

A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 27 June 2018.

[View the peer-reviewed version](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5060) (peerj.com/articles/5060), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Marinov M, Teofanova D, Gadjev D, Radoslavov G, Hristov P. 2018. Mitochondrial diversity of Bulgarian native dogs suggests dual phylogenetic origin. PeerJ 6:e5060 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5060>

1 Mitochondrial diversity of Bulgarian native dogs suggests dual phylogenetic origin

2 Miroslav Marinov¹Denitsa Teofanova², Georgi Radoslavov¹, Peter Hristov^{1*}

3 ¹Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia,
4 Bulgaria;

5 ²Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Biology, Sofia University “St. Kliment Ohridski”, 8
6 Dragan Tsankov Blvd., Sofia, Bulgaria;

7

8 Corresponding author: Peter Hristov, Department of Animal Diversity and Resources, Institute of
9 Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, “Acad. G. Bonchev” Str.,

10 Bl. 25, Sofia, 1113, Bulgaria, Tel: + 359 2 979 2327; E-mail: peter_hristoff@abv.bg

Abstract

The dog has been the first domesticated animal to have a central role in human society from ancient times to present day. Although there have been numerous investigations of dog phylogeny and origin, genetic data of dogs in the region of the Balkan Peninsula (South-Eastern Europe) are still scarce. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to perform phylogenetic analysis of three native Bulgarian dog breeds. A total of 130 samples were analyzed according to HVR1 (hypervariable region, D-loop region). The samples were taken from two hunting dog breeds (Bulgarian Hound Dog: Barak, n=34; Bulgarian Scenthound Dog: Gonche, n=45) as well as from a Bulgarian Shepherd Dog (n=51). The first two breeds are reared in a flat region of the country (the Northern part of Bulgaria, the Danubian Plain), while the last breed is a typical representative of the mountainous part of the country.

The results have shown the presence of almost all main clades – A, B, C and D – in the three dog breeds taken together, except clades E and F, as expected. With regard to haplogroups distribution, there are clear differences among investigated breeds. While hunting breeds exhibit a prevalence of clade C, the mountainous Shepherd dog shows presence of the D2 haplogroup but absence of the C clade.

In conclusion, the present study has been the first to investigate the mitochondrial diversity of native dog breeds in Bulgaria. The results have revealed a clear difference of haplogroups dissemination in native hunting and shepherd dogs, which suggests a dual independent phylogenetic origin, without hybridization events between these dogs.

Key word: Bulgarian native dog, D-loop region, genetic diversity, population structure

1. Introduction

The origin and evolution of the domestic dog has remained for a long time a controversial question for the scientific community with regard to basic aspects such as the place of origin. The history of dog domestication is often a disputable issue, but it is known that two main processes have occurred: first, primitive dogs were domesticated from their wild predecessor, the gray wolves, and second, the primitive forms were further selected to form many dog breeds with specialized abilities and morphology (Lindblad-Toh et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2014; Wayne and Ostrander, 2007). Despite the numerous efforts to study dog phylogeny and evolution, a basic question that still needs to be elucidated is related to the origin and evolution of the domestic dog. In this aspect, several different geographical regions have been proposed as the main domestication centers.

The first such investigations of the geographical origin of dogs were based on maternally transmitted DNA (mtDNA) in modern dogs, which indicated that dogs originated in the southern part of East Asia (Savolainen et al., 2002; Pang et al., 2009). However, several subsequent studies based on mtDNA from ancient dog samples have suggested Europe as the place of origin (Thalmann et al., 2013). Using genome-wide genotyping of modern dogs, the Middle East has been proposed as the main center of dog domestication, in contrast to findings from using mitochondrial DNA sequence data (vonHoldt et al., 2010).

MtDNA analysis of the domestic dog has revealed six main clades, named A, B, C, D, E and F (Savolainen et al., 2002). Clades A, B, and C contain 95.9% of dog haplotypes and are represented worldwide (clade A) or everywhere except America (clades B and C) (Pires et al., 2006). Clades E and F have a limited geographic distribution (East Asia) with low frequencies (Savolainen et al., 2002). Clade D consists of two subclades, D1 and D2, which have a limited geographic

distribution, specific for Europe and the Middle East. Subclade D1 is restricted to North Europe (Scandinavia), while subclade D2 is disseminated in the Mediterranean region and the Middle East (Savolainen et al., 2002). The subclades of A (A1-A6), B (B1 and B2) and C (C1 and C2) also have a specific geographic distribution in Eurasia. For example, subclades A2a, A2b, A3, A4, A5, B2a and C1b have an East Asian distribution, while A1a1, A1b1, A1b2, B1a1a, B1a1, C1a2, C1a4, C2a2, C2a3 are specific for Europe (Duleba et al., 2015).

There are three Bulgarian native dog breeds – the Bulgarian Shepherd Dog (BShD), the Bulgarian Barak Hound (BBH, Barak) and the Bulgarian Scenthound Dog (BSD, Gonche).

The hunting dogs are some of the oldest hunting dogs on the Balkans. Presumably, they originated back in the Thracian period (around 2500 BC), based on pictures from this epoch. In general, on the territory of the Balkan Peninsula, hounds are divided into smooth- and rough-haired.

In Bulgaria, one of the most distributed smooth-haired hunting dog breed is the Bulgarian Scenthound dog (BSD, Gonche). The breed is also known as “Tricolor hound” or “Ludogorsko gonche” due to the area where the largest populations of it are found – in the Ludogorie region in Northeastern Bulgaria. Dogs were used for hunting of large and small hairy game and predators. Some of the nearest members of this breed are the Hungarian hound (Transylvanian Scenthound), the Serbian Hound and the Greek Harehound.

The Barak (Bulgarian Barak Hound, BBH, Barak) is a rough-haired Bulgarian dog. The Barak belongs to the group of hounds chasing hairy game over a freshly traced trail. Besides its other features, it exhibits (in most cases) very pronounced stubbornness and malice, which makes it particularly valuable when hunting wild boar, without excluding, of course, other species of game. In addition, with its hunting qualities, it often acts as a watch dog in its master's yard. This breed

is prevalent in the Central, North and North-Western (the Danubian Plain) parts of the country.

The nearest member of this breed is the Slovak Rough-haired Pointer.

The Bulgarian Shepherd Dog (BShD) is a traditional mountain livestock guardian dog breed, usually named Karakachan Shepherd. Other names are Ovcharsko Kuche and Thracian Mollos. The official breed standard was written in 1991 and approved in 2005 by the State Commission for Animal Breeds within the Ministry of Agriculture of the Republic of Bulgaria. The breed has a Certificate for recognition no. BG 10675 P2. Schöps (1933) wrote about it in the German cynological magazine “Zeitschrift für Hundeforschung”. The nearest members of this breed are the Tornjak (Bosnia and Herzegovina and Croatia), the Sharplaninac (FR Macedonia), and the Akbash Dog (Turkey).

A newly created dog breed based on the Karakachan Dog (BShD) is the Bulgarian Shepherd Dog (BOK). Other breeds, such as the Central Asian Shepherd Dog (Alabai), the Caucasian Shepherd dog, the St. Bernhardshund, the Newfoundland, etc., have also taken part in the development of the breed. An enormous influence on the formation of the modern Bulgarian Shepherd Dog has been the striving for creation of a very large companion dog, suitable for urban environment and the exhibition ring. There is no evidence that specimens of the breed were used as traditional shepherd dogs.

The aim of this study is to reveal mtDNA variation in three Bulgarian native dog breeds with respect to their phylogenetic origin. The obtained genetic profile and distribution of the main subclades among these breeds are compared with other related breeds and populations.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Population structure and sample collection.

Hair from 130 animals belonging to four different breeds or populations of dogs were collected from breeding kennels, and from distinct geographic locations in their historical breed regions (Table 1). The hair samples from the new breed of the **Bulgarian Shepherd Dog (BOK, n=14)** were taken from three kennels under the control of the Bulgarian Republican Federation of Cynology: “Zapryan” – Vasil Gajdarov, “Sredets” – Tihomir Blagoev, and “Aviyul” – Avram Petkov. The hair samples of the other three breeds were taken as follows: the **Bulgarian Shepherd Dog (BShD, n=37)** – from “Goran na Draganov”, Dimitar Draganov; the **Bulgarian Barak Hound (BBH, Barak, n=34)** – “KAN EL KOT”, Hristo Kanev, “Vom Pirin Hunt”, Asen Belezhekov; National club, **Bulgarian Scenthound dog (BSD, Gonche, n=45)** – “Zhelezni”, Dimitar Dimitrov, “KAIMAKANSKI”, Slavi Kajmakanski.

Animals were selected based on morphological standards and on information about their ancestry in order to exclude related animals.

2.2. DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing.

Total DNA was isolated from hair follicles by using a GeneMATRIX Tissue and Bacterial DNA purification Kit (Cat. No. E3551-01, EURx Ltd., Poland) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, hair was cut into pieces (up to 100 roots). After that, the hair was digested in a lysis buffer (a component of the DNA purification kit), 20 µL of 1M DTT and proteinase K, and incubated overnight at 56 °C. The extracted DNA was resuspended in 50 µL of an elution buffer. The DNA concentration was determined spectrophotometrically, and the quality of the DNA samples was examined on 1% agarose gel electrophoresis stained with Greensafe premium (Cat. No. MB13201, Nzytech, Portugal). The purified DNA was stored at –20 °C until PCR assay.

The mtDNA D-loop region was amplified with primers: HVI-F15453 5'-CCCTGACACCCCTACATTCA-3' and HVI-R16107 5'-CCATTGACTGAATAGCACCTTGA-3' designed by Vila et al. (1999). The PCR mixture contained 25 µL of NZYTaQ 2× Colourless Master Mix (Cat. No. MB04002, Nzytech, Portugal), 0.4 µM of each virus specific primer (FOR/REV), 1 µL of template cDNA in a total volume of 50 µL. All PCR reactions were carried out using a Little Genius thermocycler (BIOER Technology Co., Ltd) under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min; 30 cycles (denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s; primer annealing at 50 °C for 30 s; extension at 72 °C for 1 min) and final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were visualized on a 2 % agarose gel with Greensafe premium (Cat. No. MB13201, Nzytech, Portugal). The fragment size was determined using Gene-Ruler™ 100 bp Ladder Plus (Cat. No. SM0323, ThermoFisher Scientific Inc.).

The successfully amplified products were purified by a PCR purification kit (Gene Matrix, PCR clean-up kit, EURx, Poland) and sequenced in both directions by a PlateSeq kit (Eurofins Genomics Ebersberg, Germany).

2.3. Statistical and phylogenetic analysis

All 130 sequences were manually edited and aligned by MEGA software version 7.0 (Kumar et al., 2016) using the dog mtDNA sequence NC_002008 as a reference (Kim et al., 1998). The obtained sequences (about 730 bp in length from covered tRNA-Pro genes and the beginning of the D-loop region, HV1) included in this study were deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank database under accession numbers (NCBI: MG920357 – MG920486). Sequences were analyzed by polymorphic SNPs position, and haplogroups were determined according to Duleba et al. (2015) as well as MitoToolPy program

(<http://www.mitotool.org/mp.html>) with reference sequence EU789787 (Pang et al., 2009). The statistical quantities for the DNA sequences, including number of haplotypes and haplotype diversity, nucleotide diversity and Fu and Li's D and F test were performed by using DnaSP 5.10.1 (Librado and Rozasp 2009). Phylogenetic relationships of mtDNA haplotypes were explored by a Reduce Median network using NETWORK 4.5.1.6 (Fluxus Technology Ltd.) (available at <http://fluxusengineering.com>). The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and were within the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (10,000 replicates) is shown next to the branches. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated.

Results

Genetic diversity and differentiation of Bulgarian dogs

The primers: HVI-F15453/HVI-R16107 amplified a sequence comprising tRNA-Pro genes and the beginning of the D-loop region (HVR1) at the 5' end of the control region (about 630 bp). All sequences covered from 15 361 to 16 092 bp according to Ref. sequence Acc. No. NC_002008 (Kim et al., 1998). We also observed in all dog sequences an insert at the position 15 514 bp according to Ref. sequence Acc. No. EU789787 (Pang et al., 2009). This insert covered 23 bp similar to Ref. sequence Acc. No. NC_002008. Thirty-eight different haplotypes were obtained from all 130 individuals (Acc. No. MG920357 – MG920486) (Table 1). We identified 19 unique haplotypes in all 130 sequences (Table 1, Supp. Table S1). The largest number of them, 7, was found in the Bulgarian Hound Dog: Barak, while the least – 3 unique haplotypes – were found in the Bulgarian Scenthound Dog: Gonche (Table 1, Supp. Table S1).

The coefficient of diversity within all samples was calculated to be 0.014 ± 0.0022 (Kumar et al., 2016). The mean group distance varied from 0.019 in the Bulgarian Shepherd Dog: BOK to 0.009 in Bulgarian Shepherd Dog: Karakachan. The highest value of mean distance between populations was calculated to 0.017 between the Bulgarian Shepherd Dog: BOK, the Bulgarian Hound Dog: Barak, and the Bulgarian Scenthound Dog: Gonche. The lowest value 0.013 of the mean distance was observed between the Karakachan and the Gonche.

The Bulgarian Shepherd Dog (BOK) showed the highest value of haplotype diversity (0.934 ± 0.0037), followed by the Bulgarian Hound Dog Barak (0.916 ± 0.0006) (Table 2). Among the remaining dog breeds, none showed haplotype diversities higher than 0.90.

Nucleotide diversity per site (π_n) was on average high, ranging from 0.019 (Bulgarian Shepherd Dog: BOK) to 0.0087 (Bulgarian Shepherd Dog: Karakachan). According to Vila et al. (1999), this statistic is not highly sensitive to sample size. The mean number of pair-wise differences (π) between haplotypes within populations varied from 13.83 (Bulgarian Shepherd Dog: BOK) to 5.45 (Bulgarian Scenthound Dog: Gonche). The highest number of haplotypes (H_n), 15, was observed in the Bulgarian Hound Dog: Barak, and the lowest, 10, in the Bulgarian Shepherd Dog: Karakachan and the Bulgarian Scenthound Dog: Gonche.

The Fu and Li's FL-D and FL-F tests showed the lowest values in the BOK dog, which was not surprising, because other dog breeds were included in the creation of that breed (Table 2).

We defined 38 haplotypes in all dog breeds, 19 of which were unique (Table 2). All unique haplotypes were represented with only one sample, while haplotype H36 and H37 were represented with four samples belonging to subhaplogroup D2b (Supp. Table S1).

Phylogenetic analysis

We defined each (sub)clades by a specific mutation motif encompassing control region (HVR1). We observed four main clades – A, B, C and D. Within clade A, we identified 8 subclades (A, A1a1, A1a7, A1ab, A1c, A1d, A1f and A1g). Within clades B and C, we identified a lower diversity compared to clade A, i.e., clade B (B1a1 and B1a4) and clade C (C1b3 and C2). Within clade D we defined only one subclade (D2b). It was observed that the main clades and subclades showed different frequencies in each breed (Figure 1).

Subclade A1 was with the highest frequency – 54.78 %, including seven defined subclades, followed by clades B (18.94 %), C (15.33) and D (4.49 %) in all dog populations (Supp. Table S1). Within clade B, subclade B1a4 was with the highest frequency (about 14 %) compared to subclade B1a1 (about 3 %). C1b3 was the prevalent subclade (about 18 %). In clade D, only subclade D2b was identified with a frequency of 4.49 %.

There were significant differences in the distribution in haplogroups between hunting and shepherd dog breeds (Figure 1 and Figure 2). Clade C was observed in the Bulgarian hunting dog breeds (Bulgarian Scenthound Dog: Gonche and Barak), while subhaplogroup D2b was observed only in shepherd dogs (Bulgarian Shepherd Dog: BOK and Bulgarian Shepherd Dog: Karakachan). Additionally, it was also found that some of the subhaplogroups showed different presence in different dog breeds (Figure 2).

Discussion

1. Balkan dog breeds – origin and history.

Up to date genetic diversity of dogs' phylogeny is missing in the South-Eastern Europe. It is not known how much the dog population is influenced by crossbreeding migration routes between the Middle East (Anatolia), West Asia and Europe. On the Balkans, native breeds are typical

hunting (Bulgarian Barak Hound, Bulgarian Scenthound Dog (Gonche), Hungarian hound
 (Transylvanian Scenthound), Serbian Hound and Greek Harehound) and shepherd dogs (Bulgarian
 Shepherd Dog, Greek Sheepdog (Greece), Tornjak (Bosnia and Herzegovina and Croatia),
 Sharplaninac (FR Macedonia), Akbash Dog (Turkey). All of these breeds have a common
 predecessor from historic and prehistoric time. These breeds were separated not because of their
 morphological features, but because of the separate historical country boundaries (in the last 200
 years). There is no historically described pedigree for these breeds, but it is believed that their
 predecessor dates back to the times of the Ottoman, the Roman and the Bulgarian Empires, and
 even to the Hellenic (Greek) and Thracian kingdoms. It should be noted that hunting dogs have
 been painted in all historic periods as aristocratic dogs, visually similar to scenthound breeds.

It is possible that the Balkan shepherd dogs have a direct predecessor, known as the now
 extinct Molossus dog (Kitchell and Kenneth, 2014). The history and origin of the Molossus began
 from the Balkans as described by many old authors.

2. Phylogenetic analysis of the Bulgarian dog population and relationships with surrounding dog populations.

Taken together, mitochondrial data from the present study showed the presence of all basic
 European clades in the Bulgarian native dogs. As expected, the majority of samples belong to clade
 A, especially, European subclades A1 (about 55 %) (Figure 1 and Figure 2). Similarly, there were
 the typical European subclades B1a1 (about 3 %) and B1a4 (about 14 %) as well as C1b3 (about
 18 %) according to the classification system of Duleba et al. (2015). It is not surprising that we
 found presence of the unique for the Bulgarian Shepherd Dog subclades D2b (about 5 %), because

subclade D2 is regionally specific for South European dog populations (Duleba et al., 2015; Pires et al., 2006; Pang et al. 2009).

We identified 38 haplotypes in all dog breeds. From them, 19 haplotypes (85 %) are identical to the available in the GeneBank data base dog populations worldwide (Supp. Table S1) (Cairns et al., 2017; Boyko et al., 2009; Duleba et al., 2015; Strakova et al., 2016; Imes et al., 2012; Bekaert et al., 2012; Pires et al., 2006; Verschure et al., 2014). Specific for all Bulgarian dog sets is the higher frequency of clade C (about 20 %) compared to data about European dog population (about 10 %) (Duleba et al., 2015; Ardalan et al., 2011). Clade C, together with clade D, was predominant in ancient European dogs, 154,000 to 2000 years ago (63 and 20%, respectively), while most modern European dogs have sequences with haplogroups A and B (64 and 22%, respectively) (Frantz et al., 2016). As a comparison, during the same historical period in South-Western Asia (Anatolia), the frequencies of clades C and D2 were about 7 and 2 %, respectively (Ardalan et al., 2011). Otherwise, the subclade D2b from the Bulgarian sets is represented with new specific haplotypes H36 and H37, which differ from other available D2 sequences by parsimony informative substitution T/C at position 15 955 bp, according to ref. sequence Acc. No. NC_002008. Moreover, from all five defined D2 sequences, D2b haplotypes were found in 80 % of this set (Supp. Table S1). This result suggests that these haplotypes are with Balkan specific origin.

The results, especially the frequency of clade C, may be interpreted as a proof of the conservation of ancient European mitotypes in the studied native Bulgarian dogs.

3. Mitochondrial diversity shows dual phylogenetic origin between Bulgarian hunting and shepherd dogs.

Regarding the mtDNA analysis of Bulgarian native breeds, we unexpectedly found clear and specific differences between hunting and shepherd dog breeds (Figure 1 and Figure 2). While clade C was present only in hunting dogs (Bulgarian Hound Dog: Barak and Bulgarian Scenthound Dog: Gonche), the Bulgarian Shepherd Dog was characterized with a Mediterranean specific D2 subclade.

3.1. Native Bulgarian hunting dogs

The native hunting dogs on the Balkans, as mentioned, are divided into two coat types rough (long hair) and smooth (short hair). These dogs are known under different breed names and slight morphometric features depending on their distribution on the Balkan countries.

The Bulgarian hunting dogs are of the three main clades A, B and C (Figure 1 and 2). Both Bulgarian hunting breeds have an unusual high frequency of clade C (Barak – about 24 % and Gonche – about 38 %). The most prevalent subhaplogroup is C1b3. This subhaplogroup is rarely observed in modern European dog breeds (below 5 %) (Fregel et al., 2015). Moreover, clade C is missing in modern scenthounds (greyhounds) (Savolainen et al., 2002). Another characteristic specific only of the Bulgarian hunting dog is the presence of subclades A1a1, A1a1a, A1a1d, A1a1f, A1a1h A1a7, and B1a1 (Supp. Table S1). All of these subhaplogroups are observed in European and South-Western Asian dog populations (Fregel et al., 2015). A RM network did not show star-like phylogeny for clades A, B and C (Figure 2). This means that the origin of these Bulgarian hunting breeds includes at least two separate predecessors – one from ancient East Eurasian dogs carrying clade A or B, and another from West Eurasian carrying clade C, but not the Mediterranean D2 dog subhaplogroups.

3.2. Native Bulgarian shepherd dogs

There is sound evidence that the Balkan shepherd dogs have directly originated from the now extinct Molossus dog from the Balkan region (Greece, Thrace and Illyric regions) (Kitchell and Kenneth, 2014). Yet, one of the basic questions about these guard dogs, regarding their origin, was placed by Aristoteles (Kitchell and Kenneth, 2014) and Xenophon (Cynegeneticus, 2 000 years ago). It has been supposed that these dog breeds may have originated from the Tibetan mastiff, the Anatolian shepherd breeds, the Chinese breeds, etc. Actually, this question still remains open.

In our sample sets we used two shepherd breeds: the native Bulgarian Shepherd Dog Karakachan (BShD) and the newly created Bulgarian Shepherd Dog (BOK), the latter serving as a control. In the last breed typical Central Asiatic dog breeds also participate.

Our results showed the specific subhaplogroups A1d, A1g and A1f. These subhaplogroups are typical for European dog populations (Fregel et al., 2015). As expected, the mitochondrial profile of the Shepherd Dog (BOK) shared common subhaplogroups with its predecessor – the Karakachan Dog and included some specific Central Asiatic subhaplogroups such as A2a (H1) (Figure 2). The obtained results have clearly shown a typical mitochondrial profile different from that of the Bulgarian hunting dogs. Subhaplotype D2b is typical for these breeds - about 10 % for the Karakachan Dog and about 7 % for BOK (Supp. Table S1). Subclade D2 has also been observed in mountain and shepherd dogs like those in Spain as well as the Estrela Mountain Dog and the Alentejo Shepherd Dog (Portugal), and the Turkish Shepherd Dog: Kangal (Turkey) (Pires et al., 2006; Savolainen et al., 2002). All these breeds belong to the European and South-West Asian Molossus group. A comparison between the Mediterranean Shepherd and the typical Central Asiatic Tibetan Mastiffs has shown different phylogenetic origin based on haplogroup distribution (Ren et al., 2017). In the Tibetan Mastiffs there was a prevalence of subhaplogroup A2 (about 65

306 %), A6 (about 15 %) and about 20 % for subhaplogroup A1, but there was an absence of clades B,
307 C and D.

309 Conclusion

310 In conclusion, the mitochondrial profile of native Bulgarian dogs in the uninvestigated so far
311 South-Eastern Europe has shown typical European haplogroup dissemination. The Bulgarian
312 native dog population is characterized by the highest frequency of clade A (55 %), followed by
313 clade B and C (about 18 %) and the specific South-European clade D2 (about 10 %). We have
314 found a clear difference between two types of dog breed populations: hunting and shepherd.
315 Hunting dogs (Gonche and Barak) have a specific mitochondrial profile characterized by the
316 presence of clade C and the absence of the Mediterranean subclade D2, as compared to the
317 mountain Shepherd Dog (Karakachan). These data may explain the independent phylogenetic
318 origin of two European dog populations: Central and South European. Both breeds are preserved
319 from cross-breeding and due to this reason they have to be included in conservation activity.

321 Acknowledgments

322 The authors would like to thank all Bulgarian kernel clubs for kindly providing the dog
323 samples.

325 References

- 326 1. Ardalan A, Kluetsch CF, Zhang AB, Erdogan M, Uhlén M, Houshmand M, Savolainen P.
327 2011. Comprehensive study of mtDNA among Southwest Asian dogs contradicts

independent domestication of wolf, but implies dog–wolf hybridization. *Ecology and Evolution* 1:373-385. DOI: 10.1002/ece3.35.

2. Bekaert B, Larmuseau MH, Vanhove MP, Opdekamp A, Decorte R. 2012. Automated DNA extraction of single dog hairs without roots for mitochondrial DNA analysis. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6:277-281. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsigen.2011.04.009.
3. Boyko AR, Boyko RH, Boyko CM. 2009. Complex population structure in African village dogs and its implications for inferring dog domestication history. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 106:13903-13908. DOI:10.1073/pnas.0902129106.
4. Cairns KM, Brown SK, Sacks BN, Ballard JWO. 2017. Conservation implications for dingoes from the maternal and paternal genome: Multiple populations, dog introgression, and demography. *Ecology and Evolution* 7:9787-9807. DOI :10.1002/ece3.3487.
5. Duleba A, Skonieczna K, Bogdanowicz W, Malyarchuk B, Grzybowski T. 2015. Complete mitochondrial genome database and standardized classification system for *Canis lupus familiaris*. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 19:123-129. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsigen.2015.06.014.
6. Frantz LA, Mullin VE, Pionnier-Capitan M, Lebrasseur O, Ollivier M, Perri A, Tresset A.(2016. Genomic and archaeological evidence suggest a dual origin of domestic dogs. *Science* 352:1228-1231. DOI: 10.1126/science.aaf3161.
7. Fregel R, Suárez NM, Betancor E, González AM, Cabrera VM, Pestano J. 2015. Mitochondrial DNA haplogroup phylogeny of the dog: Proposal for a cladistic nomenclature. *Mitochondrion* 22:75-84. DOI: 10.1016/j.mito.2015.04.001.

8. Imes DL, Wictum EJ, Allard MW, Sacks BN. 2012. Identification of single nucleotide polymorphisms within the mtDNA genome of the domestic dog to discriminate individuals with common HVI haplotypes. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6:630-639. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsigen.2012.02.004.
9. Kitchell Jr, Kenneth F. 2014. *Animals in the Ancient World from A to Z*. Routledge.
10. Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 33:1870-4. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msw054.
11. Lindblad-Toh K, Wade CM, Mikkelsen TS, Mikkelsen TS, Karlsson EK, Jaffe DB, Kamal M, Clamp M, Chang JL, Kulbokas EJ, Zody M C, Mauceli E, Xie X, Breen M, Wayne RK, Elaine A, Ostrander EA, Pontin CP, Galibert F, Smith DR, deJong PJ, Kirkness E, Alvarez P, Biagi T, Brockman, Butler WJ, Chee-Wye Chin, April Cook A, Cuff J, Daly MJ, DeCaprio D, Gnerre S, Grabherr M, Kellis M, Michael Kleber, Bardeleben C, Goodstad L, Heger A, Hitte Ch, Kim L, Kr Koepfli, K-P Heidi Parker G, Pollinger JP, Searle SMJ, Sutter NB, Thomas R, Webber C, Landere ES. 2005. Genome sequence, comparative analysis and haplotype structure of the domestic dog. *Nature* 438:803–819. DOI: 10.1038/nature04338.
12. Pang JF, Kluetsch C, Zou XJ, Zhang AB, Luo LY, Angleby H, Ardalán, A., Ekstrom C, Skollermo A, Lundeberg J, Matsumura S, Leitner T, Zhang YP, Savolainen P. 2009. mtDNA data indicate a single origin for dogs south of Yangtze River, less than 16,300 years ago, from numerous wolves. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 26:2849-2864. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msp19.

13. Pires AE, Ouragh L, Kalboussi M, Matos J, Petrucci-Fonseca F, Bruford MW. 2006. Mitochondrial DNA sequence variation in Portuguese native dog breeds: diversity and phylogenetic affinities. *Journal of Heredity* 97:318-330. DOI: 10.1093/jhered/esl006.
14. Ren Z, Chen H, Yang X, Zhang C. 2017. Phylogenetic analysis of Tibetan mastiffs based on mitochondrial hypervariable region I. *Journal of Genetics* 96:119-125.
15. Savolainen P, Zhang YP, Luo J, Lundeberg J, Leitner T. 2002. Genetic evidence for an East Asian origin of domestic dogs. *Science* 298:1610–1611 DOI: 10.1126/science.1073906.
16. Strakova A, Leathlobhair MN, Wang GD, Yin TT, Airikkala-Otter I, Allen JL, De Castro KF. 2016. Mitochondrial genetic diversity, selection and recombination in a canine transmissible cancer. *Elife* 5:e14552. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.14552.
17. Thalmann O, Shapiro B, Cui P, Schuenemann VJ, Sawyer SK, Greenfield DL, Germonpré MB, Sablin MV, López-Giráldez F, Domingo-Roura X, Napierala H, Uerpmann HP, Loponte DM, Acosta AA, Giemsch L, Schmitz RW, Worthington B, Buikstra JE, Druzhkova A, Graphodatsky AS, Ovodov ND, Wahlberg N, Freedman AH, Schweizer RM, Koepfli KP, Leonard JA, Meyer M, Krause J, Pääbo S, Green RE, Wayne RK. 2013. Complete mitochondrial genomes of ancient canids suggest a European origin of domestic dogs. *Science* 342:871–874. DOI: 10.1126/science.1243650.
18. Kim KS, Lee SE, Jeong HW, Ha JH. 1998. The complete nucleotide sequence of the domestic dog (*Canis familiaris*) mitochondrial genome. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 10:210-220. DOI: 10.1006/mpev.1998.0513.

19. Verschure, S, Backeljau T, Desmyter S. 2014. Dog mitochondrial genome sequencing to enhance dog mtDNA discrimination power in forensic casework. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 12:60-68. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsigen.2014.05.001.
20. Vilá C, Maldonado J, Wayne RK. 1999. Phylogenetic relationships, evolution, and genetic diversity of the domestic dog. *Journal of Heredity* 90:71–77.
21. vonHoldt BM, Pollinger JP, Lohmueller KE, Han E, Parker HG, Quignon, PJ, Degenhardt D, Boyko AR, Earl DA, Auton A, Reynolds A, Bryc K, Brisbin A, Knowles JC, Mosher DS, Spady TC, Elkahouloun A, Geffen E, Pilot M, Jedrzejewski Wl, Greco Cl, Randi Ett, Bannasch D, Wilton A, Shearman J, Musiani M, Cargill M, Jones PG, Qian Z, Huang W, Ding Z-L, Zhang Y, Bustamante CD, Ostrander EA, Novembre J, Wayne RK. 2010. Show fewer authors. Genome-wide SNP and haplotype analyses reveal a rich history underlying dog domestication. *Nature* 464:898–902. DOI: 10.1038/nature08837
22. Wang GD, Xie HB, Peng MS, Irwin D, Zhang YP. 2014. Domestication genomics: evidence from animals. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences* 2:65–84. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-animal-022513-114129.
23. Wayne RK, Ostrander EA. 2007. Lessons learned from the dog genome. *Trends of Genetics* 23:557–567. DOI: 10.1016/j.tig.2007.08.013.
24. Zentralblatt für Kleintierkunde und Pelztierkunde "Kleintier und Pelztier", Paul Schöps; Leipzig: Verlag, 1937.

Table 1(on next page)

Table 1

Information on the dog breeds used in this study. GD, guarding dogs; LHD, livestock herding dogs; HD, hunting dogs. Demographic data only available for Bulgarian dog breeds

- 1 Table 1. Information on the dog breeds used in this study. GD, guarding dogs; LHD, livestock
2 herding dogs; HD, hunting dogs. Demographic data only available for Bulgarian dog breeds

Breed/population of dogs	Number of samples	Locality	Function	Current female population size	Current conservation status	Total number of haplotypes ^a	Unique haplotypes ^b
Bulgarian Shepherd dog: BOK	14	All country	GD	1300	Not at risk	11	4
Bulgarian Shepherd dog: Karakachan	37	The Rhodope mountain and other mountainous parts of the country	LHD	100	Endangered	10	5
Bulgarian Hound dog: Barak	34	North-Western Bulgaria	HD	120	Endangered	15	7
Bulgarian Scenthound dog: Gonche	45	North-Eastern Bulgaria	HD	150	Endangered	10	3

3

4 ^a Based on 732 bp mtDNA fragment calculated by DnaSP 5.10.1 (Librado and Rozas, 2009).

5 ^b Unique haplotypes based on the clipped 630 bp mtDNA fragment described above

Table 2 (on next page)

Table 2

Haplotype (H) and nucleotide (π_n) diversity, mean number of pair-wise differences (π) between haplotypes within populations, polymorphic sites (p.s.), number of haplotypes (Hn), and Fu and Li's D and F tests in four Bulgarian dog breeds.

- 1 Table 2. Haplotype (H) and nucleotide (π_n) diversity, mean number of pair-wise differences (π)
- 2 between haplotypes within populations, polymorphic sites (p.s.), number of haplotypes (Hn), and
- 3 Fu and Li's D and F tests in four Bulgarian dog breeds.

Breed	H \pm SD	π_n	π	p.s.	Hn	Fu and Li's D	Fu and Li's F
Bulgarian Shepherd dog: BOK	0.934 \pm 0.0037	0.0190	13.835	55	11	-1.461	-1.495
Bulgarian Shepherd dog: Karakachan	0.812 \pm 0.0016	0.0087	6.366	26	10	1.191	0.967
Bulgarian Scenthound dog: Gonche	0.821 \pm 0.044	0.0173	5.459	15	10	1.591	1.865
Bulgarian Hound dog: Barak	0.916 \pm 0.0006	0.0137	10.029	43	15	-1.146	-0.999

4

Figure 1

Figure 1




Figure 2

Figure 2

