Comparative biomechanical analysis demonstrates functional convergence between slender-snouted crocodilians and phytosaurs
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Abstract

Morphological similarities between the extinct Triassic archosauriform clade Phytosauria and extant crocodilians have formed the basis of long-proposed hypotheses of evolutionary convergence. These hypotheses have informed the reconstructions of phytosaur ecology and biology, including feeding preferences, body mass, soft tissue systems, mating behaviours, and environmental preferences. However, phytosaurs possess numerous cranial apomorphies that distinguish them from modern crocodilians and potentially limit ecomorphological comparisons.

Here, we present the first computational mechanical comparison of phytosaur cranial strength to
several extant crocodilian taxa using two biomechanical approaches: beam theory and finite element analysis. We demonstrate mechanical convergence between the slender-snouted phytosaur *Ebrachosuchus neukami* and modern slender-snouted crocodilians. We provide evidence that the phytosaurian premaxillary palate is functionally equivalent to the crocodilian secondary palate. The premaxillary palate is associated with greater resistance to biting induced stress, lower strain energy, higher resistance to bending and torsion, as well as increased performance under tension. In all tests, *Ebrachosuchus* performed worse than all tested crocodilians, showing higher stress under equivalent loading conditions. These findings have implications for the proposed feeding ecology of slender-snouted phytosaurs and corroborate previous broad assessments of phytosaur ecology based on morphological comparisons to crocodilians; however, we urge caution in overextending those assessments given the current paucity of comparative functional data.

**Introduction**

Phytosaurs are a near globally distributed group of extinct archosauriforms that have generally been considered the earliest branching lineage within Pseudosuchia (Fig. 1), the clade that includes modern crocodilians and their extinct stem lineage (e.g. Ezcurra, 2016). However, they have also recently been hypothesized to form the sister group to archosaurs, the major vertebrate clade that contains pseudosuchians, as well as birds, dinosaurs and pterosaurs (Nesbitt, 2011). Phytosaur fossils are commonly present throughout nearly the entire Late Triassic (late Carnian–Rhaetian; c. 232–201.3 Ma) (Brusatte et al., 2013; Stocker & Butler, 2013), and have long been characterized as an exemplar of deep time evolutionary convergence (Fig. 2), based on their strong morphological similarities with modern crocodilians (e.g., McGregor, 1906; Camp, 1930; Colbert, 1947; Hunt, 1989). Three distinct skull morphotypes have been proposed to be
present in phytosaurs (Camp, 1930; Gregory, 1962; Hunt, 1989): a “slender-snouted” form (Fig. 2 B,C) with comparatively homodont dentition (e.g. Parasuchus spp., Mystriosuchus planirostris, Ebrachosuchus neukami, and Nicrosaurus meyeri), a morphologically intermediate “moderate-snouted” form (Fig 2E) (e.g. Angistorhinus spp. and Leptosuchus spp.), and a “massively-snouted” form (Fig. 2G) (e.g. Nicrosaurus kapffi, Smilosuchus gregorii), with the latter two forms showing a more heterodont dentition (Hunt, 1989; Hungerbühler, 2000). The morphology and ecology of the slender-snouted phytosaurs have been compared to the living slender-snouted crocodilians: Gavialis gangeticus (the Indian gharial) (Fig. 2 A,D) and Crocodylus (Mecistops) cataphractus (the African slender-snouted crocodile), whereas the other rostrum morphologies have been hypothesised as functionally analogous to other living crocodilians such as members of Alligatoroidea, and Crocodylus niloticus (the Nile crocodile) (Fig. 2 F,H) (Camp, 1930; Hunt, 1989; Kimmig & Arp, 2010). However, 200 million years separates the youngest phytosaur fossils from modern crocodilians. Phytosaurs are not direct ancestors of crocodilians and the common ancestor of the two groups lived more than 245 million years ago (Nesbitt, 2011; Stocker & Butler, 2013). Moreover, despite overall morphological similarities, long-recognised anatomical differences exist that potentially limit functional and ecological comparisons between the two clades.

Notably, phytosaurs lack the bony secondary palate (formed by midline fusion of the palatine bones) that forms the roof of the mouth in crocodilians and which separates the airway and oral cavity. Phytosaurs possess external nares that are located posteriorly and dorsally, behind the mid-point of the skull and immediately anterodorsal to or above the antorbital fenestrae; furthermore, the elongated rostrum is formed primarily of the premaxilla (Camp, 1930; Stocker & Butler, 2013). By contrast, the elongated rostrum of modern crocodilians is formed primarily by
the maxilla, the external nares of modern crocodilians are positioned at the tip of the rostrum, and
the antorbital fenestra is absent. These detailed anatomical differences may impact functional
performance, and suggest potential problems for assumptions of function justified solely upon
apparently analogous gross morphology. Hypotheses concerning convergent functional
morphology between extant crocodilians and phytosaurs (e.g., Hunt, 1989) have never been
tested through quantitative biomechanical analyses.

Here, we focus in particular on proposed similarities between slender-snouted phytosaurs
(using Ebrachosuchus neukami as our exemplar) and slender-snouted crocodilians (in particular,
the gharial). The bony secondary palate present in extant crocodilians such as the gharial (as well
as in mammals) has been shown to strengthen the skull (Thomason & Russell, 1986; Busbey,
1995; Rayfield et al., 2007). Although Ebrachosuchus lacks a true secondary palate formed by
the palatines, it does, like other phytosaurs, possess an elongate premaxillary palate extending
along much of the length of the rostrum that ventrally bounds an extensive premaxillary sinus
(Fig. 2B; Witmer, 1997; Butler et al., 2014; Lautenschlager & Butler, 2016). In Ebrachosuchus
the nostrils are positioned just anterior to the antorbital fenestra. As a result, the premaxillary
palate would not have served as a partition between the nasal and buccal cavities. Even the
development of a rudimentary incomplete secondary palate that does not join at the ventral
midline confers a biomechanical advantage in mammalian crania (Thomason & Russell, 1986).
Thus, both Ebrachosuchus and the gharial independently evolved an elongate, hollow, tubular
rostrum that should strengthen the rostrum against bending and torsion (Ennos, 2012).

Here we present the first quantitative functional comparison between these assumed
analogous animals, leading to a new biomechanical perspective on phytosaur palaeoecology and
providing further insight into the relationship between form and function in terrestrial vertebrates.
Material and Methods

Choice of study taxon and hypotheses to be tested

We studied the holotype (Bayerische Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und Geologie, Munich, BSPG 1931 X 501) and only known specimen of the basal (non-Mystriosuchinae) phytosaur *Ebrachosuchus neukami* from the early Late Triassic (late Carnian, c. 230 Ma) of Bavaria, southern Germany. *Ebrachosuchus* has been considered synonymous with the basal phytosaur genus *Parasuchus* (e.g., Hunt & Lucas, 1991), but recent work supports its generic validity (Butler et al., 2014; Jones & Butler, 2018). The holotype consists of a complete skull (lacking the lower jaw) that is one of the geologically oldest and best preserved of all known phytosaur specimens, although some post-mortem dorsoventral crushing has occurred, and parts of the palatal region are damaged (see below; Fig. 3; Lautenschlager & Butler, 2016). The rostrum of *Ebrachosuchus* is proportionately longer than in any other known phytosaur (preorbital length is nearly 3.9 times as long as the orbit + postorbital length; Gregory, 1962; Butler et al., 2014) and thus it epitomizes the slender-snouted phytosaur morph. We therefore used *Ebrachosuchus* as our exemplar to test hypotheses of functional convergence between slender-snouted phytosaurs and the living gharial.

In addition to the gharial, we also included in our analyses the extant crocodilian *Crocodylus (Mecistops) cataphractus*, which has a tapering rostrum rather than tubular rostrum, *Alligator mississippiensis* as an example of a broad, flat (platyrostral) morphotype, and the Nile crocodile as an example of an apex predator. Details of the specimens used are in Table 1.

We aimed to test the following hypotheses:

(1) Does the skull of *Ebrachosuchus* demonstrate similar patterns of biting-induced stress to that of the gharial?
(2) Does the premaxillary palate of *Ebrachosuchus* confer the same structural advantages as the secondary palate of extant crocodilians, namely does it provide additional resistance to bending and torsion?

(3) Does the retention of the antorbital fenestra result in lower resistance to bending, torsion and biting-induced stress in the skull of *Ebrachosuchus* compared to the gharial?

**Skull Reconstruction**

The skull of *Ebrachosuchus* had to be digitally reconstructed (Fig. 3). *Ebrachosuchus* showed the most damage along the premaxillary palate: the premaxillary shelves (which extend laterally and meet along the midline of the skull to form the premaxillary palate) were broken and displaced. Segments of the shelves were manually realigned while the most damaged region, encompassing the ventral surface of the mid-anterior to anterior regions of the rostrum, were completely removed and manually rebuilt. Damage to the alveolar cavities was predominately confined to the left half of the rostrum thus the right half was mirrored to compensate for the damage. Similarly, the left half of the terminal rosette was mirrored to replace the right half of the terminal rosette, which was deformed and lacked clear differentiations of the alveolar cavities.

The left lateral rim of the external nares were damaged and replaced by a mirrored section of the right lateral rim. The rim of the left antorbital fenestra and adjacent section of the maxilla was deformed and displaced; this section was replaced by a mirrored section of the right maxilla. The palatines showed some damage and, because they are thin bone, were not isolated automatically and had to be reformulated manually. Along the midline of the pterygoids we expect to see thin shelves extending laterally from the pterygoids to the palatines forming a closed palate region as in other phytosaurs (Dzik, 2001); however, these are not preserved in *Ebrachosuchus* and were manually recreated along with additional reconstruction of the pterygoids and vomers.
Beam Theory

Beam theory calculations were carried out using ImageJ (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij) with the MomentMacro plugin (http://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/fae/mmacro.htm). A total of 20 CT slices were taken from *Ebrachosuchus*, the gharial, the alligator and the slender-snouted crocodile (Fig. 4). Four transverse slices were taken through the terminal rosette of each specimen and the remaining 16 transverse slices were taken at evenly spaced intervals along the skull, extending as far as the posterior extent of the tooth row (Fig. 4). Three measurements were recorded: $I_x$, the second moment of area about the mediolateral axis of the snout and a proxy for resistance to dorsoventral bending; $I_y$, the second moment of area about the dorsoventral axis of the snout and a proxy for resistance to mediolateral bending; $J$, the polar moment of inertia and the sum of $I_x$ and $I_y$, a proxy for resistance to torsion. These values were standardized for size in order to accurately compare patterns of resistances between taxa. This standardization was done by calculating the resistance to bending and torsion of a circle with equal cortical area for each slice. The absolute resistances for each slice of the skull were then divided by the corresponding circle resistances. This provides an estimate of how far snout shape deviates from a circle rather than a magnitude of bending and torsion resistance. To assess the functional implications of the premaxillary palate in *Ebrachosuchus*, resistances to bending and torsion were calculated both with the palate and with the palate removed, and were then compared to the extant taxa both with and without their secondary palates. The secondary palates were manually removed in each CT slice using ImageJ. The secondary palates were easily differentiated in the CT slices as the ventral surface of the rostrum lying between the dental alveoli.

Surface Construction
CT scans were used to generate 3D digital skull models that were discretized into finite element models (FEMs) for *Ebrachosuchus*, the alligator, the gharial, and the Nile crocodile. The skull of *Ebrachosuchus* was scanned at the Klinikum rechts der Isar, Munich. Scans of the American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*: TMM m-983, sub-adult, skull length 217 mm) were obtained from ‘Digital Atlas of the Alligator’ (Rowe et al. 1999); *Gavialis gangeticus* scans were acquired at the Royal Veterinary College from a large gharial specimen (NHMUK 2005.1605, approximately 80 cm skull length); scans of *Crocodylus niloticus* were also obtained at the Royal Veterinary College (specimen RVC AN1, 501 mm total skull length), donated by the La Ferme aux Crocodiles conservation park. CT scans were also obtained of the slender-snouted crocodile *Mecistops cataphractus* (NHMUK 1924.5.10.1, adult with skull length 62 cm), also scanned at the Royal Veterinary College.

CT scans were assessed in Avizo (VSG, Massachusetts) where a label field was generated to isolate the bone from surrounding tissue or matrix. Each slice was manually edited to ensure all desired components of the skull were selected and to remove and fill in holes in the surface. The teeth of four skulls were removed and the alveolar cavities were filled in in order to reconcile the crocodilian models to *Ebrachosuchus*, in which the dentition is largely unpreserved. In order to test the effects of the antorbital fenestra on stress in *Ebrachosuchus*, an additional model was made of *Ebrachosuchus* in which the antorbital fenestra is filled in.

FEMs of all specimens without the palates were created in Avizo by adjusting the label field of each CT slice and removing the bony material of the palate, similar to the method described above in creation of the beam theory models.

**Finite element models**

Surfaces were imported into Hypermesh (Altair, Michigan) as FEMs composed of 2D elements. These models were inspected and imperfections, such as distorted elements and
element intersections, were corrected before the models were re-meshed with 3D elements. Completed 3D models were then imported into Abaqus (3DS, France) for FEA. Convergence tests were used to determine the size of the elements, and the resolution of the mesh, necessary for the model to solve completely; at this point, any increase in the resolution of the mesh has no effect on the results of the FEA.

Convergence testing (Bright & Rayfield, 2011) was carried out to assess the optimum mesh size for *Ebrachosuchus*. Four models were generated for the convergence test: Model 1 at 250,000 elements, Model 2 at 600,000 elements, Model 3 at 3 million elements, and Model 4 at 5 million elements. Models 1–4 were created with four-noded linear elements (TET4) while two addition versions of Models 3 and 4 were created with 10-noded quadratic elements (TET10) for comprehensive comparisons. TET10 typically improve results compared to TET4 models (Owen & Saigal, 2001) by having more nodes per element; however, these TET10 models showed minimal difference to their respective TET4 models as well as a significant increase in computation time. Optimal mesh sizes for the crocodilian taxa were then approximated based on previous studies involving FEA utilizing the same specimens (Rayfield et al., 2007; Adams, 2011).

**Boundary conditions**

The four skull models were assigned uniform material properties, boundary conditions and loading regimes. Following most previous FEA studies of extinct taxa, all models were assigned elastic isotropic properties with the following values: Young’s modulus (E) = 10 GPa; Poisson’s ratio (ν) = 0.4 (values after Rayfield et al. 2001).

Models were constrained at the articulation of the quadrate with the lower jaw and on the basiocciput; chosen nodes were constrained against three translational degrees of freedom.

Stiffness of the skulls was tested through ten loading conditions on each skull: five bilateral loads
consisting of two symmetrical 500 N forces (one on each tooth row) and five unilateral loads consisting of one 1000 N force on the working tooth row (Fig. 5). These loads were placed on the ventral surface of the skull at one of five different locations along the tooth row, and directed dorsally. Additional loads perpendicular to the dorsally directed loads were included to model the lateral flexion of the skull during “head shaking” proposed by McHenry et al., (2006; Fig. 5); a dorsal and laterally directed pair of 500 N loads.

In order to remove the effect of size from the analysis and test for the capability of different skull shapes to withstand feeding loads, all applied loads were scaled to the same force per unit surface area of the skull (Dumont, Grosse & Slater, 2009). Force values quoted above are the original values applied to Ebrachosuchus, to which all other models were scaled.

Analysis

Von Mises stress (a combination of the three principal stresses) has shown to correlate with ductile failure while brittle failure has been correlated with maximum principal stress (Juvinall & Marshek, 2006); due to their material properties, these skulls would likely fail under a ductile mode of fracture and thus von Mises stress is used as an indicator of relative cranial strength (Nalla, Kinney & Ritchie, 2003; Dumont, Grosse & Slater, 2009). Von Mises values were taken along dorsal midline of the rostra at five positions corresponding to the location of the five loading conditions: anterior tip, mid anterior, mid, mid posterior, and posterior.

Maximum principal stress shows areas of the skull under tension. As bone is weaker in tension than compression (Currey, 2002), maximum principal stress was used as an indicator of how the skulls respond to biting loads and the influence on mechanical behaviour of the structural differences between crocodilian and phytosaur skulls. Maximum principal stress values were taken along the ventral surface of the skull at the same five midline positions as before. The ventral surface was chosen as this region experiences high tensile stress values during loading.
Maximum principal values for models without the palate were taken along the tooth row as the ventral surface had been removed and the resultant tensions were concentrated along the tooth rows; i.e., maximum stress values along the venter of the rostrum were compared between models.

The final variable of interest was strain energy. Strain energy is the energy stored in a structure due to deformation. The minimization of strain energy in the skull is interpreted as beneficial for the organism by maximizing work efficiency and transfer of load without deflection of the skeleton. Strain energy is associated with failure through deflection (Dumont, Grosse & Slater, 2009). A rostrum that does not maintain rigidity and instead bends when the animal bites down on a prey item makes prey capture exceptionally difficult. In order to accurately compare strain energy between models, the strain energy values are scaled to the same force per unit volume (Dumont, Grosse & Slater, 2009).

Results

Beam Theory

_Ebrachosuchus_, the alligator, the gharial, and the slender-snouted African crocodile all show relatively stable resistance to dorsoventral bending passing from anterior to posterior along the rostrum with a dramatic increase in the final five slices (Fig. 6). Within these taxa, _Ebrachosuchus_ shows marginally higher resistance to mediolateral bending and torsion (Fig. 6) than the gharial (which shows the lowest resistance to these forces) and slender-snouted African crocodile, but all three show much lower resistance to mediolateral bending and torsion than the alligator.
The removal of the palate results in a slight but persistent reduction in resistance to dorsoventral bending in both *Ebrachosuchus* and the gharial (Fig. 7). Resistance to mediolateral bending and torsion are minimally affected by the removal of the premaxillary/secondary palate.

**Finite Element Analysis**

Comparative analyses between all taxa show *Ebrachosuchus* to have the lowest structural resistance under all loading conditions (Fig. 8 and 9). Rostral von Mises stress values in *Ebrachosuchus* range from eight times greater compared to the equivalent loading positions in the gharial, to over 100 times greater compared to the Nile crocodile (Table S1). *Ebrachosuchus* shows the highest stresses around the mid-anterior to mid regions while the gharial shows the highest stresses in the mid to mid-posterior region (Fig. 10) while both the alligator and the Nile crocodile show the highest stress values in the post-orbital region of the skull. Removal of the secondary palate in the gharial and the premaxillary palate of *Ebrachosuchus* results in a general increase in the maximum observed von Mises stress magnitudes for both taxa (Fig. 8). Peak von Mises stress for *Ebrachosuchus* shifts posteriorly towards the nares while the gharial shows only a proportional increase in observed stress with no shift in the peak stress position along the rostrum (Fig. 8B and Fig. 9B). Removing the antorbital fenestra in *Ebrachosuchus* generally decreased the observed von Mises values in all loading conditions and increased structural resistance.

Maximum principal stress exhibits similar patterns as von Mises stress across all four taxa (Fig. 8). *Ebrachosuchus* shows the highest levels of stress (especially in the mid-rostral regions) compared to any of the extant crocodilians. Head shaking loads show roughly half the peak maximum principal stress (and von Mises stress) compared to the bilateral loading conditions at the equivalent loading positions for all taxa (Table S2). Removal of the palates of *Ebrachosuchus* and the gharial elevate the maximum principal stress in the mid-posterior and
posterior regions (Fig. 9, 11). According to the queried nodes, the peak maximum principal stress is elevated in the gharial by the removal of the palate; conversely, the peak tension is decreased in *Ebrachosuchus* with the removal of the palate; however, observing the finite element model as a whole shows an increase in peak von Mises on the dorsal and ventral surfaces and an increase in the expanse of the high stress contours (Fig. 11). Removal of the antorbital fenestra of *Ebrachosuchus* decreases the peak tension, though not as much as removal of the palate, but maintains the overall pattern seen in the original morphology.

*Ebrachosuchus* possessed the highest strain energy (Table S3) for bilateral, unilateral, and head-shaking loads. The trend among the crocodilians was also consistent across all three loading regimes: the alligator showed the greatest minimization of strain energy followed closely by the Nile crocodile, with the gharial showing the highest strain energy of the three extant taxa (Fig. 12A). Removal of the palate in *Ebrachosuchus* and the gharial increased the calculated strain energy for both taxa (Fig. 12B): in the gharial the increase was up to 18% (under unilateral loading conditions) with the palate removed, whereas in *Ebrachosuchus* the increase was up to 14% (under head-shaking loading conditions). *Ebrachosuchus*, under all loading conditions, and both with and without the premaxillary palate and antorbital fenestra, retained much higher strain energy than any of the crocodilians (Fig. 12).

**Discussion**

**Structural Convergence**

Beam theory and FEA show that *Ebrachosuchus* responds similarly to slender-snouted extant crocodilians (i.e. the gharial and the slender-snouted crocodile) in terms of resistance to mediolateral bending, torsion and patterns of accommodation of stress. The Nile crocodile and
the alligator show the greatest degree of platyrostry, and this produces a higher resistance to mediolateral bending and torsion as demonstrated in the alligator by beam theory. The Nile crocodile shows both the greatest surface area of any specimen used in the FEA and the lowest force per unit area, corresponding to the most efficient accommodation of stress; this observation is perhaps unsurprising given that the Nile crocodile is a large-bodied apex predator.

The gharial behaves more like *Ebrachosuchus* in the accommodation of von Mises and maximum principal stress than do either the alligator or the Nile crocodile. However, *Ebrachosuchus* shows higher levels of stress and much greater strain energy than in any of the extant taxa. These observations suggest that the skull of *Ebrachosuchus* was more susceptible to failure than those of the extant taxa under equivalent loading conditions. Removal (in-filling) of the antorbital fenestra decreased the queried stress values on the rostrum; however, its removal also increased the total strain energy. It must be noted that the queried nodes, due to position and/or relatively small number reflect local values that may not be indicative of overall trends as shown in Figures 10 and 11.

Phytosaurian Palate

We provide the first quantitative support for the hypothesis that at least one key function of the phytosaurian premaxillary palate, which ventrally bounded an extensive paranasal sinus, is to assist the skull in the dispersal of stress (Witmer, 1997). We therefore consider the premaxillary palate as analogous, in functional terms, to the secondary palate of crocodilians. The crocodilian secondary palate separates the nasal and buccal cavities and permits breathing and feeding while submerged (Cleuren & De Vree, 2000), but it also imparts important resistances to stress during feeding (Thomason & Russell, 1986; Busbey, 1995; McHenry et al., 2006; Rayfield et al., 2007; Walmsley et al., 2013). Beam theory and FEA show that the removal of the premaxillary palate of *Ebrachosuchus* causes a decrease in resistance to dorsoventral bending and
increases the observed von Mises values and maximum principal stress in the elongated rostrum. As phytosaurs do not utilise the premaxillary palate for respiratory purposes, our work provides independent support that the premaxillary palate functioned to resist feeding-induced stress. The independent acquisition of a true secondary palate combined with the loss of the antorbital fenestra in crocodilians provided increased resistance to stress and better work efficiency compared to *Ebrachosuchus*, and potentially phytosaurs in general.

**Feeding ecology**

The gharial and the alligator represent the most divergent forms of crocodilian morphology, with the slender-snouted crocodile lying between the two (Pierce, Angielczyk & Rayfield, 2008). Based on its structural similarity to the gharial, we support previous interpretations of the extreme longirostrine rostrum of *Ebrachosuchus* as a morphological response to an aquatic ecology and a diet dominated by small fish. Our functional results suggest that the longirostrine morphology of this taxon is unlikely to have been able to accommodate the stress of subduing relatively large prey, thus differing from the feeding habits of large alligators and the Nile crocodile. This interpretation is based upon the dispersion of stress across the rostrum of the alligator and Nile crocodile, whereas stress is concentrated along the rostrum of *Ebrachosuchus* and the gharial.

The only possible direct evidence for diet in longirostrine phytosaurs comes from stomach contents: two specimens of the longirostrine basal phytosaur *Parasuchus* (phylogenetically close to *Ebrachosuchus*) were reportedly found each with a small archosauromorph reptile skeleton and one with a few skull bones of a rhynchosaur in the area of the stomach cavity (Chatterjee, 1978). However, no taphonomic analysis of this specimen (necessary to demonstrate that all of the preserved remains do in fact represent stomach contents) has been published. Chatterjee (1978) suggested that, in the case of small prey, the prey item would be swallowed completely. By
contrast, if the prey item were too large to swallow (as in the case of the rhynchosaur), it would be mechanically reduced to smaller, manageable pieces. Assuming that the morphologically similar *Parasuchus* exhibited similar patterns of stress to those recovered here for *Ebrachosuchus*, it is questionable that this species would have been capable of mechanically breaking the robust skull of a rhynchosaur. Thus, the fragments of a rhynchosaur skull found with *Parasuchus* may already have been disarticulated prior to ingestion, or may prove not to be stomach contents.

**Conclusions**

1. *Ebrachosuchus* and the gharial both show similar patterns of stress under feeding related loading conditions, with high stress forming along the rostrum. However, *Ebrachosuchus* shows much higher stress and strain energy under these loading conditions compared to any crocodilian.

2. Removal of the premaxillary palate in *Ebrachosuchus* and the secondary palate of crocodilians shows that both contribute to strengthening the skull in its resistance to stress and bending.

3. Removal of the antorbital fenestra in *Ebrachosuchus* decreases the magnitude of stress developed from our load conditions indicating the antorbital fenestra does indeed slightly weaken the skull.

Our results provide further evidence for independent evolution of functionally convergent longirostrine morphotypes across vertebrate evolutionary history. However, in the case of phytosaurs, the secondary palate-like structure formed by the premaxillae apparently serves the purpose of conferring structural strength to the skull, without the benefit (present in true
secondary palates) of separating the nasal and oral chambers to facilitate breathing and respiration. Our analyses provide further evidence of the structural compromises induced by a key archosauriform feature, the antorbital fenestra.
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Table 1. Specimen Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Specimen ID.</th>
<th>Number of Slices</th>
<th>Slice Spacing (mm)</th>
<th>Analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Ebrachosuchus neukami</em></td>
<td>BSPG 1931X 501</td>
<td>1634</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Beam Theory, FEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gavialis gangeticus</em></td>
<td>BMNH 2005.1605</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Beam Theory, FEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alligator mississippiensis</em></td>
<td>TMM M-983</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>Beam Theory, FEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Crocodylus niloticus</em></td>
<td>RVC AN1</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>FEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Crocodylus cataphractus</em></td>
<td>BMNH 1924.5.10.1</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Beam Theory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Simplified phylogeny of Pseudosuchia. Simplified time-calibrated phylogeny showing the relationships within Pseudosuchia, the clade that includes modern crocodilians and their stem-lineage, including phytosaurs. This phylogeny is based on data from Nesbitt (2011), Bronzati et al. (2012), Brochu (2012), and Ezcurra (2016) with stratigraphic ranges drawn primarily from the Paleobiology Database (www.paleobiodb.org). Note that c. 100 million years separates the extinction of phytosaurs and the origins of crown group crocodilians (Gavialoidea + Alligatoroidea + Crocodyloidea).
Figure 2. Comparative cranial anatomy between crocodilians and phytosaurs. Comparison between the cranial morphology of (a) the gharial and (b) Ebrachosuchus, with the upper and lower halves of each skull displaying dorsal and ventral morphologies respectively. Furthermore, representatives of both (c, e, g) phytosaurs and (d, f, h) crocodilians demonstrating the three ecomorphotypes proposed by Hunt (1989): (c, d) *Ebrachosuchus neukami* (BSPG 1931 X 501) and *Gavialis gangeticus* (BMNH 1935.6.4.1) exhibiting the slender-snouted form, (e, f) *Leptosuchus* spp. (TMM 31173-120) and *Paleosuchus palpebrosus* (AMNH R-58136) representing the moderate-snouted form, and (g, h) *Smilosuchus gregorii* (AMNH FR-3060) and *Crocodylus niloticus* (BMNH 1959.1.8.55) exemplifying the massively-snouted form. Scale bars = 100mm.
Figure 3. Reconstruction of the skull of *Ebrachosuchus*. Comparison of the ventral (A,C,E) and dorsal (B,D,F) views of the original skull of *Ebrachosuchus neukami* (A,B), segmented bone data (C,D), and final reconstructed model (E,F).
Figure 4. Beam theory slice locations. Location of the slices taken for beam theory analysis. From left to right: slender-snouted crocodile, *Ebrachosuchus*, the gharial, and the American alligator.
Figure 5. Orientations and locations of load cases. The loading conditions used for the finite element analysis shown on the skull of the Gharial. Each set of colored arrows represents and independent loading case. (A) Bilateral load cases, each pair of 500N loads is run as a separate analysis. (B) The five 1000 N unilateral load cases. Each loading case is named after its location on the skull; starting from the anterior most load(s): Anterior, Mid-Anterior, Mid, Mid-Posterior, Posterior. (C) Example of the anterior head-shaking loading condition. Head-shaking cases were also done for each of the five locations along the tooth row.
Figure 6. Beam theory results from the original skull morphologies. Results of the beam theory analysis for the alligator, the gharial, the slender-snouted crocodile, and the basal phytosaur *Ebrachosuchus*. All specimens show increasing resistance to dorsoventral bending going from anterior to posterior. The alligator shows the greatest resistance to mediolateral bending, likely due to the extremely flat and broad snout shape relative to the other specimens, this also leads to a high resistance to torsion for the entire rostrum. Slice number begins at the anterior most slice (slice 1) and extends posteriorly until the posterior most slice (slice 20).
Figure 7. Beam theory results from the theoretical skull morphologies. Comparison of the beam theory analysis for (a) *Ebrachosuchus* and (b) the gharial for both regular models and models with the palates removed (NP). $I_x$ is resistance to dorsoventral bending, $I_y$ is the resistance to mediolateral bending, and $J$ is the resistance to torsion. In general, removing the palate causes a very slight decrease in resistance to bending with a notable exception being the first two slices of the gharial.
Figure 8. Stress values from selected ventral nodes. Comparison of stress values between the finite element models of the crocodilians and *Ebrachosuchus* taken under a bilateral load at the anterior most position. Data was taken at points along the ventral surface of each skull through the region of maximum stress. Similar trends are seen in both von Mises and maximum principal stress values. Removal of the palate in both the gharial and *Ebrachosuchus* increase the observed peak von Mises values while closing the antorbital fenestra in *Ebrachosuchus* consistently decreases the observed von Mises values; maximum principal stress shows less consistent patterns between the original and manipulated geometries. The bilateral and unilateral data show the same trends between specimens.
Figure 9. Stress values of head-shaking and theoretical skull morphologies. Stress values taken from the anterior head-shaking load. The same trends are seen in this data (A) and the bilateral (Fig. 5) and unilateral data. However, comparisons between the original and manipulated morphologies (B) show slight relative differences in the posterior of the skulls. Due to the limited number of nodes queried and differences in locations, these values reflect local effects; compare Figures 8 and 9 with Figures 10 and 11.
Figure 10. Skull stresses during bilateral biting. Results of the finite element analysis. All models show the distribution of von Mises stress about the skull under bilateral loading conditions with the load placed at the anterior end of the rostrum. Both *Ebrachosuchus* and the gharial show high stress along the rostrum with the highest stress forming along the midline, near the center of the rostrum. Results of the unilateral loading conditions show similar results.
Figure 11. Comparisons of skull stresses between the original and theoretical skull morphologies. Comparison of the palate and no palate models for *Ebrachosuchus* and the gharial. The palates were manually removed from the 3D surface files. Loading conditions are the same as in Figure 3. Removal of the palates increases the magnitude of stress that develops along the rostrum.
Figure 12. Strain energy values under all loading conditions. Total strain energy values for all three loading conditions applied to the anterior loading position. Strain energy has been scaled to volume. All morphological manipulations of the skulls of the gharial and *Ebrachosuchus* increase the calculated strain energy (B).