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The evolution of herbivory in early tetrapods was crucial in the establishment of terrestrial ecosystems, although it is so far unclear what effect this innovation had on the macro-evolutionary patterns observed within this clade. The clades which entered this under-filled region of ecospace might be expected to have experienced an “adaptive radiation”: an increase in rates of morphological evolution and speciation driven by the evolution of a key innovation. However such inferences are often circumstantial, being based on the coincidence of a rate shift with the origin of an evolutionary novelty. The conclusion of an adaptive radiation may be made more robust by examining the pattern of the evolutionary shift; if the evolutionary innovation coincides not only with a shift in rates of morphological evolution, but specifically in the morphological characteristics relevant to the ecological shift of interest, then one may more plausibly infer a causal relationship between the two. Here I examine the impact of diet evolution on rates of morphological change in one of the earliest tetrapod clades to evolve high-fibre herbivory: Captorhinidae. Using a method of calculating heterogeneity in rates of discrete character change across a phylogeny, it is shown that a significant increase in rates of evolution coincides with the transition to herbivory in captorhinids. The herbivorous captorhinids also exhibit greater morphological disparity than their faunivorous relatives, indicating more rapid exploration of new regions of morphospace. As well as an increase in rates of evolution, there is a shift in the regions of the skeleton undergoing the most change; the character changes in the herbivorous lineages are concentrated in the manible and dentition. The fact that the increase in rates of evolution coincides with increased change in characters relating to food acquisition provides stronger evidence for a causal relationship between the herbivorous diet and the radiation event.
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Abstract.

The evolution of herbivory in early tetrapods was crucial in the establishment of terrestrial ecosystems, although it is so far unclear what effect this innovation had on the macro-evolutionary patterns observed within this clade. The clades which entered this under-filled region of ecospace might be expected to have experienced an “adaptive radiation”: an increase in rates of morphological evolution and speciation driven by the evolution of a key innovation. However such inferences are often circumstantial, being based on the coincidence of a rate shift with the origin of an evolutionary novelty. The conclusion of an adaptive radiation may be made more robust by examining the pattern of the evolutionary shift; if the evolutionary innovation coincides not only with a shift in rates of morphological evolution, but specifically in the morphological characteristics relevant to the ecological shift of interest, then one may more plausibly infer a causal relationship between the two.

Here I examine the impact of diet evolution on rates of morphological change in one of the earliest tetrapod clades to evolve high-fibre herbivory: Captorhinidae. Using a method of calculating heterogeneity in rates of discrete character change across a phylogeny, it is shown that a significant increase in rates of evolution coincides with the transition to herbivory in captorhinids. The herbivorous captorhinids also exhibit greater morphological disparity than their faunivorous relatives, indicating more rapid exploration of new regions of morphospace. As well as an increase in rates of evolution, there is a shift in the regions of the skeleton undergoing the most change; the character changes in the herbivorous lineages are concentrated in the
manible and dentition. The fact that the increase in rates of evolution coincides with increased change in characters relating to food acquisition provides stronger evidence for a causal relationship between the herbivorous diet and the radiation event.
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Introduction

The evolution of high fibre herbivory represents a major step in the establishment of terrestrial ecosystems. Prior to the appearance in the Pennsylvanian of tetrapods capable of feeding directly on plant matter, the vast majority of primary consumers in the terrestrial realm were detritivorous invertebrates (Shear & Sheldon, 2001). By the end of the Cisuralian, five tetrapod lineages had independently evolved a herbivorous diet and terrestrial ecosystems were adopting a more modern set of tropic interactions, with a great abundance of large terrestrial vertebrates supporting a relatively small number of macro-carnivores (Olson 1966; Sues & Reisz 1998).

Although arthropod herbivores were present in terrestrial ecosystems prior to the evolution of herbivory in tetrapods, terrestrial vertebrate herbivores were entering a somewhat under-filled region of ecospace. These early herbivores therefore provide an ideal opportunity to examine the changes in rate and mode of evolution and diversification resulting from evolutionary innovations. Simpson’s adaptive radiation model (Simpson 1953) posits that a “key” evolutionary novelty gives a lineage a selective advantage or allows it to enter a new
ecological niche and thus leads to an increase in morphological diversification or speciation
rates. Such a model is often invoked when analyses of diversification rate heterogeneity identify
shifts which coincide with an innovation of interest (e.g. Benson & Choiniere 2013; Cook &
Ruber et al. 2003; Vences et al. 2002). However, the inference of a causal relationship between
innovation and shift in diversification rate is in these cases circumstantial, based solely on the
coincidence of the shift and the evolutionary novelty. In order to more reliably infer a causal
relationship, one must also examine the precise nature of the shift. For example, Brocklehurst et
al. (2015) showed that, although early amniotes do exhibit diversification rate increases
coinciding with various “key” innovations, the shifts did not represent increases in speciation
rate but instead coincided with periods of increased extinction rate. Thus it was inferred that
these innovations did not cause Simpsonian adaptive radiations, but instead buffered against high
levels of extinction.

In the same way, when attempting to infer a causal relationship between a key innovation
and a shift in rates of morphological evolution, it is not enough to point to a rate shift along the
branch where the innovation appeared, but one must examine the morphological changes
occurring subsequent to the shift; is the clade of interest showing a higher rate of changes in
features relevant to the exploitation of the new ecological niche allowed by the key innovation?
If not, there is unlikely to be a causal link between the two.

This logic is here applied to an examination of rates of morphological evolution in the
earliest herbivores, using the family Captorhinidae as a case study. Captorhinids were a diverse
clade of sauropsids (reptile-line amniotes) which appeared during the Late Pennsylvanian
(Müller & Reisz 2005) and survived until the end of the Permian. Herbivorous members of this
clade appear in the Kungurian, characterised by the multiple rows of teeth and a propalineal
motion of the lower jaw in order to grind and shred plant matter (Dodick & Modesto 1995;
Modesto et al. 2007). In this paper I examine the rates of morphological evolution in this family
using a method incorporating a time calibrated phylogeny and a matrix of discrete characters
(Lloyd et al. 2012). Emphasis is placed not only on examining whether rate increases coincide
with shifts in diet, but also on examining whether a shift in diet coincides with increased
frequency character-state transformation in regions related to feeding, such as the dentition. In
this way, a more robust inference may be made concerning the possibility of an adaptive
radiation coinciding with the origin of herbivory in this family.

Materials and Methods

Phylogeny and time calibration

The phylogeny used was that presented in Liebrecht et al. (2016), currently the most
comprehensive cladistic analysis of captorhinids. The phylogeny was time calibrated in the R
3.1.2 (R Core Team 2014) using the method proposed by Lloyd et al. (2016), itself an expansion
of a method put forward by Hedman (2010). The method of Hedman (2010) was intended to
infer confidence intervals on the age of a specific node in the tree. It is a Bayesian approach
using the ages of successive straigraphically consistent outgroup taxa relative to the age of the
node of interest to make inferences about the quality of sampling; large gaps between the age of
the node of interest and that of the outgroups implies a poorly sampled fossil record, and
therefore the age of the node of interest may be inferred to be older. Lloyd et al. (2016) designed
a procedure whereby this approach could be applied to an entire tree rather than just a specific
node. In applying this method, successive outgroups are required to the total clade. The
outgroups to Captorhinidae employed were: *Paleothyris* and *Hylonomus* (found to be the
outgroups to Captorhinidae in the Bayesian analyses of Müller & Reisz [2005]), *Archaeothyris*
(the earliest known synapsid [Reisz et al. 1972]), and *Westlothiana* (a reptiliamorph outside the
amniote crown according to Ruta & Coates [2007]). A maximum age constraint was set as 334.7
million years ago, the oldest estimate using molecular dating for the origin of Amniota published
within the last five years at the moment of data collection (Parfrey et al. 2011).

Uncertainty surrounding the ages of taxa was accounted for using the method of Pol and
Norrell (2006). For each taxon (including the outgroups), 100 first appearances and last
appearances were drawn at random from a uniform probability distribution covering the full
possible range of ages for that taxon. 100 time-calibrated trees were produced from the 100 sets
of ages.

Since the analysis of Liebrecht et al. (2016) produced two most parsimonious trees
(MPTs), half the time calibrated trees were based on the first, and half on the seconds. All
analyses described below were carried out on all 100 of these trees. All 100 of these trees are
available in supplementary data 1, and the age ranges allowed for each taxon in supplementary
data 2.

Reconstruction of dietary evolution

A dietary character with three states, carnivore, herbivore and omnivore, was scored for
all taxa present in the phylogeny. Ancestral character states were deduced using likelihood,
employing the *ace* function in the ape package (Paradis et al. 2004) in R. This function allow
three models of discrete character change: an equal rates model (transitions between all states in all directions are equally probable), a symmetrical model (transitions between two character states occur with equal probability in either direction, but different pairs of character states have different probabilities of transition) and an all-rates-different model (each transition has a different probability). In order to deduce which model was best for the data available, these models were fit to the captorhinid phylogeny using likelihood methods, employing the fitDiscrete function in the R package geiger (Harmon et al. 2008). The Akaike weights were used to deduce the best fitting model.

Analysis of Rate Variation

Analysis of rate variation was carried out using the method of Lloyd et al. (2012), and later refined by Brusatte et al. (2014) and Close et al. (2015). Discrete morphological character scores may be taken from the matrices used in cladistic analyses, and ancestral states are deduced using likelihood. This allows the number of character changes along each branch to be counted, and rates of character change are calculated by dividing the number of changes along a branch by the branch length. The absolute value calculated for the rate of each branch, however, can be misleading due to the presence of missing data (Lloyd et al. 2012). As such it is more useful to identify branches and clades where the rates of character change are significantly higher or lower than others, rather than comparing the raw numbers. This is assessed by comparing two models using a likelihood ratio test, one where the rates of change are uniform across the whole tree and one where the branch of interest has a different rate to the rest of the tree. A similar method is used to compare rates of evolution through time and identify bins where rates of evolution are significantly high or low.
The character data used is from the matrix of Liebrecht et al. (2016). The time bins used
to examine rate variation through time were substages, dividing the international stages into two
bins, early and late. The analysis was carried out in R using functions from the package Claddis
(Lloyd 2016) on all 100 of the time calibrated trees. The data matrix is presented in
supplementary data 3.

Due to the uncertainty surrounding the optimisation of the dietary character, a stochastic
mapping approach was used to examine rate heterogeneity in the different dietary classes. For
each of the 100 time calibrated trees, the dietary character containing three states (carnivore,
onnivore and herbivore) was mapped onto the tree using likelihood. Using the character state
probabilities identified at each node, 100 possible evolutionary histories of diet in that tree were
generated for each of the 100 phylogeny, giving a total of 10,000 stochastic maps. The mean rate
of herbivorous branches, carnivorous branches and omnivorous branches were calculated in each
stochastic map, along with the mean rate of a randomly selected set of branches with a sample
size equal to the number of herbivorous branches in that map.

Disparity

The character matrix of Liebrecht et al. (2016) was also used to examine morphological
diversity (disparity). Morphological distances between taxa were calculated using the MORD
distance measure of Lloyd (2016), which was shown to perform better in datasets with large
amounts of missing data. Following the suggestion of Brusatte et al. (2008), the internal nodes of
the phylogeny were treated as data points, with their character scores inferred using ancestral
state reconstruction, in order to account for the incomplete sampling of the fossil record; these
184  data points represent ancestral taxa which may have possessed character combinations not
185  observed in sampled taxa.
186  Having generated a distance matrix, once again the stochastic mapping approach was
187  used to compare disparity in different dietary classes. For each of the 10,000 evolutionary
188  histories generated, each taxon (both tip and node) was assigned a dietary class, and the mean
189  MORD distance for each of the tree dietary classes was calculated.
190  Disparity through time was investigated by subjecting the MORD distance matrices to a
191  principal coordinate analysis. Disparity in each time bin was calculated as the sum of variances
192  of the PC scores of each taxon in that bin. An attempt was also made to incorporate ghost
193  lineages into the analysis, using a novel method illustrated in Figure 1. Taxon A is present in
194  time bin 3, and its ancestral node is inferred to be in time bin 1. Therefore there must be a ghost
195  lineage present in time bin 2 (Fig. 1a), which would be ignored in the disparity analysis under the
196  method of Brusatte et al. (2011), wherein only node and tip morphologies were included. The
197  morphology inferred in time bin 2 will depend on which model of evolution is preferred; under a
198  gradualistic model of evolution, assuming no change in rate along the branch (Fig. 1b), the
199  principal coordinate score in time bin 2 may be inferred by calculating the rate of change in the
200  principal coordinate along that branch, and the amount of time between the ancestral node and
201  the midpoint of time bin 2. Alternatively one may assume a punctuated model of evolution,
202  where the morphological change occurs rapidly at the time of speciation in time bin 1, and the
203  lineage experiences morphological stasis for the remaining time; thus the PC scores inferred in
204  time bin 2 will be identical to that of the tip in time bin 3 (Fig. 1c). Both methods are used here
205  to compare the results. Again, the stochastic mapping approach was used to assign a diet to each
branch, allowing the comparison of patterns of disparity through time in each of the dietary regimes.

Character change histories

The character list of Liebrecht et al. (2016) was divided into five categories based on the region to which the characters referred to: Skull, Palate, Mandible, Dentition and Postcranium. The functions in the package Claddis automatically calculates the most likely combination of character changes for each of the 100 time calibrate phylogenies alongside the analysis of rate variation. These character change histories were used to assess which region of the skeleton underwent the greatest change within each dietary regime. Using the 10,000 stochastic maps of the dietary character, the number of characters from each region changing within each regime was counted. These counts for each region were divided by the total number of character changes occurring across the entire tree in that region to account for the fact that the characters were not evenly distributed. The list of characters and the region to which they were assigned is presented in supplementary data 4.

Results

Dietary evolution

Fitting of discrete models of character evolution to the dietary character indicates an equal rates model best fits the captorhinid phylogeny (Fig. 2). It should be noted that this support is not overwhelming; although the ER model is found to fit best in all 100 trees, in none does it receive an akaike weights score of above 0.8. Using this model in ancestral state reconstructions
(Fig. 3) indicates a single transition to a herbivorous diet is most probable. Labidosaurus, judged to be an omnivore by Modesto et al. (2007) on the basis of the dental morphology, is found to most likely have evolved from a herbivorous ancestor, rather than Captorhinikos chozaensis and the Moradisaurinae representing a convergent transitions to herbivory from an omnivorous ancestor. There is, however, considerable uncertainty; the probability of a herbivorous ancestor is not much more than 50%. There is further uncertainty surrounding the ancestral diet of the clade containing the three species of Captorhinus, Captorhinikos chozaensis, Labidosaurus and the Moradisaurinae; while an omnivorous ancestor receives the highest likelihood, the probability is not much better than that of a carnivorous ancestor. This has implications for the transition to herbivory; the transition from carnivory to herbivory may have passed through an omnivorous phase, which was retained by the genus Captorhinus (Dodick & Modesto 1995; Kissel et al. 2002), or the genus Captorhinus may represent a transition to omnivory from carnivory independent of the transition to herbivory.

Analyses of Rate Heterogeneity

In the overwhelming majority of the 100 time calibrated trees, a significant rate increase is identified along the branch leading to the Moradisaurinae (Fig. 4), the clade containing exclusively herbivorous taxa. The position of other significant increases in rate depend on the tree topology and the uncertainty in dating the taxa, but in more than half of the trees the branches leading to the clade containing the Moradisaurinae, Labidosaurus and Captorhinikos chozaensis (the clade inferred to have a herbivorous ancestor) are found to exhibit a rate increase, as is the lineage leading to the clade containing Labidosaurus and Moradisaurinae in more than
two thirds of the trees. Significant rate decreases are observed in the lineages leading to

*Saurorictus* and to *Labidosaurus* in the majority of the 100 trees.

While the analyses did identify rate heterogeneity when comparing branches of the phylogeny, when comparing rates of evolution in different time bins, very little was identified. In all of the 100 time calibrate trees, a constant rate through time was found to have a higher likelihood than a different rate in each time bin.

*Rates and Disparity in Different Dietary Regimes*

Of the 10,000 stochastic maps of dietary evolution in captorhinids, the herbivores have a higher mean rate of discrete character change than the omnivores in 9845, and a higher rate than the carnivores in all 9986 (Fig. 5a). When the mean rates of herbivores are compared to an equal number of branches drawn at random, the herbivores have a higher mean rate in 9484 of the stochastic map (Fig. 5b). In all 10,000 stochastic maps, the mean morphological distance between the herbivorous taxa is greater than that of the omnivores and the carnivores, indicating a greater disparity (Fig. 6).

*Disparity Through Time*

When evolutionary change is assumed to be gradual (Fig. 7a), the carnivorous captorhinids show a gradual increase in morphological disparity up to a peak in the early Artinskian. Through the late Artinskian and Kungurian their disparity decreases, culminating in a fall to zero across the Kungurian/Roadian boundary, after which only one carnivorous captorhinid is included in the phylogeny (*Saurorictus*). The omnivorous captorhinids show a similarly gradual increase in disparity between the Asselian and Kungurian. Again, their
disparity falls to zero across the Kungurian/Roadian boundary. The initial establishment of the
disparity of the herbivorous lineages is more rapid than that of the carnivores, having exceeded
the disparity of the carnivorous captorhinids by the early Kungurian. A disparity peak is reached
in the late Kungurian, higher than the peaks observed either in the carnivorous or omnivorous
curves. Herbivore disparity falls across the Kungurian/Roadian boundary, but recovers by the
Wuchiapingian.

If morphological change is assumed to be punctuated with the morphological change
occurring at the speciation events (Fig. 7b), then in all three dietary classes peak morphological
disparity is reached soon after that regime’s appearance, and disparity remains fairly constant in
the bins following. As observed when using the gradualistic model, however, peak disparity of
the herbivores is higher than either the omnivores or the carnivores. Interestingly, the disparity of
herbivores already exceeds that of the other two dietary regimes by the Artinskian when using
the punctuated model. Moreover, the decrease in disparity observed in the herbivorous lineages
across the Kungurian/Roadian boundary is of a much lesser extent and disparity has recovered by
the Wordian.

Character Change Histories

The majority of character changes in the carnivorous lineages occurred in the skull and
postcranium (Fig. 8a). In the majority of the 10,000 stochastic maps the feeding apparatus (teeth
and mandible) remain more conservative, with a lower proportion of character changes occurring
in these regions. This changes with the transition to herbivory: the majority of the characters
changing in herbivorous captorhinids are dental characters and, in many (but not all) of the
stochastic maps, mandibular characters (Fig. 8c). The postcranium and skull, the most plastic
regions in the carnivorous captorhinids, show lower proportions of character change in this new dietary regime. There is little difference in the proportions of characters changing in each region in the omnivoruous captorhinids (Fig. 8b).

Discussion

The link between a supposed “key innovation” and an adaptive radiation must always, to a certain extent, be circumstantial; one may identify the branch in a phylogeny along which the evolutionary novelty likely appeared, and one may identify the location of shifts in rates of evolution and diversification, but conclusively proving a causal relationship between the two is extremely difficult. Nevertheless, the evidence supporting an adaptive radiation of captorhinids coinciding with the origin of herbivory in this clade is compelling. It is only along herbivorous branches that significant increases in rates of morphological evolution are identified in the majority of the 100 time calibrated trees, and in the overwhelming majority stochastic maps the mean rate evolution in herbivorous lineages is higher not only than in the other dietary categories but crucially is also higher than in randomly selected clusters of taxa with an equal sample size in more than 94% of the stochastic maps. Further support for higher rates of evolution among herbivorous captorhinids than in other dietary regimes can be found in the lineage leading to Labidosaurus; a reversal from a herbivorous ancestor to an omnivorous taxon usually coincides with a significant decrease in rates of evolution.

The herbivorous captorhinids also occupy a wider range of morphologies than the other dietary categories, indicating that the increased rate of evolution was an exploration of new morphologies, not simply re-entering established regions of morphospace. While carnivorous
and omnivorous captorhinids both show a gradual increase in disparity up to a peak in the Artinskian and Kungurian respectively, the herbivorous captorhinids show a much more rapid increase in morphological diversity. Herbivorous taxa don’t appear in the fossil record until the Kungurian (although calibrating the phylogeny using the Hedman approach indicates an earlier origin), yet by the late Kungurian they already show a greater morphological diversity than either the carnivores or omnivores show at any point in their evolutionary history. Although the disparity of herbivores falls across the Kungurian/Roadian boundary, a trough possibly related to the mass extinction event known as Olson’s Extinction (Brocklehurst et al. 2015; Sahney & Benton 2008; Brocklehurst et al. in review), the morphological diversity recovers during the Guadalupian and Lopingian, reaching an even higher peak of disparity by the Wuchiapingian. Even though the species richness of captorhinids is substantially decreased by Olson’s extinction, the herbivorous lineages continue to show increased morphological innovation.

While the coincidence of the rate and disparity increase with the “key innovation” does not necessarily indicate cause and effect, the nature of the morphological changes provides much stronger evidence. It is not only that the rate of character changes increases coinciding with the shift in diet, but it is that the character changes within the herbivores are those referring to the mandible and dentition; that is, the characters related to the feeding apparatus. In the carnivorous captorhinids, the majority of the character changes occur in the skull and the postcranium, while the dentition remains extremely conservative. It is this observation that moves the inference of an adaptive radiation driven by a key innovation beyond one based on the circumstantial evidence discussed above. The evolution of a herbivorous diet occurs alongside not only an increase in the rate of character changes, but a shift in the nature of the changes. The changes occurring during
the adaptive radiation are directly related to the innovation supposedly driving it, a strong
indicator of a causal relationship.

Prior to the evolution of herbivory in captorhinids the overwhelming majority of
vertebrate herbivores were large (Reisz & Fröbisch 2014; Reisz & Sues 2000). Edaphosaurids
were the most diverse and abundant high-fibre herbivores throughout much of the Pennsylvanian
and the Early Permian (Pearson et al. 2013; Reisz & Sues 1998), although they go into decline
before the end of the Cisuralian. In the latest Cisuralian Hennessey Formation of Oklahoma they
are represented solely by some neural spine fragments (Daly 1973), whilst the only supposed
edaphosaurid from the contemporary Clear Fork Group of Texas was recently re-described as an
indeterminate moradisaurine captorhinid (Modesto et al. 2016).

It has been suggested that edaphosaurids and mordaisaurine captorhinids were occupying
similar ecological niches (Modesto et al. 2014); they both convergently evolved similar
strategies to deal with plant material (upper and lower tooth-plates and a propalineal motion of
the lower jaw). The possibility of competition has been mooted, with the moradisaurines
replacing the edaphosaurids. However, Modesto et al. (2016) rejected this due to the limited
stratigraphic overlap between the two. Moreover, while edaphosaurids show selection towards
larger body size (Reisz & Fröbisch 2014; Brocklehurst & Brink in press), the herbivorous
captorhinids show a greater tendency towards decreases in body size than increases
(Brocklehurst 2016), possibly indicating niche partitioning instead of competition. During the
latest Cisuralian genera such as Captorhinikos and Labidosaurikos become the most abundant
small herbivores (Brocklehurst et al. in review), rather than replacing edaphosaurids as large
herbivores.
Instead of viewing them as supplanting edaphosaurids, Modesto et al. (2016) suggested that the changing climate of the time was responsible for the radiation of the moradisaurine captorhinids. It is true that the radiation of the Moradisaurinae does coincide with a shift towards a warmer, drier, more seasonal climate, and the captorhinids continue to thrive in the arid equatorial regions for the rest of the Permian (Brocklehurst et al. in review), in contrast to their rarity in temporal regions. However, the analysis of rates through time casts doubt on this explanation. An extrinsic driver of increased morphological diversity, such as climate changes, should produce a rate shift at a specific point in time rather than in a specific clade. The data presented here, on the other hand, suggests no significant increase in rate during the Kungurian. In fact, in all of the time calibrated phylogenies a constant rate through time best fits the observed data. The shifts in rate occur along specific branches, not at a specific point in time, and therefore must be associated with an intrinsic cause.

It is therefore considered more likely that the shift in diet is the cause for the adaptive radiation; specifically the shift into the “small herbivore” niche that did not require competition with edaphosaurids, caseids and diadectids. Although bolosaurid parareptiles did occupy this niche in some areas during the early and middle Permian, they are comparatively rare and exhibit low species richness (Reisz & Fröbisch 2014). The radiation observed in captorhinids represents an expansion into an extremely under-filled region of ecospace, which they were able to occupy more efficiently than bolosaurids. It is possible that the increased dental and mandibular innovation allowed the captorhinids their greater success. Herbivorous captorhinids possess multiple tooth rows (in some taxa as many as eleven) and the ability to move the jaw propalineally (Heaton 1979; Doddick & Modesto 1995; Modesto et al. 2007, 2014), creating an effective surface for grinding and shredding plant matter. Other dental and mandibular
innovations appearing within the Moradisaurinae include a saddle-shaped occlusal surface of the teeth and a more robust ramus of the jaw.

Conclusions

- A single transition to herbivory in Captorhinidae is most found to be most probably, although whether from a carnivorous or omnivorous ancestor is unclear. *Labidosaurus* appears to represent a reversal to an omnivorous diet from a herbivorous ancestor.
- Significant increases in rates of discrete character change are observed coinciding with the origin of herbivory. The herbivorous lineages are found to have higher rates of evolution than their carnivorous and omnivorous relatives.
- The herbivorous captorhinids were more morphologically diverse than their carnivorous and omnivorous relatives, and reached their peak disparity more rapidly.
- The shift to higher rates of discrete character change is accompanied by a shift towards increased evolution of the mandible and dentition, supporting a causal link between the origin of a herbivorous diet and the radiation observed in captorhinids during the Kungurian.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1

Title: An illustration of the methods used to calculate disparity in this study

Legend: (A) A hypothetical phylogeny illustrating as solid dots the data points that would be included under the method of Brusatte et al. (2010): the tip taxa A, B and C, and the Nodes 1 and 2; (B) The phylogeny plotted against a hypothetical trait, illustrating how the morphology of the lineage leading to Taxon C in time bin 1 and the morphology of the lineage leading to taxon A in time bin 2 may be inferred assuming a gradual model of evolution with no rate variation along a branch; (C) An illustration of how the same morphologies are inferred assuming a punctuated model of evolution, where the morphological change occurs at the speciation event

Figure 2

Title: The fit of models of diet evolution to the phylogeny of Captorhinidae.

Legend: Boxplots illustrating the distribution of 100 Akaike weights values calculated for each of the models of the evolution of diet as a discrete character, fit to the 100 time calibrated phylogenies of captorhinids. ER = Equal Rates; SYM = Symmetrical; ARD = All Rates Different

Figure 3

Title: The phylogeny of Captorhinidae, illustrating the evolution of diet.
Legend: Two of the 100 time calibrate phylogenies used in the analysis. The thick branches represent the observed ranges of each taxon. The colours of the tip labels represent the diet inferred for that taxon: Red = Carnivore, Blue = Omnivore, Green = Herbivore. The pie charts at each node represent the probability of each dietary regime inferred for that node, deduced by maximum likelihood ancestral state reconstruction. (A) MPT 1: *Opisthodontosaurus* is the sister to the clade containing *Rhiodenticulatus* and all captrohinids more derived. (B) MPT 2: *Opisthodontosaurus* is the sister to *Concordia*.

Figure 4

Title: The phylogeny of Captorhinidae, illustrating the location of significant changes in rates of evolution.

Legend: Two of the 100 time calibrate phylogenies used in the analysis. The thick branches represent the observed ranges of each taxon. The colours of the tip labels represent the diet inferred for that taxon: Red = Carnivore, Blue = Omnivore, Green = Herbivore. The pie charts on each branch represent the proportion of the 100 time calibrated phylogenies which show significantly high or low rates of evolution along that branch: Red = significantly high rates, Blue = significantly low rates, White = no significant rate variation. (A) MPT 1: *Opisthodontosaurus* is the sister to the clade containing *Rhiodenticulatus* and all captrohinids more derived. (B) MPT 2: *Opisthodontosaurus* is the sister to *Concordia*.

Figure 5

Title: A comparison of the mean rates of evolution within each dietary regime.
Legend: (A) Histogram illustrating the mean rate of discrete character evolution calculated for each dietary regime in each of the 10,000 stochastic maps of dietary evolution; (B) Histogram illustrating the mean rate of discrete character evolution calculated for the herbivorous lineages compared to a random selection of branches with an equal sample size in each of the 10,000 stochastic maps of dietary evolution.

Figure 6
Title: A comparison of the morphological distances between taxa within each dietary regime.
Legend: Histogram illustrating the mean MORD distance between each taxon in each dietary regime in each of the 10,000 stochastic maps of dietary evolution.

Figure 7
Title: A comparison of disparity through time of the captorhinids in each dietary regime
Legend: The disparity (sum of variances) calculated for all taxa within each dietary regime in each time bin. Values shown in the graph are the means of the values calculated in 10,000 stochastic maps of dietary evolution. (A) Morphology along each branch calculated assuming a gradualist model of evolution; (B) Morphology along each branch calculated assuming a punctuated model of evolution.

Figure 8
Title: The proportion of characters within each skeletal region changing within each dietary regime
Legend: Boxplots illustrating the distribution of the proportions of character changes in each skeletal region occur in each dietary regime, calculated in each of the 10,000 stochastic maps of dietary evolution. (A) Carnivores; (B) Omnivores; (C) Herbivores.
Figure 1

An illustration of the methods used to calculate disparity in this study

(A) A hypothetical phylogeny illustrating as solid dots the data points that would be included under the method of Brusatte et al. (2010): the tip taxa A, B and C, and the Nodes 1 and 2; (B) The phylogeny plotted against a hypothetical trait, illustrating how the morphology of the lineage leading to Taxon C in time bin 1 and the morphology of the lineage leading to taxon A in time bin 2 may be inferred assuming a gradual model of evolution with no rate variation along a branch; (C) An illustration of how the same morphologies are inferred assuming a punctuated model of evolution, where the morphological change occurs at the speciation event
Figure 2

The fit of models of diet evolution to the phylogeny of Captorhinidae

Boxplots illustrating the distribution of 100 Akaike weights values calculated for each of the models of the evolution of diet as a discrete character, fit to the 100 time calibrated phylogenies of captorhinids. ER = Equal Rates; SYM = Symmetrical; ARD = All Rates Different
Figure 3

The phylogeny of Captorhinidae, illustrating the evolution of diet.

Two of the 100 time calibrate phylogenies used in the analysis. The thick branches represent the observed ranges of each taxon. The colours of the tip labels represent the diet inferred for that taxon: Red = Carnivore, Blue = Omnivore, Green = Herbivore. The pie charts at each node represent the probability of each dietary regime inferred for that node, deduced by maximum likelihood ancestral state reconstruction. (A) MPT 1: *Opisthodontosaurus* is the sister to the clade containing *Rhiodenticulatus* and all captorhinids more derived. (B) MPT 2: *Opisthodontosaurus* is the sister to *Concordia*.
Figure 4

The phylogeny of Captorhinidae, illustrating the location of significant changes in rates of evolution.

Two of the 100 time calibrate phylogenies used in the analysis. The thick branches represent the observed ranges of each taxon. The colours of the tip labels represent the diet inferred for that taxon: Red = Carnivore, Blue = Omnivore, Green = Herbivore. The pie charts on each branch represent the proportion of the 100 time calibrated phylogenies which show significantly high or low rates of evolution along that branch: Red = significantly high rates, Blue = significantly low rates, White = no significant rate variation. (A) MPT 1: *Opisthodontosaurus* is the sister to the clade containing *Rhiodenticulatus* and all captorhinids more derived. (B) MPT 2: *Opisthodontosaurus* is the sister to *Concordia*.
Figure 5

A comparison of the mean rates of evolution within each dietary regime.

(A) Histogram illustrating the mean rate of discrete character evolution calculated for each dietary regime in each of the 10,000 stochastic maps of dietary evolution; (B) Histogram illustrating the mean rate of discrete character evolution calculated for the herbivorous lineages compared to a random selection of branches with an equal sample size in each of the 10,000 stochastic maps of dietary evolution.
Figure 6

A comparison of the morphological distances between taxa within each dietary regime.

Histogram illustrating the mean MORD distance between each taxon in each dietary regime in each of the 10,000 stochastic maps of dietary evolution.
Figure 7

A comparison of disparity through time of the captorhinids in each dietary regime
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Figure 8

The proportion of characters within each skeletal region changing within each dietary regime