A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 13 September 2016.

<u>View the peer-reviewed version</u> (peerj.com/articles/2460), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Maslo B, Schlacher TA, Weston MA, Huijbers CM, Anderson C, Gilby BL, Olds AD, Connolly RM, Schoeman DS. 2016. Regional drivers of clutch loss reveal important trade-offs for beach-nesting birds. PeerJ 4:e2460 https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2460

Regional drivers of clutch loss reveal important trade-offs for beach-nesting birds

Brooke Maslo $^{Corresp.,\ 1}$, Thomas A Schlacher 2 , Mike A. Weston 3 , Chantal M Huijbers 2 , Chris Anderson 2 , Ben L Gilby 2 , Andrew L Olds 2 , Rod M Connolly 4 , David S Schoeman 2

¹ Ecology, Evolution and Natural Resources, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ, United States

² School of Science and Engineering, University of the Sunshine Coast, Maroochydore, Australia

³ Centre for Integrative Ecology, Deakin University, Burwood, Victoria, Australia

⁴ Australian Rivers Institute - Coast & Estuaries, and School of Environment, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia

Corresponding Author: Brooke Maslo Email address: brooke.maslo@rutgers.edu

Coastal birds are critical ecosystem constituents on sandy shores, yet are threatened by depressed reproductive success resulting from direct and indirect anthropogenic and natural pressures. Few studies examine clutch fate across the wide range of environments experienced by birds; instead, most focus at the small site scale. We examine survival of model shorebird clutches as an index of true clutch survival at a regional scale (~ 200 km), encompassing a variety of geomorphologies, predator communities, and human use regimes in southeast Queensland, Australia. Of the 132 model nests deployed and monitored with cameras, 45 (34%) survived the experimental exposure period. Thirty-five (27%) were lost to flooding, 32 (24%) were depredated, 9 (7%) buried by sand, 7 (5%) destroyed by people, 3 (2%) failed by unknown causes, and 1 (0.1%) was destroyed by a dog. Clutch fate differed substantially among regions, particularly with respect to losses from flooding and predation. 'Topographic' exposure was the main driver of mortality of nests placed close to the drift line near the base of dunes, which were lost to waves (particularly during storms) and to a lesser extent depredation. Predators determined the fate of clutches not lost to waves, with the depredation probability largely influenced by region. Depredation probability declined as nests were backed by higher dunes and were placed closer to vegetation. This study emphasizes the scale at which clutch fate and survival varies within a regional context, the prominence of corvids as egg predators, the significant role of flooding as a source of nest loss, and the multiple trade-offs faced by beach-nesting birds and those that manage them.

NOT PEER-REVIEWED

- 1 August 15, 2016
- 2 Brooke Maslo
- 3 Department of Ecology, Evolution and Natural Resources, Rutgers, The State University of New
- 4 Jersey
- 5 14 College Farm Road, New Brunswick, NJ 08901
- 6 848/932-5572
- 7 brooke.maslo@rutgers.edu
- 8
- 9 RH: Maslo et al.

 Regional Drivers of Beach-nesting Bird Clutch Loss
- 10 Regional Drivers of Model Clutch Loss Reveal Important Trade-offs for Beach-nesting
- 11 Birds
- 12 MASLO, BROOKE,¹ Department of Ecology, Evolution and Natural Resources, Rutgers, The
- 13 State University of New Jersey, 14 College Farm Road, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA
- 14 THOMAS A. SCHLACHER, School of Science and Engineering, The University of the Sunshine
- 15 Coast, Q-4558 Maroochydore, Australia
- 16 MICHAEL A. WESTON, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia. Centre for Integrative
- 17 Ecology, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Burwood campus, VIC 3125,
- 18 Australia
- 19 CHANTAL M. HUIJBERS, School of Science and Engineering, The University of the Sunshine
- 20 Coast, Q-4558 Maroochydore, Australia
- 21 CHRIS ANDERSON, School of Science and Engineering, The University of the Sunshine Coast,
- 22 *Q-4558 Maroochydore, Australia*
- 23 BEN L. GILBY, School of Science and Engineering, The University of the Sunshine Coast, Q-
- 24 4558 Maroochydore, Australia

ANDREW L. OLDS, School of Science and Engineering, The University of the Sunshine Coast,
 Q-4558 Maroochydore, Australia

- 27 ROD M. CONNOLLY, Australian Rivers Institute Coast & Estuaries, and School of
- 28 Environment, Gold Coast Campus, Griffith University, Queensland, 4222, Australia
- 29 DAVID S. SCHOEMAN, School of Science and Engineering, The University of the Sunshine
- 30 Coast, Q-4558 Maroochydore, Australia
- 31 ¹ Email: brooke.maslo@rutgers.edu
- 32

ABSTRACT Coastal birds are critical ecosystem constituents on sandy shores, yet are 33 34 threatened by depressed reproductive success resulting from direct and indirect anthropogenic 35 and natural pressures. Few studies examine clutch fate across the wide range of environments experienced by birds; instead, most focus at the small site scale. We examine survival of model 36 37 shorebird clutches as an index of true clutch survival at a regional scale (~ 200 km), 38 encompassing a variety of geomorphologies, predator communities, and human use regimes in 39 southeast Queensland, Australia. Of the 132 model nests deployed and monitored with cameras, 40 45 (34%) survived the experimental exposure period. Thirty-five (27%) were lost to flooding, 32 (24%) were depredated, 9 (7%) buried by sand, 7 (5%) destroyed by people, 3 (2%) failed by 41 42 unknown causes, and 1 (0.1%) was destroyed by a dog. Clutch fate differed substantially among 43 regions, particularly with respect to losses from flooding and predation. 'Topographic' exposure was the main driver of mortality of nests placed close to the drift line near the base of dunes, 44 45 which were lost to waves (particularly during storms) and to a lesser extent depredation. Predators determined the fate of clutches not lost to waves, with the depredation probability 46 47 largely influenced by region. Depredation probability declined as nests were backed by higher

48 dunes and were placed closer to vegetation. This study emphasizes the scale at which clutch fate 49 and survival varies within a regional context, the prominence of corvids as egg predators, the 50 significant role of flooding as a source of nest loss, and the multiple trade-offs faced by beach-51 nesting birds and those that manage them.

52

53 Several iconic, threatened species of the world's coastlines nest on ocean-exposed sandy shores 54 (e.g., turtles, birds) and are thought to use nest-site selection to increase clutch success, hatchling 55 survival, and ultimately fitness (Refsnider & Janzen 2010; Spencer 2002). Sandy shores include distinct habitat types (dunes, non-vegetated beach, surf-zone), each with variable attributes and 56 57 subject to a variety of pressures (e.g. predators, people, urban development) (Meager et al. 2012; 58 Schlacher et al. 2014). Heterogeneity of the sandy beach environment across space and time 59 implies that reproductive success for these species might depend on their flexibility in finding 60 suitable nest sites.

61 Coastal birds are important contributors to sandy beach and dune ecosystems, transferring 62 resources between marine and terrestrial systems (Huijbers et al. 2015), providing critical 63 nutrient inputs (Sekercioglu 2006), and sometimes filling the role of apex consumers (Brown et 64 al. 2015). They also act as surrogates for beach and dune conservation and may be prime 65 indicators of beach condition (Maslo et al. in press; Schlacher et al. 2014). Despite their 66 significant role in ecosystem function and management, persistence of many coastal bird 67 populations is severely threatened by direct and indirect anthropogenic impacts (e.g., Brinker et 68 al. 2007; Dowding & Murphy 2001; Van De Pol et al. 2010). Viability of resident coastal bird 69 populations is limited by failed nesting attempts (i.e. clutch failure) and high chick mortality

resulting from predation, flooding, and human disturbance (Erwin et al. 2006; Martín et al. 2015;
Tjørve & Underhill 2008).

For decades, researchers have examined potential factors influencing clutch survival of 72 73 coastal birds. They have identified a suite of egg and chick predators across several taxonomic 74 groups (Brooks et al. 2014; Ivan & Murphy 2005), evaluated links between direct and indirect 75 human interference and reproductive failure (Ruhlen et al. 2003; Weston & Elgar 2007), and 76 quantified the impact of high tides and storms on reproductive success (Brooks et al. 2013; Pol et al. 2010). These studies were generally performed on small sections of coastline under relatively 77 78 uniform management and human use regimes (e.g., Dutton et al. 2005; Neuman et al. 2004), and 79 were assumed to experience similar conditions (e.g., Hardy & Colwell 2012; Morse et al. 2006). 80 Thus, we have a good understanding of threats coastal ground-nesting birds encounter at local 81 scales. For example, nests on beaches that are used heavily for human recreation may experience higher direct mortality from trampling, crushing, and vandalism (Brooks et al. 2013; Pol et al. 82 83 2010); these areas might also support higher predator densities due to the provision of additional 84 food resources from garbage (Yasué & Dearden 2006). Nests on uninhabited beaches may 85 experience less chronic human disturbance, but be more vulnerable to severe acute human 86 impacts due to a lack of regulatory presence and enforcement (Brown et al. 2015; del Viejo et al. 2004). The suite of predators may also vary in response to variations in landscape connectivity, 87 88 habitat types and the presence of invasive species (Brown et al. 2015). While such studies are 89 important in understanding local threats and informing local management, it remains unclear whether outcomes are more broadly applicable. 90

Conservation practitioners have at their disposal a portfolio of management options that
can be applied on a site-by-site basis (Maslo & Lockwood 2009; Neuman et al. 2004).

93 Management at a given site can improve reproductive success of a target species, although it can 94 be costly (e.g. Hecht & Melvin 2009). Further, managers must continuously monitor changing 95 site conditions to determine when and how to appropriately intervene (Cohen et al. 2016). 96 Mitigation of all threats places a heavy burden on beach-nesting bird managers, who are already 97 constrained for time and resources, and such efforts may be fruitless if nests are lost to flooding. 98 Importantly, even the most effective management at the local scale does not guarantee 99 population viability. Understanding the pressures reducing clutch success at a regional scale may relieve some of the management burden by allowing managers to prioritize threat mitigation 100 101 within or across regions. Identifying the relative importance of the generalized predictors of 102 clutch failure across a regional scale may also increase population-level benefits of management. 103 Managers would increase both their confidence in deciding appropriately where and when to 104 apply specific interventions, as well as the efficiency with which management is implemented. 105 Determination of clutch fate of beach-nesting birds historically has been elusive (Ivan & Murphy 2005; Mabee 1997), preventing the identification of generalized predictors of clutch 106 107 failure. Few studies investigate clutch survival over spatial scales that are large enough to 108 encompass different environmental conditions or gradients in anthropogenic pressures. To 109 address this gap, we examined beach-nesting bird clutch fate (using a model system of shorebird 110 nests and eggs) along 180 km of coastline selected to encompass a diversity of 111 geomorphological, anthropogenic, and predator environments. We identified the primary causes 112 of clutch loss among a suite of putative factors at a regional scale. By definitively assigning clutch fate to a set of artificial nests distributed across varying beach habitats and examining an 113 114 exhaustive list of predictor variables hypothesized to influence clutch failure, we determined the

115 relative importance of predation, flooding, and human disturbance on the probability of clutch116 loss.

117

118 STUDY AREA

119 We purposefully selected four study sites within the region of southeast Queensland, Australia 120 that differed in the types of likely mortality agents present, including two sand-barrier islands (Bribie and Moreton Islands) and two mainland sections of the ocean-exposed coastline (Noosa 121 North Shore and Sunshine Coast; Fig. 1). Sites were separated between 12 and 27 km. The main 122 123 differences between sites were the presence of exotic and feral predators (red foxes, *Vulpes*) *vulpes*; dogs, *Canis familiaris*); the intensity of development and human use; and the types of 124 125 recreational activities (camping, fishing, off-road vehicles; Table 1). Basic habitat attributes were 126 similar between sites, all being ocean-exposed sandy beaches of intermediate morphodynamic state, backed by generally low (typically 2 - 4 m) foredunes of 10 - 40 m width (Brown et al. 127 2015; Huijbers et al. 2015; Huijbers et al. 2013; Schlacher & Thompson 2012; Schlacher & 128 129 Thompson 2013; Schlacher & Thompson 2013; Schlacher et al. 2015a). Local geomorphology 130 varied, however, along these coasts (e.g. width and steepness of dunes and beaches), so the study 131 area also provided an opportunity to examine the influence of these variables on clutch survival.

132

133 METHODS

We experimentally mimicked nests of red-capped plovers, *Charadrius ruficapillus*, and monitored with camera traps the fate of clutches (after Cardilini et al. 2013). Red-capped plovers are widely distributed across Australia and breed in Queensland throughout the year, with a peak in spring and summer (Marchant & Higgins 1993). Females typically lay two eggs of cryptic

138 colouration in a shallow scrape in the sand. For beach-nesting individuals, most nests are located on the upper beach and in foredunes, either in the open, under vegetative cover, or next to 139 flotsam objects (Ekanavake et al. 2015a; Marchant & Higgins 1993). 140 141 To mimic plover clutches, we placed two European quail (Coturnix japonica) eggs in 142 shallow sand scrapes; quail eggs are approximately the same size, weight and colour as red-143 capped plover eggs and have been used previously for these type of experiments (Maguire et al. 2010). We used survival of the model clutches as an index of real clutch survival, whilst 144 acknowledging that the presence and behaviour of an incubating adult may alter absolute clutch 145 146 loss rates (Smith et al. 2007). However, there is no a priori expectation that systematic bias amongst regions would result from using experimental (modal) clutches. We obtained unwashed 147 148 quail eggs from a local hatchery 1 - 2 days prior to field deployment and handled them as little as 149 possible. The quail eggs used in this experiment were 32.5 ± 0.13 (SE) mm long and 24.8 ± 0.07 mm wide, and weighed 10.8 ± 0.10 g (real Red-capped Plover eggs are 30.42 ± 0.15 mm long 150 151 and 22.42 \pm 0.07 mm wide; n = 73 Unpubl. Data).

152 Model Clutch Survival Experiments

153 We ran experiments during the late summer and early autumn of 2015 (Moreton Island: 31 Jan – 154 07 Feb; Noosa North Shore: 3 - 9 March; Bribie Island: 13 – 19 March; Sunshine Coast: 27 Apr -1 May). We placed nests approximately 800 m apart along the shore to minimize the 155 156 possibility of the same predator depredating more than one nest sequentially, whilst still 157 achieving adequate replication and dispersion within each region. We aimed for equal replication levels at each site, but due to logistical constraints (travel times, access) the number of 158 159 experimental units varied between 27 and 38, with a total of 132 nests for the entire study (Table 160 2).

161 We positioned model nests to mimic natural plover nesting habitat (Lomas et al. 2014), 162 using the following criteria: i) position on the beach: on the upper part of the unvegetated beach above the spring tide drift line near the base of the dunes (narrow strip of accumulated wrack 163 164 deposited by swash), in the fore-dune area (the dune slope above the lowest vegetation line up to 165 the first crest), or in the dune area (landward of the top of the fore-dune); ii) microhabitat 166 exposure: under vegetative cover, in the open next to flotsam, or in the open at least 2 m away from flotsam; iii) microhabitat topography: in a hollow/swale/depression, or on a mound/ridge. 167 We randomly assigned nests to each nest locations category using a randomisation function in 168 169 Microsoft Excel, which was reapplied until we achieved 'reasonable' balance of nests between 170 factor levels to enable subsequent analysis. We determined the placement of the clutch 171 perpendicular to the water's edge by walking to the midpoint of the dune or fore-dune at 172 predetermined GPS coordinates and selecting the nearest location that met the randomly allocated microhabitat criteria. For beach nests, we used the upper beach just below the foredune 173 to most closely mimic the location of real plover nests (Lomas et al. 2014; Maslo et al. 2011). To 174 175 allow equal chances for predation from both diurnal and nocturnal predators, we deployed half 176 the nests in the early morning and the other half just after sunset. There was no significant difference (p = 0.79) in depredation between nests deployed near sunrise (24%) and those 177 deployed near sunset (26%). 178

We then monitored nests for a 5-day period with a digital passive infrared (PIR) motion sensor camera (Scoutguard SG560Z-8M) concealed within 1 - 2 m of each nest, checking daily for signs of predation. Camera operations followed protocols developed for beach scavengers (Huijbers et al. 2015; Lomas et al. 2014). All work was conducted under Animal Ethics Permit No. AN/A/14/84 issued by the University of the Sunshine Coast, and the Scientific Purposes Permits WITK14608214 and WISP14609114 issued by the Queensland Government Department
of Environment and Heritage Protection.

186

187 Environmental Variables

188 We measured a suite of microhabitat attributes for each experimental nest. We recorded vegetation characteristics as three complementary variables: i) small-scale (1-m² quadrat) plant 189 cover (%) centred at the scrape (digital photograph and Coral Point Count (CPCe) software); ii) 190 height and distance of vegetation nearest to nest (measuring tape); and iii) dune-wide vegetation 191 192 cover (line intercept along transects extending from the base of the foredune to the landward edge of the feasible (potential) plover nesting habitat. We used a theodolite to measure four 193 194 complementary metrics that described the local geomorphology: i) distance and elevation of the 195 nest relative to the storm drift-line (visible as an accumulation of wrack on the upper beach near the dunes); ii) distance and elevation relative to the seaward base of the foredune (defined as 196 197 distinct rise in the angle of the beach-face); iii) 'exposure' defined as the elevation of the nest 198 relative to the nearest two profile survey points along the beach-dune transect; and iv) dune 199 dimensions (max. dune ridge height, width of the dune field). We obtained distances of nests 200 from the nearest creek, rocky headland, and house using Google Earth. To index the type and intensity of human activity, we counted (once every day during nest and camera checks) the 201 number of campsites, swimmers, fishers, and dog walkers during approximately 10 minutes 202 203 within 100 m of each experimental nest. Data collection occurred between 0700-1100 hours consistently across all deployments. To account for potential differences in human use of shores 204 205 with respect to weekends, every site was sampled at least once during the weekend and four 206 times during the week.

NOT PEER-REVIEWED

Peer Preprints

We gathered wave data from wave-rider buoys operated by the Queensland Government (<u>http://www.qld.gov.au/environment/coasts-waterways/beach/monitoring/</u>), using recordings from the Brisbane buoy because it was close to the sites and contained the longest and most complete time-series for the region. Wave heights differed significantly (ANOVA, P < 0.005) among sites (Fig. 2).

212

213 Data Analysis

To identify the most important predictors of clutch fate, we first built a conditional inference tree 214 215 using the party package in the statistical programming environment R (Hothorn et al. 2006; R 216 Core Team 2014). This routine works by testing the hypothesis that the response variable clutch 217 fate, discrete with 5 levels: intact; flooded; depredated; disturbed by people; or other (covered by 218 sand, or unknown) is independent of the predictors. If this hypothesis is rejected, the routine selects the predictor that has the strongest association with the response and splits the data in two 219 220 so as to best represent this association. The routine is then repeated recursively on each of the 221 resulting nodes, stopping in each case only when the null hypothesis of no association cannot be 222 rejected. The strength of this approach lies in the fact that because all predictors are reused at 223 each instance of binary partitioning, the routine can reveal not only important predictors, but also 224 statistical interactions and possible nonlinearity.

We supplemented the results of the conditional inference tree with conventional generalized linear modeling (glm) for predated clutches. Clutches lost to flooding were almost perfectly explained by the inference tree, while there were too few observations for disturbance by people to support a more detailed analysis. Our glm employed a logit link function (binomial family) and modeled clutch fate (depredated = 1; intact = 0) as a function of all available

230 unconfounded predictors. In this sense, we considered geographic coordinates, presence of 231 humans (including off-road vehicles and dogs), and both wave and tide metrics confounded with region, because there was no within-region variation for any of these predictors. We used a 232 forward stepwise model-building approach based on the AIC corrected for finite sample sizes 233 (AICc), considering only main effects. This decision to omit interactions was based on initial 234 235 inspection of the data, which indicated a lack of replication and/or contrast in data across potential interaction cells (especially those associated with site). Following the forward stepwise 236 selection process, we interrogated the final model by recalculating the AICc for all possible 237 238 combinations of variables used in model building (Quinn & Keough 2002) and used multi-model inference to determine the relative importance of predictors based on their summed Akaike 239 240 weights (Burnham et al. 2011; Symonds & Moussalli 2011). Finally, we used standard loglikelihood ratio tests to simplify the model by dropping predictors one at a time, starting with the 241 least important, until only significant terms ($\alpha = 0.05$) remained. 242

243

244 **RESULTS**

245 Causes of Model Clutch Loss and Spatial Variability

Flooding and depredation were the main causes of clutch failure, accounting for 27% and 25% of

all nests lost, respectively. Of the 132 nests deployed, 45 (34%) survived the full 5-day

experimental exposure period, 32 were depredated, 35 were lost due to flooding, and 7 were

- 249 destroyed by people (Table 3). Crows were the main predator, accounting for 75% of all
- 250 depredated clutches; red foxes accounted for 19% of depredated nests, whilst a single clutch each
- 251 was eaten by a ghost crab and a snake (Table 3). Red foxes visited a further eight nests at the
- 252 Noosa North Shore and Sunshine Coast, but they did not consume or detect the clutches in these

instances. A domestic dog trampled one clutch, and nine clutches were buried by wind-blownsand.

255 Overall clutch survival differed significantly among sites (Mantel Cox test, Chi square = 20.26, df 3, P \leq 0.001; Fig. 3). Clutches placed on the Noosa North Shore survived longest and 256 257 in highest numbers compared with all other sites (Mantel - Cox min. P = 0.039; min. Hazard 258 Ratio - North Shore: Other Site = 0.40, 95% CI = 0.19 - 0.72). Survival patterns were 259 comparable for nests placed at Bribie Island and the Sunshine Coast (Mantel - Cox P = 0.96; Hazard Ratio – Bribie Island: Sunshine Coast = 0.98, 95% CI = 0.53 - 1.81). Clutches on 260 261 Moreton Island had significantly lower survival rates than those on the Sunshine Coast (P = 0.02, Hazard Ratio – Moreton: Sunshine Coast = 1.97; 95% CI = 1.12 - 3.45) but were comparable to 262 263 those on Bribie Island (P = 0.27; Hazard Ratio - Moreton: Bribie Island. = 1.37, 95% CI = 0.79 -264 2.37).

265 The conditional inference tree (Fig. 4) strongly resolved distance from the drift line as a significant (P < 0.001) predictor of flooding. Thirty-four of the 35 flooded nests in the study 266 were located on the upper beach above the spring. Site was a strong predictor of fate of the 267 268 remaining 97 clutches (P = 0.011). At mainland sites (Noosa North Shore and Sunshine Coast), 269 most of the 50 clutches not situated low on the shore remained intact (n = 34) for the duration of the study, with the remainder depredated (n = 9), disturbed by people (n = 6), or lost to other 270 271 causes (n = 1). The group of nests from Bribie and Moreton Islands that was not lost to flooding 272 was further split on the basis of dune height (P = 0.023). Of the 31 nests located where dunes were smaller than 2.49 m in height, more than half were destroyed by predators (n = 17). By 273 274 contrast, where dunes were taller than 4.5 m, only 3 of the 12 nests were lost to predators 275 (Fig. 4).

276 The forward stepwise binomial glm identified site, distance to nearest creek, dune height 277 and distance to nearest vegetation as the most important predictors (in order of addition to the 278 additive model) of depredation. Subsequent multi-model inference provided a slightly different 279 prioritization (relative importance of predictors based on their summed Akaike weights in 280 parentheses): site (1.00); dune height (0.77); distance to nearest vegetation (0.72); and distance to 281 nearest creek (0.69). Log-likelihood ratio tests indicated that removing distance to nearest creek from the model did not cause a significant deterioration in model fit (Δ Deviance = 2.369, Δ DF = 282 1, p = 0.124), but that the subsequent removal of distance to nearest vegetation did cause the fit 283 284 to deteriorate significantly (Δ Deviance = 4.634, Δ DF = 1, p = 0.031). The final predictive model therefore included main effects for region, dune height, and distance to nearest vegetation, and 285 286 explained 31.3% of the null deviation.

Coefficients from the final model indicate that at average values for dune height and distance to nearest vegetation for each site, probability of depredation was significantly higher than would be expected by chance at Bribie Island, significantly lower than would be expected by chance at Noosa North Shore, but no different from null expectation at either Moreton Island or the Sunshine Coast. The odds of depredation declined (P = 0.010) by a factor of 0.33 for every metre of dune height and increased (p = 0.036) by a factor of 1.01 for every additional metre away from the nearest vegetation (Table 3, Fig. 5).

294

295 DISCUSSION

296 Our broad-scale evaluation of the relative importance of multiple drivers of clutch loss on

297 beaches suggests that predation and flooding are important causes of clutch failure in a regional

298 context. Contrary to expectations that predation pressure is particularly strong on human-

299 dominated beaches (Seneviratne et al. 2012), predation across the entire study region was high, 300 even at sites with no or little human development. Specifically, corvids were responsible for the majority of predated nests, complementing the suite of local-scale studies that increasingly 301 302 demonstrate corvids as the primary cause of clutch loss in beach- and ground-nesting birds (Ekanayake et al. 2015b; Madden et al. 2015). As human commensals, corvids have rapidly 303 304 expanded their global population, with the highest densities occurring in areas of significant urbanization (Hardy & Colwell 2012; Lauro & Tanacredi 2002; Rees et al. 2015b). Corvids are 305 highly mobile, moving readily between natural and highly urbanized areas (Whisson et al. 2015), 306 307 and their impact on reproductive success can be extreme (Burrell & Colwell 2012; Lima 2009). 308 While corvid densities are typically higher in urbanized areas (Marzluff et al. 2001; Rees et al. 309 2015a), the spillover effect of increased corvid recruitment has cascading effects on the avian 310 species in periurban environments (Marzluff et al. 2001). A troubling aspect of intense corvid depredation is the limited and hitherto unconfirmed effectiveness of management responses 311 available. Very few studies examine the effects of corvid management on breeding bird 312 313 productivity, and they report small or equivocal effects (Forys et al. 2015; Neatherlin & Marzluff 2004; Velasco 2015). 314

Our results indicate that predation risk is higher for nests occurring in low-lying dunes away from vegetation, and the biological explanation for this phenomenon remains unclear. Corvids typically land within 1 m of the nest and approach on foot, or they discover nests while walking around open substrate (Forys et al. 2015; Velasco 2015). Therefore, it is possible that corvids, as visual predators (Ekanayake et al. 2015b), are less able to detect or access nests in thicker vegetation (Rees et al. 2015b). Nest placement in shorebirds is partly about survival of the clutch, but also about survival of the parents (Gillis et al. 2012; Tieleman et al. 2008); early

detection of incoming predators enables adults to survive to re-clutch multiple times within a
season and/or breed over several or many subsequent seasons (Dowling & Weston 1999; Lomas
et al. 2014). There may also be sublethal stress effects of incubating nests away from cover
(Amat & Masero 2004).

326 The sizeable proportion of clutches that failed due to flooding emphasized the severity of 327 flooding as a threat to coastal ground-nesting birds (Pol et al. 2010; Van De Pol et al. 2010). Although many of the flooded nests in this study occurred during stormy weather on the 328 329 Sunshine Coast (53%) and Moreton Island (45%), we found little evidence that the type of large 330 wave occurrences that led to clutch losses in 2015 were atypical events. The number of days in which maximum wave height exceeded the 90th percentile of historical records for the first five 331 332 months of each year (n = 17 days) was not significantly different from the mean of 14.6 days in 333 the previous 14 years, with only four years (2001, 2008, 2009, 2013) exceeding values recorded in 2015 (Figure 2). Similarly, wave heights exceeded the top 1% of the historical record on only 334 335 a single day during the present study. The impact of storm or high tide events can be catastrophic 336 to bird reproduction, particularly if storms occur late in the incubation period when there is little 337 time for re-nesting. There exists considerable uncertainty about when storms will arise, where 338 they will be most severe, and for how long habitats will be impacted (Hemer et al. 2013; IPCC 339 2013; Schlacher et al. 2015b), suggesting that assessment of flood risk for beach-nesting birds is 340 imperfect (Lomas et al. 2014). Human-mediated flood risk abatement, if feasible, may be of 341 great importance to population viability.

Human disturbance accounted for the loss of ≤ 9 clutches at any site and only 11% across the entire region, illustrating the relatively weak influence of this source of failure on beachnesting bird reproductive success. Human presence in the vicinity of a nest was not related to the

probability of clutch loss to direct human causes, as would be expected. In fact, we found no significant effect of dogs, camping, off-road vehicles or proximity to development on the probability of a nest being disturbed. These results suggest that passive management of human disturbance (i.e. symbolic fencing, signage) is effective in reducing anthropogenic impacts to beach-nesting birds in a regional context. Where human disturbance of nesting birds is severe in a specific location, localized management can address the problem (del Viejo et al. 2004; Ruhlen et al. 2003; Weston & Elgar 2007).

352

353 CAVEATS

The results described here result from the placement of model clutches within suitable plover 354 355 habitat. Model clutches are used extensively in research and when implemented carefully can 356 reveal important ecological patterns and processes (Berry & Lill 2003). We acknowledge beachnesting birds theoretically select nesting sites to reduce the risks of clutch loss, perhaps basing 357 358 their choice in part on previous nesting experiences. We also recognise that model clutches are 359 not associated with incubator behaviour, which either can be protective (i.e. defence) or may render clutches more vulnerable to predation (e.g. visual and scent cues) (Ekanayake et al. 360 361 2015a). Indeed, model clutch studies are enlightening in terms of physical destruction of the eggs 362 (e.g. Buick and Paton 1983), but they shed no light on the impacts of disturbance (disruption of 363 incubation which may reduce egg viability), even though disturbance to breeding shorebirds is 364 considered a conservation threat (Maslo et al. 2012; Meager et al. 2012; Powell & Collier 2000; Quinn et al. 1996; Schlacher et al. 2013; Weston et al. 2014). In a review of 80 studies, (Major & 365 366 Kendal 1996) report that artificial nests underestimate actual survival of real nests, while 367 cameras on nests either do not affect or slightly increase clutch survival (Richardson et al. 2009;

Sanders & Maloney 2002). Ekanayake et al. (2015a; 2015b) also used model clutches on a study
of red-capped plovers in southern Victoria and confirmed the comparability of natural and model
clutches in identifying egg predators.

371 Model nests also permit otherwise infeasible studies to be performed. While common, 372 widespread nesting species may enable the study of real nests in some areas to address some 373 research questions (none were available on coasts in subtropical Australia). However, this would represent a biased research effort because studies would be confined to species apparently coping 374 well with prevailing conditions; traditional yet abandoned habitats could not be assessed for 375 376 threats. Artificial nests permit an examination of egg predation risk in areas of suitable but often 377 unoccupied habitat, as we have done here. Calibration of this model egg study with real clutch 378 fate and survival (impossible here because no such data, which is strictly comparable, are 379 available) would not only be confirmatory, but would shed light on the utility of studies that use 380 model eggs to guide real nest management. We also note that survival estimates of real clutches 381 are often biased (e.g. Nichols et al. 1984).

382 MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

383 As coastal bird populations continue to decline globally (e.g., Rodrigues et al. 2004), the 384 enhancement of reproductive success through active management plays an increasingly critical role in species' persistence. Our analysis suggests that management of human disturbance 385 through symbolic fencing, signage, and regulatory measures across a region is likely enough to 386 387 effectively mitigate anthropogenic impacts. The significant influence of predation and flooding on clutch loss at the regional scale demonstrates that actively addressing these threats will lead to 388 389 increased viability of beach-nesting bird populations. Predator management is typically 390 performed at the site scale and can be effective in the short-term, and targeted application may

391 increase clutch success (e.g., exclosures, electric fencing, removal; Maslo & Lockwood 2009; 392 Neuman et al. 2004). However, predator mortality may elicit a compensatory response (i.e. increased reproduction) in affected populations and new individuals can quickly occupy open 393 niche space (Harding et al. 2001; Smith et al. 2010). Both corvids and foxes can disperse great 394 395 distances from their natal territories (Dekker et al. 2001; Marzluff et al. 2001), targeting source 396 populations within the region may have trickle-down benefits to beach-nesting birds. Predation management, however, may be unnecessary and inefficient if nests are highly vulnerable to 397 flooding. 398

399 Managing flood risk of clutches is likely difficult to implement. Possibilities include modifying habitats to maintain nesting areas at higher elevations, for example through dredge 400 401 spoil or ecologically sensitive beach nourishment. Identification of the sites within a region 402 where habitat modifications have the highest likelihood of providing benefits to the population will be critical. At the location scale, moving nests or raising them before flood events can also 403 404 be attempted. In flood prone locations, a tractable strategy may to assess a clutch's vulnerability 405 to flooding (Haig et al. 2005; Sanders & Maloney 2002; Thomas et al. 2006) and then manage 406 failure risk for the subset of nests that are not flood prone (this also preserves any learnt, 407 adaptive, nest placement whereby birds learn to avoid flood prone habitats).

In short, conservation of coastal bird species in the presence of multiple threats and measureable uncertainty requires practitioners to make hard choices about management intervention. An understanding of the driving forces of clutch success at a regional scale offers managers some confidence in developing strategies that promote the viability of coastal bird populations (Meager et al. 2012) rather than mitigating impacts on a site-by-site or location-bylocation basis.

414

415 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 416 We especially thank I. Franks for many hours help in the field and contributions by Prof.
- 417 Ischlberg. Nicholas Horrocks (and two anonymous reviewers) commented on the manuscript in a
- 418 most helpful and constructive manner.
- 419

420 LITERATURE CITED

- Amat JA, and Masero JA. 2004. Predation risk on incubating adults constrains the choice of
 thermally favourable nest sites in a plover. *Animal Behaviour* 67:293-300.
- Berry L, and Lill A. 2003. Do predation rates on artificial nests accurately predict predation rates
 on natural nests? The effects of nest type, egg type and nest-site characteristics. *Emu*103:207-214.
- Brinker DF, McCann JM, Williams B, and Watts BD. 2007. Colonial-nesting seabirds in the
 Chesapeake Bay region: Where have we been and where are we going? *Waterbirds*30:93-104.
- Brooks GL, Sanders FJ, Gerard PD, and Jodice PG. 2013. Daily survival rate for nests and
 chicks of least terns (Sternula antillarum) at natural nest sites in South Carolina. *Waterbirds* 36:1-10.
- Brooks GL, Sanders FJ, Gerard PD, and Jodice PG. 2014. Daily survival rate for nests of Black
 Skimmers from a core breeding area of the southeastern USA. *The Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 126:443-450.
- Brown MB, Schlacher TA, Schoeman DS, Weston MA, Huijbers CM, Olds AD, and Connolly
 RM. 2015. Invasive carnivores alter ecological function and enhance complementarity in
 scavenger assemblages on ocean beaches. *Ecology* 96:2715–2725.
- Burnham KP, Anderson DR, and Huyvaert KP. 2011. AIC model selection and multimodel
 inference in behavioral ecology: some background, observations, and comparisons. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*:1-13.
- Burrell NS, and Colwell MA. 2012. Direct and indirect evidence that productivity of Snowy
 Plovers Charadrius nivosus varies with occurrence of a nest predator. *Wildfowl* 62:204223.
- 444 Cardilini AP, Weston MA, Nimmo DG, Dann P, and Sherman CD. 2013. Surviving in sprawling
 445 suburbs: suburban environments represent high quality breeding habitat for a widespread
 446 shorebird. *Landscape and urban planning* 115:72-80.

447 448 449 450	Cohen JB, Hecht A, Robinson KF, Osnas EE, Tyre AJ, Brinker DF, Davis C, Hake M, Johnson L, King E, Kocek A, Maslo B, and Melvin SM. 2016. Structured decision making for the use of predator exclosures to recover a threatened species, the Atlantic Coast piping plover. <i>Ecosphere</i> .
451 452	Dekker JJ, Stein A, and Heitkönig I. 2001. A spatial analysis of a population of red fox (Vulpes vulpes) in the Dutch coastal dune area. <i>Journal of Zoology</i> 255:505-510.
453 454 455	del Viejo AM, Vega X, González M, and Sánchez J. 2004. Disturbance sources, human predation and reproductive success of seabirds in tropical coastal ecosystems of Sinaloa State, Mexico. <i>Bird Conservation International</i> 14:191-202.
456 457 458	Dowding JE, and Murphy EC. 2001. The impact of predation by introduced mammals on endemic shorebirds in New Zealand: a conservation perspective. <i>Biological Conservation</i> 99:47-64.
459 460 461	Dowling B, and Weston MA. 1999. Managing a breeding population of the Hooded Plover Thinornis rubricollis in a high-use recreational environment. <i>Bird Conservation</i> <i>International</i> 9:255-270.
462 463 464	Dutton DL, Dutton PH, Chaloupka M, and Boulon RH. 2005. Increase of a Caribbean leatherback turtle Dermochelys coriacea nesting population linked to long-term nest protection. <i>Biological Conservation</i> 126:186-194.
465 466 467 468	Ekanayake KB, Weston MA, Nimmo DG, Maguire GS, Endler JA, and Küpper C. 2015a. The bright incubate at night: sexual dichromatism and adaptive incubation division in an open-nesting shorebird. <i>Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences</i> 282:20143026.
469 470 471	Ekanayake KB, Whisson DA, Tan LXL, and Weston MA. 2015b. Intense predation of non- colonial, ground-nesting bird eggs by corvid and mammalian predators. <i>Wildlife</i> <i>Research</i> 42:518-528. http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/WR15080
472 473	Erwin RM, Sanders GM, Prosser DJ, and Cahoon DR. 2006. High tides and rising seas: potential effects on estuarine waterbirds. <i>Studies in Avian Biology</i> 32:214.
474 475 476	Forys EA, Hopkins D, Ingham P, Miller M, and Gluckman L. 2015. Do Effigies Deter Fish Crows Hunting in a Black Skimmer Colony Mid-Season? <i>Southeastern Naturalist</i> 14:635-640.
477 478 479	Gillis H, Gauffre B, Huot R, and Bretagnolle V. 2012. Vegetation height and egg coloration differentially affect predation rate and overheating risk: an experimental test mimicking a ground-nesting bird. <i>Canadian Journal of Zoology</i> 90:694-703.
480 481 482	Haig SM, Ferland CL, Cuthbert FJ, Dingledine J, Goossen JP, Hecht A, and McPHILLIPS N. 2005. A complete species census and evidence for regional declines in Piping Plovers. <i>Journal of Wildlife Management</i> 69:160-173.

483 484	Harding EK, Doak DF, and Albertson JD. 2001. Evaluating the Effectiveness of Predator Control: the Non-Native Red Fox as a Case Study. <i>Conservation Biology</i> 15:1114-1122.
485 486	Hardy MA, and Colwell MA. 2012. Factors influencing Snowy Plover nest survival on ocean- fronting beaches in coastal northern California. <i>Waterbirds</i> 35:503-656.
487 488	Hecht A, and Melvin SM. 2009. Expenditures and effort associated with recovery of breeding Atlantic Coast Piping Plovers. <i>The Journal of wildlife management</i> 73:1099-1107.
489 490	Hemer MA, Fan Y, Mori N, Semedo A, and Wang XL. 2013. Projected changes in wave climate from a multi-model ensemble. <i>Nature climate change</i> 3:471-476.
491 492	Hothorn T, Hornik K, and Zeileis A. 2006. Unbiased recursive partitioning: A conditional inference framework. <i>Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics</i> 15:651-674.
493 494 495 496	Huijbers CM, Schlacher TA, Schoeman DS, Olds AD, Weston MA, and Connolly RM. 2015. Limited functional redundancy in vertebrate scavenger guilds fails to compensate for the loss of raptors from urbanized sandy beaches. <i>Diversity and Distributions</i> 21:55-63. DOI: 10.1111/ddi.12282
497 498 499	Huijbers CM, Schlacher TA, Schoeman DS, Weston MA, and Connolly RM. 2013. Urbanisation alters processing of marine carrion on sandy beaches. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> 119:1–8.
500 501 502 503	IPCC. 2013. Climate change 2013: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Long-term Climate Change: Projections, Commitments and Irreversibility, Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York.
504 505	Ivan JS, and Murphy RK. 2005. What preys on piping plover eggs and chicks? <i>Wildlife Society Bulletin</i> 33:113-119.
506 507	Lauro B, and Tanacredi J. 2002. An examination of predatory pressures on Piping Plovers nesting at Breezy Point, New York. <i>Waterbirds</i> 25:401-409.
508 509	Lima SL. 2009. Predators and the breeding bird: behavioral and reproductive flexibility under the risk of predation. <i>Biological reviews</i> 84:485-513.
510 511 512	Lomas SC, Whisson DA, Maguire GS, Tan LX, Guay P-J, and Weston MA. 2014. The influence of cover on nesting red-capped plovers: a trade-off between thermoregulation and predation risk?
513 514	Mabee TJ. 1997. Using eggshell evidence to determine nest fate of shorebirds. <i>The Wilson Bulletin</i> :307-313.
515	Madden CF, Arroyo B, and Amar A. 2015. A review of the impacts of corvids on bird

516 productivity and abundance. *Ibis* 157:1-16.

Maguire GS, Stojanovic D, and Weston MA. 2010. Conditioned taste aversion reduces fox 517 518 depredation on model eggs on beaches. Wildlife Research 36:702-708. Major RE, and Kendal CE. 1996. The contribution of artificial nest experiments to understanding 519 avian reproductive success: a review of methods and conclusions. Ibis 138:298-307. 520 Marchant S, and Higgins PJ. 1993. Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds, 521 Volume 2 (Raptors to Lapwings). Melbourne: Oxford University Press. 522 523 Martín B, Delgado S, Cruz Adl, Tirado S, and Ferrer M. 2015. Effects of human presence on the long-term trends of migrant and resident shorebirds: evidence of local population 524 525 declines. Animal Conservation 18:73-81. Marzluff JM, McGowan KJ, Donnelly R, and Knight RL. 2001. Causes and consequences of 526 expanding American Crow populations. Avian ecology and conservation in an 527 528 urbanizing world: Springer, 331-363. 529 Maslo B, Burger J, and Handel SN. 2012. Modeling foraging behavior of piping plovers to 530 evaluate habitat restoration success. The Journal of wildlife management 76:181-188. 531 Maslo B, Handel SN, and Pover T. 2011. Restoring Beaches for Atlantic Coast Piping Plovers (Charadrius melodus): A Classification and Regression Tree Analysis of Nest-Site 532 533 Selection. Restoration Ecology 19:194-203. 534 Maslo B, Leu K, Faillace C, Weston MA, Pover T, and Schlacher TA. in press. Selecting 535 umbrella species for conservation: A test of habitat models and niche overlap for beachnesting birds. Biological Conservation. 536 537 Maslo B, and Lockwood JL. 2009. Evidence-based decisions on the use of predator exclosures in shorebird conservation. Biological Conservation 142:3213-3218. 538 539 Meager JJ, Schlacher TA, and Nielsen T. 2012. Humans alter habitat selection of birds on ocean-540 exposed sandy beaches. Diversity & Distributions 18:294-306. DOI: 10.1111/j.1472-4642.2011.00873.x 541 Morse JA, Powell AN, and Tetreau MD. 2006. Productivity of Black Oystercatchers: Effects of 542 recreational disturbance in a national park. The Condor 108:623-633. 543 544 Neatherlin EA, and Marzluff JM. 2004. Responses of American crow populations to 545 campgrounds in remote native forest landscapes. Journal of Wildlife Management 68:708-718. 546 Neuman KK, Page GW, Stenzel LE, Warriner JC, and Warriner JS. 2004. Effect of mammalian 547 548 predator management on Snowy Plover breeding success. Waterbirds 27:257-263. Pol Mvd, Vindenes Y, Sæther B-E, Engen S, Ens BJ, Oosterbeek K, and Tinbergen JM, 2010. 549 550 Effects of climate change and variability on population dynamics in a long-lived shorebird. *Ecology* 91:1192-1204. 551

- Powell AN, and Collier CL. 2000. Habitat use and reproductive success of Western Snowy
 Plovers at new nesting areas created for California Least Terns. *The Journal of wildlife management*:24-33.
- Quinn GP, and Keough MJ. 2002. *Experimental design and data analysis for biologists*. New
 York: Cambridge University Press.
- Quinn J, Morris R, Blokpoel H, Weseloh D, and Ewins P. 1996. Design and management of bird
 nesting habitat: tactics for conserving colonial waterbird biodiversity on artificial islands
 in Hamilton Harbour, Ontario. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*53:45-57.
- R Core Team. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. . *http://wwwR-projectorg/*
- Rees J, Webb J, Crowther M, and Letnic M. 2015a. Carrion subsidies provided by fishermen
 increase predation of beach-nesting bird nests by facultative scavengers. *Animal Conservation* 18:44-49.
- Rees JD, Webb JK, Crowther MS, and Letnic M. 2015b. Ravens are a key threat to beachnesting birds.
- Refsnider JM, and Janzen FJ. 2010. Putting eggs in one basket: ecological and evolutionary
 hypotheses for variation in oviposition-site choice. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 41:39-57.
- 571 Richardson T, Gardali T, and Jenkins SH. 2009. Review and meta-analysis of camera effects on
 572 avian nest success. *The Journal of wildlife management* 73:287-293.
- Rodrigues AS, Andelman SJ, Bakarr MI, Boitani L, Brooks TM, Cowling RM, Fishpool LD, da
 Fonseca GA, Gaston KJ, and Hoffmann M. 2004. Effectiveness of the global protected
 area network in representing species diversity. *Nature* 428:640-643.
- Ruhlen TD, Abbott S, Stenzel LE, and Page GW. 2003. Evidence that human disturbance
 reduces Snowy Plover chick survival. *Journal of Field Ornithology* 74:300-304.
- Sanders MD, and Maloney RF. 2002. Causes of mortality at nests of ground-nesting birds in the
 Upper Waitaki Basin, South Island, New Zealand: a 5-year video study. *Biological Conservation* 106:225-236. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(01)00248-8
- Schlacher TA, Meager JJ, and Nielsen T. 2014. Habitat selection in birds feeding on ocean
 shores: landscape effects are important in the choice of foraging sites by oystercatchers.
 Marine Ecology 35:67-76.
- Schlacher TA, Nielsen T, and Weston MA. 2013. Human recreation alters behaviour profiles of
 non-breeding birds on open-coast sandy shores. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 118:31-42.

- Schlacher TA, and Thompson L. 2012. Beach recreation impacts benthic invertebrates on ocean exposed sandy shores. *Biological Conservation* 147:123–132.
- Schlacher TA, and Thompson L. 2013. Environmental control of community organisation on
 ocean-exposed sandy beaches. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 64:119-129. DOI
 10.1071/MF12172
- Schlacher TA, and Thompson L. 2013. Spatial structure on ocean-exposed sandy beaches:
 faunal zonation metrics and their variability. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 478:43–55.
 Doi: 10.3354/meps10205
- Schlacher TA, Weston MA, Lynn D, Schoeman DS, Huijbers CM, Olds AD, Masters S, and
 Connolly RM. 2015a. Conservation gone to the dogs: when canids rule the beach in small
 coastal reserves. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 24:493-509. doi: 10.1007/s10531-014 0830-3
- Schlacher TA, Weston MA, Schoeman DS, Olds AD, Huijbers CM, and Connolly RM. 2015b.
 Golden opportunities: A horizon scan to expand sandy beach ecology. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 157:1-6.
- Sekercioglu CH. 2006. Increasing awareness of avian ecological function. *Trends Ecol Evol* 21:464-471.
- Seneviratne SI, Nicholls N, Easterling D, Goodess CM, Kanae S, Kossin J, Luo Y, Marengo J,
 McInnes K, and Rahimi M. 2012. Changes in climate extremes and their impacts on the
 natural physical environment. *Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation*:109-230.
- Smith PA, Gilchrist H, and Smith JN. 2007. Effects of nest habitat, food, and parental behavior
 on shorebird nest success. *The Condor* 109:15-31.
- Smith RK, Pullin AS, Stewart GB, and Sutherland WJ. 2010. Effectiveness of predator removal
 for enhancing bird populations. *Conservation Biology* 24:820-829.
- Spencer R-J. 2002. Experimentally testing nest site selection: fitness trade-offs and predation risk
 in turtles. *Ecology* 83:2136-2144.
- Symonds MRE, and Moussalli A. 2011. A brief guide to model selection, multimodel inference
 and model averaging in behavioural ecology using Akaike's information criterion.
 Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology:1-9.
- Thomas G, Lanctot R, and Székely T. 2006. Can intrinsic factors explain population declines in
 North American breeding shorebirds? A comparative analysis. *Animal Conservation* 9:252-258.
- Tieleman BI, Van Noordwijk HJ, and Williams JB. 2008. Nest site selection in a hot desert:
 trade-off between microclimate and predation risk. *The Condor* 110:116-124.

622	Tjørve KMC, and Underhill L. 2008. Influence of disturbance and predation on breeding success
623	of the African Black Oystercatcher, Haematopus moquini, on Robben Island, South
624	Africa. Waterbirds 31:83-96.

- Van De Pol M, Ens BJ, Heg D, Brouwer L, Krol J, Maier M, Exo KM, Oosterbeek K, Lok T,
 and Eising CM. 2010. Do changes in the frequency, magnitude and timing of extreme
 climatic events threaten the population viability of coastal birds? *Journal of Applied Ecology* 47:720-730.
- Velasco VN. 2015. Investigation of Non-Lethal Electric Shock on American Crows as a Predator
 Aversion Treatment for Reducing Depredation on California Least Tern Eggs.
- Weston MA, and Elgar MA. 2007. Responses of incubating hooded plovers (Thinornis
 rubricollis) to disturbance. *Journal of Coastal Research*:569-576.
- Weston MA, Schlacher TA, and Lynn D. 2014. Pro-environmental beach driving is uncommon
 and ineffective in reducing disturbance to beach-dwelling birds. *Environmental Management* 53:999-1004.
- Whisson DA, Weston MA, and Shannon K. 2015. Home range, habitat use and movements by
 the little raven (Corvus mellori) in a coastal peri-urban landscape. *Wildlife Research*42:500-508. http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/WR15039
- Yasué M, and Dearden P. 2006. The potential impact of tourism development on habitat
 availability and productivity of Malaysian plovers Charadrius peronii. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 43:978-989.
- 642

Table 1. Comparison of study regions within SE-Queensland, Australia with respect to likely

645 causes of clutch mortality associated with shore- and dune-nesting birds. Assessments are based

on five years of field studies at these locations by TAS and MW.

Region	Foxes, Dogs, Cats	Off-road vehicles	Dune camping	Urban Development
Moreton Island	no	yes	yes	none
Bribie Island	yes	yes	limited	moderate
Noosa North Shore	yes	yes	yes	sparse
Sunshine Coast	yes	no	no	intense

647

648

650

- Table 2. Summary of fates after five days of experimental clutches on ocean-exposed beaches
- and dunes at four study sites in southeast Queensland, Australia, during Jan.-May 2015.

Eata of Nost	Moreton		Noosa		Bribie		Sunshine			
Fale of Nest		Island Nor		th Shore	Island		Coast		Total	
Carnivores										
Ghost crab			1	(3%)					1	(1%)
Torresian crow	10	(27%)	1	(3%)	12	(44%)	1	(3%)	24	(18%)
Red fox			2	(5%)			4	(13%)	6	(5%)
Snake			1	(3%)					1	(1%)
Carnivores all species	10	(27%)	5	(13%)	12	44%	5	(17%)	32	(24%)
Domestic dog							1	(3%)	1	(1%)
Flooded	17	(46%)	1	(3%)	1	(4%)	16	(53%)	35	(27%)
Intact	7	(19%)	27	(71%)	4	(15%)	7	(23%)	45	(34%)
People			5	(13%)	2	(7%)			7	(5%)
Sand burial	2	(5%)			7	(26%)			9	(7%)
Unknown	1	(3%)			1	(4%)	1	(3%)	3	(2%)
	37		38		27		30		132	

653

Table 3. Summary of final binomial generalized linear model used to predict the probability of

656 depredation for experimental nests placed in the four study regions within SE-Queensland,

Australia, 2015. Estimates for model coefficients refer to log-odds ratios and are additive on the

- model intercept, which represents the log-odds of depredation at Bribie Island.
- 659

	Estimate	Std Err	Z	p-value
(Intercept)	2.964	1.018	2.912	0.0036
Region Moreton Island	0.078	0.9738	0.081	0.9358
Region Noosa North Shore	-3.660	0.906	-4.041	5.33×10 ⁻⁰⁵
Region Sunshine Coast	-0.604	0.976	-0.619	0.5360
Dune Height (m)	-1.106	0.432	-2.561	0.0104
Dist. to Vegetation (m)	0.011	0.005	2.095	0.0362

Null deviance: 99.099 on 73 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 68.765 on 68 degrees of freedom AIC: 80.765







Figure 1. Location of study regions in southeast Queensland in Eastern Australia where we monitored experimental nests on beaches and dunes on Moreton Island (n = 38), Bribie Island (n = 27), the Noosa North Shore (n = 38), and the Sunshine Coast (n = 30) in early 2015. Image: Google, 2015 Digital Globe.





669 Figure 2. Wave height data recorded offshore (ca. 10 km) from the beaches on which 670 experiments in nest survival of shore- and dune-nesting plovers were conducted in early 2015 671 (cf. Fig. 1 for region locations), SE-Queensland, Australia. a) – longer-term record of maximum 672 wave height from 1977 to 2015. b) – Summary of maximum wave height for the first five months of each year from 2001 to 2015 for which data at comparable recording frequency (30 673 min) were available; c) – maximum wave height during the study; d) – comparison of the 674 675 frequency of large wave events between 2015 and the period 2001 to 2014. Large wave events are defined as days on which maximum wave height exceeded the 90th (left panel), 95th (centre 676 677 panel) or 99th (right panel) percentile of the historical record; p values refer to t-tests contrasting 678 the 2015 value with the mean of the preceding 14 years.



NOT PEER-REVIEWED



723			
724			
725			
726			
727			
728			
729			
730			
731			
732			
733			
734			
735			
736			
737			
738			
739			
740			
741			
742			
743			

- Figure 4. Conditional inference tree indicating the primary predictors of each clutch fate category
- for all experimental nests placed with the study region of SE-Queensland, Australia, 2015: intact,
- flooded, depredated, disturbed by people, and other (buried by sand, unknown). Variables are
- ranked based upon the strength of their association with specific clutch fates and their
- 749 quantitative values are split to best represent the relevant association.

750

NOT PEER-REVIEWED



- Figure 5. Influence of dune height and distance to nearest vegetation on the probability of
- experimental clutch depredation across all study sites within SE-Queensland, Australia, 2015.
- 755 Shaded regions indicate 95% confidence intervals.