Identification of DNA molecular markers by comparison of *Pinus densiflora* and *Pinus sylvestris* chloroplast genomes

Sang-Chul Kim Corresp., 1, Jei-Wan Lee Corresp., 1, Seung-Hoon Baek 1, Ji-Young Ahn 1, Kyung-Nak Hong 1

¹ National Institute of Forest Science, Division of Forest Genetic Resources, Suwon, Republic of Korea

Corresponding Authors: Sang-Chul Kim, Jei-Wan Lee Email address: majin01@korea.kr, leejeiwan@korea.kr

Background: Identifying and characterizing genetic variation can clarify the molecular basis of biological phenomena in plants. In particular, related or morphologically similar species can be distinguished by molecular markers. *Pinus densiflora* Siebold & Zucc. is a species that is distributed in the Korean peninsula, the Japanese archipelago, and China's Shandong and Manchu Provinces and has long been harvested for timber. However, it is difficult to distinguish *P. densiflora* from *Pinus sylvestris* L. both morphologically and phylogenetically. The complete chloroplast genome of *P. densiflora* has not yet been reported. In this study, we sequenced the *P. densiflora* chloroplast genome in order to identify the molecular markers that can be used to distinguish this species from *P. sylvestris*.

Methods: Genomic DNA was extracted from *P. densiflora* samples obtained from the clone bank of the National Forest Seed Variety Center and was sequenced on an Ion Torrent platform. Filtered sequences were assembled with *P. sylvestris* sequences used as a reference and gene annotation was performed. The chloroplast genome sequences of the two species were aligned and the number and location of forward, reverse, complement and palindromic matches were determined. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertion/deletion mutations (Indels) were identified and analyzed by PCR.

Results: The *P. densiflora* chloroplast genome consisted of circular double-stranded DNA with 119,835 bp compared to 119,758 bp for *P. sylvestris*. Between the two *Pinus* chloroplast genomes, we identified 73 SNPs and 171 Indels; two gene regions with amplification products \leq 300 bp (*rpoC1* and *trnM-trnV*) were validated as molecular markers.

Discussion: PCR restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis revealed differences between *P. sylvestris* and *P. densiflora* at the molecular level. These differences can be used to distinguish between these two species, which is not possible by microscopy-based morphological examination.

1 Introduction

2 Pinaceae, comprising 11 genera and more than 200 species, is the largest extant family of 3 gymnosperms. Many species of the pine family constitute the major forest elements in the 4 northern temperate region (Wang, Tank & Sang. 2000). Pinus L. is one of 11 genera in 5 Pinaceae, a monophyletic family among gymnosperms (Farjon, 2010). Approximately 110 6 species comprise 50% of Pinaceae, making it the largest genus of existing gymnosperms 7 (Syring et al., 2005), most of which are distributed in the temperate zone of the Northern 8 Hemisphere. *Pinus* is divided into two subgenera *Strobus* and *Pinus*, according to the number of 9 fibrovascular bundles in the needle (Geada López, Kamiya & Harada, 2002). Approximately 20 10 species are native to or are cultivated in Korea (Korea National Arboretum and The Plant 11 Taxonomic Society of Korea, 2007; Hong et al., 2014). Pinus densiflora Siebold & Zucc. is 12 distributed throughout Korea and is one of the most economically important species sustaining 13 forest ecosystems and is harvested for wood and fuel (Lee et al., 2004; Kim, Kim & Lim, 2017). 14 Pinus sylvestris L. is the most abundant species in Europe and is found from Scotland and Spain 15 to Siberia and northern Asia. 16 Chloroplasts a type of plastid in plants and algae, are intracellular organelles that carry out 17 photosynthesis (Howe et al., 2003). They are presumed to have originated from an 18 endosymbiotic event between cyanobacteria and non-photosynthetic host cells (Dyall, Brown & 19 Johnson, 2004). Plastid genomes are stable in terms of structure, gene content, and gene order 20 across land plants (Jansen et al., 2005). The chloroplast genome of higher plants consists of a 21 circular double strand ranging from 120 to 210 kb that usually contains two inverted repeat (IR) 22 regions (IRA and IRB) separated by large and small single-copy regions (LSC and SSC, 23 respectively) (Ravi et al., 2008). Most plant genomes have 66-82 protein-coding genes, 29-32 24 genes encoding tRNAs, and four genes encoding rRNAs, With the exception of non-25 photosynthetic parasitic plants, gene composition, sequence, content, and orientation are highly 26 conserved among seed plants (Jansen & Ruhlman, 2012). However, structural modifications 27 such as loss of IR domains or entire genes and gene rearrangement have been reported in 28 gymnosperms such as conifers (Lin et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2011; Wu & Chaw, 2014; Yi et al., 29 2016). The first complete sequences of *Pinus* cpDNA were reported in *Pinus thunbergii* Parl., 30 with 4 rRNA genes and 32 tRNA genes, and the most striking feature is the loss of all 11 31 functional genes (ndh genes) for in subunits of a putative NADH dehydrogenase that are found 32 in the chloroplast genomes of angliosperms and a bryophyte (Wakasugi et al., 1994). There are 33 currently; 2,245 complete chloroplast genomes of seed plants in the National Center for 34 Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Organelle Genome Resources database

35 (<u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/)</u>.

- 36 Plastid genome sequences are widely used for DNA barcoding, species conservation, genomic
- 37 evolution, and molecular phylogenetic studies (Moore et al., 2007). Identifying and
- 38 characterizing genetic variation can clarify the molecular basis the of biological phenomena in
- 39 plants (Agarwal, Shrivastava & Padh, 2008) and provide insight into the mechanisms of
- 40 evolution and natural selection. In particular, species that are difficult to differentiate
- 41 morphologically can be distinguished using molecular markers. The complete chloroplast
- 42 genome can be rapidly sequenced at a relatively low cost (Yi *et al.*, 2016). Also, PCR restriction
- 43 fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis, also known as cleaved amplified polymorphic
- 44 sequence (CAPS), is widely used to detect intra- and interspecies variation (Rasmussen, 2012).
- 45 *P. sylvestris* and *P. densiflora* belong to the subgenus *Pinus*, section *Pinus*, subsection *Pinus*.
- 46 These trees are characterized by the shedding of bud-scales along with the leaves and by two
- 47 cross-sectional vascular bundles in the leaves (Lee, 2003). Futher, molecular phylogenetic
- 48 studies show that *P. sylvestris* and *P. densiflora* formseparate strongly supported groups, with
- 49 common morphological features, including irregular cracking of 2-year-old bark (Wang *et al.*,
- 50 1999; Gernandt, 2005; Hong et al., 2014). Thus, P. sylvestris and P. densiflora are difficult to
- 51 distinguish morphologically and phylogenetically; as such, the timber of the two species is often
- 52 combined or illegally substituted. A complete chloroplast genome is available for *P. sylvestris* but
- 53 not for *P. densiflora*. In this study, we sequenced the chloroplast genome of *P. densiflora* and
- 54 compared it with that of *P. sylvestris* in order to identify polymorphisms that can serve as
- 55 molecular markers to distinguish between the two species by PCR-RFLP analysis.

56 Materials and Methods

- 57 Sample collection, DNA extraction, and sequencing
- 58 *P. densiflora* samples were obtained from the clone bank of the National Forest Seed Variety
- 59 Center (Anmyeondo, Korea; elite tree: Gyeongbuk No. 4) and genomic DNA was isolated from
- 60 fresh leaves using the Plasmid SV mini kit (GeneAll, Seoul, Korea). DNA samples from plants
- 61 used in this study are now stored in the DNA Bank of the Forest Genetic Resources Department
- 62 of the National Institute of Forest Science. Total genomic DNA was extracted from 10 g of fresh
- 63 leaves using a Plasmid SV mini kit. Whole genome sequencing was performed on the lon
- 64 Torrent platform (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Libraries were sequenced on Ion
- 65 Proton using the Ion PI Chip kit v3 deposited at full density according to the protocol for 200 bp
- 66 sequencing supplied by the manufacturer.

67 Chloroplast genome assembly and annotation

- 68 Filtered sequences were assembled using Bowtie2 v. 2.2.3 software (<u>http://bowtie-</u>
- 69 <u>bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/index.shtml;</u> Langmead & Salzberg, 2012) with *P. sylvestris*
- 70 sequence (GenBank: NC035069) as a reference. In total, 1,449,103 reads were mapped to the
- 71 reference sequence with an average coverage of 851.1X. Finally, the contigs were assembled
- vising Geneious 10.2.3 (Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand; Kearse *et al.*, 2012). Gene
- 73 annotation was performed using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST and BLASTX)
- 74 available on the NCBI website. All tRNA sequences were confirmed using the web-based tool,
- 75 tRNAScan-SE (Schattner, Brooks & Lowe, 2005) with default settings to corroborate tRNA
- 76 boundaries identified by Geneious. Genome maps were generated using
- 77 OrganellarGenomeDRAW (Lohse, Drechsel & Bock, 2007), followed by manual modification.

78 Comparison of Pinus chloroplast genome sequences

- Simple sequence repeats (SSRs) were analyzed using Phobos v. 3.3.12 (Mayer, 2010), with
- 80 thresholds of eight repeat units for mononucleotide SSRs, four for di- and trinucleotide SSRs,
- 81 three for tetra- and pentanucleotide SSRs, and two for hexanucleotide SSRs. All detected
- 82 repeats were manually verified, and redundant results were removed. We aligned the plastid
- 83 genome sequences of the two *Pinus* species using MAFFT (Katoh *et al.*, 2002). For long repeat
- 84 sequences, the REPuter program was used to assess the number and location of forward,
- 85 reverse, complement and palindromic matches (Kurtz et al., 2001). Repeat identity and size
- 86 were limited to > 90% and \geq 25 bp, respectively.

87 Identification of molecular markers to distinguish between Pinus species

- 88 Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertion/deletion mutations (Indels) were
- 89 identified using Geneious 10.2.3 and analyzed by PCR. Primer3 software was used to design
- 90 primers ranging in size from 18 to 22 mer. The temperature ranged from 57 °C to 63 °C. There
- 91 was one GC clamp, and primer amplification products ranged from 250 to 350 bp. Each thirty
- 92 individuals of both *Pinus* species were tested for the species-specific DNA markers. The
- 93 individuals of *P. densiflora* were sampled from thirteen populations in South Korea (Ahn *et al.*,
- 94 2015), and the individuals of *P. sylvestris* were sampled from the 22 provenance in Sweden,
- 95 which were introduced into Korea in order to select superior provenances that are well adapted
- 96 to Korean environment (Ryu *et al.*, 2013). It is also stored in the DNA Bank of Forest Genetic
- 97 Resources Department (NIFS_122059323 to 122059343). PCR reaction mixtures contained 10
- 98 $\,$ pmol of each primers pairs, 25 ng total DNA, 0.5 μl of 10 mM dNTPs, 2.5 μl of 10× reaction
- 99 $\,$ buffer (2.5 mM MgCl_2, 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.4], 50 mM KCl), and 1 U Taq DNA polymerase $\,$
- 100 (BioFACT, Daejeon, Korea). Reactions were performed on a GeneAmp PCR System 9700
- 101 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) under the following conditions: 94 °C

- 102~ for 5 min; 45 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s; and 72 °C for 10 min.
- 103 PCR products were confirmed on a 2 % agarose gel, and were digested using 5 U of *Hinf*l,
- 104 *BsaW*I and *Sph*I followed by electrophoresis on a 2 % acrylamide gel. DNA fragment sizes were
- $105 \qquad \text{estimated by comparison with 100 bp Plus Ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, \\$
- 106 USA).

107 Results

108 Chloroplast genome assembly and features

109 The complete chloroplast genome of *P. densiflora* was determined to be a circular double-110 stranded DNA sequence of 119,835 bp (GenBank accession number: MF990371). The genome 111 showed a typical guadripartite structure including LSC (65,896 bp) and SSC (53,219 bp) regions 112 and IRs (360 bp) (Fig. 1). The genome had a similar GC content to that of P. sylvestris. The GC 113 content was the highest in the SSC region (39.4%), moderate in the LSC region (37.8%), and 114 the lowest in the IR region (34.7%). We identified 113 genes including 74 encoding proteins and 115 36 and four encoding tRNA and rRNA, respectively. Twelve genes (six protein-coding and six 116 tRNA genes) contained one intron, while two of the protein-coding genes (ycf3 and rps12) had 117 two introns. We also confirmed that *trnS*-GCU and *psaM* were duplicated in two chloroplasts

118 (Table 1).

119 Analyses of repetitive sequences

120 We detected SSRs > 8 bp in *P. densiflora* and *P. sylvestris* chloroplast genomes according to a 121 previously published method (Qian et al., 2013). We set the threshold based on the fact that 122 SSRs > 8 bp are prone to strand slippage and mispairing, which is thought to be the primary 123 mechanism underlying the high rate of polymorphism. In our analysis, there were 103 and 106 124 SSRs accounting for 1,236 bp in P. densiflora and 1,254 bp in P. sylvestris, respectively. These 125 included 18 mono-, five di-, one tri-, four tetra-, and 75 hexa-nucleotide repeats for P. densiflora 126 and 21 mono-, seven di-, one tri-, four tetra-, and 73 hexanucleotide repeats for P. sylvestris. 127 Hexanucleotide repeats accounted for 72.8% and 68.9% of total SSRs in P. densiflora and P. 128 sylvestris, respectively. The majority of mononucleotide SSRs were thymine and adenine. The 129 majority of the identified repeats were located in the non-coding regions (intergenic spacers and 130 introns) except 17 protein-coding genes (matK, atpH, atpI, rpoC2, rpoB, petL, psbL, petA, rbcL, 131 atpB, rpl22, psbC, rrn16, rrn23, ycf1, rpl32, and ycf2). In total, 35 long repeat sequences > 25 bp 132 were identified in the *P. densiflora* chloroplast genome, including 21 forward and 14 palindromic 133 matches. Thirty long repeat sequences were identified in P. sylvestris, including 16 forward, one 134 reverse, and 13 palindromic matches (Fig. 2).

135 Comparison of indels and SNPs in Pinus species

- 136 In total, 171 indels were found to differ between *P. densiflora* and *P. sylvestris*, most of which
- 137 $\,$ were located in intergenic spacer regions (91.2%), with 69.6% and 30.4% in the LSC and SSC,
- 138 respectively. The average Indel length was 6 bp, and the longest was located in *cemA-ycf4* and
- *trnE-clpP*. The frequency of 1 bp Indels was 36.7%, while 30% was > 8 bp. In addition, Indels
- 140 were detected in two coding genes of both species (*psaM* and *ycf2*; Table 2). Seventy-three
- 141 SNPs differed between *P. densiflora* and *P. sylvestris*, of which 46 were transversions (63%). In
- 142 total, 34 (46.6%) SNPs were located in coding regions, whereas 39 (53.4%) were in intergenic
- 143 spacer regions or introns (Table 3).
- 144 The *rpoC1* and *trnM-trnV* gene regions were amplified by PCR (using the primers shown in
- 145 Table 4) in order to validate their capacity to distinguish between the two *Pinus* species. The
- 146 amplification product obtained using the Pdest-cp1 primer was digested with *Hinf*I and visualized
- 147 by agarose gel electrophoresis. Approximately 200 bp fragment was observed in *P. densiflora*
- 148 but not in *P. sylvestris* (Fig. 3). On the other hand, digestion of the Pidest-cp2 amplification
- 149 product with BsaWI yielded approximately 200 bp fragment that was observed in P. sylvestris but
- 150 not in *P. densiflora* (Fig. 4). In addition, digesting the Pidest-cp2 amplification product with *SphI*
- 151 produced a fragment of approximately 200 bp that was observed in *P. densiflora* but not in *P.*
- 152 *sylvestris* (Fig. 5).

153 Discussion

- 154 Comparison of the complete plastid genomes of P. densiflora and P. sylvestris
- 155 IRs are known to stabilize the plastid genome because of its low base exchange rate and high
- 156 copy-correcting activity. Thus, the loss of IRs can result in the shortening of intergenic spaces,
- 157 $\,$ gene loss, and structural variations in plastids. Reductions in Irs have been observed in most $\,$
- gymnosperm and in some legumes. In *P. densiflora*, the total chloroplast genome was 77 bp
- 159 longer than that of *P. sylvestris*, while the gene content, order, and orientation were similar to
- 160 those of ther *Pinus* chloroplast genomes (Wakasugi *et al.*, 1994; Duan *et al.*, 2016; Fang *et al.*,
- 161 2016; Celiński *et al.*, 2017; Ni *et al.*, 2017).
- 162 We also found that SSRs of 1–6 bp per unit—known as, microsatellites— were distributed
- 163 throughout the *P. densiflora* chloroplast genome. SSRs are important molecular markers of
- 164 $\,$ genomic variation within species or populations due to their high polymorphism, and have been
- 165 extensively used to analyze plant population structure, diversity, differentiation and fertility (Kim
- 166 & Kim, 2016). The SSRs detected in the present study will provide basic information for future

167 analyses of genetic diversity in Pinaceae.

- 168 Identification molecular markers for distinguish between Pinus species based on SNPs
- 169 *P. sylvestris* and *P. densiflora* are difficult to distinguish because they form separate strongly
- 170 supported groups in molecular phylogenetic studies (Wang *et al.*, 1999; Gernandt, 2005; Hong
- 171 *et al.*, 2014) and also have very similar morphology (Lee, 2003; Hong *et al.*, 2014).
- 172 Complete chloroplast genome sequences (plastomes) have been very useful for understanding
- 173 phylogenetic relationships in angiosperms at the family level and above and have been used to
- 174 resolve previously recalcitrant nodes (Barrett et al., 2016).
- 175 In order to identify molecular markers that can be used to distinguish the two *Pinus* species, we
- 176 compared their chloroplast genomes and found a total of 171 indels and 73 SNPs. We amplified
- 177 the *rpoC1* and *trnM-trnV* gene regions by PCR-RFLP and found that SNPs in these two regions
- 178 could be clearly distinguished by restriction enzyme digestion.
- 179 The differences between individuals can be clearly detected by separating differently sized
- 180 fragments based on the single nucleotide and Indel polymorphisms of the restriction enzyme
- 181 sites rather than by using dominant markers (Lee *et al.*, 2012). In this context, the co-dominant
- 182 CAPS markers developed in this study provide a means of unambiguously identifying *P*.
- 183 *densiflora* and *P. sylvestris*.
- 184 It is likely that the SNP loci found in this study exist in other *Pinus* species. These markers have
- 185 many research and commercial applications, including studies of genetic diversity, breeding, and
- 186 species identification for the timber market.

187 Conclusions

- 188
- 189 This study provides the complete chloroplast sequences of *P. densiflora*. These sequences
- 190 revealed significant similarity in the structural organization of the chloroplast genomes in
- 191 Pinaceae, such as loss of IR and reduction of *ndh* genes, i.e., all *ndh* genes were transferred to
- 192 the nucleus or that NADH dehydrogenase is not essential in pine chloroplasts. In addition,
- 193 molecular markers that can distinguish between the phylogenetically and morphologically similar
- 194 *P. sylvestris* and *P. densiflora* were identified, providing a more objective and reliable method of
- 195 identification than that by conventional visual identification methods. Further, the data generated
- 196 here can be used to develop additional molecular markers for comparing with other *Pinus*
- 197 species. These markers can also have research and commercial applications such as in genetic
- 198 diversity studies, breeding, and identification of species for the timber market.
- 199 Further research is necessary to determine whether the restriction site differences occur across

- 200 the entire geographic range of both species, given that additional mutations may have caused
- 201 one or more of the restriction sites to disappear in some populations.

202 References

Agarwal M, Shrivastava N, Padh H. 2008. Advances in molecular marker techniques and their
 applications in plant sciences. *Plant Cell Reports*, 27: 617–631. DOI: 10.1007/s00299-008 0507-z

Ahn, J. Y., Hong, K. N., Lee, J. W., Hong, Y. P., & Kang, H. 2015. Genetic Variation of *Pinus*

207 *densiflora* Populations in South Korea Based on ESTP Markers. *Korean Journal of Plant*

208 *Resources*, *28*(2), 279-289.

- 209 Celiński K, Kijak H, Barylski J, Grabsztunowicz M, Wojnicka-Półtorak A, Chudzińska E. 2017.
- 210 Characterization of the complete chloroplast genome of *Pinus uliginosa* (Neumann) from the
- 211 *Pinus mugo* complex. *Conservation Genetics Resources*, 9: 209–212. DOI: 10.1007/s12686212 016-0652-6.
- 213 Duan RY, Yang LM, Lv T, Wu GL, Huang MY. 2016. The complete chloroplast genome sequence
- of Pinus dabeshanensis. Conservation Genetics Resources, 8: 395–397. DOI:
- 215 10.1007/s12686-016-0567-2
- $216 \qquad \text{Dyall SD, Brown MT, Johnson PJ. 2004. Ancient invasions: from endosymbionts to organelles.}$
- 217 *Science*, 304: 253–257. DOI: 10.1126/science.1094884
- 218 Farjon, A. (2010). A Handbook of the World's Conifers (2 vols.)(Vol. 1). Brill.
- 219 Fang MF, Wang YJ, Zu YM, Dong WL, Wang RN, Deng TT, Li ZH. 2016. The complete
- 220 chloroplast genome of the Taiwan red pine *Pinus taiwanensis* (Pinaceae). *Mitochondrial DNA*
- 221 Part A, 27: 2732–2733. DOI: 10.3109/19401736.2015.1046169
- 222 Geada López G, Kamiya K, Harada K. 2002. Phylogenetic relationships of Diploxylon pines
- 223 (subgenus *Pinus*) based on plastid sequence data. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*,
- 224 163: 737–747. DOI: 10.1086/342213
- Gernandt, D. S., G. Lopez, S. O. Garcia and A. Liston. 2005. Phylogeny and classification of
 Pinus. Taxon 54: 29-42.
- Hong JK, Yang JC, Lee YM, Kim JH. 2014. Molecular phylogenetic study of *Pinus* in Korea
- based on chloroplast DNA *psbA-trnH* and *atpF-H* sequences data. *Korean Journal of Plant*
- 229 *Taxonomy*, 44: 111–118. DOI: 10.11110/kjpt.2014.44.2.111
- Howe CJ, Barbrook AC, Koumandou VL, Nisbet RER, Symington HA, Wightman TF. 2003.
- 231 Evolution of the chloroplast genome. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of*
- 232 London B: Biological Sciences, 358: 99-107. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2002.1176
- 233 Jansen RK, Ruhlman TA. 2012. Plastid genomes of seed plants. In: Bock R, Knoop V, eds.
- 234 *Genomics of chloroplasts and mitochondria*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. 103–126. DOI:
- 235 10.1007/978-94-007-2920-9_5
- 236 Jansen RK, Raubeson LA, Boore JL, Chumley TW, Haberle RC, Wyman SK, Kuehl JV. 2005.

- 237 Methods for obtaining and analyzing whole chloroplast genome sequences. *Methods in*
- 238 Enzymology 395: 348–384. DOI: 10.1016/S0076-6879(05)95020-9
- 239 Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma KI, Miyata T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple
- sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Research* 30: 3059–
- 241 3066. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkf436
- 242 Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Thierer T. 2012. Geneious
- 243 Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and
- analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 28: 1647–1649. DOI:
- 245 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199
- 246 Kim JB, Kim ES, Lim JH. 2017. Topographic and meteorological characteristics of *Pinus*
- 247 *densiflora* dieback areas in Sogwang-Ri, Uljin. *Korean Journal of Agricultural and Forest*
- 248 *Meteorology* 19: 10–18. DOI: 10.5532/KJAFM.2017.19.1.10
- $249 \quad \text{Kim SC, Kim JS, Kim JH. 2016. Insight into infrageneric circumscription through complete}$
- chloroplast genome sequences of two *Trillium* species. *AoB Plants* 8. DOI:
- 251 10.1093/aobpla/plw015
- Korea National Arboretum and The Plant Taxonomic Society of Korea. 2007. A Synonymic List of
 Vascular Plants in Korea. Korea National Arboretum. Pocheon. (in Korean)
- 254 Kurtz S, Choudhuri JV, Ohlebusch E, Schleiermacher C, Stoye J, Giegerich R. 2001. REPuter:
- the manifold applications of repeat analysis on a genomic scale. *Nucleic Acids Research* 29:
- 256 4633–4642. DOI: 10.1093/nar/29.22.4633
- 257 Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods* 9:
- 258 357–359. DOI: 10.1038/nmeth.1923
- $259 \quad \text{Lee CS, Kim JH, Yi H, You YH. 2004. Seedling establishment and regeneration of Korean red}$
- 260 pine (*Pinus densiflora* S. et Z.) forests in Korea in relation to soil moisture. *Forest Ecology*
- 261 and Management 199: 423–432. DOI: 10.1016/j.foreco.2004.05.053
- Lee JW, Bang KH, Kim YC, Seo AY, Jo IH, Lee JH, Cho JH. 2012. CAPS markers using
- 263 mitochondrial consensus primers for molecular identification of *Panax* species and Korean
- ginseng cultivars (*Panax ginseng* CA Meyer). *Molecular Biology Reports* 39: 729–736. DOI:
- 265 10.1007/s11033-011-0792-4
- Lee TB. 2003. Coloured Flora of Korea. Hyangmunsa, Seoul (2003) (in Korean)
- $267 \quad \text{Lin CP, Huang JP, Wu CS, Hsu CY, Chaw SM. 2010. Comparative chloroplast genomics reveals}$
- the evolution of Pinaceae genera and subfamilies. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 2: 504–
- 269 517. DOI: 10.1093/gbe/evq036
- 270 Lohse M, Drechsel O, Bock R. 2007. OrganellarGenomeDRAW (OGDRAW): a tool for the easy
- 271 generation of high-quality custom graphical maps of plastid and mitochondrial genomes.
- 272 *Current Genetics* 52: 267–274. DOI: 10.1007/s00294-007-0161-y

- 273 Mayer C. 2010. Phobos Version 3.3.12. A tandem repeat search program, 20.
- 274 Moore MJ, Bell CD, Soltis PS, Soltis DE. 2007. Using plastid genome-scale data to resolve
- enigmatic relationships among basal angiosperms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 276 Sciences of the United States of America 104: 19363–19368. DOI:
- 277 10.1073/pnas.0708072104
- 278 Ni Z, Ye Y, Bai T, Xu M, Xu LA. 2017. Complete chloroplast genome of *Pinus massoniana*
- 279 (Pinaceae): Gene rearrangements, loss of ndh genes, and short inverted repeats contraction,
- 280 expansion. *Molecules* 22: 1528. DOI: 10.3390/molecules22091528
- 281 Qian J, Song J, Gao H, Zhu Y, Xu J, Pang X, Liu J. 2013. The complete chloroplast genome
- sequence of the medicinal plant *Salvia miltiorrhiza*. *PLoS One* 8: e57607. DOI:
- 283 10.1371/journal.pone.0057607
- Rasmussen HB. 2012. Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of PCR-amplified
- fragments (PCR-RFLP) and gel electrophoresis-valuable tool for genotyping and genetic
- fingerprinting. In: Magdeldin S, ed. *Gel Electrophoresis Principles and Basics*. InTech. DOI:
 10.5772/37724
- Ravi V, Khurana JP, Tyagi AK, Khurana P. 2008. An update on chloroplast genomes. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 271: 101–122. DOI: 10.1007/s00606-007-0608-0
- 290 Ryu, K. O., Han, M. S., Kim, I. S., Lee, J. H., & Lee, J. C. 2013. Adaptation Test of Scotch Pine
- 291 (Pinus sylvestris L.) in Korea-Thirty-six-year-old Growth Performance of Twenty-two
- 292 Provenances. *Korean Journal of Plant Resources*, *26*(1), 26-35.
- 293 Schattner P, Brooks AN, Lowe TM. 2005. The tRNAscan-SE, snoscan and snoGPS web servers
- for the detection of tRNAs and snoRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Research* 33: W686–W689. DOI:
- 295 10.1093/nar/gki366
- 296 Syring J, Willyard A, Cronn R, Liston A. 2005. Evolutionary relationships among *Pinus*
- 297 (Pinaceae) subsections inferred from multiple low-copy nuclear loci. *American Journal of*
- 298 Botany 92: 2086–2100. DOI: 10.3732/ajb.92.12.2086
- 299 Wakasugi, T., Tsudzuki, J., Ito, S., Nakashima, K., Tsudzuki, T., & Sugiura, M. 1994 Loss of all
- 300 ndh genes as determined by sequencing the entire chloroplast genome of the black pine
- 301 Pinus thunbergii. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 91(21), 9794-9798.
- Wang, X. Q., Tank, D. C., & Sang, T. (2000). Phylogeny and divergence times in Pinaceae:
- evidence from three genomes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 17(5), 773-781.
- Wang, X. R., Y. Tsumura, H. Yoshimaru, K. Nagasaka and A. E. Szmidt. 1999. Phylogenetic
- 305 relationships of Eurasian pines (*Pinus*, Pinaceae) based on chloroplast *rbcL*, *matK*, *rpl20*-
- 306 *rps18* spacer, and *trnV* intron sequences. *American Journal of Botany* 86: 1742-1753.
- 307 Wu CS, Chaw SM. 2014. Highly rearranged and size variable chloroplast genomes in conifers
- 308 II clade (cupressophytes): evolution towards shorter intergenic spacers. *Plant Biotechnology*

- 309 Journal 12: 344–353. DOI: 10.1111/pbi.12141
- 310 Wu CS, Lin CP, Hsu CY, Wang RJ, Chaw SM. 2011. Comparative chloroplast genomes of
- 311 Pinaceae: insights into the mechanism of diversified genomic organizations. *Genome Biology*
- 312 *and Evolution* 3: 309–319. DOI: 10.1093/gbe/evr026
- 313 Yi DK, Choi K, Joo M, Yang JC, Mustafina FU, Han JS, Lee YM. 2016. The complete chloroplast
- 314 genome sequence of *Abies nephrolepis* (Pinaceae: Abietoideae). *Journal of Asia-Pacific*
- 315 *Biodiversity* 9: 245–249. DOI: 10.1016/j.japb.2016.03.014

Gene maps and summary of the *Pinus densiflora* S. *et* Z. chloroplast genome.

Genes lying outside the circle are transcribed in a clockwise direction, whereas genes inside are transcribed in a counterclockwise direction. Different colors denote known functional groups. The GC and AT contents of the genome are denoted by dashed darker and lighter gray in the inner circle. LSC, SSC, and IR indicate large single-copy, small single-copy, and inverted repeat regions, respectively.



Distribution of repeats present in *Pinus* chloroplast genomes. A) Distribution of SSRs present in *Pinus* chloroplast genomes. B) Distribution of long repeat sequences present in *Pinus* chloroplast genomes.



Relevant part of the SNP multiple sequence alignment in the *trnM-trnV* gene regions.

The recognition site of *Hinf*l restriction enzyme (G/ANTC) is altered by one SNP at position 84 (A/G transition). A fragment degraded to 198 bp was observed In *P. densiflora* (1-9), but not in *P. sylvestris* (10-21).



Relevant part of the SNP multiple sequence alignment in the *rpoC1* gene.

The recognition site of *BsaW*I restriction enzyme (W/CCGGW) is altered by one SNP at position 184 (A/G transition). A fragment degraded to 179 bp was observed In *P. sylvestris* (10-21), but not in *P. densiflora* (1-9).



Relevant part of the SNP multiple sequence alignment in the *rpoC1* gene.

The recognition site of *Sph*I restriction enzyme (GCATG/C) is altered by one SNP at position 184 (A/G transition). A fragment degraded to 184 bp was observed In *P. densiflora* (1-9), but not in *P. sylvestris* (10-21).



Table 1(on next page)

List of genes encoded by the *P. densiflora* chloroplast genome.

1 Table 1. List of genes encoded by the *P. densiflora* chloroplast genome.

-
<u>_</u>
/
/

Gene types	Gene products	
Ribosomal RNAs	rrn4.5, rrn5, rrn16, rrn23	4
Transfor DNAs	trnA-UGC ^a , trnC-GCA, trnD-GUC, trnE-UUC, trnF-GAA, trnfM-CAU, trnG-GCC, trnG-UCC ^a , trnH-GUG, trnH-GUG, trnI-CAU(x2), trnI-GAU ^a , trnK-UUU ^a , trnL-CAA,	36
	trnR-ACG, trnR-CCG, trnR-UCU, trnS-GCU, trnS-GCU, trnS-GGA, trnS-UGA, trnT- GGU, trnT-GGU, trnT-UGU, trnV-GAC, trnV-UAC ^a , trnW-CCA, trnY-GUA	
Photosystem I	psaA, psaB, psaC, psaI, psaJ, psaM(x2)	7
Photosystem II	psbA, psbB, psbC, psbD, psbE, psbF, psbH, psbI, psbJ, psbK, psbL, psbM, psbN, psbT, psbZ	15
Cytochrome b/f complex	petA, petBª, petDª, petG, petL, petN	6
ATP synthase	atpA, atpB, atpE, atpF ^a , atpH, atpI	6
Large subunit of rubisco	rbcL	1
Chloroplast envelope membrane protein	cemA	1
Large subunit ribosomal proteins	rpl2ª, rpl14, rpl16ª, rpl20, rpl22, rpl23, rpl32, rpl33, rpl36	9
Small subunit ribosomal proteins	rps2, rps3, rps4, rps7, rps8, rps11, rps12 ^b , rps14, rps15, rps18, rps19	11
RNA polymerase	rpoA, rpoB, rpoC1ª, rpoC2	4
Translational initiation factor	infA	1
Subunit of acetyl-CoA-carboxylase	accD	1
C-type cytochrome synthesis gene	ccsA	1
Maturase	matK	1
Chlorophyll biosynthesis	chIB, chIL, chIN	3
ATP-dependent protease	clpP	1
Conserved open reading frames	ycf1, ycf2, ycf3 ^b , ycf4, ycf12, ycf68	6
Total		114
^a : Gene containing a single intron.		

^b: Gene containing two introns.



Table 2(on next page)

Distribution of Indels in Pinus chloroplast genomes

P. densiflora	P. sylvestris	Minimum	Maximum	Length	Loci
	Т	1376	1376	1	psbA-trnK
CAG		8212	8214	3	psaM
	GGG	9850	9852	3	prnG-trnR
	CC	15202	15203	2	atpl-rps2
	TCTA	15236	15239	4	atpl-rps2
CTATTTCTCAAGA		16150	16162	13	rps2-rpoC2
A		26128	26128	1	rpoB-trnC
ATTTAAATAATTTTGATAATTTTAATT		29221	29247	27	trnE-clpP
	G	30329	30329	1	clpP-rps12
TATTTTCTTC		36493	36502	10	psbJ-petA
AATTTCAATAAATATTTCATTGTATGAAAATGG		39594	39626	33	cemA-ycf4
Т		41146	41146	1	psal-accD
	TTTTTTTTTTTTT	45123	45133	11	rbcL-atpB
CTG		51621	51623	3	psaM
Т		51963	51963	1	trnS-psbB
	Т	63817	63817	1	rps19-rpl2
	GC	65871	65872	2	psbA-trnI
	AA	68122	68123	2	trnF-trnL
СТССССТТСТ		68911	68920	10	trnL-trnT
ТТТТТТТТ		72059	72066	8	<i>ycf</i> 3 intron
AT		73572	73572	2	ycf3-psaA
	С	82837	82837	1	psbD-trnT
CAATTTGTTGT		93896	93906	11	chlL-chlN
	Т	101253	101253	1	ycf1-rps15
	Т	102793	102793	1	ndhl-ndhE
A		108576	108576	1	trnV-rps12
	TCATA	109477	109481	5	trnV-rps12
	А	109734	109734	1	trnV-rps12
	AA	110195	110196	2	rps12 intron
	AGAAAAAA	115341	115349	9	ycf2

Table 3(on next page)

Distribution of SNPs in Pinus chloroplast genomes

P. densiflora	P. sylvestris	Seqeunce No.	Mutation	Loci	P. densiflora	P. sylvestris	Seqeunce No.	Mutation	Loci
А	G	4190	transition	trnK-chlB	А	Т	48380	transversion	trnV-trnH
А	С	5708	transversion	chIB	G	Т	59337	transversion	rps11
G	Т	7608	transversion	psbK-psbl	С	Т	62762	transition	rps3
A	Т	7613	transversion	psbK-psbl	G	А	69894	transition	rps4
A	G	8182	transition	trnS-psaM	G	Т	79253	transversion	trnfM-psbZ
Т	А	8183	transversion	trnS-psaM	G	Т	80551	transversion	psbC
С	Т	8184	transition	trnS-psaM	G	А	82941	transition	psbD-trnT
А	G	9855	transition	trnG-trnR	G	С	83659	transversion	psbD-trnT
А	G	9857	transition	trnG-trnR	А	Т	93066	transversion	chlL
А	G	10538	transition	atpA	G	А	95123	transition	chIN
Т	G	14210	transversion	atpH-atpl	С	А	96483	transversion	ycf1
А	С	15041	transversion	atpl-rps2	С	А	96893	transversion	ycf1
С	А	22063	transversion	rpoC1 intron	Т	G	97302	transversion	ycf1
G	А	22401	transition	rpoC1	Т	G	97763	transversion	ycf1
С	А	22743	transversion	rpoC1-rpoB	С	Т	99931	transition	ycf1
Т	А	29216	transversion	trnE-clpP	G	Т	100184	transversion	ycf1
G	А	29217	transition	trnE-clpP	G	А	100412	transition	ycf1
А	Т	29274	transversion	trnE-clpP	А	G	100435	transition	ycf1
А	Т	29283	transversion	trnE-clpP	Т	А	100541	transversion	ycf1
А	Т	29288	transversion	trnE-clpP	А	С	100552	transversion	ycf1
А	G	29289	transition	trnE-clpP	С	А	101591	transversion	rps15-ndhH
Т	А	30313	transversion	clpP-rps12	С	А	103342	transversion	ndhE-psaC
С	А	30314	transversion	clpP-rps12	Т	G	108063	transversion	rpl32-trnV
Т	С	31522	transition	rpl20	С	А	108688	transversion	trnV-rps12
Т	G	33070	transversion	psaJ-trnP	G	Т	110180	transversion	rps12 intron
G	Т	34025	transversion	petL	А	С	111793	transversion	ndhB
А	С	34211	transversion	petL-psbE	Т	G	111948	transversion	ndhB-trnL
А	G	42181	transition	accD	С	А	112340	transversion	trnL-ycf2
С	Т	42668	transition	accD-trnR	С	А	113412	transversion	ycf2
А	G	42992	transition	trnR-rbcL	С	А	115338	transversion	ycf2
G	А	43272	transition	rbcL	Т	С	115351	transition	ycf2
G	А	43740	transition	rbcL	А	Т	115354	transversion	ycf2
С	Т	43762	transition	rbcL	А	G	115791	transition	ycf2
G	С	44583	transversion	rbcL-atpB	А	С	116833	transversion	ycf2

С	Т	46241	transition	atpB	G	Т	118062 transversion ycf2
G	А	47378	transition	trnM-trnV	Т	G	118560 transversion ycf2
Т	А	48379	transversion	trnV-trnH			

Table 4(on next page)

Primers and restriction enzymes used for identification of Pinus species

	forward primer	reverse primer	enzyme	Loci
Pdest-cp1	GACTCTCGCCGTATGAAAGC	GCAAGGTAGAGCACCTCGTT	Hinfl	rpoC1
Pdest-cp2	GCTAAAGGCATCCCAATGAG	TTCTCCAGGGATTGGAAATG	BsaWl, Sphl	trnM-trn∨