#### **A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 21 February 2017.**

[View the peer-reviewed version](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2974) (peerj.com/articles/2974), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

M'Angale PG, Staveley BE. 2017. Bax-inhibitor-1 knockdown phenotypes are suppressed by Buffy and exacerbate degeneration in a Drosophila model of Parkinson disease. PeerJ 5:e2974 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2974>

### **Bax-inhibitor-1 loss of function phenotypes are suppressed by Buffy in Drosophila**

**P** Githure M'Angale <sup>1</sup> , Brian E Staveley Corresp. 1

1 Department of Biology, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland & Labrador, Canada

Corresponding Author: Brian E Staveley Email address: bestave@mun.ca

**Background.** Bax Inhibitor-1 (BI-1), an integral transmembrane protein, acts as a suppressor of Bax-induced apoptosis through regulation of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress-induced cell death. The gene is highly conserved being found in a diverse range of organisms that include yeast, Arabidopsis, Drosophila, mouse and humans. BI-1 is implicated in the regulation of calcium levels, reactive oxygen species, apoptosis, autophagy and ER stress signalling pathways. We inhibited the cytoprotective BI-1 in the dopa decarboxylase (Ddc) expressing neurons and in the developing eye of Drosophila melanogaster to investigate its neuroprotective functions. **Methods.** We assessed the longevity and locomotor ability of flies in response to altered BI-1 expression in the Ddc-Gal4-expressing neurons where we exploited two RNAi transgenic fly lines. A control that expressed the benign lacZ responding transgene was used to compare against the RNAi transgenic flies. In addition, we compared the effect of the loss of BI-1 expression in the developing eye, through biometric analysis of the number of ommatidia and extent of disruption of the ommatidial arrays. Finally, Buffy and  $\alpha$ -synuclein were co-expressed to evaluate the potential for interactions. **Results.** The inhibition of BI-1 in these neurons resulted in a shortened lifespan and precocious loss of locomotor ability. The co-expression of Buffy, the sole anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 homologue in Drosophila, with BI-1-RNAi resulted in suppression of the reduced lifespan and impaired climbing ability. Expression of human  $\alpha$ synuclein in Drosophila dopaminergic neurons results in age-dependent loss in climbing ability. We exploited this neurotoxic system to investigate possible BI-1 neuroprotective function. The co-expression of  $\alpha$ -synuclein with BI-1-RNAi results in decreased survival coupled with an impaired climbing ability. In supportive experiments, we employed the neuron-rich Drosophila compound eye to investigate subtle phenotypes that result from altered gene expression. The inhibition of  $B1-1$  in the Drosophila developing eye under the direction of the GMR-Gal4 transgene results in reduced ommatidia number and increased disruption of the ommatidial array. Similarly, the co-expression of BI-1-RNAi with Buffy results in the suppression of the eye phenotypes. The expression of  $\alpha$ -synuclein along with

the inhibition of BI-1 results in reduction of ommatidia number and increased disruption of the ommatidial array. **Conclusions.** Inhibition of BI-1 in the dopaminergic neurons of Drosophila results in a shortened lifespan and premature loss in climbing ability, phenotypes that appear to be strongly associated with models of Parkinson disease in Drosophila. These are suppressed upon overexpression of Buffy and worsened by coexpression with  $\alpha$ -synuclein. This suggests that BI-1 acts in neuroprotection and that its inhibition can be counteracted by the overexpression of the pro-survival Bcl-2 homologue, Buffy.

### 1 *Bax-inhibitor-1* **loss of function phenotypes are suppressed by** *Buffy* 2 **in** *Drosophila*

- 3
- 4 P. Githure M'Angale and Brian E. Staveley<sup>§</sup>
- 5 Department of Biology, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland &
- 6 Labrador, Canada, A1B 3X9
- 7
- 8 <sup>ß</sup>Corresponding author:
- 9 Brian Staveley
- 10 232 Elizabeth Avenue, St. John's, Newfoundland & Labrador, A1B 3X9, Canada
- 11 Email address: [bestave@mun.ca](mailto:bestave@mun.ca)

### 13 **Abstract**

14 **Background.** Bax Inhibitor-1 (BI-1), an integral transmembrane protein, acts as a suppressor of 15 *Bax*-induced apoptosis through regulation of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress-induced cell 16 death. The gene is highly conserved being found in a diverse range of organisms that include 17 yeast, Arabidopsis, Drosophila, mouse and humans. BI-1 is implicated in the regulation of 18 calcium levels, reactive oxygen species, apoptosis, autophagy and ER stress signalling pathways. 19 We inhibited the cytoprotective *BI-1* in the *dopa decarboxylase* (*Ddc*) expressing neurons and in 20 the developing eye of *Drosophila melanogaster* to investigate its neuroprotective functions. 21 **Methods.** We assessed the longevity and locomotor ability of flies in response to altered *BI-1* 22 expression in the *Ddc-Gal4*-expressing neurons where we exploited two RNAi transgenic fly 23 lines. A control that expressed the benign *lacZ* responding transgene was used to compare 24 against the RNAi transgenic flies. In addition, we compared the effect of the loss of *BI-1* 25 expression in the developing eye, through biometric analysis of the number of ommatidia and 26 extent of disruption of the ommatidial arrays. Finally, *Buffy* and *α-synuclein* were co-expressed 27 to evaluate the potential for interactions.

28 **Results.** The inhibition of *BI-1* in these neurons resulted in a shortened lifespan and precocious 29 loss of locomotor ability. The co-expression of *Buffy,* the sole anti-apoptotic *Bcl-2* homologue in 30 Drosophila, with *BI-1-RNAi* resulted in suppression of the reduced lifespan and impaired 31 climbing ability. Expression of human *α-synuclein* in Drosophila dopaminergic neurons results 32 in age-dependent loss in climbing ability. We exploited this neurotoxic system to investigate 33 possible BI-1 neuroprotective function. The co-expression of *α-synuclein* with *BI-1-RNAi* results 34 in decreased survival coupled with an impaired climbing ability. In supportive experiments, we 35 employed the neuron-rich Drosophila compound eye to investigate subtle phenotypes that result 36 from altered gene expression. The inhibition of *BI-1* in the Drosophila developing eye under the

37 direction of the *GMR-Gal4* transgene results in reduced ommatidia number and increased 38 disruption of the ommatidial array. Similarly, the co-expression of *BI-1-RNAi* with *Buffy* results 39 in the suppression of the eye phenotypes. The expression of *α-synuclein* along with the inhibition 40 of *BI-1* results in reduction of ommatidia number and increased disruption of the ommatidial 41 array. 42 **Conclusions.** Inhibition of *BI-1* in the dopaminergic neurons of Drosophila results in a shortened 43 lifespan and premature loss in climbing ability, phenotypes that appear to be strongly associated 44 with models of Parkinson disease in Drosophila. These are suppressed upon overexpression of

45 *Buffy* and worsened by co-expression with *α-synuclein.* This suggests that *BI-1* acts in

46 neuroprotection and that its inhibition can be counteracted by the overexpression of the pro-

47 survival *Bcl-2* homologue, *Buffy*.

### 48 **Introduction**

49 The Bax Inhibitor-1 (BI-1) belongs to a diverse group of proteins, known as the Transmembrane 50 Bax Inhibitor-1 Motif-containing (TMBIM) family [\(Henke et al. 2011;](#page-18-0) [Li et al. 2014](#page-19-0); [Reimers et](#page-20-0)  51 [al. 2008;](#page-20-0) [Rojas-Rivera & Hetz 2015](#page-20-1)) that has been determined to be regulators of cell death. A 52 different nomenclature categorizes these proteins as the *LFG* family, a designation adopted from 53 the family member *Lifeguard (Lfg)* ([Hu, Smith & Goldberger 2009\)](#page-18-1), which consists of six or 54 more highly conserved members present in a wide range of organisms ([Chae et al. 2003;](#page-17-0) [Henke](#page-18-0)  55 [et al. 2011](#page-18-0); [Huckelhoven 2004\)](#page-18-2). These regulators of cell death, accomplish this role through the 56 regulation of the death receptor and result in the modulation of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) 57 calcium homeostasis, ER stress signalling pathways, autophagy, reactive oxygen species (ROS) 58 production, cytosolic acidification and other cellular activities ([Li et al. 2014;](#page-19-0) [Rojas-Rivera &](#page-20-1)  59 [Hetz 2015\)](#page-20-1). The founding member of this group is *BI-1* (or *TMBIM6*), also known as *testis* 

60 *enhanced gene transcript* (*TEGT*), has been demonstrated to inhibit the effect of *Bax*-induced 61 cell death [\(Walter et al. 1995](#page-20-2); [Xu & Reed 1998](#page-20-3)). Members of this protein family possess a BI-1- 62 like domain with six to seven transmembrane-spanning regions and are strongly associated with 63 the ER membranes [\(Carrara et al. 2012;](#page-17-1) [Chae et al. 2004;](#page-17-2) [Xu & Reed 1998\)](#page-20-3). *BI-1* is highly 64 conserved across diverse species with homologues of *BI-1* able to block *Bax*-induced cell death 65 when expressed in yeast [\(Chae et al. 2003](#page-17-0)), thus implying it regulates an evolutionarily 66 conserved cytoprotective pathway.

67 Although not structurally related to the B cell lymphoma 2 family of proteins, this protein has 68 been shown to form a complex with the pro-survival members Bcl-2 and Bcl- $X_L$  but not with 69 Bax or Bak ([Lisbona et al. 2009;](#page-19-1) [Xu & Reed 1998\)](#page-20-3). Therefore, it is likely the anti-apoptotic 70 activities of *BI-1/TMBIM6* are mediated through interactions with pro-survival members of the 71 *Bcl-2* family and acts downstream of Bcl-X<sub>L</sub> [\(Xu et al. 2008](#page-20-4)). *BI-1* deficient cells, including 72 neurons, are more sensitive to apoptosis induced by ER stress and the modulation of ER calcium 73 homeostasis has been linked to this process ([Chae et al. 2004;](#page-17-2) [Dohm et al. 2006\)](#page-18-3). This implicates 74 BI-1 in a variety of human diseases that may include numerous cancers, obesity, liver diseases, 75 autoimmune response, and diabetes ([Kiviluoto et al. 2012;](#page-18-4) [Li et al. 2014](#page-19-0); [Lisak et al. 2015;](#page-19-2) 76 [Robinson et al. 2011](#page-20-5); [Rojas-Rivera & Hetz 2015\)](#page-20-1). Neuroprotective roles include protection from 77 oxygen-glucose deprivation, promotion of neuronal proliferation and differentiation, and stress-78 induced protection ([Dohm et al. 2006;](#page-18-3) [Hunsberger et al. 2011;](#page-18-5) [Jeon et al. 2012](#page-18-6); [Krajewska et al.](#page-18-7)  79 [2011\)](#page-18-7). It regulates ROS production by modulation of unfolded protein response (UPR) induction 80 in the ER [\(Lee et al. 2007\)](#page-19-3), suppression of mitochondria-mediated ROS production ([Kim et al.](#page-18-8)  81 [2012\)](#page-18-8), reduction of cytochrome P450 2E1 activity and regulation of the ER membrane lipid

### NOT PEER-REVIEWED

# **Peer** Preprints

82 peroxidation ([Kim et al. 2009](#page-18-9)). Undoubtedly BI-1 has significant cytoprotective roles and their 83 abrogation lead to cellular homeostatic dysfunction and disease.

84 *Drosophila melanogaster* appears to possess most of the TMBIM protein family homologues: 85 TMBIM6/BI-1 is represented by *BI-1/CG7188* ([Attrill et al. 2015](#page-16-0); [Hu, Smith & Goldberger](#page-18-1)  86 [2009;](#page-18-1) [Rojas-Rivera & Hetz 2015\)](#page-20-1). Drosophila has been used as a model organism in the study of 87 gene expression and in human disease models, with very promising results in understanding 88 Parkinson Disease [\(Staveley 2014\)](#page-20-6). Several studies have used Drosophila to elucidate the 89 importance of this protein in cellular homeostasis; including functional conservation of this 90 protein in evolutionarily diverse organisms ([Chae et al. 2003\)](#page-17-0), BI-1 as a negative regulator of the 91 ER stress sensor IRE1 $\alpha$  and its role in the UPR ([Lisbona et al. 2009\)](#page-19-1), and its modulation of 92 autophagy ([Castillo et al. 2011\)](#page-17-3). Expression in the *Ddc-Gal4*-expressing neurons is the focus of 93 our studies as they are very sensitive to subtle differences in gene products and can be used to 94 study ROS, ER stress, apoptosis, autophagy and many other cellular processes. This is mainly 95 because they degenerate in an age-dependent manner and this degeneration manifests as 96 deficiency in locomotor function ([Botella et al. 2009;](#page-17-4) [Feany & Bender 2000](#page-18-10); [Park, Schulz & Lee](#page-20-7)  97 [2007;](#page-20-7) [Staveley 2014\)](#page-20-6). The key elements of the original Drosophila model of Parkinson disease 98 that utilizes the expression of a human *α-synuclein* transgene to induce the PD-like symptoms 99([Feany & Bender 2000](#page-18-10)); is its ability to recapitulate some features of human PD that include, 100 age-dependent loss of DA neurons that manifest in age-dependent loss in locomotor function 101([Auluck et al. 2002;](#page-16-1) [Botella et al. 2009;](#page-17-4) [Buttner et al. 2014;](#page-17-5) [Feany & Bender 2000](#page-18-10); [Kong et al.](#page-18-11)  102 [2015;](#page-18-11) [Staveley 2014;](#page-20-6) [Wang et al. 2015;](#page-20-8) [Zhu et al. 2016\)](#page-21-0). The spatio-temporal *UAS/GAL4* 103 expression system ([Brand & Perrimon 1993\)](#page-17-6), and the availability of a plethora of promoters or 104 enhancers of which *TH-Gal4*, *elav-Gal4* and *Ddc-Gal4* are employed to model PD in flies

### NOT PEER-REVIEWED

# **Peer** Preprints

105([Auluck et al. 2002;](#page-16-1) [Botella et al. 2009;](#page-17-4) [Buttner et al. 2014;](#page-17-5) [Feany & Bender 2000](#page-18-10); [Kong et al.](#page-18-11)  106 [2015](#page-18-11); [Staveley 2014;](#page-20-6) [Wang et al. 2015;](#page-20-8) [Zhu et al. 2016\)](#page-21-0). Modelling PD and other 107 neurodegenerative diseases in Drosophila provides a unique platform to explore the underlying 108 mechanisms. 109 The *Bcl-2* family member homologues in Drosophila are limited to the single anti-apoptotic 110 *Buffy* and the pro-apoptotic *Debcl* ([Quinn et al. 2003\)](#page-20-9). In previous studies, the overexpression of 111 *Buffy* has been shown to confer survival advantages specifically in response to external stimuli 112 and in conditions of cellular stress [\(M'Angale & Staveley 2016](#page-19-4); [Monserrate, Chen & Brachmann](#page-19-5)  113 [2012;](#page-19-5) [Sevrioukov et al. 2007;](#page-20-10) [Tanner et al. 2011\)](#page-20-11). These experiments point to an important role 114 for this protein in aspects of cell death. We investigated the outcome of the inhibition of *BI-1* in 115 Drosophila neurons, and further determined if there is an interaction with the anti-apoptotic Bcl-116 2 protein Buffy. We employed two different RNAi lines to determine the specificity of the 117 effects of inhibition of this gene and compared them to a control line. We further co-expressed 118 *BI-1* in DA neurons along with *α-synuclein* to investigate whether it possesses neuroprotective 119 functions. Lastly, in supportive experiments we attempted to establish a role for BI-1 in the 120 Drosophila developing eye.

### 121 **Materials and Methods**

#### 122 **Bioinformatic analysis**

- 123 The amino acid sequences of the proteins were acquired from the National Center for
- 124 Biotechnology Information (NCBI; [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/) and the domains were
- 125 ascertained using the NCBI Conserved Domain Database (CDD;
- 126 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/cdd\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/cdd) ([Marchler-Bauer et al. 2015\)](#page-19-6) and the Eukaryotic Linear Motif
- 127 (ELM; [http://elm.eu.org/\)](http://elm.eu.org/) ([Dinkel et al. 2016\)](#page-17-7) which mediates the annotation and detection of
- 128 eukaryotic linear motifs (ELMs), also known as short linear motifs (SLiMs). A multiple

- 129 sequence alignment was done using Clustal Omega ([http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/\)](http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/)
- 130([Goujon et al. 2010](#page-18-12); [Sievers et al. 2011](#page-20-12)) to show conservation of the domains in the selected
- 131 proteins. The prediction of the nuclear export signal (NES) was by NetNES
- 132([http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNES/\)](http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNES/) ([la Cour et al. 2004\)](#page-19-7). Further analysis of protein
- 133 sequences was performed with Phyre2 ([Kelley et al. 2015\)](#page-18-13), a web portal for protein modelling,
- 134 prediction and analysis (<http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2/html/page.cgi?id=index>). The sub-
- 135 cellular localisation was performed by MultiLoc2 [\(Blum, Briesemeister & Kohlbacher 2009](#page-17-8))
- 136([https://abi.inf.uni-tuebingen.de/Services/MultiLoc2\)](https://abi.inf.uni-tuebingen.de/Services/MultiLoc2). Transmembrane domains were identified
- 137 using TMpred [\(Artimo et al. 2012](#page-16-2)), a program based on statistical analysis of TMbase
- 138([http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/TMPRED\\_form.html](http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/TMPRED_form.html)).

#### 139 **Drosophila media, stocks and derivative lines**

- 140 Stocks and crosses were maintained on a standard cornmeal/molasses/yeast/agar media which
- 141 had been treated with propionic acid and methylparaben to inhibit fungal growth. Stocks were
- 142 maintained at room temperature  $(\sim 20^{\circ} \text{ C})$  while all crosses and the ageing and climbing
- 143 determinations were carried out at  $25^{\circ}$  C while those for the eye analysis were performed at

 $144 \quad 29^{\circ}$  C.

- 145 The *P{KK100983VIE-260B* stock hereby referred to as *UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1*
- 146([http://stockcenter.vdrc.at/control/product/~VIEW\\_INDEX=0/~VIEW\\_SIZE=100/~product\\_id=](http://stockcenter.vdrc.at/control/product/~VIEW_INDEX=0/~VIEW_SIZE=100/~product_id=110358)
- 147 [110358\)](http://stockcenter.vdrc.at/control/product/~VIEW_INDEX=0/~VIEW_SIZE=100/~product_id=110358) and *w<sup>1118</sup>; P{GD1660}v37108* hereby referred to as *UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2*
- 148([http://stockcenter.vdrc.at/control/product/~VIEW\\_INDEX=0/~VIEW\\_SIZE=100/~product\\_id=](http://stockcenter.vdrc.at/control/product/~VIEW_INDEX=0/~VIEW_SIZE=100/~product_id=37108)
- 149 [37108\)](http://stockcenter.vdrc.at/control/product/~VIEW_INDEX=0/~VIEW_SIZE=100/~product_id=37108) were obtained from Vienna Drosophila Resource Center. Additional information on the
- 150 RNAi constructs http://www.flyrnai.org/up-torr/GetSummaryByGene?organism=Fly. The *UAS-*
- 151 *Buffy* [\(Quinn et al. 2003\)](#page-20-9) was provided by Dr. L. Quinn (University of Melbourne), *Ddc-Gal4*



- 154 *lacZ* flies were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center.
- 155 The *UAS-α-synuclein/CyO; Ddc-Gal4/TM3; UAS-α-synuclein/CyO; GMR-Gal4; UAS-*
- 156 *Buffy/CyO; Ddc-Gal4* and *UAS-Buffy/CyO; GMR-Gal4* compound lines, used to overexpress *α-*
- 157 *synuclein* or *Buffy* in neurons and the developing eye, were generated through standard
- 158 homologous recombination and marker selection methods as previously described (M'Angale  $\&$
- 159 [Staveley 2016a;](#page-19-9) [MíAngale & Staveley 2016c](#page-19-10)). Standard PCR and gel electrophoresis was used
- 160 to generate DNA fragments to identify some recombinant lines.

#### 161 **Ageing assay**

162 A number of single vial crosses to generate each genotype was performed and a cohort of male 163 flies of each critical class were collected upon eclosion and assayed using a standard protocol 164 that we have described before [\(M'Angale & Staveley 2016](#page-19-4); [Todd & Staveley 2012\)](#page-20-13). For each 165 critical class genotype greater than 200 flies were aged and scored every 2 days for the presence 166 of recently deceased adults until extinction of each cohort ([Staveley, Phillips & Hilliker 1990\)](#page-20-14). 167 Survival data was analysed employing the statistical software GraphPad Prism version 5.04, and 168 curves were compared using the Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test with statistical significance 169 determined at 95%, at a  $P \le 0.05$  with the application of a Bonferroni correction to the 170 familywise P value.

#### 171 **Climbing assay**

- 172 A cohort of the critical class male flies was collected upon eclosion and scored for their ability to
- 173 climb using an established method that was described by our research group (Todd & Staveley
- 174 [2004](#page-20-15)). Climbing analysis was accomplished through the use of the GraphPad Prism version 5.04

- 175 and climbing curves were fitted using non-linear regression and compared using 95% confidence
- 176 interval with a P-value of 0.05 or less being statistically significant.

#### 177 **Scanning electron microscopy of the Drosophila eye**

- 178 Critical class male flies were collected upon eclosion and aged for three to five days and then
- 179 prepared for scanning electron microscopy using a standard protocol [\(M'Angale & Staveley](#page-19-4)
- 180 [2016](#page-19-4)). For each cross at least 10 different eye images were analysed using the National Institutes
- 181 of Health (NIH) ImageJ software [\(Schneider, Rasband & Eliceiri 2012](#page-20-16)) and biometric analysis
- 182 performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.04. The disrupted area of the eye was calculated
- 183 according to a methodology that we have established (M'Angale  $\&$  Staveley 2012). Statistical
- 184 comparisons consisted of one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) and Dunnett's multiple
- 185 comparison tests. P-values less than 0.05 are considered significant.

### 186 **Results**

#### 187 **Drosophila** *BI-1* **is closely related to the human homologue**

- 188 The 245 amino acids Drosophila BI-1 isoform A has a 42% identity and 68% similarity to the
- 189 295 amino acids human isoform B. The Drosophila homologue has a BI-1 domain between
- 190 amino acids  $21 223$  and the human version at  $74 286$  (Figure 1) as determined by the NCBI
- 191 Conserved Domain Database ([Marchler-Bauer et al. 2015\)](#page-19-6). An alignment of the protein
- 192 sequences using Clustal Omega [\(Goujon et al. 2010;](#page-18-12) [Sievers et al. 2011\)](#page-20-12) shows high
- 193 conservation of the BI-1-like domain in the organisms analysed (Figure 1A). Six transmembrane
- 194 (TM) domains in both Drosophila and human BI-1, that are numbered TM1 to TM6 (Figure 1A)
- 195 were identified using both Eukaryotic linear motif (ELM) ([Dinkel et al. 2013\)](#page-17-9) and TMpred
- 196([Artimo et al. 2012\)](#page-16-2). An analysis of membrane-spanning domains by Phyre2 ([Kelley et al. 2015](#page-18-13))
- 197 reveals seven TM domains (Figure 1B) in both sequences that are highly identical in the

198 cytoplasmic to intracellular orientation. An inhibitor of apoptosis binding motif (IBM) at amino 199 acids 1-5, an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) retention motif at position 221-224, and binding motifs 200 for Atg8 at position 212-224 and calmodulin at amino acids 226-242 were identified by ELM. 201 The presence of nuclear export signal (NES) was detected in both Drosophila and human BI-1 202 using NetNES ([la Cour et al. 2004\)](#page-19-7) and only in Drosophila using the ELM. The 3D modelling of 203 these proteins using Phyre2 (Figure 1C) shows a close similarity in the structure and the 204 orientation of the transmembrane domains with the image coloured by rainbow from the  $N \rightarrow C$ 205 terminus.

#### 206 **Inhibition of** *BI-1* **in neurons decreases lifespan and severely impairs locomotor function**

207 The expression of both *BI-1-RNAi* lines in the *Ddc-Gal4*-expressing neurons results in decreased 208 lifespan and impaired locomotor function. The median lifespan for these flies was 54 days for 209 *BI-1-RNAi 1* and 46 days for *BI-1-RNAi 2* when compared to 70 days for the controls that 210 express the *lacZ* transgene as determined by the Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test (Figure 2A). When 211 *BI-1* is suppressed in these neurons, the flies develop an early onset impairment of locomotor 212 ability as determined by the nonlinear fitting of the climbing curves (Figure 2B). The 95% CI for 213 the slope were 0.033 to 0.050 and 0.0175 to 0.0355 for the two RNAi lines respectively when 214 compared to 0.070 to 0.0975 for the *lacZ* control flies. These results appear to suggest a role for 215 *BI-1* in the protection of neurons in Drosophila.

#### 216 *Buffy* **suppresses the loss of** *BI-1***-induced phenotypes**

217 The directed overexpression of the pro-survival Bcl-2 homologue *Buffy* results in increased 218 lifespan and improved climbing ability. When *Buffy* is co-expressed with both *BI-1-RNAi* lines in 219 the *Ddc-Gal4*-expressing neurons, the results indicate an increased median lifespan of 70 days 220 and 72 days respectively when compared to 72 days for *Buffy* co-expressed with *lacZ* control

221 flies, as determined by Log-rank test (Figure 3A). The climbing ability of the *BI-1-RNAi* flies 222 was not significantly different from the *Buffy* co-expressed with *lacZ* controls as determined by 223 comparison of the *BI-1-RNAi* climbing curves (Figure 3B) with the control curve. The 95% CI 224 for the slope of *BI-1-RNAi 1* was 0.0340 to 0.057 and that of *BI-1-RNAi 2* was 0.040 to 0.061 225 when compared to 0.035 to 0.050 for the controls. Taken together these results suggest a pro-226 survival role for *BI-1;* as the phenotypes induced by its inhibition are significantly counteracted 227 by the pro-survival *Bcl-2* homologue *Buffy*.

#### 228 **Inhibition of** *BI-1* **with the expression of** *α-synuclein* **slightly alters phenotypes**

229 The expression of *α-synuclein* in dopaminergic neurons results in impaired locomotor function 230 that is attributed to cellular toxicity. The co-expression of *BI-1-RNAi* along with *α-synuclein* in 231 the *Ddc-Gal4*-expressing neurons, slightly intensified the reduced survival and the loss in 232 climbing ability observed with the expression of *α-synuclein*. The median lifespan was 52 days 233 and 54 days for flies that express *BI-1-RNAi* along with *α-synuclein* compared to 58 days for 234 controls that co-express *α-synuclein* along with the *lacZ* transgene (Figure 4A) as determined by 235 Log rank test with p<0.001. A comparison of the climbing curves by nonlinear fitting at 95% CI 236 revealed they were significantly different (Figure 4B), with a CI of 0.038 to 0.049 for *BI-1-RNAi*  237 *1* and 0.025 to 0.033 for *BI-1-RNAi 2* co-expressed along with *α-synuclein* and compared to 238 0.052 to 0.069 for the *α-synuclein* co-expressed with *lacZ* control flies. This implies that the 239 inhibition of *BI-1* in the *Ddc-Gal4*-expressing neurons abrogates its cytoprotective function and 240 enhances the *α-synuclein-*induced phenotypes.

### 241 **Inhibition of** *BI-1* **in the eye decreases ommatidia number and increases degeneration,**

242 **phenotypes that are rescued upon** *Buffy* **overexpression**

243 The directed inhibition of *BI-1* in the Drosophila developing eye using the *GMR-Gal4* transgene

244 resulted in eyes with decreased number of ommatidia and a higher disruption of the ommatidial

245 array in both the RNAi lines that were tested (Figure  $5A - C$  and 5J) as determined by a one-way 246 analysis of variance with a p value less than 0.0001. Co-expression of both *BI-1-RNAi* lines with 247 *Buffy* restored the mean number of ommatidia and the percentage disruption to control levels as 248 determined by a one-way analysis of variance with  $p = 0.2439$  and 0.2342 (Figure 5D – F and 249 5K). Taken together, these results suggest that BI-1 may play a pro-survival role in the 250 development of the Drosophila eye and that *Buffy* suppresses the developmental eye defects that 251 result from the inhibition of *BI-1*. The inhibition of *BI-1* along with *α-synuclein* expression 252 resulted in a significant decrease in the number of ommatidia or increase in percentage disruption 253 of the eye as determined by a one-way analysis of variance with a p value less than 0.0001 in 254 both instances (Figure  $5G - I$  and  $5L$ ). The number of ommatidia and percentage of disruption 255 was worse than with either *α-synuclein* expression or *BI-1* inhibition. This indicates that the 256 inhibition of *BI-1* enhances the *α-synuclein*-induced eye defects.

### 257 **Discussion**

258 The inhibition of *Bax Inhibitor-1 (BI-1)* via stable inducible RNAi transgenes in the *Ddc-Gal4*- 259 expressing neurons of Drosophila results in decreased survival and impaired climbing ability 260 over time. Although there is no known version of *Bax* in Drosophila, the only *Bcl-2* homologue 261 that has been demonstrated to possess pro-apoptotic functions is *Debcl* ([Brachmann et al. 2000](#page-17-10); 262 [Colussi et al. 2000](#page-17-11); [Igaki et al. 2000;](#page-18-15) [Zhang et al. 2000\)](#page-20-17). The Drosophila *BI-1* is able to block 263 *Bax*-induced cell death in yeast ([Chae et al. 2003\)](#page-17-0), and loss of *BI-1* function induces cell death 264([Xu & Reed 1998\)](#page-20-3). These results suggest neuronal dysfunction may result from degeneration or 265 death when the function of *BI-1* is reduced in the *Ddc-Gal4*-expressing neurons. The *BI-1*- 266 induced cell death could occur through interaction with pro-survival Bcl-2 proteins at the ER 267 membrane ([Xu & Reed 1998](#page-20-3)) and especially Bcl-2 and Bcl-X<sub>L</sub> in humans and possibly Buffy in

268 Drosophila. BI-1 seems to be involved in cellular functions that are protective to ER stress-269 induced apoptosis [\(Chae et al. 2004](#page-17-2)). It seems to do this by the regulation of calcium ions ([Lisak](#page-19-2)  270 [et al. 2015](#page-19-2); [Xu et al. 2008\)](#page-20-4) and ROS [\(Kim et al. 2009;](#page-18-9) [Lee, Kim & Chae 2012\)](#page-19-12). *BI-1* regulates 271 ER stress by controlling ER-generated ROS accumulation and stress linked to the unfolded 272 protein response. Therefore, the inhibition of this important ER stress regulator in the DA 273 neurons may result in neuronal dysfunction, degeneration and death. The only pro-survival *Bcl-2* 274 homologue in Drosophila is *Buffy* ([Quinn et al. 2003](#page-20-9)) and the overexpression of *Buffy* is known 275 to confer survival advantages to cells under normal conditions and under conditions of stress 276([Clavier et al. 2014;](#page-17-12) [M'Angale & Staveley 2016](#page-19-4); M'Angale & Staveley 2016b; M'Angale & 277 [Staveley 2016c;](#page-19-10) [Monserrate, Chen & Brachmann 2012;](#page-19-5) [Quinn et al. 2003](#page-20-9); [Sevrioukov et al.](#page-20-10)  278 [2007](#page-20-10)). The overexpression of *Buffy* along with the inhibition of *BI-1* resulted in the suppression 279 of the *BI-1*-induced phenotypes. This Buffy action may be specific to its interaction with BI-1 or 280 to its general pro-survival signalling pathways. The rescue of the *BI-1*-induced phenotypes in 281 both the *Ddc-Gal4*-expressing neurons and in the developing eye may indicate a pro-survival 282 role for *BI-1* in Drosophila, as the pro-survival action of *Buffy* can abrogate its phenotypes. 283 The expression of human *α-synuclein* in DA neurons of Drosophila results in impaired climbing 284 ability ([Feany & Bender 2000\)](#page-18-10), similar to what is observed in *BI-1* loss of function. The 285 expression of *α-synuclein* along with the loss of *BI-1* activity significantly altered the impaired 286 locomotor ability observed. The age-dependent loss of climbing ability could be a result of *BI-1*- 287 induced apoptosis coupled with neurotoxicity that result from *α-synuclein* accumulation and the 288 subsequent dysfunction of cellular mechanisms. All the same, it appears the presence of both 289 mechanisms, vis a vis *BI-1*-induced apoptosis or *α-synuclein* aggregation neurotoxicity, confers a 290 great disadvantage to *Ddc-Gal4*-expressing neurons.

<span id="page-16-2"></span><span id="page-16-1"></span><span id="page-16-0"></span>291 The suppression of *BI-1* in the Drosophila eye under the direction of the *GMR-Gal4* transgene 292 results in a lower ommatidia number when compared to the control. *BI-1* is an apoptosis 293 suppressor gene and the down-regulation of its protein product results in programmed cell death 294([Li et al. 2014\)](#page-19-0). The reduction in the ommatidia number observed is mainly due to the fusion of 295 ommatidia and the resulting ommatidia disarray. The inhibition of *BI-1* in the Drosophila eye 296 seems to exacerbate the *Gal4*-induced apoptosis that manifests as roughened eye phenotype 297([Kramer & Staveley 2003](#page-19-14)). The co-expression of the *Bcl-2* pro-cell survival homologue *Buffy* 298 with *BI-1-RNAi* results in the suppression of the phenotype, with the number of ommatidia and 299 the roughened eye restored to control levels. Buffy seems to ameliorate this phenotype and it is 300 possibly via a general action on survival signals or an interaction with BI-1. 301 The expression of *α-synuclein* in the developing Drosophila eye can reduce the number of 302 ommatidia and can generate a highly disrupted ommatidial array ([Feany & Bender 2000](#page-18-10)). The *α-*303 *synuclein-*induced developmental defects in the eye provides a model system to show the effects 304 of altered gene expression and its role in neuroprotection. The co-expression of *α-synuclein* with 305 *BI-1-RNAi* in the Drosophila eye results in a decreased ommatidia number and a highly disrupted 306 ommatidial array when compared to the control that expresses *α-synuclein*. The number of 307 ommatidia decreased further when *α-synuclein* was co-expressed with *BI-1-RNAi*. Additionally, 308 the degree of disruption of the ommatidial array was also increased. Though it did not appear to 309 be additive in nature, it seems that the combination of the expression of the neurotoxic *α-*310 *synuclein* and the inhibition of the activity of the anti-apoptotic BI-1 results in a worsening of the 311 roughened eye phenotype. The accumulation of *α-synuclein* has been implicated in breakdown of 312 cellular homeostasis that include apoptosis, ROS production, and autophagy ([Chinta et al. 2010](#page-17-13)). 313 The inhibition of *BI-1* disrupts regulation of similar mechanisms as those implicated in *α-*

### NOT PEER-REVIEWED

# **Peer** Preprints

- <span id="page-17-8"></span>314 *synuclein-*induced neurotoxicity that include apoptosis, autophagy and ROS production ([Li et al.](#page-19-0)
- 315 [2014\)](#page-19-0). It therefore, follows that the combined action of *α-synuclein* expression and *BI-1*
- <span id="page-17-10"></span><span id="page-17-4"></span>316 inhibition worsened the phenotypes that result from either *α-synuclein* expression or *BI-1*
- 317 inhibition.

### <span id="page-17-6"></span>318 **Conclusions**

- <span id="page-17-5"></span>319 The inhibition of *BI-1* in the *Ddc-Gal4-*expressing neurons of Drosophila results in a reduction in
- 320 lifespan and an age-dependent loss in climbing ability. These phenotypes are associated with the
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>321 degeneration and loss of dopaminergic neurons that have been observed in Drosophila models of
- 322 Parkinson Disease. The co-expression of the pro-survival *Buffy* with *BI-1-RNAi* results in the
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>323 rescue of the phenotypes observed and it is possible that the Buffy and BI-1 protein interact to
- 324 promote anti-apoptotic mechanisms. Finally, *BI-1* appears to be neuroprotective as its inhibition
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>325 along with *α-synuclein* expression result in enhanced phenotypes.

#### <span id="page-17-2"></span>326 **Funding**

- 327 PGM has been partially funded by Department of Biology Teaching Assistantships and a School
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>328 of Graduate Studies Fellowship from Memorial University of Newfoundland. The research
- 329 program of BES has been funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>330 Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grant.

### <span id="page-17-11"></span>331 **References**

- <span id="page-17-9"></span>332 Artimo P, Jonnalagedda M, Arnold K, Baratin D, Csardi G, de Castro E, Duvaud S, Flegel V, 333 Fortier A, Gasteiger E, Grosdidier A, Hernandez C, Ioannidis V, Kuznetsov D, Liechti R, 334 Moretti S, Mostaguir K, Redaschi N, Rossier G, Xenarios I, Stockinger H. 2012.
- 335 ExPASy: SIB bioinformatics resource portal. *Nucleic acids research* 40: W597-W603.
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>336 Attrill H, Falls K, Goodman JL, Millburn GH, Antonazzo G, Rey AJ, Marygold SJ, consortium 337 F. 2015. FlyBase: establishing a Gene Group resource for Drosophila melanogaster. 338 *Nucleic acids research*.
- 339 Auluck PK, Chan HY, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM, Bonini NM. 2002. Chaperone suppression of 340 alpha-synuclein toxicity in a Drosophila model for Parkinson's disease. *Science* 295: 865- 341 868.

<span id="page-18-15"></span><span id="page-18-14"></span><span id="page-18-13"></span><span id="page-18-12"></span><span id="page-18-11"></span><span id="page-18-10"></span><span id="page-18-9"></span><span id="page-18-8"></span><span id="page-18-7"></span><span id="page-18-6"></span><span id="page-18-5"></span><span id="page-18-4"></span><span id="page-18-3"></span><span id="page-18-2"></span><span id="page-18-1"></span><span id="page-18-0"></span>

<span id="page-19-14"></span><span id="page-19-13"></span><span id="page-19-12"></span><span id="page-19-11"></span><span id="page-19-10"></span><span id="page-19-9"></span><span id="page-19-8"></span><span id="page-19-7"></span><span id="page-19-6"></span><span id="page-19-5"></span><span id="page-19-4"></span><span id="page-19-3"></span><span id="page-19-2"></span><span id="page-19-1"></span><span id="page-19-0"></span>

<span id="page-20-17"></span><span id="page-20-16"></span><span id="page-20-15"></span><span id="page-20-14"></span><span id="page-20-13"></span><span id="page-20-12"></span><span id="page-20-11"></span><span id="page-20-10"></span><span id="page-20-9"></span><span id="page-20-8"></span><span id="page-20-7"></span><span id="page-20-6"></span><span id="page-20-5"></span><span id="page-20-4"></span><span id="page-20-3"></span><span id="page-20-2"></span><span id="page-20-1"></span><span id="page-20-0"></span>

<span id="page-21-0"></span>

525 Zhu ZJ, Wu KC, Yung WH, Qian ZM, Ke Y. 2016. Differential interaction between iron and 526 mutant alpha-synuclein causes distinctive Parkinsonian phenotypes in Drosophila. 527 *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics* 1862: 518-525.

528

#### 529 **Figures Legends**

#### 530 **Figure 1 - Drosophila BI-1 has six TM domains that are evolutionarily conserved**

531 A) The Drosophila, human, mouse and mosquito homologues contain a BI-1 domain with the

- 532 Drosophila version situated between amino acids  $21 223$  and the human version at  $74 286$  as
- 533 determined by the NCBI Conserved Domain Database [\(Marchler-Bauer et al. 2015](#page-19-6)). They have
- 534 six transmembrane-spanning regions as predicted by the Eukaryotic Linear Motif (ELM) ([Dinkel](#page-17-9)
- 535 [et al. 2013](#page-17-9)) and TMpred ([Artimo et al. 2012\)](#page-16-2). It shows presence of a motif rich region, that
- 536 contains a NES, ER retention motif, Atg8 and calmodulin binding motifs as identified using
- 537 ELM. Sequence alignment was performed by Clustal Omega ([Goujon et al. 2010;](#page-18-12) [Sievers et al.](#page-20-12)
- 538 [2011](#page-20-12)) and showed high conservation of the Bax inhibitor-1 domain (Hsap is *Homo sapiens*
- 539 NP\_001092046.1, Mmus is *Mus musculus* NP\_001164506.1, Dmel is *Drosophila melanogaster*
- 540 NP\_648205.1 and Agam is *Anopheles gambiae* XP\_315790.3). "\*" indicate the residues that are
- 541 identical, ":" indicate the conserved substitutions, "." indicate the semi-conserved substitutions.
- 542 Colours show the chemical nature of amino acids. Red is small hydrophobic (including
- 543 aromatic), Blue is acidic, Magenta is basic, and Green is basic with hydroxyl or amine groups. B)
- 544 Additional protein analysis performed using Phyre2 ([Kelley et al. 2015](#page-18-13)) revealed the presence of
- 545 seven transmembrane domains in both the Drosophila and human sequences (Image cartoons are
- 546 obtained from Phyre2). C) The 3D modelling of the Drosophila and human proteins using 547 Phyre2 shows a close similarity in the structure and the orientation of the transmembrane
- 548 domains with the image coloured by rainbow from the  $N \rightarrow C$  terminus (Image cartoons are
- 549 obtained from Phyre2).

### 550 **Figure 2 - Loss of** *BI-1* **activity decreases survival and impairs climbing ability**

- 551 A) The inhibition of *BI-1* in the *Ddc-Gal4*-expressing neurons results in reduced lifespan when
- 552 compared to control flies expressing the *lacZ* transgene. The genotypes are *Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-lacZ,*
- 553 *Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1* and *Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2.* Longevity is shown as percent
- 554 survival (P < 0.05, determined by the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test and *N*≥200). B) The inhibition
- 555 of *BI-1* in these neurons resulted in a significant decrease in climbing ability as determined by
- 556 nonlinear fitting of the climbing curves and comparing the 95% CI. The genotypes are *Ddc-*
- 557 *Gal4/ UAS-lacZ, Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1* and *Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2.* Error bars
- 558 indicate standard error of the mean and *N*=50.

### 559 **Figure 3 ñ The** *BI-1***-induced phenotypes can be suppressed by the overexpression of** *Buffy*

- 560 A) The co-expression of *Buffy* with *BI-1-RNAi* in the Ddc-Gal4-expressing neurons result in the
- 561 inhibition of the observed phenotype of decreased survival when compared to the control.
- 562 Genotypes are *UAS-Buffy; Ddc-Gal4/UAS-lacZ, UAS-Buffy; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1, and*
- 563 *UAS-Buffy; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2.* Longevity is shown as percent survival (P < 0.05,
- 564 determined by log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test with *N*≤200). B) The inhibition of *BI-1* along with the
- 565 overexpression of *Buffy* in these neurons results in the suppression of the age-dependent loss in
- 566 climbing ability. The genotypes are *UAS-Buffy; Ddc-Gal4/UAS-lacZ, UAS-Buffy; Ddc-Gal4/*
- 567 *UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1,* and *UAS-Buffy; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2.* Analysis was done by
- 568 nonlinear fitting of the climbing curves and significance was determined by comparing the 95%
- 569 CI. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean and *N*=50.

#### 570 **Figure 4 ñ Loss of** *BI-1* **in neurons complements the** *α-synuclein***-induced phenotypes**

- 571 A) The inhibition of *BI-1* along with *α-synuclein* expression in the Ddc-Gal4-expressing neurons
- 572 resulted in a shortened lifespan when compared to the control. Genotypes are *UAS-α-synuclein;*
- 573 *Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-lacZ, UAS-α-synuclein; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1* and *UAS-α-synuclein;*
- 574 *Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2.* Longevity is shown as percent survival (P < 0.05, determined by
- 575 log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test with *N*≤200). B) The co-expression of *BI-1-RNAi* with *α-synuclein*
- 576 resulted in a slight but significant decrease in the age-dependent loss in climbing ability when
- 577 compared to the control. The genotypes are *UAS-α-synuclein; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-lacZ, UAS-α-*
- 578 *synuclein; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1* and *UAS-α-synuclein; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2.*
- 579 Analysis was done by nonlinear fitting of the climbing curves and significance was determined
- 580 by comparing the 95% CI. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean and *N*=50.

#### 581 Figure 5 – Inhibition of *BI-1* in the developing eye results in decreased ommatidia and 582 **increased degeneration of the ommatidial array**

- 583 Scanning electron micrographs when *BI-1* is inhibited in the Drosophila developing eye; (A)
- 584 *GMR-GAL4/ UAS-lacZ,* (B) *GMR-GAL4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1* and (C) *GMR-GAL4/ UAS-BI-1-*
- 585 *RNAi 2*, when inhibited along with overexpression of *Buffy*; D) *UAS-Buffy; GMR-Gal4/ UAS-*
- 586 *lacZ,* E) *UAS-Buffy; GMR-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1* and E) *UAS-Buffy; GMR-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-*
- 587 *RNAi 2* and when co-expressed with *α-synuclein*; G) *UAS-α-synuclein; GMR-Gal4/ UAS-lacZ,*
- 588 H) *UAS-α-synuclein; GMR-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1,* and I) *UAS-α-synuclein; GMR-Gal4/ UAS-*
- 589 *BI-1-RNAi 2.* J) Biometric analysis when *BI-1* is inhibited in the eye indicated decreased
- 590 ommatidia number and higher percentage of ommatidial disruption when compared to the
- 591 control. K) The co-expression of *Buffy* with both *BI-1-RNAi* lines resulted in the suppression of
- 592 the eye phenotypes, the ommatidia number and disruption of the eye were restored to control
- 593 levels. L) The inhibition of *BI-1* along with *α-synuclein* expression resulted in worsened eye
- 594 phenotypes, the number of ommatidia was lower and the degree of ommatidial disruption was
- 595 higher than either the inhibition of both *BI-1* lines or that of *α-synuclein* when compared to
- 596 controls. Comparisons were determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a
- 597 Dunnett's multiple comparison post-test (P<0.05), error bars are standard error of the mean,
- 598 *N*=10 and asterisks represent statistical significance (\* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01 and \*\*\* p<0.001).

### **Figure 1(on next page)**

Drosophila BI-1 has six TM domains that are evolutionarily conserved

A) The Drosophila, human, mouse and mosquito homologues contain a BI-1 domain with the Drosophila version situated between amino acids 21 - 223 and the human version at 74 -286 as determined by the NCBI Conserved Domain Database ( Marchler-Bauer et al. 2015 ) . They have six transmembrane-spanning regions as predicted by the Eukaryotic Linear Motif (ELM) ( Dinkel et al. 2013 ) and TMpred ( Artimo et al. 2012 ) . It shows presence of a motif rich region, that contains a NES, ER retention motif, Atg8 and calmodulin binding motifs as identified using ELM. Sequence alignment was performed by Clustal Omega ( Goujon et al. 2010 ; Sievers et al. 2011 ) and showed high conservation of the Bax inhibitor-1 domain (Hsap is Homo sapiens NP\_001092046.1, Mmus is Mus musculus NP\_001164506.1, Dmel is Drosophila melanogaster NP 648205.1 and Agam is Anopheles gambiae XP 315790.3). "\*" indicate the residues that are identical, ":" indicate the conserved substitutions, "." indicate the semi-conserved substitutions. Colours show the chemical nature of amino acids. Red is small hydrophobic (including aromatic), Blue is acidic, Magenta is basic, and Green is basic with hydroxyl or amine groups. B) Additional protein analysis performed using Phyre2 ( Kelley et al. 2015 ) revealed the presence of seven transmembrane domains in both the Drosophila and human sequences (Image cartoons are obtained from Phyre2). C) The 3D modelling of the Drosophila and human proteins using Phyre2 shows a close similarity in the structure and the orientation of the transmembrane domains with the image coloured by rainbow from the N  $\rightarrow$  C terminus (Image cartoons are obtained from Phyre2).  $\,$ 



NOT PEER-REVIEWED

**NKTK** 245 Agam  $SN-$ 

241

Dme1



c.





### **Figure 2(on next page)**

Loss of BI-1 activity decreases survival and impairs climbing ability

A) The inhibition of BI-1 in the Ddc-Gal4-expressing neurons results in reduced lifespan when compared to control flies expressing the lacZ transgene. The genotypes are Ddc-Gal4/ UASlacZ, Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1 and Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2. Longevity is shown as percent survival (P < 0.05, determined by the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test and  $N \geq 200$ ). B) The inhibition of BI-1 in these neurons resulted in a significant decrease in climbing ability as determined by nonlinear fitting of the climbing curves and comparing the 95% CI. The genotypes are Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-lacZ, Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1 and Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean and N=50.





### NOT PEER-REVIEWED

### **Figure 3(on next page)**

The BI-1-induced phenotypes can be suppressed by the overexpression of Buffy

A) The co-expression of Buffy with BI-1-RNAi in the Ddc-Gal4-expressing neurons result in the inhibition of the observed phenotype of decreased survival when compared to the control. Genotypes are UAS-Buffy; Ddc-Gal4/UAS-lacZ, UAS-Buffy; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1, and UAS-Buffy; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2. Longevity is shown as percent survival (P < 0.05, determined by log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test with  $N \leq 200$ ). B) The inhibition of  $BI-1$  along with the overexpression of Buffy in these neurons results in the suppression of the age-dependent loss in climbing ability. The genotypes are UAS-Buffy; Ddc-Gal4/UAS-lacZ, UAS-Buffy; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1, and UAS-Buffy; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2. Analysis was done by nonlinear fitting of the climbing curves and significance was determined by comparing the 95% CI. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean and N=50.



### NOT PEER-REVIEWED

### **Figure 4(on next page)**

Loss of BI-1 in neurons complements the  $\alpha$ -synuclein-induced phenotypes

A) The inhibition of  $BI-1$  along with  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression in the Ddc-Gal4-expressing neurons resulted in a shortened lifespan when compared to the control. Genotypes are UAS- $\alpha$ -synuclein; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-lacZ, UAS- $\alpha$ -synuclein; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1 and UAS- $\alpha$ synuclein; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2. Longevity is shown as percent survival (P < 0.05, determined by log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test with  $N \le 200$ ). B) The co-expression of BI-1-RNAi with  $\alpha$ -synuclein resulted in a slight but significant decrease in the age-dependent loss in climbing ability when compared to the control. The genotypes are  $UAS-\alpha$ -synuclein; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-lacZ, UAS-a-synuclein; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1 and UAS-a-synuclein; Ddc-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2. Analysis was done by nonlinear fitting of the climbing curves and significance was determined by comparing the 95% CI. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean and N=50.



Days

**0 20 40 60**

### NOT PEER-REVIEWED

### **Figure 5(on next page)**

Inhibition of BI-1 in the developing eye results in decreased ommatidia and increased degeneration of the ommatidial array

Scanning electron micrographs when BI-1 is inhibited in the Drosophila developing eye; (A) GMR-GAL4/ UAS-lacZ, (B) GMR-GAL4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1 and (C) GMR-GAL4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2, when inhibited along with overexpression of Buffy; D) UAS-Buffy; GMR-Gal4/ UAS-lacZ, E) UAS-Buffy; GMR-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1 and E) UAS-Buffy; GMR-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2 and when co-expressed with  $\alpha$ -synuclein; G) UAS- $\alpha$ -synuclein; GMR-Gal4/ UAS-lacZ, H) UAS- $\alpha$ synuclein; GMR-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 1, and I) UAS-a-synuclein; GMR-Gal4/ UAS-BI-1-RNAi 2. J) Biometric analysis when BI-1 is inhibited in the eye indicated decreased ommatidia number and higher percentage of ommatidial disruption when compared to the control. K) The coexpression of Buffy with both BI-1-RNAi lines resulted in the suppression of the eye phenotypes, the ommatidia number and disruption of the eye were restored to control levels. L) The inhibition of BI-1 along with  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression resulted in worsened eye phenotypes, the number of ommatidia was lower and the degree of ommatidial disruption was higher than either the inhibition of both  $BI-1$  lines or that of  $\alpha$ -synuclein when compared to controls. Comparisons were determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a Dunnett's multiple comparison post-test (P<0.05), error bars are standard error of the mean,  $N=10$  and asterisks represent statistical significance (\* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01 and \*\*\* p<0.001).













lacZ/Buffy BI-1-RNAi 1/Buffy BI-1-RNAi 2/Buffy



NOT PEER-REVIEWED

PeerJ Preprints | https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.2548v1 | CC BY 4.0 Open Access | rec: 24 Oct 2016, publ: 24 Oct 2016