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Increasingly complex data sets are needed to fully understand the complexity in behavior. Credit card sized single-board computers with multi-core CPUs are an attractive platform for designing devices capable of collecting multi-dimensional behavioral data. To demonstrate this idea, we created an easy to-use device for operant licking experiments and another device that records environmental variables. These systems collect data obtained from multiple input devices (e.g., radio frequency identification tag readers, touch and motion sensors, environmental sensors) and activate output devices (e.g., LED lights, syringe pumps) as needed. Data gathered from these devices can be automatically transferred to a remote server via a wireless network. We tested the operant device by training rats to obtain either sucrose or water under the control of a fixed ratio, a variable ratio, or a progressive ratio reinforcement schedule. The lick data demonstrated that the device has sufficient precision and time resolution to record the fast licking behavior of rats. Data from the environment monitoring device also showed reliable measurements. By providing the code and 3D design under an open source license, we believe these examples will stimulate innovation in behavioral studies.
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ABSTRACT

Increasingly complex data sets are needed to fully understand the complexity in behavior. Credit card-sized single-board computers with multi-core CPUs are an attractive platform for designing devices capable of collecting multi-dimensional behavioral data. To demonstrate this idea, we created an easy-to-use device for operant licking experiments and another device that records environmental variables. These systems collect data obtained from multiple input devices (e.g., radio frequency identification tag readers, touch and motion sensors, environmental sensors) and activate output devices (e.g., LED lights, syringe pumps) as needed. Data gathered from these devices can be automatically transferred to a remote server via a wireless network. We tested the operant device by training rats to obtain either sucrose or water under the control of a fixed ratio, a variable ratio, or a progressive ratio reinforcement schedule. The lick data demonstrated that the device has sufficient precision and time resolution to record the fast licking behavior of rats. Data from the environment monitoring device also showed reliable measurements. By providing the code and 3D design under an open source license, we believe these examples will stimulate innovation in behavioral studies. http://github.com/chen42/openbehavior.
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INTRODUCTION

Quantitative measurement of rodent behavior is one of the cornerstones of neuroscience. Traditionally, neuroscientists have favored the approach of vastly reducing the complexity of measurements. However, more recently, many scientists have realized that in order to better grasp the complexity of behavior, data sets capturing many more internal and environmental variables and with much higher time and spacial resolution are required (Gomez-Marin et al., 2014).

One of the obstacles in obtaining such data sets is that most commercial behavioral measurement equipment was designed decades ago and is not sufficiently flexible to be integrated with emerging technologies. In rare cases when new technologies are used for behavioral measurements, such as touch screen assays for rodents, the cost of the commercial equipment is prohibitive for most academic laboratories. These factors have hindered the collection of “big behavioral data” from complex animal behavior (Gomez-Marin et al., 2014).

On the other hand, Moore’s Law has dictated the continued increase in computing power and the reduction of its cost. Recent generations of single board computers are the size of a credit card and yet are capable of controlling many behavioral tests. Furthermore, numerous sensors are available to be connected to these computers. These sensors can be used to monitor a wide variety of environmental variables as well as animal behaviors. Therefore, there exists an opportunity to exploit these readily available electronic and computing resources for measuring multi-dimensional behavioral data.

Here, we describe a project where a Raspberry Pi® single board computer was used to study operant licking in rats. Operant training in animals allows them to associate a response (e.g. peck a spot with the beak, press a lever, or lick a spout) with a reward (e.g., food, water, or drugs), as well as reward-associated cues (e.g. a light). Operant conditioning is used to study a wide variety of behaviors, especially those related to the function of the reward system, such as food consumption or drug abuse. We also used
a motion sensor to track the locomotion of the rat and a radio-frequency identification (RFID) tag to track the identity of the rat. In addition, we also designed an environmental sensor set that monitors the temperature, humidity, barometric pressure, and ambient light levels.

**METHODS**

**The operant licking device.**

This system uses a touch sensor connected to the drinking spouts to record the licking behavior of rodents. When the number of licks on a spout meets a predetermined criteria, a syringe pump is triggered to deliver a fixed amount of solution to that spout. A visual cue (an LED) is turned on every time the solution is delivered. A motion sensor is used to record locomotion of the rodent. An RFID reader is used to read the glass ID tag embedded in the animal. All the data are transferred to a remote server via wireless internet connection at the end of the sessions. All electronic devices are installed in a 3D printed frame and can be placed in a standard rat cage. The syringe pump can be placed on top of the wire grid of the cage. The design source files used for 3D printing, software, and instructions for assembly are available in our github repository (https://github.com/chen42/openbehavior) under the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial license (Version 3.0).

![Figure 1. A Diagram of the Operant Licking System.](image)

A touch sensor is used to measure the number of licks by a rat on a spout. When the number of licks reaches a criteria, the Raspberry Pi computer advances a step motor, which in turn pushes a syringe to deliver a drop of solution. A motion sensor is used to record the movement of the rat.

**Computer**

We used the Raspberry Pi (RPI, model 2B) single board computer. The computer uses a Broadcom BCM2836 Arm v7 quad core processor (900 MHz) and has 1 GB RAM. A total of 40 pins are available on a header for user expansion, including 17 GPIO pins, an I2C connection, and a serial line. A diagram of the peripheral components connected to the RPI is shown in Figure 1. Although sufficiently powerful, the RPI is missing a few key components. Therefore, we added a WiFi module (Edimax) and a real time clock (DS1307). The clock is connected to the RPI via an I2C interface and is needed to maintain the system time when network connectivity is not available. The system power is provided by a 12 V AC-DC converter (2 Amp). A DC-DC voltage step down module (LM2596) is used to provide 5 V power to the RPI.

**Input devices**

The key component of the system is a capacitive touch sensor (Model MPR121, Adafruit), which communicates with the RPI via the I2C protocol. The sensor can measure capacitances ranging from...
10 pF to over 2000 pF with a resolution of 0.01 pF. It has a time resolution of 16 ms. Further, signal
filtering and debounce are all handled in the chip, which makes this sensor easy to use. We used only two
of the 12 channels of this sensor. Each channel is connected to one drinking spout to monitor the licking
behavior. A passive infrared motion sensor (HC-SR501) was used to monitor the activity of the animal.
We also connected a RFID reader (RDM6300) to the RPi. This reader can detect low frequency (125 kHz)
glass RFID tags that using the EM4100 standard. These glass tags can be implanted under the skin of the
rats to provide a unique identification code for each rat. Lastly, we installed two push buttons to provide
bidirectional manual control of the step motor. This allows the position of the motor to be adjusted when
loading the syringe.

Output devices
The main output device is a syringe pump modified from the design provided by Wijnen et al. (2014). A
step motor controller (model A4988, with a heat sink) is used to advance a NEMA 11 motor. The motor
is installed in a 3D printed frame, which also has a holder for a 10 ml syringe. The motor is calibrated to
push the syringe and deliver 60 µl of solution to the tip of the spout (via a polyethylene tubing) each time
it is activated. A total of three LEDs are connected to the device. One is a cue light installed on top of the
active spout. Two other LEDs are turned on when licking or motion is detected, respectively. Lastly, an
LCD (16x2 characters) is connected to the GPIO pins. The LCD is the only display of the system and
provides information about the system before, during, and after the test sessions.

Software and reinforcement schedules
Our software is written in the Python programming language and runs on the Raspbian Linux operating
system (Jessie, released on 05-27-2016). Libraries for the touch sensor and the LCD are provided by
Adafruit. The main program is automatically launched at system start up. Once the program is loaded,
two push buttons can be used to adjust the pump for loading the syringe. The system then awaits input
from the RFID scanner. The session timer starts when the technician scans the glass RFID tag embedded
in the rat. The touch sensor records the timing of licking from two spouts, designated as the active and
the inactive spout, respectively. We implemented three reinforcement schedules. When the fixed ratio
(FR) schedule is used, a reward (i.e. fluid delivered to the active spout) is delivered when a predetermined
number of licks (e.g. 10) is recorded. A variable ratio (VR) schedule delivers the reward after a randomly
chosen number of licks, with a predetermined average (e.g. 10). When controlled by a progressive ratio
schedule, the number of licks required to obtain each subsequent reward is increased until the animal fails
to obtain a reward within a given time period. A time out period, when the number of licks is recorded but
has no programmed consequence, is enforced after each reward. Because there is no conventional input
device present, such as a keyboard or a pointing device, we use a few specific RFID tags to switch between
these reinforcement schedules (i.e. scanning one particular RFID tag will load the the progressive ratio
schedule).

A cue light located above the active spout is turned on for a fixed time (e.g. 5 s) after each reward.
The number of licks on the inactive spout is recorded throughout the session but has no programmed
consequence. Data from the motion sensor is recorded using a separate Python program. In addition to
the timing and type of each event (i.e., lick, movement), the start and finish times are recorded in the data
files. The LCD is used throughout the session to provide system status and real time data. The Linux
program rsync is used to automatically transfer data files to a remote server upon the completion of each
session.

The environmental variable monitoring device
The standalone environmental variable monitoring device also uses a RPi. Four sensors are connected
to the RPi via the I2C communication protocol. These are the TSL2561 for ambient light, HTU21D-F
for humidity, and BMP085 for barometric pressure. Both the HTU21D-F and the BMP085 contains a
temperature sensor. Thus the temperature we record is the average reading from these two sensors. The
Python libraries from Adafruit for these sensors are used to obtain data. We have observed that these
sensors can draw relatively large amounts of current when in use. Thus, we supplemented an additional
power source to the I2C bus. Further, instead of running the data logging program continuously, it was
activated once every 10 min as a cron job, which has increased system stability. The Linux rsync program
is used to transfer the collected data to a remote server automatically. The Python programs are available
in our github repository listed above.
Operant licking for sucrose or water

Ten female rats purchased from Harlan Laboratory were used. Animals were housed in a reverse light-cycled room (lights off at 9:00 AM and on at 9:00 PM). Food and water were provided ad libitum. These rats were tested under a FR10, a VR10, and a PR schedule to obtain a 10% sucrose solution (n = 5) or water (n = 5). The FR10 and VR10 sessions were 1 h. The PR session ended 10 min after the last lick on the active spout. These tests were run in a dark room with all lights turned off. All procedures were conducted in accordance with the NIH Guidelines Concerning the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Tennessee Health Science Center.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean ± standard error. Student’s t-tests were used to analyze the difference between the licks on the active vs. the inactive spouts. Statistical significance was assigned for p < 0.05. The R statistical analysis language was used for data analysis and plotting.

RESULTS

Operant licking response for sucrose

We tested two groups of rats for operant licking in five devices assembled as describe above. Rats obtained either a sucrose solution (10%, n=5) or water (n=5). Each rat was tested using the FR10, VR10, and PR reinforcement schedules. The number of licks and rewards for each data set are plotted in Figure 2. Rats licked 4902 ± 1076, 4525 ± 1072, and 1081 ± 227 times on the active spouts when tested on the FR10, VR10, and PR reinforcement schedules, and received 89 ± 12, 101 ± 11, 14 ± 1 drops of sucrose solution, respectively. In contrast, rats licked 141 ± 38, 241 ± 84, and 115 ± 28 times on the active spouts when tested on the FR10, VR10, and PR reinforcement schedules, and received 8 ± 2, 9 ± 2, 5 ± 1 drops of water, respectively. The number of licks on the active spout was significantly greater than those on the inactive spouts when sucrose or water was provided (2). These results are very similar to those reported by Sclafani and Ackroff (2003), indicating that the devices are providing reliable data.

![Figure 2. Summary of Lick Responses and Rewards.](https://example.com/figure2.png)

The time course of licks on the two spouts as well as rewards earned from the rat with the highest number of licks in each of the six test conditions was plotted in Figure 3. Rats licked almost continuously on the active spouts for the entire 60 min testing period for sucrose when the FR10 or the VR10 schedule was used. The rate of licking was much lower when the PR schedule was used. Although they sampled...
The inactive spout initially, licking activity became exclusive for the active spout near the end of each of the sessions. In contrast, when water was provided, the licks were much sparser, with large time lags between receiving rewards. Thus, these data better illustrate the number of licks between rewards. The number of licks was not even when the FR10 schedule was used. This is because rats continue to lick on the active spout during the 20 s time out period after the reward was delivered.

The microstructure of licks is informative for the reward value of the taste substance (Davis and Smith, 1992). As previously reported (Davis and Smith, 1992; Wang et al., 2014), we defined a cluster as licks that occurred within 0.5 seconds of each other. Clusters with fewer than two licks were excluded from the analysis. The size of a lick cluster is the number of licks it contains. Inter-licking interval is defined as the time between each lick within each cluster. The distributions of inter-lick intervals and the size of lick clusters for sucrose or water under the FR10 schedule are shown in Figure 4. The average size of lick clusters on the active spout for sucrose was 35.7±6.4 for sucrose and 7.2±1.1 for water (p < 0.05). In contrast, the average size of lick cluster on the inactive spout was 8.6±4.0 for sucrose and 5.9±0.4 for water (p > 0.05).

The inter-lick interval on the active spout was 0.15±0.002 s for sucrose and 0.22±0.01 s for water (p < 0.01). The inter-lick interval on the inactive spout was 0.20±0.01 s for sucrose and 0.26±0.02 for water (p < 0.01). The size of the lick clusters for sucrose was in agreement with those reported in the literature (Davies et al., 2015). These data not only confirm that the subjective value of sucrose is significantly greater than that of water, but more importantly indicate that the device we designed has sufficient time resolution to accurately measure the rapid licking behavior of rats.

The locomotion data collected from the FR10 and VR10 session were presented in Figure 5. Data from the PR session was not shown because each rat had a different session length. The movement was combined into 1 min bins. The average number within each bin from all the rats were shown. These
Figure 4. Lick Microstructure Analysis of the FR10 Data Set. We defined a cluster as a group of licks where the inter-lick interval (ILI) was less than 0.5 s. Data from all five rats were combined for the analysis.

Environmental data

The data gathered by the environment sensor set from an animal housing room for the first week of July 2016 is plotted in Figure 6. The data showed that the animal facility is under tight climate control. However, after adjusting the airflow on July 5th, the temperature was reduced by \(1^{\circ}\) C and the humidity was increased by 3%. The air pressure also shows fluctuation. The level of light recorded indicates that the light of the room was reverse cycled (On at 9 PM and off at 9 AM, and that technicians occasionally enter the room during the day.

DISCUSSION

We designed two devices using single board computers. We tested the operant licking device by training rats to obtain sucrose or water using several reinforcement schedules in regular housing cages. We also designed a device that can continuously record four environmental variables, including temperature, humidity, air pressure, and light levels. We used 3D printers to manufacture the frames of the devices. The designs of the devices, including all source files and software, are available under an open source license.

Since their inception, computers have been an integral part of quantitative behavioral studies. Desktop computers are used in most behavioral equipment produced in the past few decades. As predicted by Moore’s Law, the number of transistors per square inch continues to double every two years. Computers the size of a credit card currently have processing power equivalent to desktop computers from 10 to 15 years ago. Many behavioral researchers have discovered the relevance of these small computers for data collection projects and taken full advantage of their usefulness. For example, Escobar and Pérez-Herrera (2015) described the use of an Arduino microcontroller in conjunction with a laptop computer to conduct operant conditioning experiments. Pino (2014) reported an operant conditioning device using an iPod Touch and an Arduino microcontroller. Most interestingly, Rizzi et al. (2016) designed an Arduino-based system that triggered the delivery of optogenetic stimulation from nose pokes of mice.

We chose to use single board computers over microcontrollers because they have much faster proces-
Figure 5. Locomotor Response. The locomotion data from each rat were combined into 1 min bins. Rats remained active during the entire 60 min when sucrose was provided, while fewer locomotor activity counts were recorded when water was provided.

Our devices demonstrated the utility of RPi in studying rodent behaviors. The small size of the RPi allows the entire device to fit in a regular rat housing cage. Combined with low cost, this has the potential for breaking down two of the main barriers for many academic labs to conduct operant behavioral tests: the lack of funding for expensive equipment and limited space in the vivarium. Another advantage of shrinking the size of behavioral test equipment is that it allows rodent behavior to be recorded continuously in the home cage. Thus, the diurnal rhythm of the behavior can be recorded without incurring large costs for dedicated equipment.

RFID tags are starting to be used in animal research to provide unique identification codes for animals. The low frequency (125 kHz) glass tags (~$1 each) usually encode a twelve character hexadecimal number and therefore can uniquely identify $2.8 \times 10^{14}$ animals for a research project. Because of their small size, they can be inserted into a syringe needle and be injected under the skin of rodents without general anesthesia. Once embedded, they provide a permanent ID for each individual. These tags can even be placed with tissue samples once the animals are euthanized.

Integrating an RFID reader with the behavioral device allows each test subject to be unequivocally identified in the data files. Further, the device can be programmed to start the test session when an RFID is detected. This not only simplifies the workflow but also reduces potential noise in the data (e.g. when testing multiple animals, some technicians prefer to start the recording once all the animals are placed in the testing device. Thus some animals are exposed to the device while their behavior is not recorded).

Devices with small footprints can suffer from the limited choices of input methods. Although touch...
Figure 6. Environmental data. The temperature, humidity, air pressure and level of light in an animal housing room are shown. The shift in temperature and humidity on July 5th coincided with an adjustment of the airflow in the facility.

screens can be used, RFID tags provide a helpful alternative when the number of program options are limited. For example, while our program defaults to start the variable ratio 10 schedule, we encoded the value of several RFID tags in the program so that when these particular tags are detected, the fixed ratio or the progressive ratio schedules will be used. Although this is very simple to use and can be readily expanded to include other options, one shortcoming is that we need to use those particular tags to start the program. We remediated this by programming two alternative tags for each reinforcement schedule.

Another potential advantage of the RFID tags is that they allow for the possibility of multiple animals to be tested in a group housing setup. However, in our tests, we have found that the detection of RFID tags using the RDM6300 reader is not sufficiently reliable. It sometimes misses the tag even when the antenna is in close proximity to the tag (maximum sensitivity is found when the tag approaches the antenna in a perpendicular direction and at the edge of the antenna loop. One of the main future directions of this project is to improve the sensitivity of the RFID detection system, possibly by using a different RFID reader, such as those used by Howerton et al. (2012).

There are several commonly used methods for recording the licking behavior of rodents. The contact lickometer supplies a small voltage between the wire floor and the spout. It then detects the small current passing through the rat when it licks the spout. This requires a metal floor to be used. An alternative method is to set up an infrared beam to monitor the tip of the spout. The tongue blocks the light beam and allows the licks to be detected. This method requires the position of the light and the spout to be carefully calibrated. We used a capacitive touch sensor to monitor the licking events. Our analysis of the lick microstructure showed that this method has sufficient time resolution and sensitivity to reliably detect rodent licking behavior. One caveat is that these touch sensors are very sensitive. They can sometimes be triggered by environmental interference, especially after they are connected to a large piece of metal, such as a rodent drinking spout. We found that adjusting the threshold to touch=36 and release=18 in the Python library for the MPR121 touch sensor is sufficient to avoid the noise while still reliably recording
the licking events.

One of the main motivations in developing these devices is to study operant alcohol self-administration in rats. Rats have many advantages for behavioral neuroscience research (Parker et al., 2013). However, many of the widely used rat strains do not readily consume alcohol. We hope the device described here will be helpful in establishing a robust model of oral alcohol self-administration. Operant licking provides potential advantages over lever pressing behavior, such as its high response-reinforcer contingency. Further, the lick microstructure analysis can provide insights into the subjective value of the reward (Davis and Smith, 1992). The combination of low cost and small footprint of this device also provides a unique opportunity to perform relatively large-scale studies on the diurnal rhythm of alcohol consumption.

Lastly, the environmental monitoring device allows the collection of several variables that could potentially influence alcohol intake in the long run and improve experimental reproducibility. Although commonly ignored, more and more recent data show that environmental factors such as temperature (Chesler et al., 2002), humidity (Chesler et al., 2002), barometric pressure (Mizoguchi et al., 2011), noise (Okada et al., 1988; Prior, 2002), illumination (Valdar et al., 2006), and vibration (Okada et al., 1988) have a great impact on animal behavior. Our low cost system can capture large amounts of environmental data, which can improve understanding of the complex effects of the environment on behavior and increase research reproducibility (Collins and Tabak, 2014).

A potential disadvantage of our approach is that manufacturing these devices may require skills not present in a behavioral neuroscience lab. For example, although 3D printers have become very affordable, printing high-quality parts is still a trial and error process. For example, two parts of the syringe pump that hold the stainless steel rods need to be printed with precise dimensions to ensure accurate delivery of the solutions. It is possible that the design files need to be slightly modified according to the printer and printing conditions (e.g. temperature, resolution, etc.) to achieve the precision needed. One alternative is to use commercial 3D printing stores to manufacture these parts.

In summary, we developed two open source devices that can be used to collect multi-dimensional data for behavioral studies. By providing the design and software under an open source license, we hope they will stimulate the wider adoption of single board computers and innovation in behavioral measurements.
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