

Ten simple rules for better running.

Christopher J. Lortie¹, Andy Walshe², Hoby Darling², and Jamie Parker²

¹The National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis, UCSB, California.

²Jaybird Running, Sports & Human Performance Division, Park City, Utah.

Corresponding author:

Christopher J. Lortie¹

Email address: chris@christopherlortie.info

ABSTRACT

Running is a popular and in many respects intuitive sport. Nonetheless, an extensive body of research literature supports and examines the science of running performance. Here, we used meta-analyses and systematic reviews directly associated with running performance to qualitatively describe ten simple rules for better running. Better running is defined as increases in speed, endurance, or reduced likelihood of injury. The general hypothesis topologically examined was that there is sufficient aggregated evidence to leverage effort and interventions for increased performance in running. This hypothesis was supported with significant big-picture evidence for several pillars of better running including training, recovery, and phenomenological levers specific to this sport. These trends are simplified into ten simple rules for runners and researchers alike.

INTRODUCTION

Running is a sport typically described as relatively rapid terrestrial movement. Nonetheless, speed is not the key criterion for defining running in this increasingly diverse sport because performance can also include endurance and ultra-long distances. Gait is primarily used and is identified by relatively low foot-contact time with the ground and an aerial component to steps (Granatosky Michael et al. 2018; Rubenson et al. 2004). It is increasingly popular globally (Li 2016; USATF 2003). Running is also frequently conceptualized as a natural and intuitive sport (Absire 2010; Heinrich 2002; McDougall 2011). The science of running performance is extensive with peer-reviewed publications, dedicated sport science journals, and books examining all dimensions of competition, movement, kinematics, physiology, and injury to list a few (Magness 2014). Broadly speaking, performance in running is defined pluralistically and can include increases in speed, increases in endurance, or reductions in likelihood of injury. Here, we used meta-analyses and systematic reviews directly associated with these three specific measures of running performance to qualitatively describe ten simple rules for better running. The general hypothesis examined was that there is sufficient synthesis evidence to leverage effort and interventions for increased performance in running.

Rules are meant to be broken. However, rules can be a powerful heuristic and mechanism to explore human behavior (Chong et al. 2013; Rodner and Litz 2013; H. Zhou Z. and Qiao 2018). Well-designed rules connect with inputs and assess needs (Song and Kim 2012). Rules can also accommodate creativity and personal beliefs (Z.-J. Zhou et al. 2010). The ten simple rules commentary was developed in computational biology over 10 years ago to describe and synthesize salient principles or pillars associated with evidence and best practices for any number of endeavours (Bourne 2005). Statistical inference and building more informative causal models also paralleled this discourse with a deeper insight and focus on how to build more intuitive models using disparate forms of evidence (Cox 2006; Liero and Zwanzig 2012). Collectively, this thinking suggests that simple rules based on evidence can inform behavioural models for many human choice sets including how to train as a runner. Rules should simplify, models should be adaptive, and statistical models should describe key processes. Meta-analyses and systematics provide an instant big-picture snapshot of some of the volume of the scientific research on running by formally summarizing evidence from sets of primary research studies (Lortie C.J. et al. 2015). Consequently, we used the Web of Science to search for publications in peer-reviewed journals that included running, performance, and meta-analysis or systematic review in the title to capture the contemporary evidence

supporting an analysis of better running. A total of 272 publications were returned using these terms and after duplicates and exclusion criteria for relevancy (i.e. synthesized speed, endurance, or injury research), a total of 70 synthesis studies summarized the science of running and performance. Each synthesis was analyzed, and the data across these reviews were extremely heterogenic (G. Stewart 2010). Thus, the trends across all syntheses were simplified into ten simple rules for runners and researchers alike. The goal was not to vote-count evidence (H. R. Rothstein et al. 2013) but to provide a broad heuristic model that emphasizes fluency and adaptability for increased running performance.

TEN RUNNING RULES

(1) Use elevation and hypoxic training to increase endurance. Hypoxia or a shortage of oxygen typically associated with changes in elevation was reviewed in three meta-analyses all documenting net adaptation benefits in endurance for runners (Bonetti and Hopkins 2009; Hamlin, Lizamore, and Hopkins 2018; Lancaster and Smart 2012). Training recommendations supported by 70 primary research studies across these reviews included live-high, train-low; high elevations at 2500m; and live at these elevations for at least 2 weeks or at least intermittently for 4 weeks to increase endurance. The general rule that was consistent across these syntheses is that relatively high elevation provides net adaptation benefits to running at lower elevations.

(2) Use cooling to increase endurance. Thermal stress impairs exercise performance including capacity, endurance, and speed (Marino 2004). Two meta-analyses documented returns in primary research studies testing performance differences with cooling and running (Ruddock et al. 2017; Tyler, Sunderland, and Cheung 2015). A total of 42 experiments were compiled, and both precooling and percooling improved endurance in self-paced trials particularly for prolonged exercise. Sprinting was not improved, and cold application directly to skin but not muscles was the most effective intervention.

(3) Resistance train to improve endurance. Resistance training for runners typically refers to weights or sled pulls to increase strength. Resistance training in this context was extensively reviewed with evidence for improvements in economy (Balsalobre-Fernández, Santos-Concejero, and Grivas 2016), speed (Blagrove, Howatson, and Hayes 2018; Yamamoto et al. 2008), and endurance (Alcaraz-Ibañez and Rodríguez-Pérez 2018; J. Wilson et al. 2011) collectively describing 1165 primary studies. In all syntheses, the optimal frequency of resistance was no more than twice per week. Moderate to heavy loads were recommended, but every synthesis also cautioned against high frequency of this intervention for runners.

(4) Do plyometrics for speed. Plyometric exercises for runners primarily use jump training (Rimmer and Sleivert 2000). Jump training was mentioned in many syntheses but explicitly summarized in a single meta-analysis that compiled 56 primary research plyometric studies for running (Sáez de Villarreal, Requena, and Cronin 2012). For elite runners, the consensus across all primary studies was that 10 weeks of at least 15 sessions per week at 80 high-intensity jumps (with no weight) consistently increased sprint speeds.

(5) Skip stretching if injury free. In many respects, stretching is the most controversial intervention for runners with conflicting evidence on benefits even for reduced likelihood of injury (Pope et al. 2000; Shrier 1999). A recent systematic review described evidence from 23 primary studies and concluded that stretching does improve performance in running as estimated by force, speed, or economy (Shrier 2004). A caveat to these conclusions is that this set of primary studies focussed on runners without injuries and not on muscle stiffness, recovery, or other indirect measures of potential predictors of performance.

(6) Train hard for endurance, train fast for speed. As described above in rule 3, endurance outcomes can most likely be enhanced by resistance training at modestly low frequencies but at high loads (J. Wilson et al. 2011). Two synthesis studies examined no resistance, high intensity sprint training as a mechanism to increase speed for very short duration running events (Taylor et al. 2015). These meta-analyses summarized evidence from a total of 45 controlled trials for sprint training and concluded that short sprints of either 20m (or 10 seconds) with a work-to-rest ratio of 1 to 0.25 (or 90 second recovery) for at least two weeks significantly increased running speed for most sprint distances.

(7) Recovery is critical. Recovery is discussed in many synthesis studies of running and performance; however, at the level of meta-analyses and systematics, only two reviews directly examined recovery via cold water interventions or icing as a passive means to facilitate performance for runners (Bleakley, Costello, and Glasgow 2012; Higgins, Greene, and Baker 2017). From the 58 research trials compiled, cooling positively improved recovery through analgesic effects such as muscle soreness. Active versus

passive recovery (Losnegard et al. 2015) has not been summarized using formal synthesis tools for runners. This gap presents a novel opportunity to explore recovery benefits on running performance. Evidence from the cooling and recovery research on 1271 runners tested to date strongly suggests recovery can be enhanced in this sport and is a critical overlooked performance dimension.

(8) Manage your relatively longer training distances. Ultra-runners sustain the most injuries of all categories of runners in a synthesis of 86 independent surveys (Kluitenberg et al. 2015). In two other syntheses of 45 studies, populations of long distance runners also experienced increasing likelihoods of injury with increased training distances (Lopes et al. 2012; Gent et al. 2007). The performance outcomes were thus typically net negative with distance and reported as lost time training. Distance runners, do not become your own worst enemy.

(9) Taper. Tapering is a reduction in training prior to a competitive event in most sports including running, and it is a commonly accepted intervention assumed to increase many measures of performance (Houmard et al. 1994). A contemporary meta-analysis of 182 studies concluded that a two-week taper of reduced training volumes from 41-60 percent increased performance (estimated as percent change in the many different measures examined in primary studies) (Bosquet et al. 2007). The pattern of taper, i.e. linear, exponential, fast or slow, was not testable because independent studies varied in their reporting of the interventions examined.

(10) Cultivate a goal-centric mental state. A single systematic review examined the psychology of ultra-runners compiling evidence for 51 studies and over 4500 athletes (Roebuck et al. 2018). This phenomenological approach to running performance compellingly identified mental state as a primary pillar of running performance – at least for endurance. The mental traits of *goal-driven* and *explore limits* were identified as critical factors for motivation and performance in this community of runners.

IMPLICATIONS

Collectively, the evidence used to propose these rules supports the hypothesis that substantive and sufficient research has been published examining running and performance. Meta-analyses and systematic reviews are commonly considered the gold standard of evidence syntheses because they describe many primary studies and seek to move knowledge beyond context specificity (C. J. Lortie 2014; G. B. Stewart and Schmid 2015). Here, the simplification to rules and a model for better running thus very parsimoniously restricted inference to these higher-level publications to ensure that broad trends were distilled for runners and those interested in examining the science of running. Running can be improved. Performance should be informed by evidence-based decisioning. Practitioners can engage with this scientific literature because the consensus across all synthesis studies here for running is that the net outcome of interventions summarized is a meaningful biological difference. An experimental mindset to running is a best practice. Next steps should include compiling individual, longitudinal training datasets for runners and personalizing the evidence for models of running using these rules for the specific goal at hand. Exploration of active recovery for runners in addition to recovery cooling is a research gap in the synthesis of primary research literature. Run like you know something – and we do.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This synthesis was supported by Jaybird.

REFERENCES

- Absire, D. 2010. *Natural Running: The Simple Path to Stronger, Healthier Running*. Book. Boulder, Colorado: Velo Press.
- Alcaraz-Ibañez, Manuel, and Manuel Rodríguez-Pérez. 2018. "Effects of Resistance Training on Performance in Previously Trained Endurance Runners: A Systematic Review." Journal Article. *Journal of Sports Sciences* 36 (6): 613–29. doi:10.1080/02640414.2017.1326618.
- Balsalobre-Fernández, Carlos, Jordan Santos-Concejero, and Gerasimos V. Grivas. 2016. "Effects of Strength Training on Running Economy in Highly Trained Runners: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis of Controlled Trials." Journal Article. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research* 30 (8). https://journals.lww.com/nsca-jscr/Fulltext/2016/08000/Effects_of_Strength_Training_on_Running_Economy_in.36.aspx.
- Blagrove, Richard C., Glyn Howatson, and Philip R. Hayes. 2018. "Effects of Strength Training

on the Physiological Determinants of Middle- and Long-Distance Running Performance: A Systematic Review.” Journal Article. *Sports Medicine* 48 (5): 1117–49. doi:10.1007/s40279-017-0835-7.

Bleakley, Chris M., Joseph T. Costello, and Philip D. Glasgow. 2012. “Should Athletes Return to Sport After Applying Ice?” Journal Article. *Sports Medicine* 42 (1): 69–87. doi:10.2165/11595970-000000000-00000.

Bonetti, Darrell L., and Will G. Hopkins. 2009. “Sea-Level Exercise Performance Following Adaptation to Hypoxia.” Journal Article. *Sports Medicine* 39 (2): 107–27. doi:10.2165/00007256-200939020-00002.

Bosquet, Laurent, Jonathan Montpetit, Denis Arvisais, and Iñigo Mujika. 2007. “Effects of Tapering on Performance: A Meta-Analysis.” Journal Article. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 39 (8). https://journals.lww.com/acsm-msse/Fulltext/2007/08000/Effects_of_Tapering_on_Performance__A.19.aspx.

Bourne, P. E. 2005. “Ten Simple Rules for Getting Published.” Journal Article. *Plos Computational Biology* 1 (5): 341–42. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.0010057.

Chong, Linsen, Montasir M. Abbas, Alejandra Medina Flintsch, and Bryan Higgs. 2013. “A Rule-Based Neural Network Approach to Model Driver Naturalistic Behavior in Traffic.” Journal Article. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 32: 207–23. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2012.09.011>.

Cox, D.R. 2006. *Principles of Statistical Inference*. Book. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Gent, R. N. van, D. Siem, M. van Middelkoop, A. G. van Os, S. M. A. Bierma-Zeinstra, and B. W. Koes. 2007. “Incidence and Determinants of Lower Extremity Running Injuries in Long Distance Runners: A Systematic Review.” Journal Article. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 41 (8): 469. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2006.033548.

Granatosky Michael, C., M. Bryce Caleb, Jandy Hanna, Aidan Fitzsimons, F. Laird Myra, Kelsey Stilson, E. Wall Christine, and F. Ross Callum. 2018. “Inter-Stride Variability Triggers Gait Transitions in Mammals and Birds.” Journal Article. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 285 (1893): 20181766. doi:10.1098/rspb.2018.1766.

Hamlin, Michael J., Catherine A. Lizamore, and Will G. Hopkins. 2018. “The Effect of Natural or Simulated Altitude Training on High-Intensity Intermittent Running Performance in Team-Sport Athletes: A Meta-Analysis.” Journal Article. *Sports Medicine* 48 (2): 431–46. doi:10.1007/s40279-017-0809-9.

Heinrich, B. 2002. *Why We Run: A Natural History*. Book. New York, USA: Harper Collins.

Higgins, Trevor R., David A. Greene, and Michael K. Baker. 2017. “Effects of Cold Water Immersion and Contrast Water Therapy for Recovery from Team Sport: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.” Journal Article. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research* 31 (5). https://journals.lww.com/nsca-jscr/Fulltext/2017/05000/Effects_of_Cold_Water_Immersion_and_Contrast_Water.32.aspx.

Houmard, Joseph A., Barry K. Scott, Charles L. Justice, and Thomas C. Chenier. 1994. “The Effects of Taper on Performance in Distance Runners.” Journal Article. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 26 (5). https://journals.lww.com/acsm-msse/Fulltext/1994/05000/The_effects_of_taper_on_performance_in_distance.16.aspx.

Kluitenberg, Bas, Marienke van Middelkoop, Ron Diercks, and Henk van der Worp. 2015. “What Are the Differences in Injury Proportions Between Different Populations of Runners? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.” Journal Article. *Sports Medicine* 45 (8): 1143–61. doi:10.1007/s40279-015-0331-x.

Lancaster, Kellie, and Neil Smart. 2012. “Live-High Train-Low Altitude Training on Maximal Oxygen Consumption in Athletes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.” Journal Article. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching* 7 (1): 1–13. doi:10.1260/1747-9541.7.1.1.

Li, Fuzhong. 2016. “Physical Activity and Health in the Presence of China’s Economic Growth: Meeting the Public Health Challenges of the Aging Population.” Journal Article. *Journal of Sport and Health Science* 5 (3): 258–69. doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2016.06.004.

Liero, H., and S. Zwanzig. 2012. *Introduction to the Theory of Statistical Inference*. Book. Boca Raton: CRC Press.

Lopes, Alexandre Dias, Luiz Carlos Hespanhol, Simon S. Yeung, and Leonardo Oliveira Pena Costa. 2012. “What Are the Main Running-Related Musculoskeletal Injuries?” Journal Article. *Sports Medicine* 42 (10): 891–905. doi:10.1007/BF03262301.

Lortie, C. J. 2014. “Formalized Synthesis Opportunities for Ecology: Systematic Reviews and

Meta-Analyses.” Journal Article. *Oikos* 123: 897–902.

Lortie, C.J., Stewart G., Rothstein H., and Joseph Lau. 2015. “How to Critically Read Ecological Meta-Analyses.” Journal Article. *Research Synthesis Methods* 6 (2): 124–33. doi:10.1002/jrsm.1109.

Losnegard, Thomas, Martin Andersen, Matt Spencer, and Jostein Hallén. 2015. “Effects of Active Versus Passive Recovery in Sprint Cross-Country Skiing.” Journal Article. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance* 10 (5): 630–35. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2014-0218.

Magness, S. 2014. *The Science of Running: How to Find Your Limit and Train to Maximize Your Performance*. Book. New York: Origin Press.

Marino, Frank E. 2004. “Anticipatory Regulation and Avoidance of Catastrophe During Exercise-Induced Hyperthermia.” Journal Article. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 139 (4): 561–69. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2004.09.010.

McDougall, C. 2011. *Born to Run: A Hidden Tribe, Superathletes, and the Greatest Race the World Has Never Seen*. Book. New York, USA: Random House.

Pope, Rodney Peter, Robert Dale Herbert, John Dennis Kirwan, and Bruce James Graham. 2000. “A Randomized Trial of Preexercise Stretching for Prevention of Lower-Limb Injury.” Journal Article. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 32 (2). https://journals.lww.com/acsm-msse/Fulltext/2000/02000/A_randomized_trial_of_preexercise_stretching_for.4.aspx.

Rimmer, Edwin, and Gordon Sleivert. 2000. “Effects of a Plyometrics Intervention Program on Sprint Performance.” Journal Article. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research* 14 (3). https://journals.lww.com/nsca-jscr/Fulltext/2000/08000/Effects_of_a_Plyometrics_Intervention_Program_on.9.aspx.

Rodner, T., and L. Litz. 2013. “Data-Driven Generation of Rule-Based Behavior Models for an Ambient Assisted Living System.” Conference Proceedings. In *2013 Ieee Third International Conference on Consumer Electronics & Berlin (Icce-Berlin)*, 35–38. doi:10.1109/ICCE-Berlin.2013.6698038.

Roebuck, Gregory S., Paul B. Fitzgerald, Donna M. Urquhart, Sin-Ki Ng, Flavia M. Cicutini, and Bernadette M. Fitzgibbon. 2018. “The Psychology of Ultra-Marathon Runners: A Systematic Review.” Journal Article. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 37: 43–58. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2018.04.004.

Rothstein, Hannah R, Christopher J Lortie, G Stewart, Julia Koricheva, and Jessica Gurevitch. 2013. “Quality Standards for Research Syntheses.” Journal Article. *Handbook of Meta-Analysis in Ecology and Evolution*, 323–228.

Rubenson, Jonas, B. Heliam Denham, G. Lloyd David, and A. Fournier Paul. 2004. “Gait Selection in the Ostrich: Mechanical and Metabolic Characteristics of Walking and Running with and Without an Aerial Phase.” Journal Article. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 271 (1543): 1091–9. doi:10.1098/rspb.2004.2702.

Ruddock, Alan, Brent Robbins, Garry Tew, Liam Bourke, and Alison Purvis. 2017. “Practical Cooling Strategies During Continuous Exercise in Hot Environments: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.” Journal Article. *Sports Medicine (Auckland, N.Z.)* 47 (3): 517–32. doi:10.1007/s40279-016-0592-z.

Sáez de Villarreal, Eduardo, Bernardo Requena, and John B. Cronin. 2012. “The Effects of Plyometric Training on Sprint Performance: A Meta-Analysis.” Journal Article. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research* 26 (2). https://journals.lww.com/nsca-jscr/Fulltext/2012/02000/The_Effects_of_Plyometric_Training_on_Sprint.35.aspx.

Shrier, Ian. 1999. “Stretching Before Exercise Does Not Reduce the Risk of Local Muscle Injury: A Critical Review of the Clinical and Basic Science Literature.” Journal Article. *Clin J Sport Med* 9: 221–27.

———. 2004. “Does Stretching Improve Performance?: A Systematic and Critical Review of the Literature.” Journal Article. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine* 14 (5). https://journals.lww.com/cjsportsmed/Fulltext/2004/09000/Does_Stretching_Improve_Performance___A_Systematic.4.aspx.

Song, Seungkeun, and Mijin Kim. 2012. “Five Models of Players’ Rule Behavior for Game Balance.” Journal Article. *CyberPsychology, Behavior & Social Networking* 15 (9): 498–502. doi:10.1089/cyber.2011.0504.

Stewart, G. 2010. “Meta-Analysis in Applied Ecology.” Journal Article. *Biology Letters* 6: 78–81.

Stewart, G. B., and C. H. Schmid. 2015. “Lessons from Meta-Analysis in Ecology and Evolution:

The Need for Trans-Disciplinary Evidence Synthesis Methodologies.” Journal Article. *Research Synthesis Methods* 6: 109–10. doi:10.1002/jrsm.1152.

Taylor, Jonathan, Tom Macpherson, Iain Spears, and Matthew Weston. 2015. “The Effects of Repeated-Sprint Training on Field-Based Fitness Measures: A Meta-Analysis of Controlled and Non-Controlled Trials.” Journal Article. *Sports Medicine* 45 (6): 881–91. doi:10.1007/s40279-015-0324-9.

Tyler, Christopher James, Caroline Sunderland, and Stephen S. Cheung. 2015. “The Effect of Cooling Prior to and During Exercise on Exercise Performance and Capacity in the Heat: A Meta-Analysis.” Journal Article. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 49 (1): 7. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2012-091739.

USATF. 2003. “Long Distance Running - State of the Sport.” Journal Article. *USA Track & Field Press Release* http://www.usatf.org/news/specialReports/2003LDRStateOfTheSport.asp?avad=55097_d14bab4cd.

Wilson, Jacob, Pedro Marín, Matthew Rhea, Stephanie Wilson, Jeremy P Loenneke, and J. C. Andersen. 2011. *Concurrent Training: A Meta-Analysis Examining Interference of Aerobic and Resistance Exercises*. Book. Vol. 26. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e31823a3e2d.

Yamamoto, Linda M., Rebecca M. Lopez, Jennifer F. Klau, Douglas J. Casa, William J. Kraemer, and Carl M. Maresh. 2008. “The Effects of Resistance Training on Endurance Distance Running Performance Among Highly Trained Runners: A Systematic Review.” Journal Article. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research* 22 (6). https://journals.lww.com/nsca-jscr/Fulltext/2008/11000/The_Effects_of_Resistance_Training_on_Endurance.43.aspx.

Zhou, Hu, Z., and P. Qiao. 2018. “A Model for Hidden Behavior Prediction of Complex Systems Based on Belief Rule Base and Power Set.” Journal Article. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems* 48 (9): 1649–55. doi:10.1109/TSMC.2017.2665880.

Zhou, Zhi-Jie, Chang-Hua Hu, Dong-Ling Xu, Jian-Bo Yang, and Dong-Hua Zhou. 2010. “New Model for System Behavior Prediction Based on Belief Rule Based Systems.” Journal Article. *Information Sciences* 180 (24): 4834–64. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2010.08.016>.