

## PICO and Risk Of bias Navigator for Evidence based medicine (PICORON-EBM)

3 4

Tomohide Yamada<sup>1</sup>, Yoshinobu Kondo <sup>2</sup>, Ryo Momosaki <sup>3</sup>

5

- 6 National Centre for Text Mining, School of Computer Science, University of Manchester,
- 7 Manchester, United Kingdom.
- 8 <sup>2</sup> Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Yokohama City University Medical Center,
- 9 Yokohama, Japan
- 10 <sup>3</sup> Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Teikyo University School of Medicine University
- 11 Hospital, Mizonokuchi, Japan.

12 13

- Corresponding Author: Tomohide Yamada<sup>1</sup> 131 Princess Street, Manchester, M1 7DN, UK
- 14 Email address: bqx07367@yahoo.co.jp

15 16

## **Abstract**

- 17 Evidence-based medicine (EBM) involves determining treatment that matches the needs of each
- patient by integrating the best and latest available "scientific evidence" and "clinical skills".
- 19 Systematic review and meta-analysis refer to the process of searching databases and performing
- 20 statistical analysis to integrate the results of multiple independent studies conducted in the past.
- 21 The results obtained provide the highest quality evidence, which has become the foundation of
- various clinical guidelines.
- 23 Systematic review and meta-analysis are conducted in the following sequence: 1) formulation of
- a hypothesis, 2) searching databases for articles, 3) selection of research articles, 4) evaluation of
- bias for each study, 5) integration of the results, 6) verification of bias, and 7) evaluation of the
- quality of the meta-analysis. Especially regarding 2) article searches and 3) article selection, it is
- 27 usual for two or more researchers to independently conduct a comprehensive search of databases
- and extract all the articles that meet the eligibility criteria. Generally, each researcher must
- evaluate thousands of research articles one by one, making the whole process very time-
- 30 consuming. In addition, articles may be missed since the search is done manually, and the results
- 31 tend to be arbitrary. Moreover, updating the information requires a lot of time and effort.
- 32 Generally, it takes one to two years to complete a single systematic review and meta-analysis. As
- a result, many reviews are obsolete or missing. Therefore, development of software that could
- 34 contribute to labor saving and automation of systematic review has been advocated.
- 35 PICORON-EBM aims to shorten the time required for assessment of PICO and Risk of Bias by
- 36 natural language processing.
- 37 Strengths: 1) Quick and Easy operation, 2) You can add and delete any keywords to your area of
- 38 interest.

39