Source-sink potential of the Atlantic forest Central Corridor

**Background.** The Brazilian Atlantic Forest is one of the most diverse and threatened biomes in the world. The fragmentation and deforestation have strong impacts upon biodiversity, and many ecological theories have been brought in discussion in order to predict its consequences. Many approaches, such as Pleistocene Refuges Hypothesis, Metapopulation and Source-Sink Theories, Island Biogeography Theory, Stepping-stones and SLOSS debate have been extremely useful in this issue, but not in practice as much as in theory. In this scenario, the aim of this study is to present simple tools to apply those theories in practical measurements, classification and knowledge about the role of conservations unities and small fragments in the landscape of the Central Corridor of Atlantic Forest. **Methods.** 33 forest fragments were selected over the Atlantic Forest Central Corridor territory to sample different sizes, altitudes and legal protection categories. Physical attributes and measures were taken using GIS data (as area, shape and connectivity). **Results.** There is a vast variety of connectivity among fragments in the Central Corridor landscape. Most of the federal conservation units act as a source or semi-source patch in the metapopulation in their own matrix, while most of the private areas act as sink patches. However, some source patches are too isolated to participate in the metapopulation system, acting as an isolated refuge. In addition, both source and sink fragments suffer strong edge effect, and some of them are not suitable for sustain species adapted to core area. **Discussion.** Edge effect is a real threat over any fragment, mostly because of the small area or the irregular shape. Efforts must be directed to minimize this impact. Small private patches are not capable to sustain many endangered and endemic species and are not suitable for releasement of rescued wildlife, but they are very important for the metapopulation and source-sink system, relieving the competition effects inside source patches, and acting as stepping-stones. Governmental incentives to preservation of every small natural area may act as a vital component of greater
conservational strategies. Maintenance of large federal conservation units alone is not enough to decrease the danger of extinctions. Some of these conservation units are isolated fragments that may represent the only remain of the Pleistocene refuges, and they need small fragments around to keep the biologic flow of the metapopulation dynamics.
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ABSTRACT

Background. The Brazilian Atlantic Forest is one of the most diverse and threatened biomes in the world. The fragmentation and deforestation have strong impacts upon biodiversity, and many ecological theories have been brought in discussion in order to predict its consequences. Many approaches, such as Pleistocene Refuges Hypothesis, Metapopulation and Source-Sink Theories, Island Biogeography Theory, Stepping-stones and SLOSS debate have been extremely useful in this issue, but not in practice as much as in theory. In this scenario, the aim of this study is to present simple tools to apply those theories in practical measurements, classification and knowledge about the role of conservations unities and small fragments in the landscape of the Central Corridor of Atlantic Forest.

Methods. 33 forest fragments were selected over the Atlantic Forest Central Corridor territory to sample different sizes, altitudes and legal protection categories. Physical attributes and measures were taken using GIS data (as area, shape and connectivity).

Results. There is a vast variety of connectivity among fragments in the Central Corridor landscape. Most of the federal conservation units act as a source or semi-source patch in the metapopulation, while most of the private areas act as sink patches. However, some large patches are too isolated to participate in the metapopulation system, acting as an isolated refuge. In addition, both source and sink fragments suffer strong edge effect, and some of them are not suitable for sustain species adapted to core area.

Discussion. Edge effect is a real threat over any fragment, mostly because of the small area or the irregular shape. Efforts must be directed to minimize this impact. Small private patches are not capable to sustain many endangered and endemic species and are not suitable for releasement of rescued wildlife, but they are very important for the metapopulation and source-sink system, relieving the competition effects inside source patches, and acting as stepping-stones. Governmental incentives to preservation of every small natural area may act as a vital component of greater conservational strategies. Maintenance of large federal conservation units alone is not enough to decrease the danger of extinctions. Some of these conservation units are isolated fragments that may represent the only remain of the
Pleistocene refuges, and they need small fragments around to keep the biologic flow of the
metapopulation dynamics.
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INTRODUCTION

Many ecological theories are currently clarifying the deforestation scenario, in order to
question about the impact of forest fragmentation upon the biodiversity. The Source-sink
theory (Pulliam, 1998) establishes that, among semi-isolated subpopulations in habitat
fragments, a biological flow of individuals from source patches to sink patches shall exist.
The sink populations are under local extinctions conditions but are continuously recolonized
by migrations. In this model context, the edge effect is a permanent issue on debate, because
the smaller the patch the stronger the effect from the matrix outside to the community
homeostasis inside. Because some species are adapted to core area, ecological processes are
affected in the proximity of the edge, which is highly influenced by area and shape of the
fragment, once this determine the contact zone to the matrix (Murcia, 1995; Gomes et al,
2010; Ewers et al, 2007).

However, the fragmentation per se is not the worst scenario, as much as habitat loss by
deforestation. Fragmentation produces small patches, which can act as stepping-stones,
increasing the permeability of the matrix and constructing a track that can facilitate
dispersion, as an intermittent ecologic corridor (Kimura & Weiss, 1964; Metzger, 2001).
Because of that, the SLOSS debate (Some Large or Several Small?) argues that, in some
cases, several small patches may be better than some large fragments. However, large
fragments are needed to act as biodiversity refuge, and to be a refuge, many attributes are
required, such as satisfactory area, regular shape, and some level of connectivity to the
metapopulational system.

One of the most threatened biomes by fragmentation worldwide is the Atlantic Forest, which
is distributed along the Brazilian coast in a wide extension through tropical and subtropical
regions. It holds a high diversity and endemism, nearly of 1 to 8% of all species in the planet,
but, from the original area, currently remains 11.7%, of which, 83.4% has less than 50
hectares, and 1.6% of the original area are under legal protection (Ribeiro et al, 2009). The
Espírito Santo State, in Southeast Brazil, has the fifth higher deforestation rate among
Brazilian states, although it is considered a hotspot of biodiversity and endemicity (Myers et
al, 2000, INPE, 2011). On the other hand, Espírito Santo State is the eighth state with the
biggest amount and most representative forest remainings fragments in the Biosphere
Reserve of the Atlantic Forest, and the most representative portion of the Central Biodiversity
Corridor of the Atlantic Forest (Brazil, 2006; Câmara & Galindo-Leal, 2009).

Therefore, the aim goal of this study is to describe and to analyze the relation between area,
isolation, shape and edge effect on fragments of the Central Corridor of the Atlantic Forest,
in order to verify if and how these patches and conservation units match the biological criteria
to act as forest biodiversity refuge. The presented results can support decision making on
conservation strategies to protection of Atlantic Forest biodiversity.
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MATERIAL AND METHODS

The Espírito Santo State is in Southeast Brazil, within the Atlantic Forest Biome. This state
is the most important and threatened component of the Central Biodiversity Corridor of the
Atlantic Forest, as Southern Bahia.

33 forest fragments were selected in Espírito Santo State, to sample a variety of area, altitude,
location and different legal protection categories, as Biological Reserve (Rebio – Federal
administration), National, State and Municipal Parks, Private Reserves of Natural Inheritance
(RPPN), Nacional Forest (Flona – Federal administration) and private areas with no legal
protection status.

For the calculation of area, perimeter and isolation, were used 14 satellite images scenes
CBERS 2B, sensor CCD – Band 2, 3 and 4. The date of the images generation were from
2008 to 2010. The vector data base was obtained from Brazilian Institute of Geography and
Statistics (IBGE). For the establishment of fragments boundaries, were used the combination
of bands 4-3-2 false-color combination, RGB (Red-Green-Blue) respectively. The
boundaries of remaining fragments were shaped by using the SOS Mata Atlântica shapefile
data (Lapig, 2015). The map composition was performed by the opensource software QuantumGis® version 2.18 on Sirgas 2000 UTM projection system, and the attributes calculation assisted by the opensource software R®.

With perimeter and area information, the Shape Pantton Index, adapted for metrical unities, was made by the equation:

\[ I_s = \frac{P}{200.\left(\pi A_t\right)^{0.5}} \]

where \( P = \) perimeter (in meters) and \( A_t = \) the fragment total area (in hectares). This index measures the shape as a deviation of the circularity, once circles has the smallest perimeter-area possible ratio. \( I_s \) nearly 1.0 suggest a regular shape, and it increases as increases the outline complexity of the fragment (understood as irregularity) (Laurance e Yensen, 1991).

For connectivity analysis, was performed a visual classification of all forest patches located in a buffer of 10 kilometers distance outside the boundaries of each the studied fragments (Figure 1) and calculated the amount of covered area divided by the total area of the buffer polygon. The connectivity Index \( I_c \) is the proportion of covered area in the buffer, used as a surrogate measure of the landscape isolation around the fragment, where 1 would be the total connectivity and 0 would indicate a completely isolated forest remaining.
According to the metapopulation model, the source-sink classification is determined by the refuge area and its connectivity. If the fragment area has a larger value than the mean area of the patches around, it may indicate its source-sink role, while the connectivity index shows if the studied fragment can effectively play that role in the system.

Applying these attributes as a classification system of each forest patch, it generated four classes (Table 1): the (a) Source fragment, with larger area compared to the fragments area around its own buffer and connectivity above mean the value and (b) Sink, with small area but connectivity above average, because it is mandatory to have a connection with other patches in the landscape to act in the system. Also, (c) Semi-source fragments, which could act as source, but have low connectivity, where only species with high dispersion abilities can reach, and (d) Semi-step fragments, with small area and low connectivity, acting as a temporary stepping stone for species with high dispersion abilities.
The reason why the connectivity mean value was used as a cutoff point to classify the forest remaining role was based on visual analysis of the minimum connectivity found in the Espírito Santo central region, which is the densest coverage region of the state.
Table 1: Classification of the fragment role relative to its matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Above mean value</th>
<th>Below mean value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivity</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Sink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Semi-source</td>
<td>Semi-step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Below mean value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

Among the entire dataset, the area (mean = 2107.1, sd = 5378.3), connectivity (mean = 0.249, sd = 0.1494) and shape (mean = 2.176, sd = 1.1035) attributes shown large variation between the four fragment role classes. The area, connectivity and shape composition of each remaining analyzed are shown in the Table 2, and the attributes according to the classification system are shown in Figures 2-4. The area variable axis is log-transformed, and it may differ from official data, because for the sake of the scope in this study, if a conservation unity is composed for many separated patches, only the larger one is considered as the central fragment. Others are counted as surrounding patches in the buffer area.

Among the entire sample, 30.3% of fragments were classified as Source (n = 10), 18.2% classified as Sink (n = 6), 39.4% as Semi-source (n = 13) an 12.2% as Semi-step patch (n = 4) (Table 2, Figure 5).
Table 2: Landscape attribute and final classification of each fragment in the study: Central fragment area in hectares, Total covered area in the buffer, Number of Fragments in surroundings, Connectivity index ($I_c$), Shape index ($I_s$), and Metapopulational role.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fragment name</th>
<th>Central frag. area (ha)</th>
<th>Total cov. area</th>
<th>NF surrounding</th>
<th>$I_c$</th>
<th>$I_s$</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estação Biológica Santa Lúcia</td>
<td>678.8</td>
<td>17677.9</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flona de Goytacazes</td>
<td>1093.5</td>
<td>15747.1</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frag. Marechal Floriano</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>15766.4</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parque Municipal São Lourenço</td>
<td>348.6</td>
<td>12956</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE de Forno Grande</td>
<td>762.9</td>
<td>12664.9</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE de Pedro Azul</td>
<td>1751.2</td>
<td>17655.8</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebio Augusto Ruschi</td>
<td>4730.19</td>
<td>19506.25</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebio de Duas Bocas</td>
<td>3941.9</td>
<td>16179.8</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebio de Sooretama</td>
<td>25437.1</td>
<td>53067.85</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPPN Vale</td>
<td>17642.85</td>
<td>50921.48</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frag. Cariacica</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>7917.6</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>Semi-Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNM David Farina</td>
<td>37.18</td>
<td>1826.88</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>Semi-Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embrapa Marilândia</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>3778.6</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>Semi-Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flona de Pacotuba</td>
<td>563.8</td>
<td>7404.3</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>Semi-Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frag. Mata Fria</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>6117.1</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>Semi-Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parna Caparaó</td>
<td>7982</td>
<td>18505.3</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>Semi-Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNM Morro do Aricanga</td>
<td>581.1</td>
<td>3497.8</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>Semi-Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNM do Monte do Mochuara</td>
<td>118.5</td>
<td>7113.7</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>Semi-Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNM dos Puris</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>654.2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>Semi-Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE Mata das Flores</td>
<td>519.6</td>
<td>7730.1</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Semi-Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebio Côrrego do Veado</td>
<td>2388.4</td>
<td>3033.2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>Semi-Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frag. São João de Petrópolis (Ifes)</td>
<td>119.2</td>
<td>2345.5</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>Semi-Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frag. Viana</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>7911.3</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>Semi-Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frag. Domingos Martins</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>13233.2</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>Sink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frag. Matilde</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>13288.1</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>Sink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNM Goiapaba açu</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>9945.2</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>Sink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Abundance</td>
<td>Dominance</td>
<td>Note</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNM de Domingos Martins</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>15472.9</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Sink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPPN Pau a Pique</td>
<td>169.5</td>
<td>13340.8</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>Sink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frag. São Antônio do Canaã</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>8088.7</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>Sink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE Fonte Grande e PNM Pedra dos Olhos</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>530.1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>Semi-Step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frag. São Rafael</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5212.8</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>Semi-Step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPPN Fazenda Sayonara</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>959.2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>Semi-Step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPPN Linda Lais</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4069.4</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>Semi-Step</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2: Fragments classification role (relative to its own surrounding) compared to the total area of the sample composition.
Fig. 3: Fragments classification role according to connectivity
Fig. 4: Shape index and the fragments classification role.
Fig. 5: Fragments classification role (relative to its own surrounding) compared to the total area of the sample composition. Dashed lines correspond to the mean connectivity (0.249) and the mean area (3.33) relative to the dataset.

As it can be seen, the area criteria classification was not based on the entire dataset, but relative to each fragment surrounding. So, there are large patches classified as Semi-source as not so large ones classified as Source. In addition, some of the patches classified as Semi-Source or Sink can cross the mean line of area, because the mean dataset area was not the cutoff point used to classify them, but the mean area of patches in its own buffer (Fig. 5).

The most isolated patches were found in northern of Espírito Santo State, and the most connected ones, near to the central-southern region of the state.
DISCUSSION

Evidently, physical metrics and measurements are not the unique, or even the most important parameter that determines the metapopulation role of a certain in its system. The flow dynamics of organisms and the migration patterns between patches are the main aspects on the source-sink system (Gilroy & Edwards, 2017). However, the role classification of the patches relies on each species environmental and ecological requirement, resulting on a singular analysis. Because physical attributes are indicators of environmental quality, this study offers an approach that may act supplementary view of the scenario.

The most important consequences of the fragmentation process identified upon Atlantic Forest Central Corridor are (1) the decrease of habitat area inside a forest fragment and (2) the decrease of the connectivity among them, which can empower the environmental vulnerability. This process has been severely accelerated by human activities (Laurance e Yensen, 1991; Ewers e Didham, 2007). The concomitant analysis of the fragment attributes (area, shape, connectivity) shows that different patches play different roles in the source-sink system of Central Corridor of the Atlantic Forest. In general, federal conservation unities may act as forest refuges, and sources components of the metapopulation, while patches that do not match the criteria for being a wildlife refuge, act as a sink of the biological flow.

However, the source-sink role is not only a function of area, but, simultaneously, a function of connectivity. Results showed that the landscape in Espírito Santo presents a wide varied connectivity: from a low isolation on the central region to a high insulation in the northern of the state. The likelihood of a local extinction in an isolated remain forest is much higher than those on a metapopulation (Levins, 1970). However, the isolation is not only a function of the distance among fragments, but also feature of the matrix (Barnes, 2000). Therefore, besides matching the area criterion for source class, Rebio Córrego do Veado presents an extremely low connectivity, probably acting as an insulated habitat spot, or a refuge, for the majority of species. Only species with very high dispersion abilities could establish a metapopulation system between Rebio Córrego do Veado and another habitat remaining. Additionally, populations and communities confined in such isolated suitable patch suffer very high pressions of competition with none releasement opportunity. Populations restricted to those areas may not survive much
longer without stepping-stones or sink components in the landscape around. This could be similar to Rebio de Sooretama and RPPN Vale, if they were treated as a unique remaining.

In this scenario, area and connectivity are surrogate variables useful to identify a metapopulation system and indicates a role in the source-sink system, although, it has not the same functionality for every species. The relative area of the fragment compared to the mean area of all patches around may indicate the sources and sinks of the system, considering that the larger the area, the greater the diversity (McArthur & Wilson, 2015). Nevertheless, the connectivity index may indicate an actually metapopulation functional system in the landscape. In this case, two classes of fragmentation level were identified in the studied dataset: low connectivity ($I_c < 0.25$), and intermediate to high connectivity ($I_c \geq 0.25$) correspond to fragments on central region of the state. This last category may be the only scenario that can meet the requirement for a considerable biodiversity. Although this is an arbitrary classification, based on one single analysis, it is possible that the increment of biologic studies will consolidate these findings.

In addition, the shape pattern is an aggravating factor of the insufficient area. Even the majority of the federal conservation unities analyzed had shown a satisfactory area, their irregular shape act as an opponent of the area, because irregularity decreases the core area of the refuge, by increasing the edge effect upon it. There is a strong relationship between the fragment shape complexity and the response of species to the area, which characterizes the geometric effect (Ewers et al, 2007; Gomes et al, 2010). The edge effect magnitude results from the combination of fragment area and shape. Two patches with the same size but different shape complexities may experience edge effects differently, because a high shape complexity increases the contact zone with the matrix, decreasing the protected core area, inside (Dramstad et al, 1996). Although, it is important to point that some of the most irregular shaped remaining, as Parna Caparaó, PE de Pedra Azul and Rebio Duas Bocas, are consequence of the geography issues, as lakes and mountains.

Edges are the contact zone between the inside and the outside. A long contact of the interior area with the matrix conditions reduces the ability of the system to buffer its interior microclimate. Those perturbations may reflect on abundance and richness of resources, influencing spatial distributions of populations and community structures (Ewers & Banks-Leite, 2013). In a fragmented landscape, it is expected that remaining
core species will suffer population decline in a stronger rate than it is expected for the
punctual habitat loss. That happens because, the greater the fragmentation, the smaller
the ratio between core area and total area of the system, which creates a greater proportion
of edge compared to core habitat (Bender et al, 1998).

On the other hand, the sink patches play a very important role in the dynamic stability of
the source-sink system. As the sink patches receive individuals and groups from the
sources, the maintenance of the sink patches can relieve the competition effects inside the
sources. Another determinant participation is as connective spots between farther refuges,
as stepping-stones. Groups or individuals in dispersion or migration process may use
those spots to follow their path to a refuge of destination. Therefore, small fragments may
act increasing the permeability of the matrix, feeding the dispersion biological flow
(Metzger, 2001). However, a set of small patches may be a continuum for some species
but at the same time, a barrier to another (Dramstad et al, 1996).

The connective spots (or stepping-stones) may be considered a better alternative to
ecologic corridors, and the small fragments has played that role. The mean isolation
between forest patches on the entire Atlantic Forest is 1440 meters but removing from
this count all fragments smaller than 50 hectares, the value increases to 3500 meters
(Ribeiro et al, 2009). This means that the importance of small patches is notorious in
reducing the insulation. Although, increasing the area of existing patches might be better
than ecological corridors. This is a noticing matter called the SLOSS issue. Except by the
habitat loss, the fragmentation of a landscape may even protect the system from spreading
damaging events, as fire or epidemic diseases (Fahrig, 2003).

Nevertheless, it is important to notice that sink patches are not capable to sustain species
populations that require many environmental specificities. Those limitations can lead a
resident population to many genetic effects that can result in the local extinction. Thus,
they are not appropriate to release rescued wildlife. Before proceeding to the release of
wildlife to a fragment, it is important to verify the viability of that fragment to act as
refuge to that species, considering its environmental requirements (such as territory),
identifying if the fragment have a minimum area to hold a core habitat.

In the past, during the Pleistocene, forest fragments have act as wildlife refuges. Because
of the landscape fragmentation that resulted from climatic changes, structured
populations were isolated, which may have increased the diversity and endemcity in the
Atlantic Forest Central Corridor. In the present, Rebio Córrego do Veado, Rebio of Sooretama and Natural Reserve of Vale, and National Forest of Goytacazes may be the only remain of important Pleistocene refuges of the Central Corridor of Atlantic Forest in northern Espírito Santo (Resende et al, 2010). Nevertheless, in the present time, the fragmentation has not been a natural process, and it is probably more intense, accelerated, with less and smaller patches, which can no longer act as refuges. There is no evidence that the past forest refuges were as small as the current ones. In that case, keeping only isolated conservation unities will be no longer enough to save species from extinction: small fragments are important to keep the biologic flow of life.

CONCLUSION

The Atlantic Forest Central Corridor faces a decisive time: the chance to preserve the remaining of the biome biodiversity. However, the implemented conservation strategies applied so far may are not enough to achieve this purpose. Besides most of the federal conservation unities analyses act as a biodiversity refuge, the isolation is a serious threat upon the wildlife. Metapopulation systems, on the other hand, is a better strategy, where private patches have played in important role. Hence, government division may stimulate the conservation of small private areas, as much as increase area and regularity of shape in the conservation unities. One of these strategies will not work satisfactorily without the other.

Simple landscape metrics as size and shape of patches and the connectivity among them can be relevant do analyze the biological functionality of a system, in order to identify the existence of a forest refuge, a metapopulation and to classify its components in source or sink, although this measurement does not predominate for every species in the biome. Each species has specific environmental requirements, which make them very differently subordinated to edge effect, which is a determinant aspect to verify its suitability of populations, especially to releasement of rescued wildlife.

The current landscape is clearly not comparable to the Pleistocene fragmented landscape, and the biodiversity is threatened as is has never been before. All efforts must be applied to a varied spectrum of solutions and interventions, as much as on investigations and
researches, in order to indicate, as in the present study, that the alternatives are no so complex to be achieved.
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