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1.1 Abstract

Mating strategies in fishes are known to include polygyny, polyandry and monogamy and provide valuable insights regarding powerful evolutionary forces such as sexual selection. Monogamy is a complex of mating systems that has been relatively neglected. Previous work on mating strategies in fishes has often been based on observation and focused on marine species rather than freshwater fishes. SNPs are increasingly being used as a molecular ecology tool in non-model organisms, and methods of probabilistic genetic analysis of such datasets are becoming available for use in the absence of parental genotypes. This approach can be used to infer mating strategies. The long-term pair bonding seen in mammals, reptiles and birds has not been recorded in freshwater fishes — in every other respect an extremely diverse group. This study shows that multi-year pair bonding occurs in an Australian Percichthyid fish. Using SNPs full sibling pairs of larvae were found over multiple years in a three-year study. Stable isotope signatures of the larvae support the genetic inference that full sibling pairs shared a common mother, the ultimate source of that isotopic signature during oogenesis. Spatial clustering also suggests that the full sibling larvae are unlikely to be false positive identifications of the probabilistic identification of siblings. For the first time, we show multi-year pair bonding in a freshwater fish. This will have important conservation and management implications for the species. This approach could provide insights into many behavioural, ecological and evolutionary questions, particularly if this is not a unique case. Our findings are likely to initiate interest in seeking more examples of monogamy and alternative mating strategies in freshwater fishes, particularly as others improve methods of analysis of SNP data for identification of siblings in the absence of parental genotypes.

1.2 Introduction

Pair-bonding is widely documented across much of the animal kingdom and is reported in mammals, birds, reptiles, and fish. Pair-bonding is often associated with monogamy, site fidelity, shared parental care and a strong affinity between individuals (de Waal & Gavrilets, 2013), but none of these characteristics is exclusive to pair-bonded animals. Pair-bonding may be short, medium, long-term or even lifelong. Monogamy is a complex of mating systems that has been relatively neglected (Mock & Fujioka, 1990). Fish are under-represented in the pair-bonding
literature generally, but there is little reason to suppose it does not occur as a good mating strategy
in the fishes with their diverse and ancient evolutionary lineages. There are examples of pair-
bonding in marine species such as the seahorse (*Hippocampus whitei* Bleeker, 1855) (Vincent &
Sadler, 1995; Kvarnemo et al., 2000) the French Angelfish (*Pomacanthus paru* Bloch,
1787) (Whiteman & Côte, 2004), Hawkfish (Donaldson, 1989)and the Ceratiidae family of
Lophiiformes (Anglerfish) (Turner, 1986). Although genetic monogamy is thought to be
uncommon in fish (Tatarenkov et al., 2006) it has been seen in Bonnethead sharks (*Sphyrna tiburo
Linnaeus, 1758*) (Chapman et al., 2004) and cichlids (Steinwender, Koblmüller & Sefc, 2012;
Takahashi & Ochi, 2012).

Evidence of other mating strategies in fish is common. Polyandry is reported in Sandbar
(*Carcharhinus plumbeus* Nardo, 1827), Bignose (*Carcharhinus altimus* Springer, 1950) and
Galapagos sharks (*Carcharhinus galapagensis* Snodgrass and Heller, 1905) (Daly-Engel et al.,
2006) and Teleosts such as the Lingcod (*Ophiodon elongatus* Girard, 1854) (King & Withler,
2005). Harem polygyny is known in an obligate coral-dwelling fish, the Pygmy coral croucher
(*Caracanthus unipinna* Gray, 1831) (Wong, Munday & Jones, 2005). Channel catfish (*Ictalurus
punctatus* Rafinesque, 1818) provide a rare suspected example of genetic monogamy in a fish
species with uniparental offspring care (Tatarenkov et al., 2006).

There are six hypotheses suggested for the evolution of monogamous pair-bonds (Whiteman &
Côte, 2004). These authors show that paternal care may act to increase the likelihood of
monogamy in combination with each of the proposed hypotheses through decreased benefits to
males from searching for additional mates or increased advantages to females from sequestering a
single high-quality mate. Other researchers also argue that monogamy results from the need to
guarantee a high-quality mate and territory in a competitive environment (Morley & Balshine,
2002).

Male parental care has typically been thought to come at a cost to mate attraction. More recently it
has been shown experimentally that in the Sand goby, (*Pomatoschistus minutus* (Pallas, 1770)),
females prefer to mate with males that provide higher levels of parental care (Lindström, Mary &
Pampoulie, 2006). Their earlier work had shown that males improve their display of parental duties
in response to the presence of females, as sort of demonstration of ‘courtship parental care’ and
conclude that male care may not necessarily be in conflict with mate attraction.
Males run the risk of investing in the offspring of other males if they provide parental care (Wakano & Ihara, 2005). Nevertheless, in various species, males provide parental care, and females mate with multiple males. Using an individual-based model of a diploid two-locus, two-allelic genetic model in birds, the same authors identify that the parameters that directly favour male parental care, such as the small cost of paternal care, have indirect positive effects on the evolution of female multiple mating, and negative effects in the opposite case. The same authors also identify that both traits are more likely to evolve when the number of matings is smaller.

Medium, long or life-long pair-bonding in freshwater species in the wild has not been reported in the literature. There is a single example of short-term pair-bond demonstrated experimentally in a mouthbrooding Cichlid (Xenotilapia rotundiventralis Takahashi, Yanagisawa & Nakaya, 1997). This is demonstrable because of its genetic monogamy and parental care requiring the transfer of embryos from the female to the male after three days (Takahashi & Ochi, 2012). There is no obvious intrinsic reason for long-term pair-bonding to be underrepresented in the repertoire of freshwater fishes mating systems.

The absence of identification of long-term pair-bonding in freshwater species may have a number of explanations. For example, there may have been less research effort compared with marine systems, perhaps because making observational studies in turbid or high energy freshwater systems is very difficult. Tagging or radio-tracking adult fish in freshwater systems could produce circumstantial evidence of pair-bonding, but even this sort of long-term or periodic co-location evidence has not been reported. Modern genetic techniques, particularly genome reduction methods with a large number of markers available, and the development of bioinformatics methods to analyse these larger data sets, along with the relevant meta-data, now allows for cost-effective identification of genetic monogamy and pair-bonding.

1.2.1 Identifying Pair-Bonds in an Australian Freshwater Fish

The iconic Australian Murray cod (Maccullochella peeli) (Mitchell, 1938) is one of four morphologically cryptic but genetically distinct species within Maccullochella (Rowland, 1993; Nock et al., 2010). The Murray cod is Australia’s largest freshwater fish and can grow to as large as 180 cm in length with a maximum recorded weight of 113.6 kg. Its fecundity ranges from 9,000-120,000 eggs annually. The species is highly sought after by anglers. It is limited to parts of the Murray-Darling Basin (MDB) and is listed as vulnerable under the Australian Environment

Murray cod is a species worth studying for potential evidence of long-term pair-bonding and alternative mating strategies. Male Murray cod are known to provide parental care and have evolved in a competitive environment. They are long-lived (up to 48 years), slow-maturing (Linternans, 2007) and show high site fidelity (Koehn & Nicol, 2016). These are life history factors associated with long-term pair-bonding in other species. Murray cod have been shown to exhibit repeated mating, as well as polygyny and polyandry over three breeding seasons when held captive in ponds (Rourke et al., 2009).

In this study SNPS were used to look for sibling relationships of Murray cod larvae and these data, in combination with relevant metadata, were used to infer the existence of multi-year pair-bonding as a mating strategy. The probability of multi-year pair-bonding occurring is considered and evidence is provided that is not due to contamination during sample processing, nor an artefact of the probabilistic approach to inferring sibship relationships in the absence of known parentals.

1.3 Methods

This study combines spatial and temporal data from three years of larval sampling with genomic data (SNPS) to infer relationships between larval fish. Carbon and Nitrogen stable isotope data is used to validate the relationship data that has been generated.

1.3.1 Study Site

We sampled Murray cod larvae from six sites along a 50km upland reach of the in Murrumbidgee River in the Australian Capital Territory (ACT), Australia (Figure 1).
Figure 1: Upper Murrumbidgee River study area. Collection sites are shown in bold black text and putative barriers to adult fish migration are shown in red text. Barriers from F Dyer, M Lintermans & A Couch (2014, unpublished data).

1.3.2 Larval Fish Collection, Identification, Preparation and Aging
Data were collected as previously described in Couch et al. (2016). Specifically we examined 261 of 2607 Maccullochella larvae which were collected in 2011, 2012, and 2013 from the six sites (Figure 1) using larval drift nets. The sympatric Maccullochella species Trout cod (M. *macquariensis*) and hybrid larvae were identified using a combination of SNPs and mitochondrial DNA sequencing (Couch et al. 2016). These were excluded from the dataset leaving 251 larval Murray cod used for this analysis. Larvae and tissue samples were preserved in 95% ethanol at room temperature until 2014 after which samples were stored at -20°C. Fish were collected under ACT Government licences LT2011516, LT2012590 and LT20133653. The research was conducted under approvals CEAE 11-15 and CEAE 13-17 from the University of Canberra Committee for Ethics in Animal Experimentation.

1.3.2.1 Age of Larval Fish

Age estimation has previously been based on larval length (Koehn & Harrington, 2006), larval otolith diameter (Vogel, 2003) and daily growth increments in larval Murray cod otoliths (Humphries, 2005). In the present study, age was estimated using a combination of the above methods. Estimates were made based on otolith size using the mean sagittal otolith length of both otoliths where possible for each larva. While this is less accurate than daily increment ageing it does allow many more larvae to be aged in the time available. Mean otolith lengths of both sagittal otoliths were calculated for 365 larvae. Subsets of 29 of 84, 29 of 51 and 31 of 230 were aged by a commercial provider for the years 2011, 2012, 2013 respectively. From this, a curve was developed for each year and estimated ages, based on mean otolith length, was calculated (Couch, 2018).

1.3.2.2 Estimation of Spawning and Hatch Times

Murray cod larva hatch from eggs deposited on hard substrates after 4.5–13 days (depending on water temperature), (Koehn & O’Connor, 1990; Koehn & Harrington, 2005, 2006) or 3-8 days (Humphries, 2005), and drift in the water column for some time (Humphries, 2005). In this study, the spawning to hatching time (Incubation) which is known to be a temperature dependent process was estimated using the formula developed by Ryan et al. (2003). That is:

\[
\text{Incubation}_{\text{duration}} = 20.67 - 0.667 \times \text{[WaterTemp(°C)]}
\]

Equation 1
The median of the estimates of the duration of brood care (4-10 days) (Humphries, 2005) and dispersal (4 days) (Gilligan & Schiller, 2003) were, with larval age, used to back-calculate spawning and hatch dates, and dispersal duration. The process, following spawning migration and courtship, can be summarised as:

\[
\text{Spawning} | \text{Incubation(egg care)} | \text{Hatch} | \text{Brood-Care} | \text{Dispersing-Capture}\]

Therefore:

\[
\text{DoY}_{\text{Hatch}} = \text{DoY}_{\text{Capture}} - \text{Age} \quad \text{Equation 2}
\]

\[
\text{DoY}_{\text{Spawning}} = \text{DoY}_{\text{Hatch}} - \text{Duration}_{\text{Incubation}} \quad \text{Equation 3}
\]

\[
\text{Dispersal}_{\text{duration}} = \text{Age} - \text{Broodcare} \quad \text{Equation 4}
\]

Once dispersal, age and capture parameters were known, these equations were then used to calculate hatch and spawning dates.

1.3.3 Putative Nest Location

Individual nest sites are unknown so an estimate of the putative nest site was made based on a mean larval dispersal velocity of 700 metres per day for the duration of the time available for dispersal based on the larva age.

1.3.4 Genomic DNA Extraction and Sequencing

Genomic DNA Extraction and Sequencing was performed as previously described in Couch et al., (2016). Total DNA of different genotypes was isolated from whole larval heads. The DNA extraction protocol is detailed in (Couch & Young, 2016) and is based on a turtle DNA extraction protocol (FitzSimmons, Moritz & Moore, 1995). Sequencing was done using DArT PL DArTseq™ which represents a combination of DArT complexity reduction methods and next-generation sequencing platforms (Kilian et al., 2012; Courtois et al., 2013; Cruz, Kilian & Dierig, 2013; Raman et al., 2014). Sequences generated were processed using proprietary DArT analytical pipelines (www.diversityarrays.com).
1.3.5 Marker Scoring and Statistical Analysis

Marker Scoring and Statistical Analysis was performed as previously described in Couch et al., (2016). Specifically, DArTsoft (Diversity Arrays Technology, Building 3, University of Canberra, Australia), a software package developed by DArT PL (http://www.diversityarrays.com/software.html), was used to both identify and score the markers that were polymorphic.

1.3.5.1 SNP Analyses

SNP Analyses have been described in Couch et al. (2016). Variation in the genome-wide SNP data of the studied Maccullochella genotypes was analysed using Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) using sequential K-means and model selection to infer genetic clusters (Jombart, Devillard & Balloux, 2010) using R package ‘adegenet’ version 2.0.1 (Jombart, 2008). The data were converted into a genlight object and three principal components were retained. Two principal components were plotted using ggplot2 version 2.1 (Wickham, 2009). Summary and comparative statistics were created in R version 3.3.0 (R Development Core Team & R Core Team, 2013) and Tableau version 9.2 (Tableau, 2013). Maps were created using ArcGIS version 10 (ESRI, 2013) and Tableau.

1.3.6 Carbon and Nitrogen Stable Isotope Analysis

Dried muscle material from each fish larvae (0.86mg ± 0.17 SD), the bulk of the posterior portion of its body without head and gut of the fish, were encapsulated in tin. Samples were combusted in an elemental analyser mass spectrometer (Sercon, Crewe, United Kingdom) at the Australian National University Research School of Earth Sciences Radiocarbon Laboratory, on a fee-for-service basis and assayed for δ15N and δ13C stable isotope ratios and C:N ratio. Isotopic signatures were determined based on Australian National University isotopic standards (USGU41, USGU40, Caffeine and Gelatine). Measurement precision was approximately 0.08 ‰ for 13C and 0.15 ‰ for 15N. Isotope values are expressed as the relative parts per thousand (‰).

1.3.7 Inferring existence of family groups using spatio-temporal data

Plots were made using hatch date and putative nest location for larvae from each annual cohort. Individual clusters, corresponding to putative nests, were identified and nominated.
1.3.8 Inferring Sibship using Related

The probability of relatedness (r) was calculated for individual larval dyads based on the trioml algorithm using ‘related’ (Pew et al. 2015).

A simulation was run to identify a probability density function for full siblings, parent-offspring, half-siblings, and unrelated individuals using the allele frequencies from the DARTseqs for each larva. Probability estimates that would best estimate the cut-off probability between full siblings and half-siblings was identified and used to subset likely full-sibling dyads from the larval dyads. Full siblings were assigned a name representing their putative ‘mother’.

The relationships between individuals based on the dyads and the probabilities was visualised by making network graphs and plotting them using Gephi (Bastian, Heymann & Jacomy, 2009) and ‘r’ package ‘iGraph’ (Csardi & Nepusz, 2006). The set of dyads containing full siblings was used to prepare network graphs to facilitate visualisation of the family groups and the assignation of a name to putative female parent.

1.3.9 Inbreeding Coefficient

The two likelihood algorithms - dyadML and trioml - as well as the lynchrd, and ritland algorithms within the ‘r’ package ‘related’ can account for inbreeding in their estimates of relatedness. The command “allow.inbreeding=TRUE” was set to output an inbreeding coefficient for each individual under each of the three algorithms above.

1.3.10 Comparison of inferred family groups and sibships

The plot illustrating family groups previously identified and named using spatio-temporal data alone was then coloured by the name of the putative mother. This comparison increased precision in identification of ‘family’ groups.

1.4 Results

1.4.1 Accounting for Outbred Population

Using the measures of relatedness (r) calculated using the trioml algorithm it became apparent that there were two distinct groups within the larvae sampled; those that were highly outbred (r<-0.4), and the rest which were not strongly inbred or outbred (r> -0.4 and < 0.3). Relatedness amongst the non-outbred fish was used to determine common parentals. Principal component analysis of those
larvae considered to be outbred suggested a difference between the two populations. These
differences were not correlated with location or year. Fish with a coefficient of inbreeding below -
0.4 were considered outbreds and separate from the ‘river’ fish. These fish were excluded from
subsequent related analysis as they were considered likely to be Murray cod introduced from a
recent re-stocking program.

Figure 2: Principal component analysis - outbred and non-outbred fish.

1.4.2 Inferring existence of family groups using spatio-temporal data alone.

Initially, the existence of family groups was inferred from a scatterplot of spatial and temporal
separation of larvae. Figure 3 shows clusters, each distributed over both space and time of larvae in
a similar place and time.
Figure 3: Inferring existence of family groups using spatio-temporal data. In the x-axis, \( K \) represents thousands (metres) and so may also be read as kilometres below Angle Crossing.

### 1.4.3 Inferring Sibship using Related

Simulation using the allele frequencies present in the population produced the probability density function in figure 4. In this way, the overlap between relatedness values of can be assessed. In this case, a ‘cutoff’ value of any \( r \) value above 0.4 was selected to identify most full sibling dyads while minimising the possibility of inadvertently misclassifying half-siblings as full siblings (Figure 4). The possibility of parent-offspring relationships is obviated because all larvae in the analysis were collected within three years and the sexual maturity of Murray cod is greater than 4-5 years (Lintermans 2007).
Figure 4: Probability density function for relatedness ($r$) as simulated by ‘r package related and based on the allele frequencies in larval Murray cod 2011-2013 in the Murrumbidgee River.

After identifying the optimal value of $r$ to eliminate dyads least likely to represent full siblings, the set of dyads were filtered to include full siblings only. This resulted in 35 dyads (pairs of full siblings) that were assigned to a family group.

1.4.4 Nomination of Putative Female Parent

The set of dyads containing full siblings was used to prepare network graphs to facilitate visualisation of the family groups and the assignation of a name to putative female parent. Four
female Murray cod mated with the same male for more than one year (Figure 5). One pair mated for three years sequentially and three other pairs mated for two of the three years studied, one pair detected mating sequentially and two pairs detected mating in non-sequential years.
Figure 5: Full sibling dyads were identified and assigned a putative female parent name. Full sibling larvae from multiple years are boxed. The rest are full sibling pairs found only within that one year. Singleton larvae – those without any identified full siblings - are not shown.

1.4.5 Comparison of inferred family groups and sibships
A spatio-temporal plot was coloured by the putative female parent of the larvae (Figure 6) and it is clear there is a good correlation between identification of groups by spatio-temporal factors alone when compared to the genetic relatedness of the nest groups.

Figure 6: Inferring existence of family groups using spatio-temporal data coloured by the nominal female parent. Some larval labels and two mother’s names are shown for reference.

When the Carbon and Nitrogen Isotope ratios of the larvae are considered the strong clustering of CN isotope ratios and female parent illustrates a high correlation between full sibling status and body isotope signature provided to the larva from its female parent (Figure 7). This also supports the validity of parentage assignment based on related analysis.
Figure 7: δ15N and δ13C stable isotope ratio plot coloured by nominal female parent. Some larval labels and two mother’s names are shown for reference.

The identification of sibling relationship is also supported by the limited number of observations (just 3 individuals of 35 full sibling pairs) that were found at separate sites, and those three were only at detected at sites immediately adjacent to the other sibling.

1.4.6 Probability of Observed Multi-Year Pair-Bonding

The multi-year bonding identified in this case is unlikely to be due to chance. The probability of multi-year pair-bonding occurring within the cohorts by chance can be estimated if:

\[ y = \text{number years (2 or 3) with the same mate; and} \]

\[ n = \text{number of pairs (138) including singletons.} \]
and we assume 50/50 sex ratio in accordance with the findings of previous research on the species (Cadwallader, 1977; Koehn & O’Connor, 1990) then the probability of pairing is:

\[ p = \left(\frac{1}{n} - 1\right)^y - 1 \]  

Equation 5

Applying Equation 5 for an individual female, the probability of mating with the same male for two years is < 0.008 and for each individual female the probability of mating with the same male for three years is < 0.00005. Clearly, the probability of four individuals each choosing the same mate for multiple years reduces this probability even further.

To put it another way; for \( p \) to even approach non-significance at the 0.05 level, the number of available males would need to be as low as five. Thus it seems unlikely to be random or size based mate selection.

1.5 Discussion

This study has, for the first time in the wild, allowed us to infer that some male and female Murray cod pair-bond for more than one year at a time. This suggests that Murray cod exhibit long-term pair-bonding under some conditions. Our study does not provide any evidence that polyandry or polygyny are absent, nor does it provide evidence for or against the coexistence of alternative mating strategies. Data similarly derived on half-siblings status may be able to provide significantly more detail of mating strategies in use. Nevertheless, if pair bonding is a feature of long-lived freshwater fish, then it has profound implications for management and conservation strategies.

Because of the limited numbers of full sibling pairs detected across years (4/35 pairs in three years), it might be concluded from these data that multi-year pair-bonding is not a commonly adopted mating strategy, and this may be the case. However, such a conclusion is considered unwarranted because the spatial and temporal distribution of sampling of the current study reduces the possibility of detecting full siblings by its limited resolution. Furthermore, recreational fishing pressure may reduce the likelihood of identifying multi-year pair-bonds by eliminating some adult fish from the breeding pool each year. Murray cod are an iconic Australian recreational target and are, if stocking numbers are a guide, the second most sought-after native freshwater fish in the Murray-Darling Basin (Reynoldson, 2017) and while recreational fishing regulations prohibit the take of Murray cod during the spawning season (NSW Department of Primary Industries, 2017).
catch and release is not prohibited, with the aggressive behaviour of adults during this time well
known. This risk even applies to angled fish which are subsequently released, (Henry & Lyle,
2003) because such fish have been shown to reabsorb oocytes after the stress of capture and
release (Cooke & Suski, 2005). In this case, a female may return to her home territory rather than
pursue a breeding opportunity. In turn, the male would then be more likely to select another mate
for that breeding season. A similar disruption is also likely should it be the male that is caught and
pulled from his nest territory before spawning or while nest guarding. Examining more larvae for
sibling pairs would help quantify the prevalence multi-year pair-bonding as a mating strategy. So
too would conducting a multi-year study in waters closed to fishing.

The probability estimates in this study make some assumptions that need consideration. Firstly, the
possibility of all males and females being able to access each other across the study reach was
assumed. Movement studies of adult fish elsewhere indicate Murray cod can and sometimes do
undertake long spawning migrations (Lintermans, 2007; Koehn & Nicol, 2016) and there are fewer
than five physical barriers to adult fish movement in this reach when river height peak and some
Secondly, we have assumed that strong size-assortative mating preferences have not unduly limited
potential mating partners for individual Murray cod. There is no data on size-assortative mating in
Murray cod but it is potentially a factor that may cause two individual fish to come together
disproportionately often. This could be because of size selection per se or because larger fish claim
the best nesting sites each year. The authors consider very strong assortative mating unlikely and in
any case the end result is still multi-year pair-bonding.

Other physiological and behavioural factors have been associated with monogamy and/or long-
term pair-bonding. Some of these may provide avenues for further understanding details of pair-
bonding in Murray cod. These include mate recognition (Sogabe, 2011), hormones such as
oxytocin’s role in social bonding (Acher, Chauvet & Chauvet, 1995; Donaldson & Young, 2008),
variation in operational sex ratio (Sogabe & Yanagisawa, 2007), sexual dimorphism (de Waal &
Gavrilets, 2013), and sex role reversal (Sogabe & Yanagisawa, 2007).

Multi-year bonding in freshwater fish is a novel and important finding that may change attitudes to
these animals and angling. It has been seen in captive Murray cod (Rourke et al., 2009) and now
evidence of it existing in the wild has implications for decisions of fisheries managers when
concerned with minimising disruption to pair-bond formation it will be important to reconsider fishing access during the breeding season. This may entail closures rather than prohibition on take which permits catch-and-release. Higher resolution spatial and temporal sampling would allow not only more certainty regarding identified mating strategies employed by the species but also provide valuable data regarding larval dispersal - still an important question in Australian freshwater fishes in general and Murray cod in particular.

This study was designed primarily to explore spatial and temporal patterns in larval dispersal in an upland river, and any hybridisation with a recently reintroduced formerly sympatric species. That such an exciting finding as hitherto unknown multi-year pair-bonding was detected in part due to serendipity emphasises the knowledge gaps regarding even some basic life history traits of freshwater fish. These include:

• When are pair-bonds formed? Early on in courtship, or just before spawning,
• Does pair-bonding occur in reaches where there are no barriers?
• Do bonded pairs co-locate during the non-breeding season?
• What are the impacts of catch and release on long-term pair-bonding? Is it seasonal disruption or more permanent?

1.6 Conclusion

Our claim that long-term pair-bonding has, for the first time been identified within a large group of animals (the freshwater fish of Australia, and perhaps the world) requires more investigation. This study provides a body of evidence - by no means definitive - that such a mating strategy does exist, in at least one freshwater species. This work does not get to the important question of why such a mating strategy may have been adopted by this species. It is however the necessary first step towards such work that may consider this question. Clearly, subsequent work should investigate pair-bonding in Murray cod, and seek to identify pair-bonding in other freshwater fishes in Australia and elsewhere.
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