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Background. The pupillary light reflex is the main mechanism that regulates the pupillary diameter; it is controlled by the autonomic system and mediated by subcortical pathways. In addition, cognitive and emotional processes influence pupillary function due to input from cortical innervation, but the exact circuits remain poorly understood. We performed a systematic review to evaluate the mechanisms behind pupillary changes associated with cognitive efforts and processing of emotions and to investigate the cerebral areas involved in cortical modulation of the pupillary light reflex.

Methodology. We searched multiple databases until November 2018 for studies on cortical modulation of pupillary function in humans and non-human primates. Of 8808 papers screened, 252 studies were included.

Results. Most investigators focused on pupillary dilatation as an index of cognitive and emotional processing, evaluating how changes in pupillary diameter reflect levels of attention and arousal. Only few tried to correlate specific cerebral areas to pupillary changes, using either cortical activation models (employing micro-stimulation of cortical structures in non-human primates) or cortical lesion models (e.g. investigating patients with stroke and damage to salient cortical and/or subcortical areas). Results suggest involvement of several cortical regions, including the insular cortex, the frontal eye field and the prefrontal cortex, and of subcortical structures such as the locus coeruleus and the superior colliculus.

Conclusions. Pupillary dilatation occurs with many kinds of mental or emotional processes, following sympathetic activation or parasympathetic inhibition. This phenomenon is controlled by several subcortical and cortical structures that are directly or indirectly connected to the brainstem pupillary innervation system.
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ABSTRACT

Background. The pupillary light reflex is the main mechanism that regulates the pupillary diameter; it is controlled by the autonomic system and mediated by subcortical pathways. In addition, cognitive and emotional processes influence pupillary function due to input from cortical innervation, but the exact circuits remain poorly understood. We performed a systematic review to evaluate the mechanisms behind pupillary changes associated with cognitive efforts and processing of emotions and to investigate the cerebral areas involved in cortical modulation of the pupillary light reflex.

Methodology. We searched multiple databases until November 2018 for studies on cortical modulation of pupillary function in humans and non-human primates. Of 8808 papers screened, 252 studies were included.

Results. Most investigators focused on pupillary dilatation as an index of cognitive and emotional processing, evaluating how changes in pupillary diameter reflect levels of attention and arousal. Only few tried to correlate specific cerebral areas to pupillary changes, using either cortical activation models (employing micro-stimulation of cortical structures in non-human primates) or cortical lesion models (e.g. investigating patients with stroke and damage to salient cortical and/or subcortical areas). Results suggest involvement of several cortical regions, including the insular cortex, the frontal eye field and the prefrontal cortex, and of subcortical structures such as the locus coeruleus and the superior colliculus.

Conclusions. Pupillary dilatation occurs with many kinds of mental or emotional processes, following sympathetic activation or parasympathetic inhibition. This phenomenon is controlled by several subcortical and cortical structures that are directly or indirectly connected to the brainstem pupillary innervation system.
INTRODUCTION

The pupillary light reflex is a polysynaptic reflex that requires cranial nerves II and III, as well as central brainstem connections. Light falling into one eye stimulates retinal photoreceptors, bipolar cells and subsequently retinal ganglion cells whose axons form the optic nerve. Some of these axons terminate in the pretectum of the mesencephalon; and pretectal neurons project further to the Edinger-Westphal nuclei. Then, preganglionic parasympathetic axons synapse with ciliary ganglion neurons which in turn send postganglionic axons to innervate the pupillary constrictor muscles of both eyes. Conversely, pupillary dilatation relies on the sympathetic system which consists of pre-ganglionic fibers projecting from the hypothalamus to the superior cervical ganglion and post-ganglionic fibers projecting to the iris dilator muscles, via ciliary nerves.

In addition to brainstem pathways, there exists also a cortical component of pupillary innervation. For instance, emotional responses such as surprise and cognitive processes such as decision making, memory recall and mental arithmetic may produce pupillary dilation. Pupillary function may be assessed as changes in pupillary size relative to resting state diameter or alterations of the light reflex in terms of reflex amplitude and latency (i.e. time from light stimulus to pupillary constriction). Cognitive scientists and psychologists have used measurements of pupillary diameters since the 1960ies to monitor mental processes in healthy volunteers and people with a wide range of neurological and psychiatric disorders, including Alzheimer’s disease, autism and anxiety. Testing of emotional processes usually involves neutral versus emotionally salient stimuli, e.g. pictures of everyday life objects versus pictures evoking sadness, anger or happiness, whereas cognitive processes are investigated with tasks such as arithmetic calculations and memory recall tests. In addition, neuroimaging, including computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), has been used to correlate changes in pupillary functions with cerebral lesions in patients with stroke and other brain disorders. In the same vein, electrical stimulation of cortical areas such as the frontal eye field has been investigated to correlate pupillary and cortical function in non-human primates.

Although pupillary function is of considerable interest to neurologists, ophthalmologists, neuroscientists, physiologists and psychologists, the exact mechanisms of supratentorial modulation of pupillary function remain poorly understood. Previous (unsystematic) reviews have focus mainly on cognitive aspects such as attention but not on pupillary cortical control. Therefore, in this review we aimed to identify a) the cortical and subcortical areas and b) the
behavior and cognitive processes that modulate pupillary function in humans and non-human primates.

**METHODS**

We performed a systematic review of the literature using a predefined search strategy and phrasing research objectives with the PICO approach (a standardized way of defining research questions, focusing on Patients, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome)\(^\text{15}\). The review was registered with PROSPERO registration number CRD42018116653 (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/). The review protocol can be accessed from the online supplementary files (SI).

**Objectives**

*Primary research objectives:*

PICO 1: In patients with focal cerebral lesions due to e.g. stroke, traumatic brain injury or brain surgery (P), does involvement of salient cortical and subcortical gray matter areas, including but not limited to the prefrontal eye field, insular cortex and thalamus (I), as compared to healthy controls or neurological patients without such lesions (C), lead to changes of pupillary function, i.e. the light reflex or resting state pupillary diameter (O)?

PICO 2: In healthy human subjects (P), do cognitive efforts (e.g. decision making or mental arithmetic) and processing of non-painful emotional stimuli (I), as compared to task negative and emotionally neutral conditions (C), lead to changes of pupillary function, i.e. the light reflex or resting state pupillary diameter (O)?

*Secondary research objectives:*

PICO 3: In non-human primates (P), does invasive experimental manipulation (e.g. electrical stimulation) of cortical and subcortical gray matter areas (I), as compared to absence of stimulation (C), lead to changes of pupillary function, i.e. the light reflex or resting state pupillary diameter (O)?

PICO 4: In non-human primates (P), do cognitive efforts such as decision making and processing of non-painful emotional stimuli (I), as compared to task negative and emotionally neutral conditions (C), lead to changes of pupillary function, i.e. the light reflex or resting state pupillary diameter (O)?

**Eligibility Criteria**

*Types of studies*
We evaluated all cross-sectional or longitudinal, retrospective or prospective, observational, clinical and research studies as well as interventional trials, including experimental animal work on non-human primates, reporting on pupillary function as related to modulation by cortical and subcortical lesions or stimulations, as well as modulation by cognitive and emotional processes. We excluded reviews and meta-analysis, non-original studies and studies with n= \leq 15 human subjects.

**Participants**

All patients aged $\geq 18$ years with ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke, brain trauma and/or brain surgery as well as healthy subjects studied in order to correlate pupillary function with focal lesions and/or to specific cognitive or emotional cerebral processing related to experimental invasive or non-invasive stimulation were included. For secondary research questions we included non-human primates with or without cerebral lesions studied to correlate pupillary function with cerebral cortical and/or subcortical gray matter areas and with specific cognitive or emotional cerebral processing related to experimental invasive or non-invasive stimulation. For exclusion criteria, the reader is referred to the protocol review (online supplementary files S1).

**Outcome measures**

The main outcome measure was a change in pupillary function, i.e. either a variation of the pupillary diameter or a difference in the light reflex (e.g. a longer latency period), compared to a baseline value or a control group.

**Index tests and Interventions**

The index tests comprised neuroimaging (CT, MRI including functional MRI, PET, SPECT), post-mortem examination revealing the extent of brain lesions, quantitative pupillometry (Eye Link 1000 and similar devices) and visual inspection of pupillary function. Concerning interventions, we included all studies with invasive procedures such as electrical cortical and/or subcortical stimulation or induced cerebral lesions as well as non-invasive interventions such as cognitive and emotional tasks or sensorial stimulation of healthy humans, humans with specific cerebral lesions (see above) and non-human primates.

**Search methods for identification of studies**

**Electronic literature search strategy**

We searched MEDLINE (PubMed), EMBASE and Scopus for relevant literature from January 1st, 1960 to November 15th, 2018. As search strategy, we used both free text-words (TW) and controlled terms obtained with medical subject headings (MeSH). For search strategy and search terms refer to review protocol (S1). Reference lists were manually screened for further relevant articles.
Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies, data extraction and management

Titles and abstracts were first reviewed. Eligible studies were assessed on the basis of their full text and referenced using Mendeley Software (https://www.mendeley.com). Data were extracted by the first author and checked by the senior author. Preferred Reported Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were followed (see online supplementary files S2).

RESULTS

We screened 8808 papers in the primary search; two additional publications were manually added. After the exclusion of duplicates, studies with different topic and subjects below 18 years of age, 850 citations were screened for eligibility criteria on an abstract basis. Three-hundred and forty-nine articles were analyzed with a full text review, and 252 studies were included for the final analysis. Figure 1 provides a flowchart of the literature search.

PICO 1: Pupillary changes associated with cortical lesions in humans

Cerebral areas that may modulate the pupillary light reflex were examined in three studies involving patients with cerebrovascular lesions. One study assessed pupillary dilatation as an index of arousal and reward processing during an oculomotor capture task, revealing diminished pupillary dilatation in patients with chronic ventromedial prefrontal damage due to subarachnoid hemorrhage as compared to healthy controls. Another, retrospective study of patients with cerebrovascular lesions, showed persistent anisocoria associated with lesions involving the right or left middle cerebral artery (MCA) territory in the absence of oculomotor nerve compression, but neuroimaging was not available and study results should be cautiously interpreted. Ischemic stroke lesions were verified using CT, in contrast, in a recent, prospective study, in which investigators assessed how anterior circulation strokes involving the prefrontal eye field and/or the insular cortex affected pupillary function. Patients with strategic infarcts in these 2 areas had subtle differences during the dilatation phase of the pupillary light reflex, but not patients with infarcts in other cerebral areas or neurologically normal controls.

PICO 2: Pupillary changes associated with cognitive and emotional activity in humans

Most of the papers (n=236) referred to changes in pupillary diameter during cognitive and/or emotional processes in humans. One hundred seventy-five studies (75%) assessed pupillary diameter as an index of mental effort during different cognitive activities. Sixty-one studies (25%) focused on the relationship between emotional arousal and pupil dilatation (Table 1). In contrast, three studies reported pupillary constriction as an index of disgust, high level scene processing (i.e. increased visual processing with high contrast or high spatial frequency), novelty during memory formation (i.e. pupillary constriction associated with remembered words) and memory retrieval (i.e. pupillary constriction with forgotten words), respectively. In another three studies pupillary contribution was seen with subtracting serial 7’s and flanker...
tests (which assess the ability to suppress inappropriate responses), leading to less pronounced constriction and/or shorter duration of pupillary responses. However, as stated, it was pupillary dilatation, not constriction, that was observed in all other studies (n=230).

Cognition

Several studies recorded pupillary dilatation with memory tests, revealing how a change in diameter is related to memory retrieval. Pupillary dilatation occurred during testing of short term and working memory, e.g. recognizing previously presented words, pictures, or sounds 24–29,30–38 or digit-recall tasks 39–48. Pupillary dilatation also reflects information storage and mental overload; memorizing more than 5 items evoked a pupillary dilatation lasting as long as the stimulus itself 41,49,50. Of note, pupillary dilatation, recorded during an encoding-retrieval phase, is associated with activity in the ventral striatum and in the Globus pallidus as revealed by fMRI, suggesting involvement of these areas in memory formation and pupillary function 36.

Another mental process influencing pupillary diameter is attention, i.e. tasks such as reading and focusing on a target elicit pupillary dilatation. Attention related to the orienting reflex, e.g. associated with sudden noise or a bright stimulus, also elicits pupillary dilatation 51–54. Conversely, smaller pupil sizes are seen with mind-wandering and introspection, and decreasing pupillary diameters reflect distraction and poor task performance 55–63. Pupillary changes can thus uncover the level of attention and the amount of mental effort with high temporal resolution 64–67.

Based on the dilatation evoked by hearing and reading sentences, several authors assessed pupillary diameters to categorize language and word processing. Pupils dilate more with poor intelligibility 68–74 and increased effort for low compared to high frequency words 75–77, as well as for abstract compared to concrete words 78–81. Thus, pupillary dilatation reflects the amount of processing required for understanding of complex or ambiguous sentences 82–84 and allow to explore differences between native and non-native speakers 77,85,86.

Measuring the effectiveness of learning may also be monitored through pupillary dilatation. Learning processes such as Pavlovian, associative learning or categorization are characterized by large pupils initially, when the cognitive load is big, and by smaller diameters when the task or item is being learned 50,87–91. Pupils also dilate in response to mental arithmetic 2,92–98, decision-making and visual backward masking tasks 99–109 and they can reveal the degree of certainty during any selection process, i.e. the more undecided one is, the greater the pupillary diameter 110–114.

Emotions

Stimuli causing emotional arousal can be revealed by changes in pupillary diameter. For instance, pupillary dilatation reflects preference for political candidates 115, alcoholic beverages 116 and visual arts (e.g. Rembrandt’s paintings) 117–122 allowing to predict people’s tastes. Images of human faces elicit a pupillary reaction as well: Angry or fearful facial expressions and images of females increase pupil sizes, in contrast to happy faces and males’ images 123–131,132–136. Negative images showing violence, distress and threat but also positive ones depicting happiness elicited a dilatation as opposed to neutral everyday images 137–142. Pupillary dilatation may also
signal the perception of odors and sexual arousal; salient odors or visual or auditory sexual stimuli lead to pupillary dilatation. Pupillary dilatation results also from pleasant sounds and melodies. Known music tracks enhance pupillary diameters but not unknown and less salient melodies. Finally, measures of pupillary diameter may also reveal active mental efforts associated with coping strategies such as reappraisal or suppression of negative emotions. Neuroimaging studies involving fMRI show that at least some of these emotional conditions leading to pupillary dilatation are associated with increased activation of the amygdala, the ventro-medial prefrontal cortex, the lateral occipital complex and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex.

**PICO 3: Pupillary changes associated with cortical stimulation and lesions in non-human primates**

Pupillary dilatation occurs in non-human primates in response to electrical stimulation of the frontal eye field during passive viewing tasks (“probe in, probe out” conditions), and of the superior colliculus during passive fixation tasks. One study compared non-human primates with amygdala lesions to healthy controls during a free viewing task; pupillary dilation was similar in both groups, but the pupillary light reflex was diminished in the lesion group (Table 2).

**PICO 4: Pupillary changes associated with cognitive and emotional activity in non-human primates**

As in humans, cognitive processes lead to pupillary dilatation in rhesus macaques. Changes in pupil diameters occur in non-human primates during different tasks such as button pushing, visual orientation, recognition and memory or sensorial stimulation (e.g. auditory or electrodermal). Some investigators correlated pupillary function with specific cortical or subcortical areas, recording neuronal firing through implanted electrodes. Neural activity during pupil dilatation was noted in the frontal cortex and both anterior and posterior cingulate cortex, as well as in key brainstem structures such as locus coeruleus and the inferior and superior colliculi (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

This systematic review reveals that pupils do not only dilate and constrict in response to light, but a large variety of cognitive and emotional processes affect pupillary function and leads to pupillary dilatation (Table 1). Pupil diameter may serve as an index of brain activity, reflecting mental efforts (or lack of efforts). Thus, our pupils dilate, when we are focused in contrast to when we let our minds wander; they dilate when we are dishonest and lying; when we enjoy or dislike what we are seeing; and when we are engaged in learning and processing of information.

In contrast, pupillary constriction, induced by the parasympathetic system, is rarely associated with cognitive or emotional processes. It is usually due to changes in brightness eliciting the light reflex pathway or to evocation of specific emotions activating the parasympathetic system such as disgust. Only two studies reported pupillary constriction that was unexplained by
luminance or specific parasympathetic activations. In the first study\textsuperscript{20}, the authors speculate that seeing images of the sun (as opposed to looking into the sunlight) elicits a constriction because of mental processing of the salient information content of that image: In our mind the sun is associated with intense light which can damage the retina. Thus, the view of a picture of the sun might lead to pupillary constriction via connections to central nervous system other than the sympathetic system\textsuperscript{20}. The same authors found pupillary constriction associated with formation and retrieval of declarative memory\textsuperscript{21}. This is in contrast to the great majority of the studies on this topic\textsuperscript{30,31,35} that reveal pupillary dilatation but the difference seems to be methodological, that is, related to the temporal evolution of the pupillary reflects analyzed: the first phase (i.e. constriction) or the second phase (i.e. dilatation), which are present in any task involving visual information processing.

Notwithstanding these conflicting reports, the most commonly observed response following emotional or cognitive tasks is pupillary dilatation. In humans, as well as in non-human primates, this is due to sympathetic activation or parasympathetic inhibition or a combination of the two\textsuperscript{2} and based on unconscious mechanisms. Hence, tasks that require a high amount of attention such as memory retrieval, mental arithmetic or language processing elicit a sympathetic activation. Similarly, emotional sounds and images induce a state of arousal, which involves sympathetic activity leading to pupillary dilatation.

Cerebral structures involved in vigilance, arousal and attention and responsible for changes in pupillary diameter during cognitive and emotional processes include the locus coeruleus\textsuperscript{169,176}, the superior colliculus\textsuperscript{168} and multiple regions of the frontal cortex\textsuperscript{11,167} (Figure 2). Of these, the locus coeruleus seems to be the most influential mediator of the pupillary light reflex. This pontine nucleus is part of the ascending reticular activating system (ARAS) and intimately and reciprocally linked to the orbitofrontal cortex and the anterior cingulate cortex\textsuperscript{28,177} which are both fundamental to motivational relevance and target fixation. Evidence from studies of these networks support the notion that attention and vigilance are related to the regulation of pupillary light reflex. Thus, the locus coeruleus modulates an excitatory connection to the sympathetic network of the pupil (in particular to the intermediate-medial-lateral cell column of the spinal cord) and an inhibitory connection to the parasympathetic pathway (directing to the Edinger Westphal nucleus). Activation of the locus coeruleus leads to increased sympathetic and decreased parasympathetic activity and, consequently, pupil dilatation\textsuperscript{178}. Two recent studies highlight these aspects. According to Joshi et al.\textsuperscript{169}, the locus coeruleus acts together with the inferior and superior colliculi, as well as the anterior and posterior cingulate cortex, likely in response to increased vigilance and alertness, thereby modifying the pupillary diameter. The second study\textsuperscript{179}, conducted on human beings, confirms this theory and shows that, based on data from resting state magnetic resonance imaging, pupil dilatation is related to an increased activity of the thalamus and frontoparietal regions, involved in the so-called tonic alert status and vigilance, and to increased metabolism of the visual and sensory-motor regions.

Besides the locus coeruleus, the superior colliculus seems to play a key role in modulating the pupillary light reflex. Wang and Munoz\textsuperscript{168} reported that pupils temporarily dilate after stimulation of the intermediate layer of the superior colliculus in non-human primates. Further, Mill et al.\textsuperscript{32} and Herwerg et al.\textsuperscript{36} suggested that the superior colliculi receives neuronal inputs
from temporal, frontal and parietal areas and basal ganglia, especially striatal and pallidal neuronal groups, leading to pupillary dilatation associated with memory tasks.

In addition, different experimental conditions in macaques show that stimulation of the frontal eye fields might modulate the pupillary light reflex. For instance, simultaneous micro-stimulations of the frontal eye fields and of pretectum structures enhance the activity of frontal eye field neurons with similar spatial tuning and reduce, or even suppress, the activity of neurons with different tuning. From these observations, Ebitz and Moore hypothesized that the frontal eye fields and parts of the pretectum interact in regulating pupillary function.

Although evaluation of the pupils is part of the routine clinical examination, only few human studies have correlated pupillary function with specific cerebral areas to replicate results from (invasive) non-human primate studies. Systematic studies on pupil diameter have been conducted in three clinical settings: Raised intracranial pressure, which may lead to oculomotor nerve compression and brain herniation; traumatic brain injury; and cerebrovascular disease; but only studies on the latter have provided data on candidate cerebral areas that may regulate the pupillary light reflex. The classical work on this topic is by Herman Benzur. In a retrospective study of 363 cerebral infarction patients, having excluded previous ocular pathology, local trauma, and active blood serology, the author reported that 5% of the examined patients had an asymmetrical pupillary response. Among the patients with pupillary asymmetry, 80% showed contralateral hemispheric stroke lesions, associated with other focal neurological signs and 20% of the patients had a dilated pupil homolaterally to the hemispheric lesion. A more recent work found differences in the second phase of the pupillary light reflex, i.e. when pupils dilate back to baseline diameter, in patients with prefrontal eye field and/or insular infarcts. In this study the authors assessed pupillary function in patients with an acute anterior circulation stroke, treated with endovascular thrombectomy, and compared patients with infarcts in the prefrontal eye field and/or insular cortex to patients with infarcts in other areas (based on neuroimaging). No difference was found in the overall pupillary function, but subtle changes were observed in the dilatation phase. Therefore, the prefrontal eye field and/or insular cortex may have a role in modulation of pupillary light reflex, influencing the autonomic system directly or indirectly, perhaps via connections to subcortical structures such as the locus coeruleus. Similarly, it seems that subjects with focal damage in ventral and medial prefrontal cortex have a constant reduction of reward-induced autonomic pupil responses, compared to age-matched, healthy controls, confirming the involvement of these areas in the cortical modulation of pupillary light reflex.

It should be noted that this systematic review has some limitations. First, we excluded studies with less than 15 patients, perhaps missing some relevant research. Second, the tools used to measure pupillary function were not the same across studies and, third, the exclusion criteria regarding previous neurological or ocular pathologies were not always clearly stated. Finally, it should be noted that pupillary function can be influenced by medication affecting the noradrenergic system, and very few papers provided information about the presence of absence of such medication. On the positive side, this paper is the only recent review on the topic and includes more than 200 publications on cortical pathways and behaviors modulating pupillary function.

In summary, this review shows that:
cognitive efforts and processing of emotional stimuli influence pupillary diameter in both humans and rhesus macaques, typically evoking pupillary dilatation, damage to salient cortical and subcortical areas such as frontal and prefrontal cortex, as well as key structures for autonomic control, seem to affect pupillary function by modulating the pupillary diameter, and micro stimulation of the frontal eye field, locus coeruleus and superior colliculus in non-human primates leads to pupillary dilatation, suggesting involvement of these areas in the pupillary light reflex.

CONCLUSIONS

Cognitive and emotional processes evoke a change in pupillary diameter, typically dilatation, in both humans and non-human primates, reflecting vigilance, arousal or attention. This is dependent on autonomic activation. The main structures involved are the locus coeruleus and the superior colliculus because of their direct and indirect connections to the Edinger-Westphal nucleus. Furthermore, cortical areas such as the prefrontal and the frontal cortex, particularly the frontal eye field and areas involved in autonomic control, such as insular cortex and anterior cingulate cortex, modulate the pupillary light reflex via connections to subcortical structures and the Edinger-Westphal nucleus.
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Figure 1: Flowchart of the literature search
PubMed, Embase, Scopus

Identification

8808 papers

Filtered by “after January 1st 1960”, “English and German”

Excluding duplicates, age < 18, papers with different topics, pharmacological intervention affecting pupillary function

Screening

850 papers

Excluding papers with neurological and psychiatric disorders (448) and papers without a correlation between pupillary function and specific cortical zones (53)

Eligibility

349 papers

Excluding reviews and comments (18), studies with ≤ 15 subjects (58), not accessible studies (21)

Inclusion

252 papers

13 Non-human primates’ studies
239 Human studies
Figure 2: Schematic representation of pupillary pathways that are activated during cognitive and emotional processes, including arousal and vigilance.

Pathways, connecting the cortical areas to the parasympathetic system and the sympathetic system, are inhibitory or activating. Neurons emerging from the locus coeruleus inhibit the parasympathetic system at the Edinger Westphal nucleus and activate the sympathetic system via connection to the spinal cord tract of the sympathetic system. Red arrows: connections from cortical areas involved in the autonomic control i.e. anterior/posterior cingulate cortex and insular cortex. Blue arrows: connections from other cortical areas involved in visual processes. Green arrows: connections from subcortical structures i.e. locus coeruleus and superior colliculus.
Table 1. Human studies of the influence of cognitive and emotional processes on pupillary function.

Every study is categorized depending on the specific task required and/or type of stimuli used (first column on the left) and on the observed pupillary response (central and right column).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pupillary Dilation *</th>
<th>Pupillary responses other than dilation *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COGNITION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>24–29,30–38,39–48, 49, 181–188</td>
<td>Pupillary constriction 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention including orienting reflex $^a$</td>
<td>51–54a,55–63,64–67, 177, 189–197,198–204</td>
<td>Pupillary constriction 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language processing and learning</td>
<td>50,68–77, 78–84, 87–91, 85, 86, 205–221, 222–227</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental arithmetic</td>
<td>2, 43, 92–98</td>
<td>Attenuated light reflex 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision making including uncertainty $^a$</td>
<td>4, 32a, 95,96, 99–109, 110–114a, 228–234</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Deception</td>
<td>235–238</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Time and preparatory activity</td>
<td>239–247</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Conflict processing</td>
<td>9,248,249</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Error</td>
<td>250–253</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Mental workload</td>
<td>254–256</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMOTION/AROUSAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preference for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>faces</td>
<td>123–131, 132–136</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>political candidates</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>visual arts</td>
<td>117–122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alcoholic beverages</td>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olfactory stimulation</td>
<td>143,144</td>
<td>Attenuated light reflex 22,23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual arousal</td>
<td>145–149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Studies are listed in the References
Table 2 (on next page)

Table 2. Non-human primate studies on the relationship of pupillary function with specific cortical/subcortical structures.

List of studies investigating if micro stimulation of some cerebral areas, through previously implanted electrodes, resulted in pupillary changes in diameter.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Pupillary Assessment</th>
<th>Stimulated Areas</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Pupillary Dilation</th>
<th>Pupillary responses other than dilation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fixation (without distraction)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joshi et al. 2016 [169]</td>
<td>Rhesus Macaque</td>
<td>Eyelink 1000 (SR Research)</td>
<td>Locus Coeruleus Inferior Colliculus Superior Colliculus</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehman, Corneil 2016 [167]</td>
<td>Rhesus Macaque</td>
<td>ETL 200 (IScan)</td>
<td>Frontal Eye Field</td>
<td>Fixation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. 2012 [168]</td>
<td>Rhesus Macaque</td>
<td>Eyelink II (SR Research)</td>
<td>Superior Colliculus</td>
<td>Fixation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jampel 1960 [262]</td>
<td>Rhesus Macaque</td>
<td>Visual inspection</td>
<td>Frontal Cortex (Area 8-9-10) Occipital Cortex (Area 18-19-22)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pupillary constriction and accomodation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dal Monte et al. 2015 [170]</td>
<td>Rhesus Macaque</td>
<td>Arrington View Point</td>
<td>*Amygdala lesions</td>
<td>Free viewing</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Reduction of pupillary light reflex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* comparison between monkeys with amygdala lesions and healthy controls
Table 3. Non-human primate studies on the relationship of cognitive and emotional processes with pupillary function and activation of cortical/subcortical areas.

Characteristics of studies investigating which tasks and/or sensorial stimulus evoked a pupillary response and which cerebral areas were simultaneously activated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Pupillary Assessment</th>
<th>Cortical and Subcortical Recorded Activity</th>
<th>Cognitive Task</th>
<th>Sensory Stimulus</th>
<th>Pupillary Dilation</th>
<th>Pupillary responses other than dilation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hampson et al. 2010 172</td>
<td>Rhesus Macaque (n=4)</td>
<td>EyeLink 1000 (SR Research)</td>
<td>Frontal Cortex (Area 8)</td>
<td>Visual Delayed Match to Sample</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iriki et al. 1996 171</td>
<td>Japanese Macaque (n=2)</td>
<td>MOS camera under infrared illumination</td>
<td>Somatosensory Cortex (Postcentral Gyrus, finger hand region)</td>
<td>Button Pushing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joshi et al. 2016 169</td>
<td>Rhesus Macaque (n=5)</td>
<td>EyeLink 1000 (SR Research)</td>
<td>Locus Coeruleus Inferior and Superior Colliculus, Anterior and Posterior Cingulate Cortex</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Oscillation Startling Tone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montefusco-Siegmund et al. 2017 174</td>
<td>Rhesus Macaque (n=2)</td>
<td>iViewX Hi-Speed (SBI)</td>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>Visual Search and Detection</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzuki et al. 2016 263</td>
<td>Japanese Macaque (n=3)</td>
<td>iRecHS2 (AIST)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Time production/ Memory Task</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebitz and Platt 2015 175</td>
<td>Rhesus Macaque (n=2)</td>
<td>EyeLink 1000 (SR Research)</td>
<td>Dorsal Anterior Cingulate Cortex</td>
<td>Task Conflict and Error</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Differences in pupils’ baselines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebitz et al. 2014 173</td>
<td>Rhesus Macaque (n=4)</td>
<td>EyeLink 1000 (SR Research)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Visual Orienting With Distractors</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Differences in pupils’ baselines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Padgett et al. 2018 264</td>
<td>Rhesus Macaque (n=2)</td>
<td>EyeLink 1000 (SR Research)</td>
<td>Dorsal and Subgenual Anterior Cingulate</td>
<td>Decision making (gambling task)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* No cognitive task required, only fixation