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Cell immobilization is an alternative to microencapsulation for the maintenance of cells in a liquid medium. However, artificial immobilization carriers are expensive and pose a high safety risk. This study aimed to evaluate the potential of okara, a food-grade byproduct from soymilk production, as a natural immobilizer for *L. plantarum* 70810 cells. The study also aimed to evaluate the effects of okara-immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810 cells on soymilk fermentation, glucosidic isoflavone bioconversion, and cell resistance to gastrointestinal (GI) stress. Scanning electron microscopy revealed that the lactobacilli cells attached and bound to okara’s surface. Compared with the free cells (FL), immobilized *Lactobacillus plantarum* (IL) cells exhibited a significantly higher specific growth rate and shorter lag phase of growth, a faster decrease in pH and increase in titrable acidity, and a higher soymilk viscosity. Similarly, IL in soymilk showed higher productions of daizein and genistein compared with the control. Compared with FL, IL showed reinforced resistance to simulated GI stress in vitro that included low pH, low pH plus pepsin, pancreatin, and bile salt. Our results indicate that okara is a new potential immobilization carrier to enhance the growth and glucosidic isoflavone bioconversion activities of *L. plantarum* in soymilk and improve cell survivability following GI transit.
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**Abstract:**

Cell immobilization is an alternative to microencapsulation for the maintenance of cells in a liquid medium. However, artificial immobilization carriers are expensive and pose a high safety risk. This study aimed to evaluate the potential of okara, a food-grade byproduct from soymilk production, as a natural immobilizer for *L. plantarum* 70810 cells. The study also aimed to evaluate the effects of okara-immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810 cells on soymilk fermentation, glucosidic isoflavone bioconversion, and cell resistance to gastrointestinal (GI) stress. Scanning electron microscopy revealed that the lactobacilli cells attached and bound to okara’s surface. Compared with the free cells (FL), immobilized *Lactobacillus plantarum* (IL) cells exhibited a significantly higher specific growth rate and shorter lag phase of growth, a faster decrease in pH and increase in titrable acidity, and a higher soymilk viscosity. Similarly, IL in soymilk showed higher productions of daizein and genistein compared with the control. Compared with FL, IL showed reinforced resistance to simulated GI stress in vitro that included low pH, low pH plus pepsin, pancreatin, and bile salt. Our results indicate that okara is a new potential immobilization carrier to enhance the growth and glucosidic isoflavone bioconversion activities of *L. plantarum* in soymilk and improve cell survivability following GI transit.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Developing novel foods containing probiotics has attracted increasing interest in recent years. The Food and Agriculture Organization and World Health Organization defined probiotics as “live microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit to the host.” *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*, originally isolated from the human intestine, are the most widely used probiotics. Probiotics provide many health benefits, such as prevention of pathogenic infections, maintenance of intestinal microbial homeostasis, alleviation of lactose intolerance, enhancement of immune response, stabilization of gastrointestinal (GI) barrier function, and production of anti-mutagenic and anti-carcinogenic compounds (Choi and Kim et al., 2006; Boirivant and Strober, 2007; Panthapulakkal and Sain, 2007; Saulnier and Spinler et al., 2009).

Probiotics must contain a sufficient amount of live bacteria (at least $10^6–10^7$ CFU/g) to deliver health benefits (Boylston and Vinderola et al., 2004). Probiotics do not always survive under the acidic conditions of the upper GI tract to proliferate in the intestine. Several methods have been proposed to improve the viability of probiotics, and cell immobilization appears to be the most promising among these methods (Cai and Zhao et al., 2014; Sathyabama and Kumar et
Cell immobilization, which refers to the entrapment of biomass within various supports, has been widely used to increase the growth, stability, and viability of microorganisms (Teh and Ahmad et al., 2010). This technology has been largely applied in the pharmaceutical (e.g., drug and vaccine delivery) and agricultural sectors (e.g., fertilizers). In addition, cell immobilization has been poised to provide immense benefits to the food industry (Champagne and Lee et al., 2010).

Compared with fermentation with free cells, fermentation with immobilized cells show higher fermentation rates, better substrate utilization, lower cost, less product inhibition, more favorable microenvironment to the cell, and other benefits (Sahoo, 2015). The performance of immobilized cell system depends on the right selection of the immobilization supports (Genisheva and Mussatto et al., 2011). Gel entrapment techniques have been widely used in cell immobilization on laboratory and industrial scales. While one disadvantage of gel matrices is that they hinder substrate diffusion to and metabolite release from immobilized cells (Guénette and Duvnjak, 1996).

Cell immobilization is beneficial for the food industry (Kourkoutas and Xolias et al., 2005). Many efforts have focused on the immobilization of probiotics within various natural supports, such as fruit pieces (Kourkoutas and Xolias et al., 2005; Kourkoutas and Bosnea et al., 2006), starch (Mattila-Sandholm and Myllärinen et al., 2002), casein (Dimitrellou and Kourkoutas et al., 2009), wheat grains (Bosnea and Kourkoutas et al., 2009), agro-wastes (Teh and Ahmad et al., 2010), Pistacia terebinthus resin (Schoina and Terpou et al., 2015), and bacterial cellulose(Fijałkowski and Peitler et al., 2015). These studies have aimed to stabilize cells and formulate new types of foods fortified with immobilized probiotics released more in the human gut.

Soymilk residues, also known as okara, are the by-products of soymilk and tofu processing. According to Grizotto et al. (2011), approximately 2 to 3 tons of okara are produced per ton of processed soybean. As a result, more than 2,800,000 tons of soymilk residues are generated annually in China (Zhu and Zhu et al., 2012). Only a small amount is used to produce feed and fertilizer while the rest are discarded, leading to serious environmental issues. Therefore, technologies that utilize okara are urgently needed.

At present, no study has attempted to utilize okara as an immobilization support for lactic acid bacteria (LAB). The survival and viability of LAB immobilized on okara under simulated gastrointestinal condition also remain unknown. The present study aimed to evaluate okara’s potential as a L. plantarum immobilizer and to examine the growth and metabolic characteristics of okara-immobilized L. plantarum in soymilk. We also assessed the survival of okara-immobilized L. plantarum cells under simulated gastrointestinal conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Culture
L. plantarum 70810 was obtained from the Laboratory of Food Microbiology, College of Food Science and Technology, Nanjing Agricultural University. The stock culture was stored at −20 °C in 40% (v/v) sterile glycerol. This strain was propagated three times in sterile de Mann, Rogosa, Sharpe (MRS) broth (Aobox, Beijing, China) and incubated at 37 °C for 20 h prior to use.

Preparation of Soymilk and Okara

Dried soybeans purchased from Suguo market (Nanjing, Jiangsu, China) were rinsed and soaked in distilled water for approximately 12 h at room temperature. The macerated beans were drained and ground with distilled water (water:dry bean ratio of 9:1) in a grinder (JYL-C022E, Joyoung, China). The blended mixture was filtered with a muslin cloth to collect soymilk and okara. Soymilk was pasteurized at 95 °C for 15 min for producing fermented soymilk. The okara was washed three times and dried in an oven (TY-HX-SY-04, Suzhou City Taiyu Oven Equipment CO., LTD, China) at 70°C to a constant weight. The okara was further milled with a mill (JP-300A-8, Yong kang Jiu pin Industry and Trade Co., Ltd., China) and sieved through a 120 test sieve. The resultant powder was vacuum-packed and stored at −20 °C until further use.

Preparation of Free (FL) and Okara-Immobilized (IL) Cells

L. plantarum 70810 was cultivated statically in 100 ml of MRS broth (Aobox, Beijing, China) at 37 °C for 24 h. Cells were centrifuged at 12,000×g for 15 min at 4 °C. Pellets were washed three times with sterile saline solution (0.85% NaCl, w/v). Immobilization was performed as follows: 4% (w/v) okara powder was added to triangular flasks containing 100 mL of MRS broth and autoclaved at 121 °C for 15 min. Afterward, 3% (v/v) activated cultures were transferred aseptically into the MRS broth containing okara powder and fermented at 37 °C for 24 h. When immobilization was completed, the fermented medium was filtered through cheese cloth to harvest the immobilization supports retained on the cloth. IL were washed three times and used as a starter for soymilk fermentation or for in vitro GI stress tolerance tests.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

MRS broth containing IL was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min. The obtained pellets were washed five times with sterile saline solution (0.85% NaCl, w/v). The pellets were resuspended in 3.5% glutaraldehyde for 6 h; dried by treatment with 50%, 70%, 90%, 95%, and 100% ethanol; and then stored overnight in a desiccator to remove moisture. The samples were coated with gold and examined under a scanning electron microscope (EVO-LS10, Carl Zeiss, Germany). Sterilized okara without L. plantarum 70810 was used for comparison.

Inoculation of FL and IL into Soymilk
The prepared FL and IL cells were centrifuged at 12,000×g for 15 min at 4 °C. Pellets containing *L. plantarum* 70810 cells were inoculated aseptically in 100 mL of soymilk. Fermentation processes were performed at 37 °C for 8 h. The fermented soymilk was used for chemical analysis and in vitro GI stress tolerance tests.

### Ultrasonic Treatment and Viable Cell Count

Viability test of *L. plantarum* 70810 strains was conducted as previously reported with slight modifications (Teh and Ahmad et al., 2010). Cell shedding from okara was performed with an ultrasonic cleaner (YQ-520C, Shanghai Yijing Ultrasonic Instrument Co., Ltd., China) under an ultrasound power of 160 W for 10 min at initial temperature of 20 °C. The samples were diluted (10⁻¹-10⁻⁶) with sterile saline solution (0.85% NaCl, w/v), and a 100 μL sample was dropped onto MRS agar plates. Individual colonies were counted after 48 h of incubation at 37 °C. Viable cell counts were calculated as log colony-forming units per gram (log CFU/g).

### Analysis of Microbial Growth Kinetics of *L. plantarum* 70810 in Soymilk

The microbial growth kinetics of FL or IL in soymilk was calculated using a modified Gompertz equation (Zwietering and Jongenburger et al., 1990):

\[
\log N(t) = \log N_0 + \log \frac{N_{\text{max}}}{N_0} \times \exp \left\{ -\exp \left[ \frac{\mu_{\text{max}} \times 2.718}{\log(N_{\text{max}}/N_0)} \times (Lag - t) + 1 \right] \right\},
\]

where \( t \) is the time of sampling; \( N(t) \) is the cell number of *L. plantarum* 70810 at \( t \); \( N_0 \) and \( N_{\text{max}} \) are the initial and maximum cell numbers of *L. plantarum* 70810 during soymilk fermentation, respectively; \( \text{Lag} \) is the lag phase of growth of *L. plantarum* 70810; and \( \mu_{\text{max}} \) is the specific growth rate of *L. plantarum* 70810. The growth kinetics of *L. plantarum* 70810 was analyzed with Origin software (version 9.1, OriginLab, U.S.A.)

### Determination of pH, Titrable Acidity (TA), and Viscosity of Fermented Soymilk

To determine pH and TA, 10 g of samples were homogenized with 90 ml of distilled water. pH values were measured by a pH meter (PHS-3C, Shanghai INESA Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd, China.). TA was determined in accordance with AOAC methods (Chen and Rui et al., 2014). The viscosity values of the fermented and unfermented soymilk were measured directly by a viscometer (NDJ-8S, Shanghai precision electronic instrument Co., Ltd., China).

### Isoflavone Extraction and HPLC Analysis

Isoflavone extraction from fermented and unfermented soymilk was performed as previously described with some modifications (Wei and Chen et al., 2007). Soymilk (10 mL) was dried with a vacuum freeze dryer (SJIA-10N, Ningbo YinZhou Sjia Lab Equipment Co., Ltd., China). Dried samples were mixed with 80 mL of 80% methanol, stirred at 60 °C for 1 h, and then filtered with
a Whatman No. 1 filter. The filtrate was dried in a rotary evaporator, redissolved in 50% methanol, and then extracted with 20 mL of n-hexane. All samples were condensed to approximately 1 mL, redissolved in 80% methanol to a final volume of 10 mL, and then passed through a 0.45 μm filter for HPLC analysis.

The HPLC system is composed of a detector (UV-2070, Japan), a pump (PU-2089, Japan), and a C18 packed column (Vydac 218TP54, 4.6 mm × 250 mm, 5 μm Spherical, Grace Vydac, Hesperia, CA, USA). Solvent A was acetonitrile, and solvent B was water containing 1% trifluoroacetic acid (v/v). The flow rate was set to 0.8 ml/min, and an UV–Vis detector was set at 260 nm. A gradient solvent system was applied after injecting 20 μL of the sample into the HPLC system. At 0–6 min, solvent A increased from 10% to 20% while solvent B decreased from 90% to 80%. At 6–30 min, solvent A increased from 20% to 40% while solvent B decreased from 80% to 60%. At 30–35 min, solvent A decreased from 40% to 10% while solvent B increased from 60% to 90%.

In Vitro GI Stress Tolerance Tests

Acidic conditions were simulated by acidic MRS broth with pH adjusted to 3.5, 2.5, and 1.5 by adding 1 M HCl (Minelli and Benini et al., 2004). Simulated gastric juices were prepared fresh daily by suspending pepsin (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK) (3 g/L) in sterile saline and adjusting pH to 1.5 with 1 M HCl at 37 °C (Charteris and Kelly et al., 1998). Pancreatic juices were prepared fresh daily by suspending pancreatin USP (Sigma–Aldrich) (1 g/L) in sterile saline (0.5% NaCl w/v) with pH adjusted to 8.0 by adding 0.1 M NaOH at 37 °C (Charteris and Kelly et al., 1998). Bile salt solution was prepared by adding 0.1%, 0.2%, or 0.3% (w/v) bile salt (Sigma–Aldrich) to MRS broth.

To test GI stress resistance, 1 mL of fermented soymilk containing FL or IL (cell counts adjusted to approximately 9 log CFU/g) was incubated in the prepared acidic MRS broth, simulated gastric juices, pancreatic juices, and bile salt solution for 1 or 3 h at 37 °C. Survival was evaluated by plate count on MRS agar.

Statistical Analysis

All treatments were performed in triplicate, and data were expressed as means±SD. Data were analyzed with general linear model procedures and Duncan’s new multiple range tests for comparison of means by SPSS Inc. software (version 15.0) (Chicago, Ill., U.S.A.) for Windows. A probability of less than 5% (p ≤ 0.05) was considered statistically significant.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

As shown in Fig. 1, a large number of *L. plantarum* 70810 cells attached to the okara’s matrices (Figs. 1C and 1D). SEM micrographs showed that the cells remained adhered onto the okara’s surface despite excessive washings, indicating successful immobilization. We also found that ultrasonic technology had to be applied to shed off the cells from okara (Fig. S1). We postulate that cell immobilization occurred by covalent binding or physical adsorption by electrostatic forces between *L. plantarum* 70810 cells and okara or by cell entrapment into the vacuous and porous structures found on okara (Figs. 1A and 1B). These structures could provide additional areas for cell adhesion and facilitate mass transportation (Yu and Xu et al., 2007). Previous studies documented that processes such as grinding, boiling, and sterilization can produce uneven structures that increase available surface areas for cell adsorption (Raghavendra and Swamy et al., 2006; Bosnea and Kourkoutas et al., 2009). Such structures allow bacteria to attach more easily and firmly to immobilizers compared with smooth structures. Other studies on immobilization have reported this phenomenon (Kosaric and Blaszczyk et al., 1990; Yu and Yue et al., 2010; Genisheva and Mussatto et al., 2011).

Growth Conditions of *L. plantarum* 70810 in Soymilk

Changes in microbial counts of soymilk inoculated with FL or IL are shown in Fig. 2. The initial count numbers for FL or IL in soymilk were not significantly different at 8.07±0.04 and 8.00±0.06 log CFU/g, respectively. FL and IL in soymilk proliferated after a lag phase of growth. However, the growth of IL in soymilk was faster compared with that of FL in soymilk. Further analysis showed that the specific growth rate of IL in soymilk was 0.37±0.02 h$^{-1}$, whereas that of FL in soymilk was 0.31±0.03 h$^{-1}$ (Table 1). Meanwhile, the lag phase of growth of IL in soymilk lasted for 1.47±0.13 h, whereas that of FL in soymilk lasted for 2.30±0.23 h (Table 1). Lemons, oranges, agro-wastes, and cereals contain high amounts of fibers, sugars, minerals, and essential vitamins that facilitate the growth of probiotics (Charalampopoulos and Pandiella et al., 2003; Sendra and Fayos et al., 2008; Teh and Ahmad et al., 2010). We postulated that IL exhibits a faster growth rate and shorter lag phase because of the availability of fibers, minerals, sugars, and essential vitamins in okara.

The decrease in pH and increase in TA and viscosity were accompanied by *L. plantarum* 70810 growth. Figure 3 shows the differences in pH, TA, and viscosity between soymilk inoculated with FL and that inoculated with IL. During fermentation, a lower pH and a higher TA and viscosity were observed in soymilk inoculated with IL compared with that inoculated with FL because of the higher growth rate and shorter lag phase of growth of IL than FL. IL culture acidified soymilk to pH 4.5 (end-point of fermentation) in approximately 6 h, whereas FL culture acidified soymilk to pH 4.5 in approximately 8 h (Fig. 3A). Consistently, soymilk
inoculated with IL attained a TA of 50% in 6 h, whereas soymilk inoculated with FL attained a TA of 50% in 8 h (Fig. 3B). These results agreed with those reported by Kourkoutas et al. (2005 and 2006), who found that immobilized probiotic bacteria on fruit segments (apple and pear) showed a faster rate of pH decrease and a lower final pH upon reactivation in whey. Our results also agreed with those reported by Teh and Ahmad et al. (2010), who found that immobilized lactobacilli show significantly better growth (P < 0.05) compared with free lactobacilli and that growth is accompanied by a higher production of lactic and acetic acids in soymilk, resulting in a lower final pH.

The viscosity of soymilk inoculated with IL increased significantly faster than that of soymilk inoculated with FL (Fig. 3C). This finding might be due to IL’s higher growth rate and higher substrate utilization than FL, leading to the increased production of organic acids (lactic acid, acetic acid, and other organic acids), which decreased the pH of soymilk of soymilk was induced when reached the pIs of the soy proteins (Pyo and Lee et al., 2005; Liu and Hu et al., 2009; Grygorczyk and Corredig, 2013; Chen and Rui et al., 2014).

Isoflavone Compositions in Fermented Soymilk

Soybean is rich in isoflavones, which exhibit weak estrogen activity, act as antioxidants, prevent osteoporosis and cancer, reduce total cholesterol, delay menopause, and provide other health benefits (Chang and Nair, 1995). Aglycones and the glucosidic conjugates are the basic categories of isoflavones. In unfermented soybean products, daidzin and genistin are the main glucosidic isoflavones, which comprise 80%–95% of total isoflavones, and daidzein and genistein are the main aglycones (Coward and Smith et al., 1998). Many studies have indicated that the biological effects of isoflavones are conferred by aglycones and not glycosides (Kawakami and Tsurugasaki et al., 2005); thus, isoflavone glucosides must be hydrolyzed to have a biological effect. β-glucosidase can hydrolyze glucoside isoflavones with the formation of aglycones (Esaki and Watanabe et al., 2004). Probiotics with β-glucosidase can increase aglycone content during soymilk fermentation (Martinezvillaluenga and Torino et al., 2012).

To compare the effect of FL and IL on the bioconversion of daidzin and genistin, the amounts of four major forms of isoflavones, daidzin, genistin, daidzein, and genistein in unfermented and fermented soymilk were analyzed. As shown in Table 2, the daidzin and genistin contents in unfermented soymilk up to 37.91% and 55.82% of the total four isoflavones, respectively. However, the daidzein and genistein contents were only 2.62% and 3.68% of the total four isoflavones, respectively. Soymilk fermented with FL and IL exhibited a drastic reduction in daidzin and genistin contents and a drastic increase in daidzein and genistein contents. The daidzin and genistin contents in soymilk fermented with FL decreased to 29.05% and 43.76% after 4 h of incubation and to 13.92% and 21.63% after 8 h of incubation. The daidzin and genistin contents decreased to 22.05% and 30.57% after 4 h of incubation and to
6.62% and 7.82% after 8 h of incubation in soymilk fermented with IL. The daidzein and genistein contents in soymilk fermented with FL increased to 11.60% and 15.59% after 4 h of incubation and to 26.72% and 37.72% after 8 h of incubation, whereas those in soymilk fermented with IL increased to 18.23% and 29.15% after 4 h of incubation and to 35.29% and 50.27% after 8 h of incubation. These results indicated that fermentation of soymilk by IL caused a faster reduction in daidzin and genistin contents and a faster increase in their respective aglycones compared with FL. This difference might be attributed to the faster growth rate in soymilk of IL compared with FL.

GI Stress Tolerance Tests

To estimate cell tolerance to the GI tract, fermented soymilk containing either FL or IL was exposed to in vitro conditions simulating acidic environment, gastric and pancreatic juices, and bile salts; the results are summarized in Tables 3–5. The initial number of colonies used for these tests was estimated at $10^9$ CFU/ml. The log CFU/mL values of soymilk containing FL or IL used to test for low pH tolerance were $9.06\pm0.18$ and $9.14\pm0.18$, respectively. The log CFU/mL values of soymilk containing FL or IL used to test for tolerance to simulated gastric juice, pancreatic juice, and bile salts were $9.05\pm0.19$ and $9.16\pm0.21$, respectively. These values did not differ significantly.

Acid tolerance for probiotics is essential not only for resistance to gastric stress, but it is also a prerequisite in the production of acidic probiotic food products. The buffering capacity of the food, which is a major factor affecting pH, and the rate of gastric emptying may significantly influence cell survival in the GI tract (Kourkoutas and Xolias et al., 2005; Kourkoutas and Bosnea et al., 2006). Gastric juice pH is one of the main factors determining the survival of probiotic bacteria when passing through the stomach to the intestine. As shown in Table 3, the number of viable cells significantly reduced after 1 and 3 h at pH 3.5 and after 1 h at pH 2.5, but no significant difference in viable cell count was observed between soymilk containing FL and IL. When the incubation time was prolonged to 3 h at pH 2.5, cells in soymilk containing IL showed a significantly higher survival level compared with the cells in soymilk containing FL. The number of viable cells drastically reduced in soymilk containing FL or IL when the pH of the MRS broth decreased to 1.5 to simulate the extreme pH conditions of the stomach. However, IL in soymilk exhibited a significantly higher viability compared with FL in soymilk. The number of viable cells in soymilk containing FL decreased by 2.43 and 4.26 log cycles after 1 and 3 h, respectively, whereas the number of viable cells in soymilk containing IL decreased by 1.77 and 2.93 log cycles after 1 and 3 h, respectively. IL in soymilk also had a significantly higher final viable count than FL in soymilk. Tolerance to upper GI transit was also predicted with simulated gastric juice (pH 1.5). Table 4 shows that the viable cell number of FL in soymilk was reduced by 2.44 and 4.30 log cycles after incubation for 1 and 3 h in simulated gastric juice, whereas the number of viable cells in soymilk containing IL at the both time points
was reduced by only 1.99 and 3.15 log cycles. IL in soymilk also showed significantly higher cell survival rates. These results coincided with those obtained from MRS broth with pH 1.5, indicating that pH is the main factor affecting probiotics survival in the stomach. Our results also agreed with other studies. Sidira et al. (2010) reported that acidic conditions significantly reduce the number of both free and immobilized \textit{L. casei} ATCC 393 cells. However, the count number of immobilized cells is significantly higher than free cells after 120 min at pH 2.0 and after 30, 60, 90, and 120 min at pH 1.5. Mokarram et al. (2009) showed that cell viability is reduced by 3 log cycles when calcium alginate capsules containing \textit{L. acidophilus} are incubated in simulated gastric juice (pH 1.5), whereas coating the capsules with 1 or 2 layers of sodium alginate improves cell survival by 1 and 2 log cycles, respectively. Laelorspoen et al. (2014) incubated cells encapsulated in alginate and citric acid-modified zein coating in gastric fluid (pH 1.2) at 37°C for 2 h and obtained cell counts of 7.14 log CFU/mL compared with 4.52 log CFU/mL for free-cell suspensions. Fijałkowski et al. (2015) found that the viability of \textit{Lactobacillus} cells adsorbed on or entrapped in bacterial cellulose incubated in simulated gastric juices for 4 h is significantly higher than that of free cells, particularly for \textit{Lactobacillus} cells entrapped in bacterial cellulose showed a viability more than 70% compared with less than 10% for free cells.

We also studied the survival of FL and IL in soymilk in simulated pancreatic juice. Our results showed that pancreatic juice significantly reduced the survival of both FL and IL. The number of viable cells in soymilk containing IL was significantly higher at both time points compared with that in soymilk containing FL. This result differed from those reported by Sidira et al. (2010), who found that pancreatic juice exerts no effect on the survival of immobilized \textit{L. case} ATCC399 but significantly affects the viability of free \textit{L. case} ATCC399. This result might be attributed to the use of different strains or support materials.

Our work also assessed bile salt tolerance. As shown in Table 5, 0.1% bile salt exerted no significant effect on the survival of FL or IL in soymilk. When the concentration of bile salt increased to 0.2% and 0.3%, the survival of FL or IL in soymilk significantly decreased. However, IL showed a significantly higher number of viable cells compared with FL. These results were in line with the observations of Sidira et al. (2010). In their study, the viable cell count of \textit{L. case} ATCC399 immobilized in apple pieces decreased from 9.30 log CFU/mL to 6.23 log CFU/mL after 4 h of incubation in 1% bile salt solution, whereas the viable cell count of free \textit{L. case} ATCC399 decreased from 9.16 log CFU/mL to 3.66 log CFU/mL. This result might be due to the improved bile salt tolerance conferred by fiber (Michida and Tamalampudi et al., 2006).

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. Soybean residue (okara) is a food-grade-quality, cheap, and abundant cellular support. Okara is as a perfect support material for cell immobilization. Cells are firmly and easily
immobilized onto okara because of its vacuous and porous structure.

2. IL cells showed a faster growth rate and a shorter lag phase of growth in soymilk.

3. Soymilk inoculated with IL showed a faster decrease in pH and a faster increase in acidity and viscosity compared with soymilk inoculated with FL.

4. IL accelerated the bioconversion of glucosidic isoflavones to aglycone isoflavones compared with FL during soymilk fermentation.

5. IL exhibited a significantly enhanced resistance to GI stress compared with FL.

6. Okara used as an immobilizer not only could increase the production rate of probiotics and benefit human health but also alleviate environmental and economic issues by reducing waste accumulation. Utilizing okara as an immobilization support will also benefit the agricultural industries by providing a sustainable approach in waste management.
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Figure 1. Scanning electron micrographs of okara and okara-immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810 cells. A, B: portrait slice of okara; C, D: okara-immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810.
Figure 2. Cells count changes in soymilk containing free and okara-immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810. FL: free *L. plantarum* 70810; IL: okara-immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810.
Figure 3. Fermentation parameters during soymilk fermentation using free and okara-immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810. FL: free *L. plantarum* 70810; IL: okara-immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810.
Table 1. The maximum specific growth rate and lag phase of growth of free and immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810 in soymilk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>μmax/h⁻¹</th>
<th>Lag/h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>0.31±0.03</td>
<td>2.30±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>0.37±0.02*</td>
<td>1.47±0.13*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FL: free *L. plantarum* 70810; IL: okara-immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810. *p<0.05 vs. free *L. plantarum* 70810.
Table 2. The change in soybean isoflavone content of soymilk inoculated with free and immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time(h)</th>
<th>Glucosides</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Aglycones</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daidzin (μg/mL)</td>
<td>Genistin (μg/mL)</td>
<td>Daidzein (μg/mL)</td>
<td>Genistein (μg/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>44.56±4.66</td>
<td>45.31±5.32</td>
<td>65.61±5.17</td>
<td>67.15±5.09</td>
<td>3.07±0.21</td>
<td>3.08±0.27</td>
<td>4.29±0.38</td>
<td>4.33±0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>29.57±1.68#</td>
<td>20.87±1.98#</td>
<td>44.55±3.16#</td>
<td>28.93±2.01##*</td>
<td>11.81±0.85#</td>
<td>17.25±1.34##*</td>
<td>15.87±1.16#</td>
<td>27.59±2.07###*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.83±0.84#</td>
<td>5.85±0.57##*</td>
<td>19.93±0.78#</td>
<td>6.91±0.53##*</td>
<td>24.62±1.76#</td>
<td>31.19±2.21##*</td>
<td>34.75±2.21#</td>
<td>44.42±3.16###*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FL: free *L. plantarum* 70810; IL: okara-immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810. *p<0.05 vs. free *L. plantarum* 70810; #p<0.05 vs. time 0.
Table 3. Effect of acidic conditions on the survival of free and immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>pH 3.5 FL</th>
<th>pH 3.5 IL</th>
<th>pH 2.5 FL</th>
<th>pH 2.5 IL</th>
<th>pH 1.5 FL</th>
<th>pH 1.5 IL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.06±0.18</td>
<td>9.14±0.18</td>
<td>9.06±0.18</td>
<td>9.14±0.18</td>
<td>9.06±0.18</td>
<td>9.14±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.26±0.16#</td>
<td>8.43±0.17#</td>
<td>8.01±0.15#</td>
<td>8.25±0.14#</td>
<td>6.73±0.13#</td>
<td>7.37±0.15#*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.19±0.21#</td>
<td>8.42±0.16#</td>
<td>7.62±0.16#</td>
<td>8.19±0.21##</td>
<td>4.88±0.10#</td>
<td>6.21±0.10#*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FL: free *L. plantarum* 70810; IL: okara-immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810. *p<0.05 vs. free *L. plantarum* 70810; #p<0.05 vs. time 0.
Table 4. Effect of simulated gastric transit and pancreatic juice on the survival of free and immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Simulated gastric juice</th>
<th>Simulated pancreatic juice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.05±0.19</td>
<td>9.16±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.61±0.15*#</td>
<td>7.17±0.13**#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.75±0.13*#</td>
<td>6.01±0.15**#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FL: free *L. plantarum* 70810; IL: okara-immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810. *p<0.05 vs. free *L. plantarum* 70810; *p<0.05 vs. time 0.
Table 5. Effect of bile salts on the survival of free and immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>0.1%</th>
<th>0.2%</th>
<th>0.3%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.05±0.19</td>
<td>9.16±0.21</td>
<td>9.05±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.00±0.18</td>
<td>9.10±0.16</td>
<td>5.31±0.11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.87±0.14</td>
<td>9.06±0.17</td>
<td>4.71±0.10*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FL: free *L. plantarum* 70810; IL: okara-immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810. *p<0.05 vs. free *L. plantarum* 70810; #p<0.05 vs. time 0.
Supplemental figure. S1. Cells count change of okara-immobilized *L. plantarum* 70810 under different ultrasonic condition. A, cells shedding from okara under different ultrasound power for 6 min at initial temperature of 10 °C. B, cells shedding from okara under ultrasound power of 160W for different time at initial temperature of 10 °C. C, cells shedding from okara under ultrasound power of 160W for 10 min at different initial temperature.