Diet quality through adolescence and early adulthood: cross-sectional associations of the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet index and component food groups with age
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ABSTRACT
Late adolescence to early adulthood is the period of life when prevalence of overweight and obesity rises the fastest, and an important time to understand changes in dietary risk factors. In this study we assess variation in diet quality through analysis of cross-sectional data from 2957 individuals aged 13 to 30 from the National Diet and Nutrition Study (2008-2016). Diet data were self-reported using 4-day food diaries and coded to give diet quality (DASH index, range 0-80) and DASH component food groups (grams/day). Mean DASH index score was low at 34.8 (95% CI 34.3, 35.4). Regression of diet quality score and food groups on age categories revealed no significant change in diet quality score with age category in males, but an improved diet quality score among females aged 19-21 (β=2.04, CI 0.05, 4.03), 25-27 (β=3.77, CI 1.36, 6.19) and 28-30 (β=2.48, CI 0.59, 4.36), compared to age 13-15. Both sexes showed increased vegetable intake with age. Dairy intake was
lower in early adult ages among males, while in females there was an increase in the proportion of low-fat dairy consumed with age. Further research should address the determinants of changes in diet in early adulthood, to provide evidence for targeting of public health policy.

INTRODUCTION

Poor quality diet in adulthood increases risk of obesity and chronic disease (e.g. diabetes, cardio-vascular disease and certain cancers) [1]. The period of life from late adolescence to early adulthood is the time when prevalence of overweight and obesity rises the fastest [2], and an important time for understanding changes in determinants of obesity such as diet and physical activity. It is also a time when individuals go through many life transitions, likely to be associated with changes in the determinants of dietary behaviours [3]. Better understanding of how diet changes across this age range will lay a foundation for further investigation of the determinants of changes in diet and evidence on how and when best to intervene to promote establishment of a high quality diet which persists in adulthood.

Few studies have analysed changes in diet through late adolescence and early adulthood [4]. Previous studies reporting data from the National Diet and Nutrition Survey, a cross-sectional survey representative of the national population, have suggested that UK adolescents have a poor quality of diet when compared to adolescents from other European countries [5], with 40% of total energy intake derived from non-core foods [6] and 15% of total energy derived from free sugars [7]. However, there have been no studies to date looking at how diet quality varies with age through adolescence and young adulthood in the UK population. In the US, analysis of the longitudinal NEXT Plus study similarly showed low diet quality among adolescents compared to recommendations, with limited changes in diet quality from age 16 to 20. This included no significant change with age according to a diet quality score, the Healthy Eating Index-2010, a small increase in energy derived from whole plant foods, and a small decrease in Empty Calories (proportion of energy intake from added sugars, discretionary solid fat, and excess alcohol) consumed [8].

Assessment of the health-related quality of diet can be achieved using one of a number of diet quality indices which score diets based on the food and/or nutrient components thought to be most relevant for health outcomes [1,9]. Examples include the Healthy Eating Index, the Mediterranean Diet Score and the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet index. All indices show similar associations with decreased risk of all-cause, CVD, and cancer mortality [10]. The DASH diet index is based on The Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) Eating Plan which was initially shown to reduce blood pressure in clinical trials [11]. DASH indices have been associated with reduced risks of mortality
related to a wide range of chronic diseases [10] as well as reduced risk of high blood
pressure [12] and reduced incidence of Metabolic Syndrome in adolescence [13]. Inclusion
of dairy as a positive component of diet quality [31], and no positive score attributed to
moderate levels of alcohol consumption (as in the Mediterranean Diet Score [10]) make this
score particularly appropriate for use in adolescents.

Our aim in the present study was to assess cross-sectional associations between diet quality
(DASH index [9,14]) and age among adolescents and young adults in the UK population.
We assess associations between the components of the DASH index and age, to describe
the variation in diet with age in the UK population, and understand how differences in
intake of particular food groups with age influence overall diet quality. This analysis will
provide a foundation for further study of the determinants of changes in diet across this
transitional life stage.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Survey Design and Participants

These analyses comprise secondary analysis of data from years 1 to 8 of the National Diet
and Nutrition Survey (NDNS) Rolling Programme (2008–2016), an annual cross-sectional
survey which assesses the diet, nutrient intake and nutritional status of the general population
of the UK. The NDNS aims to recruit 1000 participants each year, comprising an equal ratio
of adults (aged 19 years and older) and children (aged 1.5 to 18 years). Households were
sampled from the UK Postcode Address File, a list of all addresses in the UK, with up to one
adult and one child (18 months or older) from each household eligible for inclusion in the
survey [15]. Written informed consent was obtained from participants or their
parents/guardians. Ethical approval for the NDNS was obtained from the Oxfordshire A
Research Ethics Committee and the Cambridge South NRES Committee (Ref. No.
13/EE/0016). In this analysis we use data on participants aged from 13 to 30 years, from the
first eight waves of the NDNS Rolling Programme combined, allowing a sufficiently large
sample to analyse associations within an age-based subpopulation.

Dietary assessment

Survey participants were asked to complete a food diary, covering 4 consecutive days,
providing detailed descriptions of each item consumed, time of consumption and estimated
amount, based on household measures and photographs, as described previously [16]. The
protocol was designed so that all days were equally represented across the sample. Data from
completed diet diaries were processed by trained diet coders, using the DINO (Data In,
Nutrients Out) dietary assessment system [17]. Data files reported food group, nutrient and
energy intake data for each individual, and included weights to adjust for sampling and non-
response biases.

Participants who had completed a food diary over three or more days were eligible for
inclusion in the analysis. Individuals reporting consumption of less than 500kcal/day or
greater than 4800 kcal/day were excluded due to implausible energy intake, following an
adaption of adult recommendations [18] to take into account the additional energy needs of
growing adolescents [19].

Processing of diet data
Diet quality was assessed using a DASH index, following the methodology used by Gunther et al. [14]. This index assesses diet quality score based on absolute intake of eight food groups, rather than relative intake within a population, and as such is appropriate for comparison of diet quality across different age groups. Individual data were first adjusted to a total energy intake of 2000 kcal per day using the residual method, to account for misreporting of total energy intake and differences in energy intake with age [18]. The data were then categorised into the food groups included in the DASH index and data converted from grams to servings, using values taken from the USDA Food Composition Database [20]. Where available, we used food group data which included disaggregated data from composite dishes (fruit, vegetables, cheese, meat, fish, legumes). Where this was not available (dairy other than cheese, eggs, sweets, oils) non-disaggregated data were used [16,21]. We used the data on servings of each food group to generate the DASH index, following the scoring used by Gunther et al. [14]. This index is scored out of a total of 80, with a higher score indicating higher diet quality. Each of the food groups are scored out of 10. Where a higher intake is recommended, the maximum score of 10 was given where the intake met the recommendations and lower intakes scored proportionately. For food groups where DASH favours lower intakes (Meat, poultry, fish & eggs, Fats, oils, Sweets), a score of 10 was given where the intake met the recommendations, and a score of 0 applied where intakes were double the recommended level. Intakes between these values were scored proportionately. Grains and dairy scores were made up of two parts, each scored out of 5, for total grains and high-fibre grains, and total dairy and low-fat dairy respectively. For further details of scoring of each food group, see Appendix A.

**Covariates**

Age, sex and ethnicity of the participants were self-reported by all participants. Given observed non-linear associations of diet with age, we categorised age according to 6 age groups: 13-15, 16-18, 19-21, 22-24, 25-27 and 28-30 years. Ethnicity was classified according to 5 groups. Survey year was classified according to year of data collection. Socio-economic class (SEC) of the household reference person was reported by the household reference person. We present summary data on this variable in Table 1. However, given the variation across age-groups, with high proportions of ‘never worked’ in the age 19-21 age group, as well as the likely change in the meaning of this variable from adolescence (where the parent is frequently the household reference person) to early adulthood (where the participant or their partner is more likely to be the household reference person), we decided not to include SEC as a covariate in our analyses.

**Statistical Analyses**

All the analyses were performed using STATA version 14. The weights provided with the dataset were applied to account for sampling and response biases. Total DASH index score, and each DASH index component, were regressed on age category, adjusting for ethnicity and survey year, to improve precision of estimates. We used the STATA ‘margins’ command to obtain adjusted predictions of DASH index score, and DASH index components, for each age category and sex, at the means of covariates (ethnicity, and survey year).

To investigate the variation in intakes of each of the DASH index food group components in more detail, we analysed the associations between intake of each food group (in grams) with age category. As above, we used the STATA ‘margins’ command to obtain adjusted predictions of mean intake in each age category at the means of covariates.

**RESULTS**
Individuals aged between ages 13 and 30 years who had completed a food diary of at least 3 days (n=2989) were eligible for inclusion. Of those included in this analysis, 98.2% had completed a food diary over 4 days. Eight participants were dropped from the analyses due to implausible energy intakes, and two individuals were dropped due to missing covariate data, leaving 2979 individuals for analyses. The sample was weighted to be representative of the UK population and weighted socio-demographic data are presented in Table 1.

### Table 1. Socio-demographic data on the weighted sample, by age category, NDNS Rolling Programme yrs 1-8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age category (years)</th>
<th>13-15 (n=457)</th>
<th>16-18 (n=474)</th>
<th>19-21 (n=529)</th>
<th>22-24 (n=499)</th>
<th>25-27 (n=522)</th>
<th>28-30 (n=497)</th>
<th>Total (n=2979)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong>% Female</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Socio-economic classification (NS-SEC3) of household reference person</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managerial and Professional Occupations</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Occupations</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine &amp; Manual Occupations</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never worked and other</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnic group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>84.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed ethnic group</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or Black British</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Asian British</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any other group</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current occupational status</strong>% in Education</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% in Employment</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Not working</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean DASH index score among the population studied was 34.8 (95% CI 34.3, 35.4), out of a maximum score of 80, with 80 representing the highest diet quality. We observed a significant association between sex and DASH index ($\beta=1.58, \text{CI}=0.48/2.68$), with higher mean diet quality among females than males. Although we found no statistically significant interaction (p-values p=0.24 and larger) between sex and age category, we report findings of diet quality by age category separately by sex, allowing interrogation of different patterns of the food components that contribute to the diet quality score (Figure 1). Analysing separately by sex, we saw no significant differences in diet quality with age among males, but a higher diet quality among females aged 19-21 ($\beta=2.04, \text{CI 0.05, 4.02}$), 25-27 ($\beta=3.77, \text{CI 1.36, 6.18}$) and 28-30 ($\beta=2.39, \text{CI 0.53, 4.26}$), compared with age 13-15.
As shown in Figure 1, the score for ‘Meat, poultry, fish & eggs’, was consistently low across the age categories, primarily due to intakes above the recommended values. Fruit score remained low across the age categories and sexes, due to low intakes. There was more variation observed in the sweets score, which is reverse scored such that a higher score represents low sweet consumption (Appendix A), with different patterns observed in males and females. While the fats and oils score appears high (reflecting low intakes), this may be due to lack of inclusion of fats and oils reported as part of composite dishes in our dataset.

Figure 1. Diet quality (DASH index) by age category, and sex, NDNS Rolling Programme yrs 1-8. Total DASH scores are out of a maximum of 80 points, with each component score from a maximum of 10 points. Scores adjusted for ethnicity, and survey year. Errors bar indicate 95% confidence interval of the total DASH score. *** P<0.001 ** P<0.01 *P<0.05 for difference between total DASH score for each age category compared to reference category (age 13-15).

Table 2 presents the absolute intake in grams for each of the food group components that make up the DASH index, allowing us to look at variation in levels of consumption with age in more detail. In both sexes we see an increase in vegetable intake with age, with greater differences by age category seen in females. In males we see a lower dairy consumption at ages 19-21 and 28-30. No association of total dairy intake with age is seen in females, but a higher intake of low-fat dairy is seen in older female age groups. Table 2 also shows a number of food groups where higher or lower intakes were seen in particular age categories, but no consistent trend with age.
### Table 2. Intake of DASH index component food groups, by age category, NDNS Rolling Programme yrs 1-8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean intake for Age Category, adjusted for ethnicity and survey year (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Males</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit (g/day)</td>
<td>175.9 (157.1, 194.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables (g/day)</td>
<td>185.7 (176.2, 195.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grains (g/day)</td>
<td>687.9 (640.1, 735.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-fibre grains (g/day)</td>
<td>83.9 (52.4, 115.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dairy (g/day)</td>
<td>241.3 (208.8, 273.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-fat dairy (g/day)</td>
<td>93.7 (25.7, 161.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish, eggs, meat, poultry (g/day)</td>
<td>139.3 (131.5, 147.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuts, seeds, legumes, beans (g/day)</td>
<td>13.5 (11.2, 15.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oils (g/day)</td>
<td>7.18 (6.13, 8.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweets (g/day)</td>
<td>43.5 (39.4, 47.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Females</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit (g/day)</td>
<td>171.7 (157.1, 186.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables (g/day)</td>
<td>205.9 (196.9, 214.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grains (g/day)</td>
<td>604.3 (575.4, 633.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-fibre grains (g/day)</td>
<td>47.9 (38.7, 57.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dairy (g/day)</td>
<td>174.4 (160.1, 188.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-fat dairy (g/day)</td>
<td>77.6 (50.6, 104.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish, eggs, meat, poultry (g/day)</td>
<td>129.1 (123.3, 134.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuts, seeds, legumes, beans (g/day)</td>
<td>14.5 (12.2, 16.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oils (g/day)</td>
<td>6.94 (6.23, 7.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweets (g/day)</td>
<td>43.1 (39.1, 47.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** P<0.001 ** P<0.01 *P<0.05, regression of food group on age category, age 13-15 as reference category. In line with food group definitions for the DASH index score, fruit includes fruit juice, vegetables includes potatoes.
**DISCUSSION**

*Summary of main findings*

Our analyses show limited variation in overall diet quality with age among UK adolescents and adults. Diet quality scores remained low, at around 35 out of a maximum of 80, with considerable room for improvement seen across almost all component food groups. When the sexes were analysed separately, small improvements in diet quality were seen among females, but not males, at ages 19-21, 25-27 and 28-30 years in comparison with the 13-15 years age group. More variation in diet with age was observed at the level of the food groups. Among both males and females, fruit intake was lowest at age 19-21, while older participants consumed more vegetables compared to younger participants. Dairy intake was lower among older age categories for males, while among females there was no change in total dairy intake, but intake of low fat dairy was higher among older age groups.

*Comparison with previous evidence and implications of the findings*

The DASH index scores achieved in this population were roughly in line with previously reported scores among other populations. DASH index scores in the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study ranged from a median of 21.4 in quintile 1 to 43.0 in quintile 5 [9]. Gunther et al. reported mean DASH index scores of 39.9 among youth with Type-1 diabetes mellitus, and 36.6 among youth with type-2 diabetes mellitus [14], a few points higher than the mean scores seen in our study. Our data for food group intakes were similar to those reported from the National Diet and Nutrition Survey, taking into account differences in food group definitions [22]. Nevertheless, these scores were less than half of the maximum score of 80, achieved for a high quality diet, suggesting much room for improvement in diet quality in our population.

Few studies have focused on variation in diet quality with age within the adolescent and young adult population. Our findings are consistent with longitudinal findings from the NEXT Plus study in the US, which reported small improvements according to two out of three diet quality indices assessed from age 16 to 20, but did not disaggregate findings by sex [8]. Our overall finding of a higher diet quality among older females is explained by our more detailed findings of higher consumption of vegetables, low-fat dairy and legumes among these age groups. Greater variation with age might be expected in females than males, if these changes are reflective of lifestyle changes, given previous evidence of greater change in dietary intakes across transitions [3] and stronger associations of diet with the home environment [23] among females than males. Despite inclusion of fruit juice and potatoes in our definitions of fruit and vegetables, mean intake of fruit and vegetables remained below the recommended intake of 5 servings per day, in almost all age categories. In this analysis we found that vegetable intake did increase with increasing age, while fruit intake was lowest at age 19-21 and then increased again. The increase in vegetable intakes with increasing age, even through adolescence, is in contrast to previous studies from Brazil and the US which suggest that vegetable intake decreases with age during...
adolescence [24,25]. In a Norwegian cohort, vegetable intake was observed to decrease to age 21, before subsequently increasing [3]. It may be that changes in trends over time and differences across cultures are responsible for these different patterns.

Dairy intake is important in adolescence, associated with improved adolescent cardiometabolic health [26], as well as reduced risk of cardiovascular disease and diabetes later in life [27]. There is some evidence for positive associations with bone health, however whether such a relationship is likely to be causal is now debated [28]. While a recommended level of dairy intake is not provided in UK dietary recommendations, the Dietary Guidelines for Americans recommend intake of 3 cup-equivalents of dairy per day (e.g. 720g of milk) [29]. Our analyses suggest that dairy intake, already well below recommendations, decreases in males beyond the end of adolescence. No corresponding decrease in total dairy intake is seen females, but from age 19 onwards, intake of low-fat dairy begins to increase with age, suggesting that low-fat is replacing full-fat dairy intake. Other studies have shown similar changes in dairy intake during this age range. For example, a study from the US reported decreases in dairy servings among both males and females from age 15 to age 20 [30], while an Australian cohort showed decreases among both males and females from age 14 to age 17 years [31]. These findings suggest that the end of the teenage years may be a particularly important time to promote dairy consumption, with switching to low-fat dairy proposed as a solution for those concerned about high fat intake.

Strengths and limitations

In this study we have investigated changes in diet with age through adolescence and early adulthood. However, it is important to note that as a cross-sectional study, this study has the disadvantage that we are not able to follow the same individuals over time, to assess within-person change in diet. Nevertheless, this analysis makes use of eight years of very recent data from a large, nationally representative dataset, allowing us to provide a contemporary picture of variation in diet across the age range of interest, and report results that are generalisable to the current UK population.

Diet was assessed using diet diaries, collecting information on all foods and drinks consumed over 4 days. This method is considered to be one of the more robust methods of assessing diet in free-living individuals, including adolescents [32]. While data are self-reported, use of a comprehensive method of dietary intake allowed us to adjust for total energy consumed in our analyses. This both takes into account mis-reporting of total energy intake, and means that diet quality was assessed independently of total levels of consumption. One limitation of our findings is that it is possible that mis-reporting of diet may vary with age, which would bias our findings, however there is currently no evidence available addressing this issue.

We assessed diet quality using a well-recognised measure of diet quality, the DASH index, following previously published methodology. While there are a number of different options for

assessing diet quality, the DASH index performs well in comparison with other diet quality scores at prediction of health outcomes [10]. DASH is appropriate for use in adolescents, given evidence of associations with adolescent outcomes [12,13] and inclusion of dairy as a positive component of diet quality [33]. This index also does not include moderate levels of alcohol intake as a positive component of the score (as in the Mediterranean Diet Score [10]), which might be particularly inappropriate in adolescents.

CONCLUSIONS

Overall we find that diet quality, as assessed by the DASH index, is low in UK adolescents and young adults, with small increases in diet quality in early adulthood seen among females but not males. Changes in diet with age were seen at the level of the food groups, with some changes, such as increases in vegetable intake, and switching to low-fat dairy, suggesting an opportunity for improvement in diet in early adulthood. Moreover, changes in food group intakes suggests that, across this age range, changes in behaviour are taking place, possibly in response to the ongoing changes in environmental and social context which typically occur during this life stage. Given these ongoing behavioural changes, this life stage may be a key opportunity for intervention to promote improvements in diet, but more evidence is needed to support appropriate policy and intervention development. Further longitudinal research is needed to investigate the modifiable determinants of changes in diet during this age range and understand differences in dietary trajectories among different population groups.
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Appendix A

Table A1. Scoring of the DASH index score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score component</th>
<th>Maximum score</th>
<th>Requirement for maximum score</th>
<th>Requirement for minimum score (0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fruit¹</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&gt;=4 servings/day</td>
<td>0 servings/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables²</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&gt;=4 servings/day</td>
<td>0 servings/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Grains</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;=6 servings/day</td>
<td>0 servings/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-fibre grains</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;=50% daily grains servings</td>
<td>0% daily grains servings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dairy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;=2 servings /day</td>
<td>0 servings /day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-fat Dairy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;=75% daily dairy servings</td>
<td>0% daily dairy servings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat, poultry, fish &amp; eggs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;=2 servings /day</td>
<td>&gt;=4 servings /day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuts, seeds, legumes &amp; beans</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&gt;=4 servings/week</td>
<td>0 servings/week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fats, oils</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;=3 servings /day</td>
<td>&gt;=6 servings /day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweets</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;=5 servings/week</td>
<td>&gt;=10 servings/week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum total score</strong></td>
<td><strong>80</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are for 2000 kcal/d. Intakes between minimum and maximum levels were scored proportionally.

1. Fruit includes fruit juice
2. Vegetables includes potatoes