

1     **75 Years ago *Arabidopsis* was first suggested as a Model Plant – But how did *Arabidopsis***  
2                   **Col-0 become the standard Natural Accession? Here's...**

# 3     ***A Short History of Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.)** 4                   **Heynh. Columbia-0**

5                                   **Marc Somssich**  
6                   Persson Lab, School of BioSciences, the University of Melbourne, Parkville 3010 VIC, Australia  
7                                   Email: [marc.somssich@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:marc.somssich@unimelb.edu.au) ; Twitter: [@somssichm](https://twitter.com/somssichm)

## 9     **The Origin of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Research (1905 – 1943)**

10    Modern work with *Arabidopsis thaliana* goes back to the German botanist Friedrich Laibach  
11    who, while working as a Ph.D. student in the laboratory of Eduard Strasburger in Bonn, analyzed  
12    the number of chromosomes in different plants that he had collected around Bonn and his  
13    hometown Limburg<sup>1,2</sup>. The first *Arabidopsis* plants to be experimented on were collected by  
14    Laibach in **1905**, and belonged to the natural accession Limburg (Laibach introduced a system of  
15    naming the natural accessions after the places he collected them from)<sup>2</sup>. Laibach found that they  
16    carried 5 pairs of chromosomes, one of the smallest numbers known at the time (he published his  
17    results in **1907**, even though *Arabidopsis* was only included in the written thesis, but not  
18    specifically mentioned in the paper)<sup>1-3</sup>. Unfortunately, the natural habitat of the Limburg  
19    population was destroyed shortly after to make way for the new “Autobahn” (highway),  
20    connecting the cities of Frankfurt and Köln<sup>2</sup>. At the time, *Arabidopsis* was ‘*only known to florists*  
21    *and taxonomists, who had nothing better to do than constantly change its name and systematic*  
22    *positioning*’, as Laibach put it in 1965<sup>2</sup>. However, he became interested in the little weed, and  
23    between **1930** and 1950 collected seeds from over 150 different natural accessions (or races, as  
24    he called them) of *Arabidopsis* from anywhere he or his colleagues travelled to<sup>2,4</sup>. Laibach kept  
25    all of these individual seed lines meticulously organized and maintained in his Department at  
26    Frankfurt University, and his collection eventually formed the foundation of the *Arabidopsis*  
27    Information Service (AIS) seed bank in the 1960s, which itself served as the basis for the modern  
28    Columbus (ABRC), Nottingham (NASC) and Tsukuba (RIKEN) stock centres decades later<sup>2,5,6</sup>.

## 29 *Arabidopsis thaliana* First Proposed as a Plant Model (1943 – 1957)

30 Laibachs' interest and preliminary studies of *Arabidopsis* eventually resulted in a now famous  
31 **1943** publication titled '*Arabidopsis Thaliana* (L.) Heynh. als Objekt für genetische und  
32 entwicklungsphysiologische Untersuchungen' ('*Arabidopsis Thaliana* (L.) Heynh. as an object  
33 for genetic, developmental and physiological analyses'), in which Laibach points out the benefits  
34 of working with *Arabidopsis* (easy to grow, small genome, short lifecycle, high seed yield, can be  
35 crossed and mutated...)<sup>3</sup>. Based on these observations he proposed to adopt *Arabidopsis* as a  
36 model organism for plant science, pointing out how comparable it is in its suitability to the 'prime  
37 example' of other models, *Drosophila melanogaster*<sup>3</sup>. This proposal however, was largely  
38 ignored by the scientific community at the time, who needed almost another 40 years to finally  
39 see the light and adopt *Arabidopsis* as a plant model system<sup>7</sup>. One academic who shared  
40 Laibach's enthusiasm for *Arabidopsis* was György P. Rédei from Hungary, who in **1955** had just  
41 finished his Ph.D. thesis, working on tomato and wheat<sup>8</sup>. After reading Laibach's article, Rédei  
42 recognized the potential of *Arabidopsis* for genetic studies, and with the help of his supervisor,  
43 Prof. Györffy, he asked Laibach for some *Arabidopsis* seeds to start his own work on this new  
44 model<sup>8</sup>. The seeds he obtained were the four natural accessions Graz, Limburg, Estland and  
45 Landsberg<sup>9</sup>. Rédei took these four lines with him, when he left Europe to start his own laboratory  
46 at the University of Missouri in Columbia, Mo<sup>9</sup>. For the next 20 years Rédei remained the only  
47 researcher working on *Arabidopsis* in the United States; or, as his former colleague Prof. Doug  
48 Randall put it, "George was 20 to 30 years ahead of his time"<sup>10</sup>. This situation, however, made it  
49 incredibly hard for Rédei to receive funding<sup>9</sup>. In fact, one of his funding applications to the  
50 National Science Foundation was now famously rejected on the basis that '*the genetics panel*  
51 *does not believe that it is worthwhile to develop Arabidopsis as a new model organism for*  
52 *genetic studies because only prokaryotes can contribute significantly to new knowledge*'<sup>9</sup>. But  
53 Rédei refused to give up on *Arabidopsis* and from the four seed lines he had received from  
54 Laibach, chose Landsberg as his model for future work. This choice was due to that Estland  
55 phenotypically did not match its description and Graz was late flowering, while Landsberg  
56 matched the description and seemed vigorous and healthy (it is not clear on which grounds  
57 Limburg was dropped)<sup>9</sup>.

58

### 59 **The Columbia and Landsberg *erecta* lines Emerge (1957 – 1965)**

60 In 1957 Rédei used his Landsberg seeds in a mutagenesis experiment, where he irradiated the  
61 seeds with X-rays and then screened for mutants with interesting phenotypes (meanwhile, in  
62 Australia, John Langridge was doing the same for Estland seeds he had received from  
63 Laibach)<sup>9,11-13</sup>. Gene mutagenesis by X-ray irradiation had been described in the 1920s for  
64 *Antirrhinum* and *Drosophila*, and one of Laibach's students, Erna Reinholz, went on to establish  
65 this technique for *Arabidopsis* seeds<sup>4,14-16</sup>. One of the first mutants Rédei recovered was the  
66 *erecta* mutant, which, with its stunted growth, appeared to be quite sturdy, and he thought it  
67 might come in handy for further experimentation<sup>9,17</sup>. He published the Landsberg *erecta* mutant  
68 in a paper dealing with heterosis, despite not being sure if the importance of his observation  
69 warranted a full publication<sup>17</sup>. His paper therefore opens with the paragraph '*The author feels*  
70 *somewhat hesitant to add to the large volume of the literature on the subject but its practical*  
71 *importance and theoretical interest prompt the decision in favor of this brief account*'<sup>17</sup>.  
72 However, in his mutagenesis screen Rédei also realized that the original Landsberg population  
73 was actually not a homogenous line, but appeared to be a mix of different lines<sup>9,11</sup>. Therefore, he  
74 chose a single plant from the batch that he had not irradiated, to establish a new, clean line for all  
75 further studies<sup>9,11</sup>. Following Laibach's example of naming the different natural accessions after  
76 the location where he found them, he named his new line Columbia<sup>9,11</sup>. So interestingly,  
77 Columbia is an American plant by name, but a central European plant by genetic heritage –  
78 something that can be demonstrated experimentally, when analysing its genetic polymorphisms<sup>18</sup>.  
79 In 1959, another plant biologist, Willem Feenstra from the University of Groningen in the  
80 Netherlands, visited Rédei in Columbia and took the Landsberg *erecta* line with him for his own  
81 research, establishing this line as a standard in Europe, while Rédei concentrated his work on his  
82 own Columbia line<sup>9,11,19</sup>.

### 83 ***Arabidopsis thaliana* gets its Breakthrough (1965 – 1996)**

84 In the following two decades, interest in *Arabidopsis* research slowly increased. By the mid-  
85 1960s, the AIS (<https://www.arabidopsis.org/ais/newaisvols.jsp>) was established as a yearly  
86 newsletter to connect the small *Arabidopsis* research community, and in 1965 the first  
87 International Arabidopsis Symposium in Göttingen, Germany, already attracted a full 25  
88 participants<sup>20,21</sup>. The AIS would eventually evolve into the now invaluable The Arabidopsis

89 Information Resource (TAIR) database<sup>22</sup>. As a result of this increased interest, György Rédei  
90 decided to take up Laibach's suggestion from 1943, and published the second article calling for  
91 the acceptance of *Arabidopsis* as a plant model in **1975**, simply titled '*Arabidopsis* as a genetic  
92 tool' (where he pointed out the same benefits Laibach had already pointed out 30 years earlier)<sup>23</sup>.  
93 Following this publication and a couple of highly influential papers from people like Maarten  
94 Koornneef (who worked with Will Feenstra), or Chris R. Somerville and Elliott M. Meyerowitz  
95 (converts from the model organisms *Escherichia coli* and *Drosophila melanogaster*,  
96 respectively), *Arabidopsis* finally got its break in the early **1980s**<sup>7,24-26</sup>. With *Arabidopsis* now  
97 finally established, the third article discussing its role as a model (published in **1985** and pointing  
98 out the same benefits that Rédei and Laibach had pointed out 10 and 40 years earlier) was now  
99 published in the prestigious *Science* journal<sup>7</sup>. This was followed quickly by the identification of  
100 the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter and the engineering of the first transgenic *Arabidopsis*  
101 plant in **1986**, a Col-0 plant, giving plant molecular biology another massive boost and adding  
102 'can be stably transformed' to the list of characteristics that make *Arabidopsis* a good model (see  
103 also 'A Short History of the CaMV 35S Promoter'<sup>27</sup> & 'A Short History of Plant  
104 Transformation'<sup>28,29</sup>).

#### 105 **Col-0 takes over as the Standard Accession (1996 – today)**

106 During the next decade, *Arabidopsis* research was mostly done using the Landsberg *erecta*  
107 accession, even though Columbia also regularly appeared, especially in US laboratories or from  
108 groups that had obtained seeds directly from Rédei. However, this was about to change when, in  
109 **1996**, Columbia was chosen as the natural accession for the sequencing and annotation of the  
110 complete *Arabidopsis* genome<sup>30</sup>. Despite Landsberg *erecta* being more commonly used at the  
111 time, this choice was the obvious one in this case, because the Landsberg *erecta* line had  
112 previously been subjected to X-ray irradiation, and therefore carried several unnatural mutations,  
113 while Columbia had been maintained as a clean homozygous line<sup>11,30</sup>. Shortly after the genome  
114 was eventually published in the year **2000**, Columbia was also chosen as the natural accession for  
115 a genome-wide mutagenesis project at the SALK institute in San Diego, resulting in the SALK  
116 collection of T-DNA insertion lines – still the biggest resource of ready-to-order *Arabidopsis*  
117 mutants<sup>31</sup>. Following these two massive projects, it was clear that Columbia was firmly  
118 established as the number one natural accession for *Arabidopsis* research, while the use of

119 Landsberg *erecta* has been declining ever since. And this all just because the Landsberg batch  
120 that György Rédei received from Friedrich Laibach in 1955 was not a homogenous line.

121 **Addendum> What about the ‘(L.)’ and the ‘Heynh.’ behind *Arabidopsis thaliana*, and the ‘-  
122 0’ behind Col?**

123 The ‘(L.)’ and ‘Heynh.’, which are often found after *Arabidopsis thaliana*, are so-called  
124 ‘authorities’ - the official author abbreviation of the person who gave the plant its name<sup>32</sup>.  
125 Though *Arabidopsis thaliana* was first described by Johannes Thal, who gave it the name  
126 *Pilosella siliquosa minor*, it was Carl Linnaeus who named it *Arabis thaliana* (*thaliana* in honour  
127 of Johannes Thal)<sup>33,34</sup>. Therefore, the ‘(L.)’ behind genus and species is the author abbreviation  
128 for Carl Linnaeus<sup>33,34</sup>. Botanist Gustav Heynhold then merged similar plants into one new genus,  
129 *Arabidopsis*, signifying *Arabis-like*, and added his own author abbreviation, ‘Heynh.’, behind the  
130 one from Linnaeus (Heynholds book ‘*Flora von Sachsen*’ is generally cited here, though I could  
131 only find *Arabidopsis* in his book ‘*Nomenclator botanicus hortensis*’)<sup>33,35,36</sup>. The ‘0’ behind the  
132 Col name, on the other hand, signifies the source of an individual seed line<sup>37</sup>. Over the years,  
133 different laboratories that received Col seeds from György Rédei have propagated and  
134 maintained their own inbred lines of the original batch. When all these lines were later donated to  
135 the seed centres, a numbering system was developed to be able to distinguish these individual  
136 lines<sup>37</sup>. In this system, George Rédeis’ Columbia line in the ABRC stock centre would be named  
137 Col-1/CS3176, or Col-1 in short<sup>37</sup>. The name is made up of [wild type]-[originator]/[maintainer  
138 stock-#], with the wild type being ‘Col’, the originator George Rédei, who was designated the  
139 number 1, and the maintainer, the ABRC stock centre, carrying it under the stock number 3176<sup>37</sup>.  
140 The line donated by Shauna Somerville to the ABRC, a direct descendent of Rédeis’ Col-1, is  
141 Col-2/CS907, or in short, Col-2<sup>37</sup>. Confusingly, the Col-0 line (Col-0/CS1092) is actually a  
142 descendent of Rédeis’ Col-1 line<sup>37</sup>. It received the lower originator number 0 because it was  
143 already maintained and propagated in the original AIS-seed bank by Albert Kranz, and is  
144 therefore an ‘older’ stock<sup>5</sup>.

145

146 **Further Reading:**

- 147 - Friedrich Laibach - 60 Jahre *Arabidopsis*-Forschung, 1905-1965<sup>2</sup>  
148 - György P. Rédei - *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. A review of the genetics and biology<sup>33</sup>

- 149 - Elliot M. Meyerowitz – *Arabidopsis thaliana*<sup>38</sup>  
150 - Chris R. Somerville – *Arabidopsis* blooms<sup>39</sup>  
151 - György P. Rédei - A heuristic glance at the past of *Arabidopsis* genetics<sup>9</sup>  
152 - Elizabeth Pennisi - *Arabidopsis* Comes of Age<sup>40</sup>  
153 - Elliot M. Meyerowitz – Prehistory and history of *Arabidopsis* research<sup>41</sup>  
154 - Chris R. Somerville, Maarten Koornneef - A fortunate choice<sup>20</sup>  
155 - Sabina Leonelli - *Arabidopsis*, the botanical *Drosophila*<sup>42</sup>  
156 - Sabina Leonelli - Growing Weed, Producing Knowledge An Epistemic History of *Arabidopsis*  
157 *thaliana*<sup>43</sup>  
158 - Maarten Koornneef, David Meinke - The development of *Arabidopsis* as a model plant<sup>44</sup>  
159 - Ute Krämer - Planting molecular functions in an ecological context with *Arabidopsis*  
160 *thaliana*<sup>45</sup>  
161 - Nicholas J. Provart et al. - 50 years of *Arabidopsis* research<sup>46</sup>

162  
163 **Acknowledgments**  
164 Thanks to Imre E. Somssich, Benjamin Schwessinger, Magnus Nordborg, Detlef Weigel, Rüdiger  
165 Simon, Kelsey L. Picard and Staffan Persson for helpful comments and support, and the Deutsche  
166 Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for funding (project 344523413).

- 167 **References**
- 168 1. **Laibach F.** Zur Frage nach der Individualität der Chromosomen im Pflanzenreich. **Beih**  
169 **Bot Zentralbl.** **1907**;22: 191–210. Available:  
170 <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/27073#page/233/mode/1up>
  - 171 2. **Laibach F.** 60 Jahre Arabidopsis-Forschung, 1905-1965. **Arab Inf Serv.** **1965**;1: 16.  
172 Available: <http://www.arabidopsis.org/ais/1965/laiba-1965-aagle.html>
  - 173 3. **Laibach F.** Arabidopsis Thaliana (L.) Heynh. als Objekt für genetische und  
174 entwicklungsphysiologische Untersuchungen. **Bot Arch.** **1943**;44: 439–455. Available:  
175 [http://131.130.57.230/clarotest190/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L0xhaWJhY2gt](http://131.130.57.230/clarotest190/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L0xhaWJhY2gtMTk0My5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=300415WS14)  
176 [MTk0My5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=300415WS14](http://131.130.57.230/clarotest190/claroline/backends/download.php?url=L0xhaWJhY2gtMTk0My5wZGY=&cidReset=true&cidReq=300415WS14)
  - 177 4. **Reinholz E.** Röntgenmutationen bei *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L) Heynh.  
178 **Naturwissenschaften.** **1947**;1: 26–28. Available:  
179 <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00633319>

- 180 5. **Kranz AR.** Demonstration of new and additional population samples and mutant lines of  
181 the AIS-seed bank. **Arab Inf Serv.** **1978**;15: 2–4. Available:  
182 <https://www.arabidopsis.org/ais/1978/kranz-1978-aabgw.html>
- 183 6. **Röbbelen G.** The LAIBACH Standard Collection of Natural Races. **Arab Inf Serv.**  
184 **1965**;2. Available: <http://www.arabidopsis.org/ais/1965/roebb-1965-xxxxx.html>
- 185 7. **Meyerowitz EM, Pruitt RE.** Arabidopsis thaliana and Plant Molecular Genetics. **Science.**  
186 **1985**;229: 1214–8. Available at doi:10.1126/science.229.4719.1214
- 187 8. **Koncz C.** Dedication: George P. Rédei Arabidopsis Geneticist and Polymath. Plant  
188 Breeding Reviews. Oxford, UK: **John Wiley & Sons, Inc.**; **2010.** pp. 1–33. Available at  
189 doi:10.1002/9780470650325.ch1
- 190 9. **Rédei GP.** A heuristic glance at the past of Arabidopsis genetics. Methods in Arabidopsis  
191 Research. **1992.** pp. 1–15. Available at doi:10.1142/9789814439701\_0001
- 192 10. **Potter E.** From Apathy to Apogee - Hardly anyone believed George Rédei's research  
193 mattered — until it changed everything. **Mizzou.** **2014**; Available:  
194 <https://mizzoumag.missouri.edu/2014/08/from-apathy-to-apogee/>
- 195 11. **Rédei GP.** Supervital Mutants of Arabidopsis. **Genetics.** **1962**;47: 443–60. Available:  
196 <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC1210343>
- 197 12. **Langridge J.** Biochemical Mutations in the Crucifer Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh.  
198 **Nature.** **1955**;176: 260–261. Available at doi:10.1038/176260b0
- 199 13. **Langridge J.** Arabidopsis thaliana, a plant Drosophila. **BioEssays.** **1994**;16: 775–778.  
200 Available at doi:10.1002/bies.950161014
- 201 14. **Muller HJ.** Artificial transmutation of the gene. **Science.** **1927**;66: 84–87. Available at  
202 doi:10.1126/science.66.1699.84
- 203 15. **Reinholz E.** Auslösung von Röntgen-Mutationen bei Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. und  
204 ihre Bedeutung für die Pflanzenzüchtung und Evolutionstheorie. FIAT Report No. 1006.  
205 **1945.** Available: [https://www.tib.eu/de/suchen/id/TIBKAT:778643786/X-ray-mutations-](https://www.tib.eu/de/suchen/id/TIBKAT:778643786/X-ray-mutations-in-Arabidopsis-Thaliana-L-Heynh/)  
206 [in-Arabidopsis-Thaliana-L-Heynh/](https://www.tib.eu/de/suchen/id/TIBKAT:778643786/X-ray-mutations-in-Arabidopsis-Thaliana-L-Heynh/)
- 207 16. **Stein E.** Über den Einfluß von Radiumbestrahlung auf Antirrhinum. **Z Indukt Abstamm**  
208 **Vererbungs.** **1922**;29: 1–15. Available at doi:10.1007/BF01958447
- 209 17. **Rédei GP.** Single locus heterosis. **Z Vererbungs.** **1962**;93: 164–170. Available:  
210 <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00897025>
- 211 18. **Nordborg M, Hu TT, Ishino Y, Jhaveri J, Toomajian C, Zheng H, et al.** The pattern of

- 212 polymorphism in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. **PLOS Biol.** **2005**;3: 1289–1299. Available at  
213 doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0030196
- 214 19. **Feenstra WJ.** Isolation of nutritional mutants in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. **Genetica.** **1964**;35:  
215 259–269. Available at doi:10.1007/BF01804894
- 216 20. **Somerville CR, Koornneef M.** A fortunate choice: the history of *Arabidopsis* as a model  
217 plant. **Nat Rev Genet.** **2002**;3: 883–9. Available at doi:10.1038/nrg927
- 218 21. **Röbbelen G.** Preface. **Arab Inf Serv.** **1964**;1: 1. Available:  
219 <https://www.arabidopsis.org/ais/1964/preface.html>
- 220 22. **Huala E, Dickerman AW, Garcia-Hernandez M, Weems D, Reiser L, LaFond F, et al.**  
221 The *Arabidopsis* Information Resource (TAIR): a comprehensive database and web-based  
222 information retrieval, analysis, and visualization system for a model plant. **Nucleic Acids**  
223 **Res.** **2001**;29: 102–5. Available at doi:10.1093/nar/29.1.102
- 224 23. **Rédei GP.** *Arabidopsis* as a Genetic Tool. **Annu Rev Genet.** **1975**;9: 111–127. Available  
225 at doi:10.1146/annurev.ge.09.120175.000551
- 226 24. **Koornneef M, van Eden J, Hanhart CJ, Stam P, Braaksma FJ, Feenstra WJ.** Linkage  
227 map of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. **J Hered.** **1983**;74: 265–272. Available at  
228 doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a109781
- 229 25. **Leutwiler LS, Hough-Evans BR, Meyerowitz EM.** The DNA of *Arabidopsis thaliana*.  
230 **Mol Gen Genet.** **1984**;194: 15–23. Available at doi:10.1007/BF00383491
- 231 26. **Somerville CR, Ogren WL.** Inhibition of photosynthesis in *Arabidopsis* mutants lacking  
232 leaf glutamate synthase activity. **Nature.** **1980**;286: 257–259. Available at  
233 doi:10.1038/286257a0
- 234 27. **Somssich M.** A short history of the CaMV 35S promoter. **PeerJ Prepr.** **2018**;6:e27096v2:  
235 1–16. Available at doi:10.7287/peerj.preprints.27096v2
- 236 28. **Somssich M.** A Short History of Plant Transformation. **PeerJ Prepr.** **2019**;: 1–28.  
237 Available at doi:10.7287/peerj.preprints.27556v1
- 238 29. **Lloyd AM, Barnason AR, Rogers SG, Byrne MC, Fraley RT, Horsch RB.**  
239 Transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. **Science.**  
240 **1986**;234: 464–6. Available at doi:10.1126/science.234.4775.464
- 241 30. **Arabidopsis Genome Initiative.** Analysis of the genome sequence of the flowering plant  
242 *Arabidopsis thaliana*. **Nature.** **2000**;408: 796–815. Available at doi:10.1038/35048692
- 243 31. **Alonso JM, Stepanova AN, Lisse TJ, Kim CJ, Chen H, Shinn P, et al.** Genome-wide



- 244 insertional mutagenesis of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. **Science**. **2003**;301: 653–7. Available at  
245 doi:10.1126/science.1086391
- 246 32. **McNeill J, Barrie FR, Buck WR, Demoulin V, Greuter W, Hawksworth DL, et al.**  
247 International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Melbourne Code). **Koeltz**  
248 **Sci Books**. **2012**;: 1–140. Available at doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02341.x
- 249 33. **Rédei GP**. *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. A review of the genetics and biology.  
250 **Bibliogr Genet**. **1969**;20: 1–151.
- 251 34. **Linnaeus C**. *Species Plantarum*. Impensis G. C. Nauk. Holmiae; **1753**. Available:  
252 <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/13830#page/1/mode/1up>
- 253 35. **Heynhold G**. *Nomenclator botanicus hortensis*. Arnoldische Buchhandlung. Dresden und  
254 Leipzig; **1840**. Available: <https://archive.org/details/nomenclatorbota00heyngoog>
- 255 36. **Holl F, Heynhold G**. *Flora von Sachsen*. Verlag von Justus Naumann. Dresden; **1842**.  
256 Available: [https://books.google.com.au/books/about/Flora\\_von\\_Sachsen.html?id=pEI-](https://books.google.com.au/books/about/Flora_von_Sachsen.html?id=pEI-AAAAcAAJ&redir_esc=y)  
257 [AAAAcAAJ&redir\\_esc=y](https://books.google.com.au/books/about/Flora_von_Sachsen.html?id=pEI-AAAAcAAJ&redir_esc=y)
- 258 37. **ABRC**. *Arabidopsis Natural Accessions (Ecotypes)*. **TAIR**. **2018**; Available:  
259 [https://www.arabidopsis.org/abrc/catalog/natural\\_accession\\_5.html](https://www.arabidopsis.org/abrc/catalog/natural_accession_5.html)
- 260 38. **Meyerowitz EM**. *Arabidopsis Thaliana*. **Annu Rev Genet**. **1987**;21: 93–111. Available at  
261 doi:10.1146/annurev.ge.21.120187.000521
- 262 39. **Somerville CR**. *Arabidopsis blooms*. **Plant Cell**. **1989**;1: 1131–1135. Available:  
263 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1459042/pdf/hw1131.pdf>
- 264 40. **Pennisi E**. *Arabidopsis Comes of Age*. **Science**. **2000**;290: 32–35. Available at  
265 doi:10.1126/science.290.5489.32
- 266 41. **Meyerowitz EM**. Prehistory and history of *Arabidopsis* research. **Plant Physiol**.  
267 **2001**;125: 15–9. Available at doi:10.1104/pp.125.1.15
- 268 42. **Leonelli S**. *Arabidopsis, the botanical Drosophila: from mouse cress to model organism*.  
269 **Endeavour**. **2007**;31: 34–8. Available at doi:10.1016/j.endeavour.2007.01.003
- 270 43. **Leonelli S**. Growing Weed, Producing Knowledge An Epistemic History of *Arabidopsis*  
271 *thaliana*. **Hist Philos Life Sci**. **2007**;29: 193–224. Available:  
272 <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23334228>
- 273 44. **Koornneef M, Meinke D**. The development of *Arabidopsis* as a model plant. **Plant J**.  
274 **2010**;61: 909–21. Available at doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.04086.x
- 275 45. **Krämer U**. Planting molecular functions in an ecological context with *Arabidopsis*

- 276 thaliana. **Elife**. **2015**;4: 1–13. Available at doi:10.7554/eLife.06100
- 277 46. **Provart NJ, Alonso JM, Assmann SM, Bergmann DC, Brady SM, Brkljacic J, et al.**
- 278 50 years of Arabidopsis research: highlights and future directions. **New Phytol**. **2016**;209:
- 279 921–944. Available at doi:10.1111/nph.13687
- 280