) were type I, except one
- 403 type II nsLTP (545 BRACM). The 501 MEDTR nsLTP was also suggested to play a role in the
- 404 root nodulation process (Pii et al., 2009; Pii et al., 2013). Lipid signaling (lyso-
- 405 phosphatidylcholine) has been reported to be involved in symbiosis (Bucher et al., 2009).
- 406 This analysis was the most extensive so far and confirmed most of Boutrot's classification, but
- 407 complements it due to a larger dataset and a more detailed phylogeny analysis.
- 408

409 <u>2/ NsLTP structure analysis</u>

410

411 NsLTP structure modeling

- 412 Given the nsLTP fold conservation and the quality of the available experimental structures,
- 413 reliable models could be obtained for all nsLTPs using the comparative modeling method,
- although the sequence identity observed among all nsLTP sequences was only in the range of
- 415 25%.
- 416 Models deduced by fold-recognition using the @TOME-2 server displayed overall good quality,
- 417 as shown in Table 1 summarizing the Qmean scores. For 96% of the models, Qmean scores were
- above 0.4, and 57% of the models obtained scores ranging from 0.5 to 0.9., corresponding toscores for high-resolution proteins.
- 420 For 121 theoretical structures, the polypeptide chain could not be fully built and the resulting
- 421 models were lacking at least one of the 8 cysteine residues. Such models were discarded and
- 422 only the complementary pool of 677 structures was kept for further analysis.
- 423 All the structural alignments and three-dimensional models are available at:
- 424 <u>http://atome.cbs.cnrs.fr/AT2B/SERVER/LTP.html</u>
- 425

426 NsLTP sequence – structure relationships

- 427 In order to challenge the structure function relationship analysis on such a big set, we decided
- 428 to develop a new tool called FAST, which builds consensus sequences for each family, and
- 429 highlights the sequence conservation and specificities on the alignment and the associated 3D
- 430 structures.
- 431 Figure 3 shows the consensus sequence alignment for all nsLTP types. The pool of 797
- 432 sequences was clustered by type on the basis of regular expressions derived from the consensus
- 433 motifs described by Boutrot and coworkers (Boutrot *et al.*, 2008). Each type subfamily was then
- 434 aligned individually and the resulting sequence profiles were globally aligned using MUSCLE.
- 435
- 436 Many residues specifically conserved in type I nsLTP1 corresponded to important folding
- 437 differences between type I nsLTPs on the one side and all other LTP types on the other side. In
- the following sections, we list type I nsLTP-specific residues whose differential conservation
- 439 was supported by structural or experimental data.
- 440

441 First, Gly37, which was specifically conserved in type I nsLTPs, allowed very tight contact of helix 1 and helix 2, which were connected by the disulfide bridge Cys17-Cys34. The closest 442 backbone distance between position 13 of helix 1 and position 37 of helix 2 was 3.34 Å in a type 443 I nsLTP structure (PDB code 1mid) while it was 6.45 Å in a type II nsLTP structure (PDB code 444 445 1tuk). These increased helix distances closed the ligand tunnel, which was opened in type I nsLTPs between helix 1 and helix 3, and created two distinct cavities separated by a septum in 446 type II nsLTPs (Hoh et al., 2005). Larger distances between helix 1 and helix 2 were predicted in 447 all nsLTP sequences where Gly37 was mutated into larger residues (i.e. all types but I and XI) 448 and should cause major rearrangement of the ligand cavity entrance on this side of the proteins. 449 Arginine and lysine residues at position 51 and bulky hydrophobic residues at positions 87 and 450 89 were two other conserved specificities among type I nsLTPs. The side chains at position 51 451 had type I-specific polar interactions with the ligand at the cavity entrance near the C-terminal 452 453 loop, which were not found in other nsLTP types, as detailed later in Figure 4.

454

In addition, in type I nsLTPs, the 5th and 6th cysteine residues belonged to helix 3 and were
bridged with the first and 8th cysteines, respectively. These two-disulfide bridges tightened both

457 sequence termini to the protein core. Conversely, in types II and IV nsLTPs, the 5th and 6th

458 cysteines showed permuted bridging partners (to 8th and 1st cysteines, respectively). The
 459 intermediate residue connecting the 5th and 6th cysteines was exposed to solvent in type I

460 nsLTPs, while it was replaced by a bulky hydrophobic residue interacting with the ligand in the

461 type II and IV nsLTP core at position 54 of the alignment. It was shown by site-directed

462 mutagenesis that the replacement of this intermediate residue by an alanine residue perturbed

folding, ligand binding and lipid transfer activity in type II nsLTPs (Cheng *et al.*, 2008). In the

464 light of these experiments, it is therefore interesting to note that alanine residues were frequent at

465 position 54 in type I nsLTPs, while larger hydrophobic residues almost always occupied this

- 466 buried position in other nsLTP types.
- 467

468 The mutation to alanine of the residue at position 63 was also shown experimentally to be

- destabilizing in type II nsLTPs (Cheng et al., 2008). This position was occupied by large
- 470 hydrophobic residues in all nsLTPs but types I and V, where alanine residues were frequent, and
- 471 type III, where it corresponded to a deletion of 12 consecutive residues.
- 472 Other residues specifically conserved in type I nsLTPs were helix N-capping Thr6 and Thr47,
- 473 whose side chains formed stabilizing hydrogen bonds with the protein backbone, and Tyr20,
- 474 which was the center of a conserved hydrophobic cluster with Pro30 and Leu/Ile79. The
- interaction of Tyr20 with Pro30 was experimentally confirmed by the large up field shift of
- 476 Pro30 (Hα, Hδ) protons (Poznanski *et al.*, 1999). This conserved cluster was stabilizing the
- 477 interface between helices 1 and 4, but did not participate in the ligand cavity. This particular
- 478 helix interface was also observed in nsLTP types III, VI, VIII and XI.
- 479

480 We then analyzed the atomic interactions observed between type I nsLTPs and their associated ligands in 19 PDB structures (supplementary data). Most contacts involved hydrophobic side 481 chains of the type I nsLTP proteins and carbons of the ligands. Marginally, the most frequent 482 polar contacts involved the side chains of conserved arginines at position 46 of the type I nsLTP 483 484 alignment, lysines at position 54, aspartic acids at position 90, and various polar atoms of histidines, lysines and asparagines at position 37. It should be stressed that none of these polar 485 interactions were shared by more than 31% of the protein-ligand complexes (fewer than 6/19 486 PDB structures) although the least similar protein pair from the 19 structure set shared 67% 487 sequence identities. This low level of polar contact conservation in homologous proteins with 488 very similar sequences clearly indicated that no specific polar interactions anchored the protein-489 ligand complexes in particular conformations. From this statistical analysis of protein-ligand 490 polar contacts that did not exhibit a preferential cavity region for the interaction with the ligand 491 polar heads, it could not be concluded that there was a preferred ligand orientation in the type I 492 493 nsLTP tunnel. This observation was supported by recent protein-docking simulations and protein binding evaluations, which also concluded on a lack of preferred orientations of the ligand in the 494 cavities of type I nsLTPs, and clear dominance of hydrophobic interactions in the protein-ligand 495 interface (Pacios et al., 2012). 496

497

Lastly, positions 82 to 94, which corresponded to the C-terminal loop, included some more
residues specifically conserved in nsLTPs. This loop was much longer in type I nsLTPs than in
other types, and had a major impact on the orientation of the ligand in the cavity, as shown in
Figure 4.

502

503 Conserved and specific residues in the nsLTP family

The potential impact of variability within the nsLTP family on the tree dimensional structure of 504 the proteins was further investigated. As shown in Figure 4, the ligand cavity opening near the C-505 506 Terminal loop was very different when we compared the nsLTP structures of type I versus those of types 2 and 4. The C-terminal loops connected the 4 helices to the 3 helices through the 507 disulfide bridge between cysteine residues localized at alignment positions 95 and 55. Both 508 helices 2 and 3 and the C-terminal loop were longer in type I than in types II and IV nsLTPs. In 509 510 the type I nsLTPs, these elongations created a ligand cavity entrance along an axis perpendicular to the figure plane, while in types II and IV nsLTPs, the entrance was approximately parallel 511 with the figure plane. Consequently, ligands would access the cavities on opposite sides of the C-512 terminal loop in type I versus types II and IV nsLTPs. Helix 2 and 3 were extended by an extra 513 514 turn in type I nsLTPs comparatively to the structures of the other types. Moreover, the small space left in between helices 2 and 3 and the C-term loop was capped in types II and IV by bulky 515 hydrophobic residues (Phe54 in 1tuk and Phe51 in 2rkn), while that position was occupied by a 516 positively charged lysine or arginine in type I nsLTPs (red colored Arg51 in 1mid), whose side 517 chain formed a hydrogen bond with the polar tail of the ligand. 518

519

- 520 The structural differences observed between type I nsLTPs versus types II and IV can be
- 521 generalized to other nsLTP types by looking at the alignment of consensus sequences in Figure
- 522 3. First, the extension of helices 2 and 3 in type I nsLTPs corresponded to a 6- to 8-residue
- 523 insertion in the consensus sequence alignment, which differentiated type I from every other type
- of nsLTPs. Secondly, the C-terminal loop connecting the last two cysteine residues was, on
- average, 13 residues long in type I nsLTPs, while this loop was shortened to 6, 6, 7, 12, 9, 8, 6
- and 9 residues long in types II, III, IV, V, VI, VIII, IX and XI, respectively. Lastly, the capping
- 527 hydrophic residues at positions 54 and 51 of types II and IV nsLTPs were also observed in all the
- 528 other nsLTP types. These conserved differences between type I and other types of nsLTP
- 529 sequences indicated with high confidence that the global fold of type I LTP differed from the 530 fold of the other nsLTP types and that the ligand cavity entries in type I nsLTPs were uniquely
- 530 Iora of the other hsLTP types and that the figand cavity entries in type I hsLTPs were uniquely 531 located.
- 532 The fold of type I nsLTPs will be hereafter referred to as "Type-1 fold" and the alternative fold
- 533 of Types II to XI will be referred to as "Type-2 fold". (in other words: roman numeral I to XI
- 534 correspond to phylogeny analysis while Arabic numeral 1 or 2 refer to structural analysis) 535
- 536 The preceding analysis of the evolutive conservations specific to type I nsLTPs revealed many
- 537 residues whose role could be explained by local structural differences with the available types II
- 538 and IV nsLTP structures. This comparative structure analysis confirmed the clear separation
- 539 between type I and all the other nsLTP types initially observed in the phylogenetic tree inferred
- 540 from a multiple sequence alignment of the 797 available proteins. The key residues were usually
- 541 present in type I nsLTPs only and suggested that many structural differences observed when
- 542 comparing type I versus types II and IV nsLTPs should also be observed versus other nsLTP
- 543 types, particularly regarding ligand orientation and cavity entrances. This observation should
- 544 guide the choice of templates when nsLTP types with unknown structures are modeled by
- 545 homology.
- 546

547 Structure classification

- 548 In order to correlate the evolution of protein sequences and the impact on the corresponding
- 549 structures, we produced a circular tree according to structural distances (Fig. 5). Whereas type I
- 550 remained together in this second classification, other phylogenetic types were relatively scattered
- 551 in the tree. A majority of type II nsLTPs remained together in this tree, as was also the case for
- type IV and type III, but no clear and reliable segregation between all non-type I nsLTPs could
- be made. Looking at the 3D structures allowed us to confirm the hypothesis that only two major
- structural types could be distinguished. They will be hereafter referred to as "Type-1 fold" and
- 555 "Type-2 fold".
- 556 Several studies also showed that type I and type II nsLTPs differed through the characteristics of
- the residue standing between Cys5 and Cys6, being respectively hydrophilic in type I and apolar
- in type II proteins (Douliez *et al.*, 2001; Marion *et al.*, 2004). Based on the multiple sequence
- alignment of the 797 nsLTPs and observation of the nature of the central residue in the CXC

pattern, together with the observations made in the preceding sequence-structure analysis, we
suggest that types III, IV, V, VI, VIII, IX and XI nsLTP C5 and C6 residues will adopt the same
spatial conformation as type II proteins, i.e. the so-called "Type-2 fold".

563

564 NsLTP structure-function relationship

Dealing with big datasets can be cumbersome and requires a very efficient interface. To address
this challenge, we developed InTreeGreat, a Javascript/PHP interface, compatible with every

- standard web navigator. It is able to display and explore any tree and to deal with branch and leafcoloring, branch lengths, branch support (or any other branch labels), and can aggregate
- 569 heterogeneous data (annotations, expression profiles, etc.). Figure 6 shows how InTreeGreat can
- 570 be used to display phylogenic trees together with various types of annotations.
- 571
- 572

573 Among the annotated nsLTPs (433 out of 797), we focused on those that had been reported for

their role in plant defense and/or resistance against pathogens (bacteria and/or fungi). To

simplify, we shall hereafter refer to them as "defense nsLTPs" in the present discussion. By

576 investigating structural similarities between the 31 identified defense nsLTPs in our annotated

577 dataset, we attempted to identify key amino acid residues that may bestow their functional

- 578 properties on these proteins.
- 579

580 Looking at the distribution of the defense nsLTPs in our structural classification (Figure 6) we

observed that they were predominantly found in the type I part of the tree (28 proteins), with

only 3 defense nsLTPs with a type II (85, 151, 501 - UniProtKB - P82900: Non-specific lipid-

transfer protein 2G, Q8W453: Putative lipid-transfer protein DIR1, O24101: Lipid transfer

protein). We therefore preferred to focus on the Type-1 fold nsLTPs and study the structural

- 585 trace(s) inside this important subfamily of nsLTPs.
- 586 The cluster containing all Type-1 fold defense nsLTPs corresponded to the whole type I part of
- the tree (402 members). The corresponding structural trace was calculated, but it could not be

588 linked to the defense function, as the proportion of annotated nsLTPs with a defense function

589 was too low (28 out of 402, i.e. 7%).

590 In order to obtain a meaningful trace of the potential defense function, we needed to select a

591 cluster with a higher proportion of annotated defense nsLTPs. The best cluster we could find was

592 a relatively small cluster (43 members) of proteins with a structural distance no greater than 1.5Å

593 (i.e. 1.5 cut off), which contained 33% of the defense nsLTPs (i.e. 10 out of 31 proteins). This

594 cluster will be referred to as "defense cluster" in the further discussion.

595

596 The structural trace of the defense cluster showed several differences in comparison with the

597 structural trace of the Type-1 fold cluster (Table 2). Apart from the 8 Cys residues that were

- 598 common to all nsLTPs, the 30% top ranked (i.e. 27 residues) most conserved residues were not
- the same, or did not come in the same order in both traces. According to the defense cluster

trace, residue Asp at position 259 of the alignment (Asp45 in protein 525) was as strongly
conserved as the 8 Cys residues. Residue Ile at position 402 (Ile80 in protein 525) was among the

- 4 best ranked residues after the 8 Cys residues and obtained a very low coverage, variability and
- 603 rvET score. In terms of the ranking of these two (amino acid) residues in the Type-1 fold nsLTP
- trace, they appeared to occur much later in the ranking (20th and 21st rank, respectively) withmuch higher rvET scores and large variability in terms of the number and physico-chemical
- 606 properties of the residues (Table 2). It can be suggested that these two residues were not critical
- 607 for maintaining structure integrity, but could bestow functional specificity on the proteins
- 608 classified in the defense cluster. In the trace obtained for the group composed by all the other
- 609 Type-1 fold defense nsLTPs, both residues Asp and Ile were among the 4 best ranked residues
- 610 after the 8 Cys residues and also showed good coverage and rvEt scores (Table 2).
- 611
- Three other residues located at positions 137, 154 and 266 of the structural alignment were
- 613 differently conserved in the three clusters. Interestingly, these three positions showed good
- 614 conservation ranking, but the variability of the three corresponding residues was notably higher
- 615 in the Type-1 fold cluster. Indeed, in the defense cluster trace, position 137 was occupied either
- by a value or by an alanine residue (Val7 in protein 525) and position 154 was occupied either
- by a leucine or by a valine residue (Leu11 in protein 525). Thus, both positions were occupied by
- hydrophobic residues in defense proteins, which was not always the case in Type-1 fold proteins(Table 2). In the same way, position 266 was occupied either by an arginine or a lysine residue
- 620 (both positively charged residues) (Lys46 in protein 525) in defense proteins, but allowed greater
- 621 variability in terms of physicochemical properties in the other proteins harboring a Type-1 fold.
- 622 The fact that these three positions of the structural alignment belonged to the top 30% most
- 623 conserved among all Type-1 fold nsLTPs suggested their importance in these proteins. However,
- 624 because the variability at these three positions was very small among defense nsLTPs and
- 625 because the physico-chemical property was strongly conserved, we suspected that residues
- 626 located at positions 137, 154 and 266 of the structural alignment might bestow functional
- 627 specificity, at least in the case of defense/resistance proteins.
- 628

Figure 7 shows the five residues highlighted in Table 2 in the 3D structural context of the representative protein of the defense cluster (protein 525). In this protein, conserved residues Asp and Ile were located at positions 45 and 80, respectively. The two small hydrophobic residues were Val7 and Leu11 and the positively charged residue was Lys46. All five key residues were located around the ligand cavity (Figure 7), which allowed either guidance or direct contact with the lipid. This observation was consistent with the suggested hypothesis.

- 637
- 638 NsLTP sequence-structure analyses using either FAST or STD revealed some key residues or
- 639 key positions (in type I: Gly37, Arg/Lys51, bulky hydrophobic residues 87 and 89, Ala54, Thr6,

640 Thr47, Tyr20, Pro30, Leu/Ile79, longer C-terminal loop; large hydrophobic residue 63 in types II, III, IV, VI, VIII, IX nsLTPs). The structural trace analysis highlighted other amino acid 641 residues (in type I defense/resistance nsLTPs: Asp45, Ile80, Val/Ala7, Leu/Val11, Arg/Lys46). It 642 is important to note that these two complementary analyses by FAST and STD were not meant to 643 644 lead to the same kind of conclusions. Indeed, using sequence information projected on the 3D structure, the first method revealed nsLTP-type-specific amino acid residues that could be 645 involved in structure stabilization and/or ligand interaction, given their structural context. The 646 second method however considered a set of functionally close nsLTPs sharing a very similar 647 structure and highlighted over-representatively conserved amino acid residues that might thus 648 bestow functional specificity on these proteins. These two approaches took inverse directions in 649 the path sequence – structure – function. The "sequence-to-function" method would lead to 650 more precise conclusions if more data about the inner structural mechanisms of lipid binding 651 were available (only a few structures of nsLTP-lipid complexes have been experimentally 652 653 determined so far). The "function-to-sequence" method would give us a better overview of the range of nsLTP activities if the functional data were not so rare and heterogeneous. 654

655

However, we assumed that this combination of approaches i) allowed structure-sequence 656

analysis for large multigene families, ii) could reveal structural patterns related to functions that 657

were not revealed so far, as alignments would have been limited to primary sequences only and 658

iii) allowed a comparison of groups composed of proteins with an evolutionary connection with 659 groups displaying structural similarity.

- 660
- 661 662

DISCUSSION 663

664

A) We combined two powerful alignment algorithms (MAFFT and MUSCLE) together with a 665

3D projection of the impact of alignment on the structure of proteins (VITO). Real-time 666

monitoring of the impact of gap positions and lengths on the resulting 3D model offered the 667

possibility of discriminating between various alignment possibilities. This allowed us to provide 668

definitive insight into the old debate about the CXC pattern and its implication for the structure 669

670 of LTPs (Douliez et al. 2000). The resulting alignment allowed us to classify unambiguously all

- 798 sequences in main two nsLTP families. 671
- 672

B) The phylogenetic analysis was the most extensive to date, including 798 nsLTP sequences. 673

This was a much more complete description than the previous one (195 sequences, Wang et al., 674 675 2012).

This phylogenetic analysis was conducted from a clearly defined dataset: sequences were 676

677 selected using unambiguous parameters optimizing the quality of the output tree, also

678 considering our 3D structural integration objective. Although GPI-Anchored LTP could have

- been included in this study, their incomplete homology with other LTPs and the lack of any 679
- experimental 3D structure, convinced us not to include them. Thanks to this choice, alignment 680
- quality was preserved, and a better-quality 3D structural model are used. This analysis allowed 681

us to classify unambiguously all 798 sequences in the main two nsLTP families, complementingand reinforcing the former classification by Boutrot (Boutrot et al. 2008).

684

685 C) The production of more than 600 3D structural models and the collection of numerous

- 686 functional annotations enabled progress to be made in the study of structure-function
- relationships of nsLTPs. The re-use of the ETD method in a close and adapted form (STD) led to
- the identification of amino acids involved in the functional specialization of some nsLTPs.
- 689 STD allowed us to highlight amino acids specific to certain functions. One of the limiting points
- 690 of this analysis remained the publication bias. Indeed, the annotations were not evenly
- 691 distributed among available sequences, nor was it possible to distinguish between an unsearched
- function and a function not found. It seemed difficult to propose a solution to circumvent thisbias (Douliez *et al.* 2000).
- 694
- D) The structure tree clearly showed that all Type I ns-LTPs adopted the same folding (Type-1
- 696 fold), while all the other proteins adopted the second fold (Type-2 fold). This approach seemed
- 697 very interesting but did not offer the same level of detail and the same analytical power as the
- 698 phylogenetic approach. This was understandable, because phylogeny compares the different
- 699 proteins with a much larger number of parameters (site-to-site mutation, classification of sites by
- mutation rate, use of refined distance matrix, etc.) while the structure tree only uses the RMSD
- of the structures taken 2 by 2. While this innovative information was very interesting, it could
- 702 potentially be improved if we had templates from each sub-family for the generation of
- 703 molecular models (experimental structures are available for Type I, II and IV). Indeed, at this
- level of analysis, it is conceivable that models obtained from experimental structures for the
 other types (III, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX and XI) would provide improved models allowing the
- 706 detection of other key residues.
- 707
- 708

709 CONCLUSIONS

- 710
- 711 Plant non-specific Lipid Transfer Proteins constitute a complex family of proteins whose
- 712 biological functions are far from well understood. However, it has become clear for years that
- they are of increasing interest for agronomical and nutritional issues.
- 714 Experimental approaches are irreplaceable for accessing their inner functional mechanisms.
- 715 However, such methods are expensive and time-consuming. Furthermore, they produce a large
- amount of heterogeneous data. For all these reasons, resorting to bioinformatics methods has
- 717 long become necessary to organize and analyze existing data, and/or model and hypothesize new
- 718 data.
- 719 This paper presented a new methodology based on the combination of either classical or original
- 720 bioinformatics approaches, using various computational tools to extract information and suggest

new hypotheses from a large pool of experimental data about the plant nsLTP superfamily of

- 722 proteins.
- 723 In this paper, we:
- 1) Suggested a new definition of the nsLTP superfamily, with a set of criteria based on sequence
- 725 length, sequence composition (e.g. Cys involved in SS bonds) and structure (monodomain).
- 2) Confirmed and enriched Boutrot's phylogenetic classification of plant nsLTP sequences.
- 3) Demonstrated the need for a small shift in the CXC alignment that reflected the existence of
- 728 two main distinct nsLTP folds.
- 4) Calculated 666 good quality theoretical three-dimensional structures of nsLTPs.
- 5) Developed an original alignment tool to detect conserved and specific positions among the
- 731 different phylogenetic types of nsLTPs.
- 6) Used the latter tool to reveal some key residues.
- 733 7) Suggested a new structure-based classification of the 676 nsLTP structures now available (10
- rade experimental + 666 theoretical), which that allow clustering by structural similarity.
- 8) Annotated all available information about the function.
- 736 9) Developed an original interface allowing quick visualization of several types of annotations
- 737 on any phylogenetic tree.
- 10) Revealed, using structural trace analysis, potential specific amino acid residues involved in
- 739 plant defense and/or resistance against pathogens
- 740
- 741 Our work was made more difficult by the problems of annotation bias for which we did not
- r42 expect a practical solution. However, it seemed that some of our results could be improved if we
- 743 had additional experimental structures for all types of nsLTP.
- 744
- 745

746 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

- 747
- This work was supported by the CIRAD UMR AGAP HPC Data Centre of the South Green
 Bioinformatics platform (http://www.southgreen.fr/)
- 750 The authors are thankful to Dr. Franck Molina for his key role at the beginning of this project
- and all the fruitful and friendly discussions
- 752 we are thankful to Peter Biggins for the careful and critical review of this manuscript.
- 753
- 754

755 **REFERENCES**

- 756
- 757 Argout, X., Salse, J., Aury, J.-M., Guiltinan, M.J., Droc, G., Gouzy, J., Allegre, M., Chaparro,
- 758 C., Legavre, T., Maximova, S.N., et al. (2011). The genome of Theobroma cacao. Nat. Genet.
- **759** *43*, 101–108.

- 760 Arondel, V., Vergnolle, C., Cantrel, C., and Kader, J. (2000). Lipid transfer proteins are encoded
- by a small multigene family in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Sci. Int. J. Exp. Plant Biol. 157, 1–12.
- Aziz, N., Paiva, N.L., May, G.D., and Dixon, R.A. (2005). Transcriptome analysis of alfalfa
 glandular trichomes. Planta *221*, 28–38.
- 764 Bendtsen, J.D., Nielsen, H., von Heijne, G., and Brunak, S. (2004). Improved prediction of
- 765 signal peptides: Signal P 3.0. J. Mol. Biol. 340, 783–795.
- 766 Benkert, P., Tosatto, S.C.E., and Schomburg, D. (2008). QMEAN: A comprehensive scoring
- function for model quality assessment. Proteins 71, 261–277.
- 768 Benkert, P., Biasini, M., and Schwede, T. (2011). Toward the estimation of the absolute quality
- of individual protein structure models. Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl. 27, 343–350.
- 770 Berman, H.M., Westbrook, J., Feng, Z., Gilliland, G., Bhat, T.N., Weissig, H., Shindyalov, I.N.,
- and Bourne, P.E. (2000). The Protein Data Bank. Nucleic Acids Res. 28, 235–242.
- 772 Boutrot, F., Guirao, A., Alary, R., Joudrier, P., and Gautier, M.-F. (2005). Wheat non-specific
- 773 lipid transfer protein genes display a complex pattern of expression in developing seeds.
- 774 Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1730, 114–125.
- 775 Boutrot, F., Chantret, N., and Gautier, M.-F. (2008). Genome-wide analysis of the rice and
- 776 Arabidopsis non-specific lipid transfer protein (nsLtp) gene families and identification of wheat
- nsLtp genes by EST data mining. BMC Genomics 9, 86.
- 778 Bucher, M., Wegmüller, S., and Drissner, D. (2009). Chasing the structures of small molecules
- in arbuscular mycorrhizal signaling. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 12, 500–507.
- 780 Buhot, N., Douliez, J.P., Jacquemard, A., Marion, D., Tran, V., Maume, B.F., Milat, M.L.,
- 781 Ponchet, M., Mikès, V., Kader, J.C., et al. (2001). A lipid transfer protein binds to a receptor
- involved in the control of plant defence responses. FEBS Lett. *509*, 27–30.
- 783 Buhot, N., Gomès, E., Milat, M.-L., Ponchet, M., Marion, D., Lequeu, J., Delrot, S., Coutos-
- Thévenot, P., and Blein, J.-P. (2004). Modulation of the biological activity of a tobacco LTP1 by
 lipid complexation. Mol. Biol. Cell *15*, 5047–5052.
- 786 Cameron, K.D., Teece, M.A., and Smart, L.B. (2006). Increased accumulation of cuticular wax
- and expression of lipid transfer protein in response to periodic drying events in leaves of tree
- 788 tobacco. Plant Physiol. 140, 176–183.
- 789 Cammue, B.P., Thevissen, K., Hendriks, M., Eggermont, K., Goderis, I.J., Proost, P., Van
- 790 Damme, J., Osborn, R.W., Guerbette, F., and Kader, J.C. (1995). A potent antimicrobial protein
- from onion seeds showing sequence homology to plant lipid transfer proteins. Plant Physiol. 109,
- **792** 445–455.
- Catherinot, V., and Labesse, G. (2004). ViTO: tool for refinement of protein sequence-structure
 alignments. Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl. 20, 3694–3696.
- 795 Chae, K., and Lord, E.M. (2011). Pollen tube growth and guidance: roles of small, secreted
- 796 proteins. Ann. Bot. 108, 627–636.
- 797 Chae, K., Kieslich, C.A., Morikis, D., Kim, S.-C., and Lord, E.M. (2009). A gain-of-function
- mutation of Arabidopsis lipid transfer protein 5 disturbs pollen tube tip growth and fertilization.
- 799 Plant Cell 21, 3902–3914.

- 800 Chanda, B., Xia, Y., Mandal, M.K., Yu, K., Sekine, K.-T., Gao, Q., Selote, D., Hu, Y.,
- Stromberg, A., Navarre, D., et al. (2011). Glycerol-3-phosphate is a critical mobile inducer of
 systemic immunity in plants. Nat. Genet. 43, 421–427.
- 803 Cheng, C.-S., Chen, M.-N., Lai, Y.-T., Chen, T., Lin, K.-F., Liu, Y.-J., and Lyu, P.-C. (2008).
- 804 Mutagenesis study of rice nonspecific lipid transfer protein 2 reveals residues that contribute to 805 structure and ligand binding. Proteins *70*, 695–706.
- 806 Choi, Y.E., Lim, S., Kim, H.-J., Han, J.Y., Lee, M.-H., Yang, Y., Kim, J.-A., and Kim, Y.-S.
- 807 (2012). Tobacco NtLTP1, a glandular-specific lipid transfer protein, is required for lipid
- secretion from glandular trichomes. Plant J. Cell Mol. Biol. 70, 480–491.
- 809 Clark, A.M., and Bohnert, H.J. (1999). Cell-specific expression of genes of the lipid transfer
- 810 protein family from Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Physiol. 40, 69–76.
- 811 Cong, Q., and Grishin, N.V. (2012). MESSA: MEta-Server for protein Sequence Analysis. BMC
 812 Biol. 10, 82.
- 813 Debono, A., Yeats, T.H., Rose, J.K.C., Bird, D., Jetter, R., Kunst, L., and Samuels, L. (2009).
- 814 Arabidopsis LTPG is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored lipid transfer protein required for
- export of lipids to the plant surface. Plant Cell 21, 1230–1238.
- 816 Desper, R., and Gascuel, O. (2002). Fast and accurate phylogeny reconstruction algorithms based
- on the minimum-evolution principle. J. Comput. Biol. J. Comput. Mol. Cell Biol. 9, 687–705.
- 818 Douliez, J.-P., Michon, T., Elmorjani, K., & Marion, D. (2000). Mini Review: Structure,
- 819 Biological and Technological Functions of Lipid Transfer Proteins and Indolines, the Major
- 820 Lipid Binding Proteins from Cereal Kernels. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 32(1), 1–20.
- 821 Douliez, J.P., Pato, C., Rabesona, H., Mollé, D., and Marion, D. (2001b). Disulfide bond
- 822 assignment, lipid transfer activity and secondary structure of a 7-kDa plant lipid transfer protein,
- 823 LTP2. Eur. J. Biochem. 268, 1400–1403.
- 824 Douliez, J.P., Jégou, S., Pato, C., Mollé, D., Tran, V., and Marion, D. (2001a). Binding of two
- 825 mono-acylated lipid monomers by the barley lipid transfer protein, LTP1, as viewed by
- fluorescence, isothermal titration calorimetry and molecular modelling. Eur. J. Biochem. *268*,
 384–388.
- 828 Dufayard, J.-F., Duret, L., Penel, S., Gouy, M., Rechenmann, F., and Perrière, G. (2005). Tree
- 829 pattern matching in phylogenetic trees: automatic search for orthologs or paralogs in homologous
- 830 gene sequence databases. Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl. 21, 2596–2603.
- 831 Edgar, R.C. (2004). MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and
- 832 space complexity. BMC Bioinformatics 5, 113.
- 833 Edstam, M.M., Viitanen, L., Salminen, T.A., and Edqvist, J. (2011). Evolutionary history of the
- 834 non-specific lipid transfer proteins. Mol. Plant 4, 947–964.
- 835 Erdin, S., Ward, R.M., Venner, E., and Lichtarge, O. (2010). Evolutionary trace annotation of
- 836 protein function in the structural proteome. J. Mol. Biol. 396, 1451–1473.
- 837 Gao, M., Yang, F., Zhang, L., Su, Z., and Huang, Y. (2017). Exploring the sequence-structure-
- function relationship for the intrinsically disordered $\beta\gamma$ -crystallin Hahellin. J. Biomol. Struct.
- 839 Dyn. 1–11.

- Ge, X., Chen, J., Li, N., Lin, Y., Sun, C., and Cao, K. (2003). Resistance function of rice lipid
 transfer protein LTP110. J. Biochem. Mol. Biol. *36*, 603–607.
- 642 Girault, T., François, J., Rogniaux, H., Pascal, S., Delrot, S., Coutos-Thévenot, P., and Gomès, E.
- 843 (2008). Exogenous application of a lipid transfer protein-jasmonic acid complex induces
- 844 protection of grapevine towards infection by Botrytis cinerea. Plant Physiol. Biochem. PPB 46,
- **845** 140–149.
- 846 Guindon, S., Dufayard, J.-F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., and Gascuel, O. (2010).
- New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the
- 848 performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst. Biol. 59, 307–321.
- Henikoff, S., and Henikoff, J.G. (1994). Position-based sequence weights. J. Mol. Biol. 243,
 574–578.
- 851 Hoh, F., Pons, J.-L., Gautier, M.-F., de Lamotte, F., and Dumas, C. (2005). Structure of a
- 852 liganded Type-2 non-specific lipid-transfer protein from wheat and the molecular basis of lipid
- binding. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 61, 397–406.
- Huson, D.H., and Scornavacca, C. (2012). Dendroscope 3: an interactive tool for rooted
- phylogenetic trees and networks. Syst. Biol. 61, 1061–1067.
- Jang, C.S., Jung, J.H., Yim, W.C., Lee, B.-M., Seo, Y.W., and Kim, W. (2007). Divergence of
 genes encoding non-specific lipid transfer proteins in the poaceae family. Mol. Cells 24, 215–
 223.
- 859 José-Estanyol, M., Gomis-Rüth, F.X., and Puigdomènech, P. (2004). The eight-cysteine motif, a
- versatile structure in plant proteins. Plant Physiol. Biochem. PPB 42, 355–365.
- 861 Kader, J.-C. (1996). LIPID-TRANSFER PROTEINS IN PLANTS. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.
- 862 Plant Mol. Biol. 47, 627–654.
- 863 Kader, J.C., Julienne, M., and Vergnolle, C. (1984). Purification and characterization of a
- spinach-leaf protein capable of transferring phospholipids from liposomes to mitochondria or
- 865 chloroplasts. Eur. J. Biochem. *139*, 411–416.
- Katoh, K., and Toh, H. (2010). Parallelization of the MAFFT multiple sequence alignment
 program. Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl. *26*, 1899–1900.
- 868 Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K., and Miyata, T. (2002). MAFFT: a novel method for rapid
- 869 multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res. 30, 3059–3066.
- 870 Konagurthu, A.S., Whisstock, J.C., Stuckey, P.J., and Lesk, A.M. (2006). MUSTANG: a
- 871 multiple structural alignment algorithm. Proteins *64*, 559–574.
- 872 Kurowski, M.A., and Bujnicki, J.M. (2003). GeneSilico protein structure prediction meta-server.
- 873 Nucleic Acids Res. 31, 3305–3307.
- 874 Lange, B.M., Wildung, M.R., Stauber, E.J., Sanchez, C., Pouchnik, D., and Croteau, R. (2000).
- 875 Probing essential oil biosynthesis and secretion by functional evaluation of expressed sequence
- tags from mint glandular trichomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97, 2934–2939.
- 877 Li, X., Gasic, K., Cammue, B., Broekaert, W., and Korban, S.S. (2003). Transgenic rose lines
- 878 harboring an antimicrobial protein gene, Ace-AMP1, demonstrate enhanced resistance to
- 879 powdery mildew (Sphaerotheca pannosa). Planta 218, 226–232.

- 880 Lichtarge, O., Bourne, H.R., and Cohen, F.E. (1996). An evolutionary trace method defines
- binding surfaces common to protein families. J. Mol. Biol. *257*, 342–358.
- 882 Liu, W., Huang, D., Liu, K., Hu, S., Yu, J., Gao, G., and Song, S. (2010). Discovery,
- identification and comparative analysis of non-specific lipid transfer protein (nsLtp) family in
 Solanaceae. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics *8*, 229–237.
- van Loon, L.C., Rep, M., and Pieterse, C.M.J. (2006). Significance of inducible defense-related
- proteins in infected plants. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 44, 135–162.
- 887 Maghuly, F., Borroto-Fernandez, E.G., Khan, M.A., Herndl, A., Marzban, G., and Laimer, M.
- 888 (2009). Expression of calmodulin and lipid transfer protein genes in Prunus incisa x serrula
- under different stress conditions. Tree Physiol. 29, 437–444.
- 890 Maldonado, A.M., Doerner, P., Dixon, R.A., Lamb, C.J., and Cameron, R.K. (2002). A putative
- 891 lipid transfer protein involved in systemic resistance signalling in Arabidopsis. Nature *419*, 399–
 403.
- 893 Molina, A., and García-Olmedo, F. (1993). Developmental and pathogen-induced expression of
- three barley genes encoding lipid transfer proteins. Plant J. Cell Mol. Biol. 4, 983–991.
- 895 Mollet, J.C., Park, S.Y., Nothnagel, E.A., and Lord, E.M. (2000). A lily stylar pectin is necessary
- for pollen tube adhesion to an in vitro stylar matrix. Plant Cell 12, 1737–1750.
- 897 Murzin, A.G., Brenner, S.E., Hubbard, T., and Chothia, C. (1995). SCOP: a structural
- classification of proteins database for the investigation of sequences and structures. J. Mol. Biol.
 247, 536–540.
- 900 Nieuwland, J., Feron, R., Huisman, B.A.H., Fasolino, A., Hilbers, C.W., Derksen, J., and
- 901 Mariani, C. (2005). Lipid transfer proteins enhance cell wall extension in tobacco. Plant Cell 17,
- 902 2009–2019.
- 903 Orengo, C.A., Michie, A.D., Jones, S., Jones, D.T., Swindells, M.B., and Thornton, J.M. (1997).
- 904 CATH--a hierarchic classification of protein domain structures. Struct. Lond. Engl. 1993 5,
- 905 1093–1108.
- 906 Ortiz, A.R., Strauss, C.E.M., and Olmea, O. (2002). MAMMOTH (matching molecular models
- 907 obtained from theory): an automated method for model comparison. Protein Sci. Publ. Protein
 908 Soc. 11, 2606–2621.
- 909 Ovchinnikov, S., Park, H., Varghese, N., Huang, P.-S., Pavlopoulos, G.A., Kim, D.E.,
- 910 Kamisetty, H., Kyrpides, N.C., and Baker, D. (2017). Protein structure determination using
- 911 metagenome sequence data. Science *355*, 294–298.
- 912 Liu F, Zhang X, Lu C, Zeng X, Li Y, Fu D, Wu G. Non-specific lipid transfer proteins in plants:
- 913 presenting new advances and an integrated functional analysis. J Exp. Bot. (2015)
- 914 Pacios, L.F., Gómez-Casado, C., Tordesillas, L., Palacín, A., Sánchez-Monge, R., and Díaz-
- 915 Perales, A. (2012). Computational study of ligand binding in lipid transfer proteins: Structures,
- 916 interfaces, and free energies of protein-lipid complexes. J. Comput. Chem. 33, 1831–1844.
- 917 Park, S.Y., Jauh, G.Y., Mollet, J.C., Eckard, K.J., Nothnagel, E.A., Walling, L.L., and Lord,
- E.M. (2000). A lipid transfer-like protein is necessary for lily pollen tube adhesion to an in vitro
- 919 stylar matrix. Plant Cell 12, 151–164.

- 920 Pii, Y., Astegno, A., Peroni, E., Zaccardelli, M., Pandolfini, T., and Crimi, M. (2009). The
- 921 Medicago truncatula N5 gene encoding a root-specific lipid transfer protein is required for the
- 922 symbiotic interaction with Sinorhizobium meliloti. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. MPMI 22,
- 923 1577–1587.
- 924 Pii, Y., Molesini, B., and Pandolfini, T. (2013). The involvement of Medicago truncatula non-
- 925 specific lipid transfer protein N5 in the control of rhizobial infection. Plant Signal. Behav. 8,926 e24836.
- Pons, J.-L., and Labesse, G. (2009). @TOME-2: a new pipeline for comparative modeling of
 protein-ligand complexes. Nucleic Acids Res. *37*, W485-491.
- 929 Poznanski, J., Sodano, P., Suh, S.W., Lee, J.Y., Ptak, M., and Vovelle, F. (1999). Solution
- 930 structure of a lipid transfer protein extracted from rice seeds. Comparison with homologous
- 931 proteins. Eur. J. Biochem. 259, 692–708.
- 932 Pyee, J., and Kolattukudy, P.E. (1995). The gene for the major cuticular wax-associated protein
- and three homologous genes from broccoli (Brassica oleracea) and their expression patterns.
- 934 Plant J. Cell Mol. Biol. 7, 49–59.
- 935 Pyee, J., Yu, H., and Kolattukudy, P.E. (1994). Identification of a lipid transfer protein as the
- major protein in the surface wax of broccoli (Brassica oleracea) leaves. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. *311*, 460–468.
- 938 Qin, X., Liu, Y., Mao, S., Li, T., Wu, H., Chu, C., and Wang, Y. (2011). Genetic transformation
- of lipid transfer protein encoding gene in Phalaenopsis amabilis to enhance cold resistance.
- 940 Euphytica 177, 33–43.
- 941 Radauer, C., and Breiteneder, H. (2007). Evolutionary biology of plant food allergens. J. Allergy
- 942 Clin. Immunol. 120, 518–525.
- 943 Radauer, C., Bublin, M., Wagner, S., Mari, A., and Breiteneder, H. (2008). Allergens are
- 944 distributed into few protein families and possess a restricted number of biochemical functions. J.945 Allergy Clin. Immunol. *121*, 847-852.e7.
- 946 Rocklin, G.J., Chidyausiku, T.M., Goreshnik, I., Ford, A., Houliston, S., Lemak, A., Carter, L.,
- Ravichandran, R., Mulligan, V.K., Chevalier, A., et al. (2017). Global analysis of protein folding
 using massively parallel design, synthesis, and testing. Science *357*, 168–175.
- 949 Salcedo, G., Sánchez-Monge, R., Barber, D., and Díaz-Perales, A. (2007). Plant non-specific
- 950 lipid transfer proteins: an interface between plant defence and human allergy. Biochim. Biophys.
- 951 Acta 1771, 781–791.
- 952 Sawano, Y., Hatano, K., Miyakawa, T., Komagata, H., Miyauchi, Y., Yamazaki, H., and
- 953 Tanokura, M. (2008). Proteinase inhibitor from ginkgo seeds is a member of the plant
- 954 nonspecific lipid transfer protein gene family. Plant Physiol. 146, 1909–1919.
- 955 Silverstein, K.A.T., Moskal, W.A., Wu, H.C., Underwood, B.A., Graham, M.A., Town, C.D.,
- 956 and VandenBosch, K.A. (2007). Small cysteine-rich peptides resembling antimicrobial peptides
- 957 have been under-predicted in plants. Plant J. Cell Mol. Biol. 51, 262–280.

- 958 Sror, H.A.M., Tischendorf, G., Sieg, F., Schmitt, J.M., and Hincha, D.K. (2003). Cryoprotectin
- protects thylakoids during a freeze-thaw cycle by a mechanism involving stable membranebinding. Cryobiology 47, 191–203.
- 961 Sterk, P., Booij, H., Schellekens, G.A., Van Kammen, A., and De Vries, S.C. (1991). Cell-
- 962 specific expression of the carrot EP2 lipid transfer protein gene. Plant Cell 3, 907–921.
- 963 Sun, J.-Y., Gaudet, D.A., Lu, Z.-X., Frick, M., Puchalski, B., and Laroche, A. (2008).
- 964 Characterization and antifungal properties of wheat nonspecific lipid transfer proteins. Mol.
- 965 Plant-Microbe Interact. MPMI 21, 346–360.
- 966 Thoma, S., Kaneko, Y., and Somerville, C. (1993). A non-specific lipid transfer protein from
- 967 Arabidopsis is a cell wall protein. Plant J. Cell Mol. Biol. *3*, 427–436.
- 968 Trevino, M.B., and OConnell, M.A. (1998). Three drought-responsive members of the
- 969 nonspecific lipid-transfer protein gene family in Lycopersicon pennellii show different
- 970 developmental patterns of expression. Plant Physiol. 116, 1461–1468.
- 971 Wang, H.W., Hwang, S.-G., Karuppanapandian, T., Liu, A., Kim, W., and Jang, C.S. (2012a).
- 972 Insight into the molecular evolution of non-specific lipid transfer proteins via comparative
- analysis between rice and sorghum. DNA Res. Int. J. Rapid Publ. Rep. Genes Genomes 19, 179–194.
- 975 Wang, N.-J., Lee, C.-C., Cheng, C.-S., Lo, W.-C., Yang, Y.-F., Chen, M.-N., and Lyu, P.-C.
- 976 (2012b). Construction and analysis of a plant non-specific lipid transfer protein database
- 977 (nsLTPDB). BMC Genomics 13 Suppl 1, S9.
- 978

Figure 1(on next page)

Effect of alternate cysteine residue alignments on the superposition of type I and II nsLTP experimentally determined structures.

(A1) Common alignment of Cys5 (type I), Cys5' (types II and IV) (green) and Cys6 (type I), Cys6' (types II and IV) (magenta) of nsLTP sequences generated by MUSCLE. Only nsLTPs (PDB IDs) with known experimental structures were considered.(A2) 3D projection of this alignment leads to a RMSD of 7.32 Å between type I (blue backbone) Cys6 and type II (pink backbone) Cys6', colorized as in (A1).(B1) Type I, II and IV nsLTP alignment generated by the MAFFT program, suggesting that type I Cys5 (dark green) corresponds to type II Cys6' (light green) rather than type II Cys5'.(B2) 3D projection of this alignment leads to a RMSD of 2.15 Å between type I Cys5 and type II Cys6', colorized as in (B1).Note that type IV nsLTPs are structurally close to type II nsLTPs.

A1		C2		C5 C6	C7	C8	
	ID C GHVDSI	LVRPCLSYVQG	-GP-GPSGQ CC DGVKN	LHNQARSQSDRQSACNCLKGIARGIH	-NLNEDNARSIPPKCGV-NLPY	TISLNID C SRV-	1BWO Type I
	IT C GQVNSA	AVGP C LTYARG	-GA-GPSAA CC SGVRS	LKAAASTTADRRTACNCLKNAARGIK	-GLNAGNAASIPSK C GV-SVPY	FISASID C SRVS	1RZL Type I
	LN C GQVDSH	KMKP C LTYVQG	-GP-GPSGE CC NGVRI	LHNQAQSSGDRQTVCNCLKGIARGIH	-NLNLNNAASIPSKCNV-NVPY	rispdid c sriy	1MID Type I
	IT C GQVTSN	NLAP C LAYLRN	TGPLGR CC GGVKA	LVNSARTTEDRQIACTCLKSAAGAIS	-GINLGKAAGLPSTCGV-NIPY	KISPSTD C SKVQ	1T12 Type I
	MT C GQVQGN	NLAQ C IGFLQK	GGV-VPPS-CCTGVKN	ILNSSRTTADRRAVCSCLKAAAGAVR	-GINPNNAEALPGK C GV-NIPY	KISTSTNCNSIN	1SIY Type I
	ITCGQVSSA	ALAPCIPYVRG	GGA-VPPA-CCNGIRN	VNNLARTTPDRQAACNCLKQLSASVP	-GVNPNNAAALPGK C GV-HIPYI	KISASTNCATVK	2ALG Type I
	-AISCGQVASA	AIAPCISYARG	QGS-GPSAGCCSGVRS	LNNAARTTADRRAACNCLKNAAAGVS	-GLNAGNAASIPSKCGV-SIPY	FISTSTD C SRVN	1FK5 Type I
		AVCASATIS	-GA-KPSGECCGNLR-	AOOGCECOVAKDPTYG-C	VIRSPHARDTLTSCIAVPH	C	TIK Type II
GVVGV	AGAGCNAGOL	TVCTGATAG	-GA-RPTAACCSSLR-	AOOGCFCOFAKDPRYG-R	YVNSPNARKAVSSCGI-ALPT-	CH 1	LL6H Type II
	-IDLCGMSODE	TINECKPAVSK	ENPTSPSOPCCTALOF	ADFACLCGYKNSPWLGSF	GVDPELASALPKOCGLANAPT	C 2	2RKN Type IV
	1	1		E F	I	i i	
D1	C1	C2	C3 C4	C5 C6	C7	C8	
DT	1	1	!	1.1	1		
	ID C GHVDSI	LVRPCLSYVQG	-GP-GPSGQ CC DGVKN	LHNQARSQSDRQSACNCLKGIARGIHNI	INEDNARSIPPKCGV-NLPYTI	SLNIDCSRV- 1	3WO Type I
	IT C GQVNSA	AVGPCLTYARG	-GA-GPSAACCSGVRS	LKAAASTTADRRTACNCLKNAARGIKGI	INAGNAASIPSK C GV-SVPYTI	SASID C SRVS 11	RZL Type I
	LN C GQVDSH	KMKP C LTYVQG	-GP-GPSGE CC NGVRI	LHNQAQSSGDRQTVCNCLKGIARGIHNI	INLNNAASIPSK C NV-NVPYTI	SPDID C SRIY 11	4ID Type I
	IT C GQVTSN	NLAP C LAYLRN	TGPLGR CC GGVKA	LVNSARTTEDRQIACTCLKSAAGAISGI	INLGKAAGLPST C GV-NIPYKI;	SPSTD C SKVQ 11	r12 Type I
	MT C GQVQGI	NLAQ C IGFLQK	GGV-VPPS-CCTGVKN	ILNSSRTTADRRAVCSCLKAAAGAVRGI	INPNNAEALPGK C GV-NIPYKI	STSTNCNSIN 19	SIY Type I
	IT C GQVSSA	ALAPCIPYVRG	GGA-VPPA- CC NGIRN	VNNLARTTPDRQAACNCLKQLSASVPGV	/NPNNAAALPGK C GV-HIPYKI	SASTNCATVK 22	ALG Type I
	-AIS C GQVASA	AIAPCISYARG	QGS-GPSAG CC SGVRS	LNNAARTTADRRAACNCLKNAAAGVSGI	LNAGNAASIPSK C GV-SIPYTI	STSTD C SRVN 11	FK5 Type I
			an weachedawre	LOOG TOOULYDDEUGOU			
	ACQASQLA	AVCASAILS	-GA-KPSGECCGNLR-	AQQGCFCQYAKDPTYGQY-I	RSPHARDTLTSCGL-AVPH	C 11	TUK Type II
GVVGV.	AGAGCNAGQL'	TVCTGAIAG	-GA-RPTAACCSSLR-	ADEACL COVENED AL COECU	NSPNARKAVSSCGI-ALPT	CH 11	JOH Type II
	-IDTCGM20DI	JUND CLEAN DV	CMFISFSQPCCTALQE	ADIACLCGIKNSPWLGSFGV	DECTROATERO CTANALI	21	KKW TYPE TV
					~		
			I.	 (5' 66'	~		



Figure 2(on next page)

NsLTP sequence classification.

Dendrogram built on MAFFT alignment of the 797 nsLTP sequences, using Dendroscope program (Huson and Scornavacca 2012). The different nsLTP types are displayed using various colors and the number of sequences in each type is specified in parenthesis. Branch support values of each group are indicated on the corresponding nodes.



PeerJ Preprints | https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.27687v1 | CC BY 4.0 Open Access | rec: 26 Apr 2019, publ: 26 Apr 2019

Figure 3(on next page)

Consensus sequence alignment for all nsLTP types.

The indicated amino acids are the most frequent for each type of nsLTP. Black residues are strongly conserved over all nsLTP while colored residues are specifically conserved in a few types of nsLTP only (coloring method explained in the appendix file). Vertical arrows indicate residues analyzed in detail in the text below.

	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	† 1	,	Ļ		, ↓	↓↓	Ļ
Position#	+10+-	20+	-30+	+	50		+60-	+70) +	80	-+90	+-100-
I	AITCGQ <mark>V</mark> TSALA	CLP <mark>YL</mark> TGGG	A <mark>V</mark> PSAACC	N <mark>G</mark> VKSLNSAAI	KTTAD	<mark>r</mark> qa/	ACN <mark>C</mark> LKS-/	A <mark>A</mark> GSIPGLN	PGLAAGL	GKCGV	NIPYPIS	PST <mark>DC</mark> S KVK
XI	QQPSSDCTTQLVSLA	CLP <mark>YV</mark> TG-G	SKPTSSCC	S <mark>GL</mark> KS	- <mark>V</mark> SSN	PK <mark>C</mark> l	CLLLNGS	SLGSI-PIN	IRTLA <mark>L</mark> ALF	SACNV	' P <mark>TP</mark> I	PVS <mark>Q</mark> CNN∏P
III	AQSCSAQLNNLNV	CAP <mark>F</mark> VVP-GN-	TTPSAECC	S <mark>AL</mark> QS	<mark>V</mark> N	HD <mark>C</mark> l	CNTLRI-		ASRL	SRCNL	PPVS	5 <mark>CG</mark>
VIII	QT <mark>SC</mark> ASKLVF	CFP <mark>FL</mark> NT-TP-	PSADCC	NP <mark>L</mark> KA	- <mark>VA</mark> ND	FSC	CSIYNNN	LSSF-NVT	TDQA <mark>l</mark> Kl <mark>/</mark>	KRCGV	T DL <mark>S</mark> /	A S <mark>C</mark> S K
IX	SACS-A <mark>L</mark> VQLVF	CRASVAP-SP-	PNELCC	N <mark>A</mark> IKT	<mark>L</mark> G	Q P <mark>C</mark> L		PISGVD	RTMALQLF	PEKCSA	NFE	P <mark>C</mark> N VM <mark>K</mark>
v	AGE <mark>C</mark> GN <mark>E</mark> ALKLAF	CLA <mark>A</mark> AQD-APS	AAPSASCC	A <mark>A</mark> VK <mark>K</mark>	- <mark>F</mark> KQN	PA <mark>C</mark>	CAVLLSS	T <mark>A</mark> KSA-GIN	IPEVALTI	KRCNL	- <mark>AN</mark> RPVG	Y <mark>G</mark> FK <mark>CG</mark> GYTVP
VI	PQQ <mark>C</mark> KG <mark>DL</mark> QGLIS	CSK <mark>YV</mark> QGPGPK	- <mark>P</mark> PSPACC	Q <mark>V</mark> VKV	<mark>V</mark> D	V P C	/CP <mark>Y</mark> VTP-I	KVEAL IS	MEKVVYV	KTCGR	PL <mark>P</mark> I	H <mark>GTKCGS</mark> YTVP
II	AVTCDPTQLSF	CAP <mark>A</mark> ITG-G	PSAACC	SK <mark>L</mark> KE	<mark>Q</mark>	Q PC	CQ <mark>Y</mark> AKN <mark>P</mark> S	SLKQYVN	ISPNA <mark>R</mark> KV <mark>I</mark>	AACGV	PY <mark>P</mark> I	KC
IV	VTICNMSNDGLMA	CKPAVTG-NPP	APPSPACC	S <mark>AL</mark> SG	AD	L T <mark>C</mark> l	CSYKNSP	LPSF-GID	PNLAMAL	AKCGL	P <mark>TP</mark> I	P <mark>N</mark> C

Figure 4(on next page)

Cartoon representation of the crystallographic structures 1mid (type I), 1tuk (type II) and 2rkn (type IV).

The residues are numbered and colored as in the multiple sequence alignment of J1. The ligands are represented as ball and sticks (carbon in white, oxygen in red). Some determining amino acid side chains are also displayed.



Figure 5(on next page)

NsLTP structure classification.

Dendrogram built on Mustang structure-based sequence alignment of the 727 nsLTPs for which a reliable 3D model has been calculated. The two main fold types are displayed in red (type 1 fold) and black (type I2 fold). In order to study their distribution in term of structural families, nsLTP structures are colored according to the previously determined phylogenetic type they belong to (same colors as used in fig.2). Phylogenetic type I nsLTPs display the type 1 fold and all other nsLTPs follow the type 2 fold.



Figure 6(on next page)

InTreeGreat view of the structure tree.

The left pane shows the phylogenic tree of the nsLTP structures colored according to type and the right pane represents a close-up of the Type I (colored in red) part of the tree. For clarity, some sub tree parts for which no annotation was available have been collapsed. They appear as grey triangle and the number of structures they contain is indicated.NsLTPs for which a functional annotation is available are highlighted with a grey box in the left column. On the right side of the tree several columns appear that correspond to annotations (PO, GO), number of leaves in a collapsed sub-tree together with colored boxes. The first column of boxes shows alternative colors to enhance the clusters, the other ones correspond to each keyword selected among the annotations of the database (here: "defense" or "resistance"). Keywords "defense" or "resistance" used in functional annotation are highlighted with a colored box (blue and red respectively). The "defense cluster" (see next paragraph) has been enlarged (black border) for a better view.



Figure 7(on next page)

Conserved amino acid residues among the so-called defense cluster, on the 3D structure of nsLTP 525, ("LTP", UniProtKB - Q1KMV1).

The more the residue is conserved in the 3D alignment, the redder its colour appears, thenorange, yellow and green. Residues with no significative conservation appears in white on the figure. Residues highlighted in table X and which potential functional implication is discussed (see text) are labeled on the figure.



Table 1(on next page)

Qmean scores obtained by the 797 theoretical models of nsLTPs of this study.

Models obtained by @tome2 present an overall good quality as shown in Table 1 that summarizes the Qmean scores. For 95,85% of the models, Qmean scores are above 0.4 and 57% of the models obtained scores ranging from 0.5 to 0.9, which correspond to scores for high-resolution proteins. It is known that disordered protein regions are very flexible regions. While submitted to automatic evaluation, these flexible regions will be considered as regions of bad quality modeling, leading to lower Qmean scores (Benkert, Tosatto et al. 2008; Benkert, Biasini et al. 2011). Small proteins tend to have lower scores than larger proteins, because of the lower proportion of secondary structures compared to random coils. However, the set of theoretical models calculated by @tome2 obtained overall good Qmean scores.NB: for 121 theoretical structures, the polypeptide chain could not be fully built and the resulting models were lacking at least one of the 8 cysteine residues. Such models were discarded and a new pool of 677 structures was retained for further analysis.The models are available at: http://atome.cbs.cnrs.fr/AT2B/SERVER/LTP.html 1

Qmean score (Q)	Nb. of models	Dataset proportion		
Q < 0.2	2	0.3%		
0.2 < Q < 0.3	16	2%		
0.3 < Q < 0.4	105	13.2%		
0.4 < Q < 0.5	216	27.1%		
0.5 < Q < 0.6	291	36.6%		
0.6 < Q < 0.7	142	17.8%		
0.7 < Q < 0.8	21	2.6%		
0.8 < Q < 0.9	3	0.4%		
Total	797	100%		

2

Table 2(on next page)

Compared analysis of Evolutionary Trace of three groups of nsLTPs.

Compared analysis of Evolutionary Trace of three groups of nsLTPs: the defense cluster (43 proteins), the cluster containing all type 1 fold nsLTPs (402 proteins) and a group composed by all type 1 fold defense/resistance nsLTPs, including those which do not belong to the defense cluster (28 proteins). This table lists the 30% top-ranked (= most conserved) residues identified in the defense cluster trace and shows by comparison the ranking of these same residues in the other two traces, together with their coverage, variability and rvET score. Residue positions in the reference proteins and in the structure-based sequence alignment are also indicated. Alignment position is the same in all three groups because all three alignments used to perform the traces are extracted from the general multiple alignment of all 797 nsLTPs of the study. Five residues are highlighted for they are differently conserved in the three clusters of proteins (see text).

1

Defense cluster (ref. prot. = 525)									
	Residue	Alignment	_			rvFT			
Rank	Number	Position	Residue	Coverage	Variability	score			
1	4	02		0.10000		1.00			
	4	93		0.10000		1.00			
1	14	159	C	0.10000	C	1.00			
1	29	228	С	0.10000	С	1.00			
1	30	229	<u> </u>	0.10000	<u>C</u>	1.00			
1	45	259	D	0.10000	D	1.00			
1	50	275	С	0.10000	С	1.00			
1	52	277	С	0.10000	С	1.00			
1	72	372	Ċ	0 10000	C	1 00			
1	86	432	Č	0 10000	C	1.00			
10	7	137	v	0 13333	ΔV	1 11			
11	20	221	Ğ	0.13333	SC SC	1 11			
40		402	<u> </u>	0.13333		1.11			
12	80	402	<u> </u>	0.13333		1.11			
13	69	367	Р	0.14444	PA	1.17			
14	36	236	L	0.15556		1.28			
15	17	165	Y	0.16667	FY	1.59			
16	74	374	V	0.17778	LVIA	1.75			
17	11	154	L	0.18889	LV	1.83			
18	54	289	K	0.20000	VKQ	1.93			
19	65	360	А	0.21111	TALV	2.01			
20	40	247	А	0.22222	TAV	2.13			
21	1	63	А	0.23333	.AD	2.15			
22	33	232	V	0.24444	AVI	2.29			
23	68	364	i	0 25556	11	2 50			
24	43	256	Ť	0 26667	TPMAS	2.61			
25	61	344	N	0.20007		2.61			
20	47	269		0.27770		2.05			
20	47	200	Q	0.20009	RUN	2.71			
27	46	266	ĸ	0.30000	RK	2.75			
		Fo	ld 1 nsLTF	Ps (ref. prot.	= 437)				
Dank	Residue	Alignment	Dooldus	Covorago	Variability	rvET			
Rank	Number	Position	Residue	Coverage	variability	score			
1	14	159	C	0.05376	C	1 00			
1	29	228	Č	0.05376	C	1.00			
1	30	220	C	0.05376	C .	1.00			
1	50	275	C	0.05376	C	1.00			
1	50	275	C	0.05370	C	1.00			
	75	211	C	0.05370	CD	1.00			
0	75	372		0.00452		1.75			
	4	93		0.07527		3.00			
8	89	432	C	0.08602	CDN	4.36			
9	72	367	Р	0.09677	PASLQG	7.27			
10	46	266	R	0.10753	RKTAPIQD	11.55			
11	7	137	V	0.11828	VALISGT	11.81			
12	32	231	G	0.12903	GSAEQVHR	13.26			
13	36	236	L	0.13978	LVIM	13.58			
14	77	374	V	0.15054	VLTAINP	13.66			

15	17	165	Y	0.16129	YFAH	13.82
16	40	247	А	0.17204	ATSVIRPL	14.49
17	68	360	А	0.18280	ATVLFIM	14.52
18	71	364	I	0.19355	LIVTAPFM	14.53
19	54	289	K	0.20430	KVQIERLMHTS	15.40
20	45	259	D	0.21505	DAENITLRG.K	15.74
21	83	402		0.22581	IVFPLTAKW	15.92
29	33	232	V	0.31183	VAILSM	21.38
32	47	268	R	0.34409	KQRVEMIYSH	24.45
34	11	154	I	0.36559	VLMIFATP	25.38
42	64	344	Ν	0.45161	NGKQDASTLERVFYI	54.16
56	43	256	Т	0.60215	TAPGRSQKDHVMI.LFY	38.13
61	1	63	А	0.65591	.AHETDVPSGFQL	39.96
		Defense ns	LTPs outs	ide cluster	(ref. prot. = 525)	
Dank	Residue	Alignment	Dociduo	Covorado	Variability	rvET
Ralik	Number	Position	Residue	Coverage	Variability	score
1	4	93	С	0.11111	С	1.00
1	14	159	С	0.11111	С	1.00
1	29	228	С	0.11111	С	1.00
1	30	229	С	0.11111	С	1.00
1	50	275	С	0.11111	С	1.00
1	52	277	С	0.11111	С	1.00
1	72	372	С	0.11111	С	1.00
1	86	432	С	0.11111	С	1.00
1	7	137	V	0.11111	V	1.00
1	69	367	Р	0.11111	<u>P</u>	1.00
11	45	259	D	0.13333	DL	1.15
12	80	402		0.13333	IW	1.15
13	74	374	V	0.15556	VIN	1.39
16	17	165	Y	0.18889	YF	1.67
17	36	236	L	0.18889	LI	1.67
18	32	231	G	0.20000	GAV	1.76
20	54	289	K	0.22222	KVQ	1.93
22	65	360	A	0.24444	AVF	2.04
23	40	247	A	0.25556	ATVS	2.05
25	33	232	V	0.27778	VALI	2.59
27	61	344	N	0.30000	NVDR	2.88
30	46	266	ĸ	0.33333	KR	3.25
31	11	154	L	0.34444		3.26
30	43	256		0.40000	IPQK5	3.72
38	00	304		0.42222		3.95
44	4/	208	Q	0.46669		4.01
45		63	A	0.50000	A.QV	4.63