Ellipse packing in 2D cell tessellation: A theoretical explanation for Lewis’s law and Aboav-Weaire’s law
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Abstract

Background: To date, the theoretical bases of Lewis’s law and Aboav-Weaire’s law are still unclear.

Methods: Software R with package Conicfit was used to fit ellipses based on geometric parameters of polygonal cells of red alga Pyropia haitanensis.

Results: The average form deviation of vertexes from the fitted ellipse was 0±3.1 % (8,291 vertices in 1375 cells were examined). Area of the polygonal cell was 0.9±0.1 times of area of the ellipse’s maximal inscribed polygon (EMIP). These results indicated that the polygonal cells can be considered as ellipse’s inscribed polygons (EIPs) and tended to form EMIPs. This phenomenon was named as ellipse packing. Then, an improved relation of Lewis’s law for a $n$-edged cell was derived

$$\text{cell area} = 0.5n \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{n} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{3}{n^2} \right)$$

where, $a$ and $b$ are the semi-major axis and the semi-minor axis of fitted ellipse, respectively. This study also improved the relation of Aboav-Weaire’s law

$$\text{number of neighboring cells} = 6 + \frac{6 - n}{n} \times \left( \frac{a}{b} + \frac{3}{n^2} \right)$$

Conclusions: Ellipse packing is a short-range order which places restrictions on the direction of cell division and the turning angles of cell edges. The ellipse packing requires allometric growth of cell edges. Lewis’s law describes the effect of deformation from EMIP to EIP on area. Aboav-Weaire’s law mainly reflects the effect of deformation from circle to ellipse on number of neighboring cells, and the deformation from EMIP to EIP has only a minor effect. The results of this study could help to simulate the dynamics of cell topology during growth.
Three laws were generalized from observations on many natural and artificial two-dimensional (2D) structures: Euler’s law, Lewis’s law and Aboav-Weaire’s law (Weaire & Rivier 1984). The latter two were first observed empirically by Lewis and Aboav with the original aims of understanding laws in biological structures and mechanisms of crystal growth, respectively (Aboav 1970; Lewis 1926; Lewis 1928; Weaire 1974). Although Lewis’s law and Aboav-Weaire’s law are very important for understanding the formation mechanisms of 2D structures, their theoretical explanations are still deficient (Mason et al. 2012; Weaire & Rivier 1984). Furthermore, to date, only one common feature was found in these 2D structures: the coordination number (the number of edges meeting at a vertex) is always three. This feature is a short-range order, and also the core mechanism mathematically determined that the average number of edges per cell is six (Graustein 1931).

Thallus of *P. haitanensis* is a single-layered prismatic cell sheet which is a mathematical consequence of 2D expansion on a plane by cell proliferation (Xu et al. 2017). Thus, *P. haitanensis* thalli can be simplified as 2D structures. When this study restrict attention to biological 2D structures, the word “cell” was used to represent the top and/or bottom faces of a prismatic cell. The dynamics of cell topology during growth make biological 2D structures even more complicated than other types of 2D structures. For examples, internal angles of *P. haitanensis* cells were concentrated in range of 100–140° by direction specific division and direction turning of cell edges, which suggested that the cells tended to form regular polygons (Xu et al. 2017). These observations hinted that there are undiscovered short-range orders in 2D structures. A recent study by Xu et al. (2018) found that the effective coverage area of ellipse-shaped exoskeletons of microalga *E. huxleyi* cells tended to approach the maximal area of EIP. Similar phenomenon was found in this study that the polygonal cells inclined to form EMIP. Based on this short-range order, the present study improved the relations of Lewis’s law and Aboav-Weaire’s law.

**Materials and methods**

Images of membranous thalli of *P. haitanensis* were analyzed using software Amscope Toupview 3.0. For each polygonal cell, the area ($A_c$), coordinates of center ($X_{pc}$, $Y_{pc}$) and vertices ($X_v$, $Y_v$) were measured. Software R (version 3.5.1) with package Conicfit was used to fit an ellipse based on the
coordinates of vertices of each polygonal cell (Fig. 1A) (Chernov et al. 2014). Five geometric parameters could be used to describe an ellipse, which include the semi-major axis \( a \), semi-minor axis \( b \), coordinates of center \((X_{EC}, Y_{EC})\), and angle of tilt of the major axis \( \theta \) (Fig. 1B). On 2D geometry, five points determine a conic, for example, the ellipse. For polygons with five or more edges, \( X_{PC} \) and \( Y_{PC} \) were set as the initial values of coordinates of ellipse center to improve fitting. As for cells with only four edges, the coordinates of four vertices and four midpoints of edges were combined as a single data set to fit an ellipse as same as for cells with \( \geq 5 \) edges. Then, the geometric parameters of the fitted ellipse were set as the initial values to fit the second ellipse for the coordinates of four vertices. The second ellipse was found to be the smallest one among all fitted ellipses, and which was used for analysis. The reason of finding the smallest circumstanced ellipse for 4-edged polygonal cell was given in the next section. The area of ellipse \((A_E)\) was calculated as

\[
A_E = \pi ab
\]  
where \( \pi \) is the number of edges of inscribed polygon (Su 1987). The form deviation of vertex (FD) is

\[
FD = \frac{D_{VC} - R}{R} \times 100\%
\]

where \( D_{VC} \) is the distance between a vertex and the center of fitted ellipse (length of line VC)

\[
D_{VC} = \sqrt{(X_V - X_{EC})^2 + (Y_V - Y_{EC})^2}
\]

and \( R \) is the distance from the ellipse center to the cross point of the fitted ellipse and the line VC

\[
R = \frac{ab}{\sqrt{(a \sin(\arctan(tan \theta - \delta)) + b \cos(\arctan(tan \theta - \delta)))}}
\]

where \( \delta \) is the angle between line VC and X-axis, the ranges of \( \theta \) and \( \delta \) are \([0, \pi)\) and \((-0.5\pi, 0.5\pi)\), respectively (Fig. 1B). R code and three examples for the above calculations can be found in supplementary files.

**Results and discussion**

**Ellipse packing**

The average number of cell edges was 6.0±0.9 (1,375 cells in 13 thalli were examined) which is consistent with previous studies in *P. haitanensis*, and many other organisms, and abiotic structures.
(Gibson et al. 2006; Sánchez-Gutiérrez et al. 2016; Weaire & Rivier 1984; Xu et al. 2017). According to Euler’s 2D formula, this kind of phenomenon was mathematically determined by a short-range geometric order, which is the coordination number of each vertex equal to three when different-sized cells tessellate a 2D plane (Graustein 1931; Weaire & Rivier 1984). The size differences between cells indicate that these biotic and abiotic 2D structures display long-range disorder, because unit cell has neither periodicity nor translational symmetry. Besides, the average number of cell edges quickly approached to six with exponential increase of cell number due to increase of body or tissue size. Thus, the above phenomenon can only be observed when a body or tissue contains a large number of cells (Graustein 1931; Lewis 1926; Weaire & Rivier 1984; Xu et al. 2017).

This study found that the vertices of a cell could be used to fit an ellipse with an average form deviation of $0\pm3.1\%$ (8,291 vertices in 1375 cells were examined, Table 1). Thus, polygonal cells of *P. haitanensis* were EIPs, which ensured that all the cells were convex polygons. The ratios of $A_C/A_{MIP}$ ranged from 0.5 to 1.0 with an average value of $0.9\pm0.1$ (Table 1), and 90% of the values concentrated in range of 0.78 to 0.97 (supplementary data S1), which indicated that cells preferred to reach the maximal area. Thus, the fitted ellipse should be the smallest circumstance ellipse of the polygonal cell, which was the reason to find the smallest ellipse for four-edged cells in this study. A recent study reported similar phenomenon on single-celled microalga *E. huxleyi* (Xu et al. 2018). *E. huxleyi* cells were fully covered by interlocked calcite exoskeletons, the specific geometric characteristics of exoskeletons resulted in that the effective coverage area of exoskeletons tended to reach the maximal area of inscribed polygon of ellipse-shaped exoskeletons.

The eccentric angle of neighboring vertices of EMIP is equal to $2\pi/n$ (Su 1987). Therefore, the eccentric angles of 6-edged EMIPs is 60°. Based on observations of direction specific divisions (resulted in equal-sized divisions) and division-associated direction changes of cell edges (concentrated internal angles in range of 100-140°), Xu et al. (2017) found that *P. haitanensis* cells preferred to form regular polygons. The more the polygonal cell close to a regular hexagon, the more the cell close to a spherical shape which could help to maintain force balance (Chen 2008; Ingber et al. 2014). Unbalanced forces could potentially result in unequal-sized cell division (Kiyomitsu 2015). However, equal-sized daughter cells can always be found in cell proliferation of *P. haitanensis* thalli (Xu et al. 2017).
Lewis’s law

The average values of $A_E$, $A_{MIP}$ and $A_C$ increased with $n$, while the difference between average values of $A_E$ and $A_C$ was decreased (Fig. 2A). Except for $n > 8$, the average ratios of $a/b$ were very stable regardless of values of $n$ (Fig. 2B). Since $A_{MIP}$ is $\frac{n}{2\pi}\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right)$ times $A_E$ (Su 1987), the ratio of $A_{MIP}/A_E$ approaching to one with increase of $n$ (Fig. 2C). Positive linear relationships were found between $A_C$ and $A_E$ ($R^2=0.73$, $P < 0.0001$, Fig. 2D), and between $A_C$ and $A_{MIP}$ ($R^2=0.85$, $P < 0.0001$, Fig. 2E). Thus, $A_C$ can be calculated by the following empirical equation

$$A_C = 0.80A_{MIP} + 78.79 = 0.40n\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right) + 78.79$$

(6)

where, the maximal value of $ns\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right)$ is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ns\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right) = 2\pi$$

(7)

Because both $ns\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right)$ and $A_E$ increase with $n$ (Fig. 2A,B), $A_C$ also increase with $n$. This is consistent with Lewis’s law, which suggests that $A_C$ of a $n$-edged cell linearly related with $n$ (Chiu 1995; Lewis 1926; Lewis 1928; Weaire & Rivier 1984). However, this study suggested the relationship between $A_C$ and $n$ is more complex than previous thoughts.

By equal-sized division, mitosis shall strongly disturb cell topology. Obviously, division should separate a cell along the direction of minor-axis of fitted ellipse, which makes daughter cells more close to EMIP (Fig. 3A). Nearly 150 years ago, Hofmeister proposed the similar speculation named long axis division (Hofmeister 1863). More complicated, however, Xu et al. (2017) found that divisions preferred to transect mother cells at midpoints of unconnected paired-edges. Afterward, directions of cell edges were changed to concentrate internal angle in range of $100–140^\circ$. Thus, the smallest number of edges per cell was four, and two equal-sized daughter cells were produced.

The ellipse packing is exactly a short-range order which could influence both local and global cell topology. The average axes of fitted ellipses and average number of edges were used to calculate the average variation of internal angles (Table1, Fig. 3A). Assuming a EMIP with 6 edges was divided along the minor axis of ellipse, then ellipse packing should turns all three polygonal cells around the new vertex into EMIPs (Fig. 3B). Thus, two daughter cells would be turned into two equal-sized maximal inscribed 5-gons, and the neighboring cell of both daughters would be turned into maximal
inscribed 7-gon. The sum of three angles around the new vertex is 360°. Assuming the total disturbs
on the three angles is minimum, based on least square method, the new internal angles around new
vertexes in the neighboring cell would be decreased by 34.1°. Which gave an explanation to the
observation that the turning angle was 40±6° (138 angles were examined) in the previous study by Xu
et al. (2017). Meanwhile, those angles inherited from mother cells also need to be adjusted to obey
ellipse packing (Fig. 3). Obviously, all these changes on angles must achieve by allometric growth of
cell edges. The long axis division could decrease the disturb on cellular geometries and the payment
to allometric growth of cell edges. Finally, from a global perspective, the combined effect of ellipse
packing and the other short-range order (vertex coordination equal to three) turn all three angles
around each vertex to 120°.

Aboav-Weaire's law
Aboav-Weaire's law describes that if \( m \) represents the average number of edges of cells surrounding
a \( n \)-edged cell, then \( m \) is linearly related to \( 1/n \):

\[
m = (6 - \beta) + \frac{6\beta + \mu_2}{n}
\]

where, 6 is the average number of cell edges of 2D structures, \( \beta \) is a constant and \( \mu_2 \) is related to
the second moment of the edges of the \( n \)-edged cell (Weaire & Rivier 1984). The present study and
previous study by Xu et al. (2017) showed that all cells tended to form regular polygons, which
indicated that the internal angles tended to close to each other. According to Eq. (6), the cell area
increase with \( n \). The average internal angles of a \( n \)-edged cell is \( \pi - \frac{2\pi}{n} \), which also increase with \( n \).

The sum of three angles around each vertex is \( 2\pi \), which suggests that the average neighboring
angles of the \( n \)-edged cell is decreasing with increase of \( n \). Consequently, \( m \), the average area and
average internal angles of \( m \) cells tend to decrease with increase of \( n \). Thus, Aboav-Weaire's law
describes the representative level for a data set of \( 2n \) neighboring angles in the total data set of \( mn \)
internal angles of neighboring cells.

Based on experimental studies, \( \beta \approx 1.2 \) was found to be conserved for several natural physical
This number is very close to the average ratio of \( a/b \) of \( P. haitanensis \) cells (Table 1, Fig. 2B), and
of oval-shaped exoskeletons (faces) of microalga \( E. huxleyi \) (Xu et al. 2018). In previous studies, \( \mu_2 \)
was assumed to be small (Edwards & Pithia 1994; Lambert & Weaire 1981). Regular hexagons could
monohedrally tessellate a plane (Grünbaum & Shephard 1987), such kind of tessellation also featured
with ellipse packing and all vertexes have coordination equal to three. This indicates that when \( n = \langle n \rangle = 6 \), \( \mu_2 = 0 \), where \( \langle n \rangle \) is the average number of cell edges. The observations of Aboav-
Weaire's law in natural 2D structures suggest that the edge numbers of cells are uniformly distributed.

The probability density function of \( m/n \) is

\[
F\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \frac{m}{n} < \frac{4}{n} \text{ or } \frac{m}{n} > \frac{10}{n} \\
\frac{m}{n} & \frac{4}{n} \leq \frac{m}{n} \leq \frac{10}{n} 
\end{cases}
\]

(9)

The second moment of Eq. (9) is \( \frac{3}{n^2} \), then \( \mu_2 \) of a \( n \)-edged cell is \( \frac{3}{n^2} (6 - n) \), using Eq. (8) this

study got

\[
m = \left(6 - \frac{a}{b}\right) + \frac{\alpha a}{6} \frac{3(n-6)}{n^2}
\]

(10)

where, \( a \) and \( b \) are the semi-major axis and semi-minor axis of fitted ellipse of a \( n \)-edged cell,

respectively. The Eq. (10) can be rewritten as

\[
m = 6 + \frac{6 - n}{n} \times \left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{3}{n^2}\right)
\]

(11)

This equation could explain the monohedral tiling using equal-sized regular hexagons and 6-edged
EMIPs (Fig. 3A top). As for \( m \) of \( P. \) haitanensis cells, the calculated values using Eq. (11) were very
close to the real values by enumeration (Fig. 4A). The average difference between calculated \( m \) and
real \( m \) was –0.1±0.3 (211 cells were examined). The \( a/b \) describes the deformation degree from
circle to ellipse. Similarly, the present study assumed that the second moment of Eq. (9) describes the
deformation degree from EMIP to EIP. This suggested the relation

\[
\frac{3}{n^2} = 1 - \frac{A_C}{A_{MIP}}
\]

(12)

which can be expressed as

\[
A_C = A_{MIP} \left(1 - \frac{3}{n^2}\right) = 0.5\text{absin}\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{3}{n^2}\right)
\]

(13)

The results of this study strongly supported the above relation of Lewis’s law (Fig. 4B). Meanwhile,
the Eq. (11) can be rewritten as

\[
m = 6 + \frac{6 - n}{n} \times \left(\frac{a}{b} + 1 - \frac{A_C}{A_{MIP}}\right)
\]

(14)

Due to \( \mu_2 \) is very small, Aboav-Weaire's law could be approximately expressed as

\[
m \approx 6 + \frac{6 - n}{n} \times \frac{a}{b}
\]

(15)
The calculated $m$ using Eq. (11), Eq. (14) and Eq. (15) only showed minor differences (Fig. 4A, supplementary data S1).

Furthermore, for 2D structures, the combination of Lewis’s law and Aboav-Weaire’s law could derive a new law: big cells tend to surround by small cells, and vice versa. This law was frequently observed in many natural and artificial structures (Weaire & Rivier 1984). The results of this study could help to analyze the relation between areas of neighboring cells.

3D structures

Every prismatic cell of *P. haitanensis* thallus could be considered as a convex polyhedron with an average face number of eight. For multi-polyhedral-celled 3D structure with coordination number of four, the average face number is $\left(\frac{48}{13}\right) \pi^2 + 2 \approx 15.54$ (Meijering 1953; Weaire & Rivier 1984).

This number is very close to the average face number of 15.4 in single-polyhedral-celled microalga *E. huxleyi* with vertex coordination of three (Xu et al. 2018). The difference on average face number indicates that 2D and 3D structures are formed under different restrictions. A convex polyhedral cell is a sealed 3D structure which has a positive curvature at every vertex and obeys Euler’s law. However, Euler’s law does not set any restriction on 6-edged faces (Grünbaum & Motzkin 1963; Xu et al. 2018). Which suggests that a given 3D structure does not necessarily need to be a sealed structure even it obeys Euler’s law. The closure of polyhedra could be considered as a basic level of uniform distribution of curvature. The face topology of polyhedra could be analyzed using software CaGe (Brinkmann et al. 2010).

Polygons with more than 6 edges induce locally negative curvature and with less than 6 edges induce positive curvature (Cortijo & Vozmediano 2007). Thus, the polyhedral cells of *E. huxleyi* only contains 4-gons, 5-gons and 6-gons which could help to maintain a full coverage on spherical surface (Xu et al. 2018). As for 2D tessellation using different-sized cells, the average edge number of 6 determined that the top and/or bottom faces of *P. haitanensis* cells contain four to ten edges (Table 1).

Due to geometric limits, Lewis’s law and Aboav-Weaire’s law still valid for face topology of cells of *E. huxleyi* (Xu et al. 2018). Since Lewis’s law only related to the semi-axes of fitted ellipse and the number of edges (Eq. 13), this law may be directly used for 3D structures. As for Aboav-Weaire’s law, which may be able to generalize to 3D space with consideration of distribution of curvature at
Conclusion

This study found that polygonal cells of *P. haitanensis* inclined to form EMIPs. This phenomenon was named as ellipse packing, which could be applied in simulation of dynamics of cell topology during growth. Improved relations of Lewis’s law and Aboav-Weaire’s law were derived and tested using the geometric parameters of fitted ellipses and the number of cell edges. The present study suggested that Lewis’s law and Aboav-Weaire’s law are nonlinear relations, the former describes the deformation effect of EMIP on area, and the latter describes the deformation effects of circle (major effect) and EMIP (minor effect) on number of neighboring cells. This study also gave a mathematical explanation for long axis division. Further works are needed to test our results in other 2D structures.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average number of cell edges (n)</td>
<td>6.0±0.9</td>
<td>4–10</td>
<td>1,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form deviation (FD, %)</td>
<td>0±3.1</td>
<td>–13.9–20.6</td>
<td>8,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitted semi-major-axis (a, μm)</td>
<td>19.9±2.8</td>
<td>13.9–37.2</td>
<td>1,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitted semi-minor-axis (b, μm)</td>
<td>15.3±1.7</td>
<td>9.3–21.8</td>
<td>1,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/b</td>
<td>1.3±0.2</td>
<td>1.0–2.7</td>
<td>1,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of fitted ellipse (A_E, μm²)</td>
<td>961.8±195.3</td>
<td>506.2–2016.9</td>
<td>1,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of the maximal inscribed polygon of fitted ellipse (A_MIP, μm²)</td>
<td>788.2±172.0</td>
<td>343.2–1,667.9</td>
<td>1,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of cell (A_C, μm²)</td>
<td>706.0±149.0</td>
<td>303.9–1,512.6</td>
<td>1,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_C/A_MIP</td>
<td>0.9±0.1</td>
<td>0.5–1.0</td>
<td>1,375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 Geometry of polygonal cell and fitted ellipse. (A) Coordinates of vertexes of a polygonal cell and fitted ellipse. The ellipse was plotted using Software R with package Conics (Chernov et al. 2014).

(B) A diagram shows semi-major-axis $a$, semi-minor-axis $b$, angle between line VC and X-axis $\delta$, angle of tilt of the major axis $\theta$, distance between center of ellipse and vertex of polygonal cell $D_{VC}$, distance from center of ellipse to the cross point of line VC and fitted ellipse $R$. 
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Figure 2 Relationships between $n$, $A_C$, $A_{MIP}$ and $A_E$.

(A) Relationships between number of cell edges $n$, area of cell $A_C$, area of the maximal inscribed polygon $A_{MIP}$, and area of fitted ellipse $A_E$.

Big symbols represent the average values of $A_C$, $A_{MIP}$ and $A_E$, while small symbols represent the raw data (1,375 cells were analyzed). (B) Relationship between $n$ and ratio of $a/b$. (C) Relationship between $n$ and ratio of $A_{MIP}/A_E$. (D) Relationship between $A_C$ and $A_{MIP}$ (1,375 cells were analyzed). (E) Relationship between $A_C$ and $A_E$ (1,375 cells were analyzed).
Figure 3 Cell division obeys ellipse packing. (A) Red dash line represents that division of the maximal inscribed 6-gon divided the cell along the minor axis of ellipse, and produced two equal-sized daughters. Blue dash line shows that an edge was separated by a new vertex which produced three new angles (bottom). (B) Ellipse packing would turns the daughters into maximal inscribed 5-gons (top left) by allometric growth of cell edges, meanwhile the neighboring 7-gon also need to be turned into EMIP (top right). To minimize the total disturbs on the three angles, the turning angle in neighboring cell should be 34.1° (bottom). (C) Three angles around each vertex tended to be 120°. The ratios of $a/b$ of all ellipses were set to an average value of 1.3.
**Figure 4** Examinations of relations of Lewis’s law and Aboav-Weaire’s law. (A) Relationship between real and calculated number of neighboring cells $m$ of a $n$-edged cell (211 cells were examined). The Eq. (11), (14) and (15) were used to calculate $m$. (B) Relationship between real and calculated area of a $n$-edged polygonal cell (1,375 cells were examined). The Eq. (13) was used to calculated cell area.