- 1 Major article: Multi-scale ecological filters shape the crayfish microbiome
- 2 Authors: James Skelton<sup>\*1,6</sup>, Kevin M. Geyer<sup>2,7</sup>, Jay T. Lennon<sup>3,8</sup>, Robert P. Creed<sup>4,9</sup>, and Bryan
- 3 L. Brown<sup>5,10</sup>
- <sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>School of Forest Resources and Conservation, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32603
- 5 USA
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>2</sup>College of Life Sciences and Agriculture, University of New Hampshire, Durham, New
- 7 Hampshire 03824 USA
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405 USA
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Biology, Appalachian State University, 575 Rivers Street, Boone, North
- 10 Carolina 28608 USA
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University,
- 12 Blacksburg, Virginia 24061 USA.
- 13 **Email:** <sup>6</sup> skelto3@gmail.com, <sup>7</sup> kevin.geyer@unh.edu, <sup>8</sup> lennonj@indiana.edu, <sup>9</sup>
- 14 creedrp@appstate.edu, <sup>10</sup> stonefly@vt.edu
- 15 **Running headline:** The crayfish microbiome

16

#### 18 Abstract

1) Communities of symbiotic microbes obtained from the environment are an integral component
 of animal fitness and ecology. Thus a general and practical understanding of the processes that
 drive microbiome assembly and structure are paramount to understanding animal ecology,
 health, and evolution.

23 2) We conceptualized a series of ecological filters that operate at the environment, host, and host
24 tissue levels during microbiome assembly and discuss key ecological processes that structure
25 animal microbiomes at each level.

3) We conducted a survey of crayfish across four sites within the contiguous range of the of
stream-inhabiting crayfish *Cambarus sciotensis* in western Virginia, USA, to characterize
multiscale variation in the crayfish microbiome. We also conducted an in situ experiment to
assess local drivers of microbial diversity on the closely related *Cambarus chasmodactylus*. We
used a combination of DNA fingerprinting and next-generation sequencing to characterize
microbiome diversity and composition from crayfish carapaces and gills to identify key filters
affecting microbiome structure.

4) Field survey showed that local environment and host tissues interact to create patterns of
microbial diversity and composition, but the strongest effects on microbial community structure
were observed at the level of host tissue. Our field experiment confirmed strong effects of host
tissue, and also showed that a metazoan ectosymbiont which feeds on biofilms (Annelida;
Branchiobdellida) had significant effects on microbial composition of the host carapace. Crayfish
carapaces were colonized by diverse and taxonomically even microbial communities that were
similar to, and correlated with, microbial communities of the ambient environment. Conversely,

#### NOT PEER-REVIEWED

# **Peer**J Preprints

40	crayfish gills were colonized by less diverse communities and dominated by two families of
41	bacteria with potentially significant functional roles: Comamonadaceae and Chitinophagaceae.
42	5) Our results suggest that microbial assembly of the carapace is driven by external biotic and
43	abiotic processes, whereas assembly on the gills appears to be coupled to host biology that favors
44	interactions with few specific taxa. Our work shows how multi-scale studies of symbiont
45	community assembly provide valuable insights into how the animal microbiome is structured
46	under conditions of natural complexity and help identify other symbiont taxa, i.e., the
47	branchiobdellidans, that may further influence microbiome assembly and structure.
48	Key-words: bacteria, branchiobdellida, cleaning symbiosis, defensive symbiosis, disease
49	ecology, metacommunities, parasites

#### 51 Introduction

52 Recent advances in our understanding of the intimate and diverse interactions between 53 animals and their associated microbiota have blurred the lines that define individual organisms 54 and fundamentally changed the way we think about organismal biology, ecology, and evolution (Gilbert, Sapp & Tauber 2012). Simultaneously, observational studies utilizing new sequencing 55 56 technologies have highlighted patterns of symbiont microbial diversity and composition across a range of contexts from specific host body parts to geographic regions (e.g. Costello et al. 2009; 57 Dominguez-Bello et al. 2010; Kuczynski et al. 2010). Increasingly, frameworks that embrace the 58 59 multi-scale processes of community structure are being used to explain symbiont diversity (e.g. Dethlefsen, McFall-Ngai & Relman 2007; Pedersen & Fenton 2007; Graham 2008; Mihaljevic 60 61 2012). Though promising, these frameworks are still in need of empirical evaluation, and particularly experimental evaluation. 62

Mounting evidence is showing that functionally significant relationships with microbial 63 symbionts are ubiquitous among metazoans (Scheuring & Yu 2012; Clay 2014). While the 64 attention payed to animal microbiome research has been biased towards terrestrial vertebrates, 65 especially humans, microbial symbionts are important in other host-associated systems. For 66 instance, skin microbiota are thought to serve as protective agents against amphibian pathogens 67 (Harris et al. 2009; Loudon et al. 2014). By unlocking novel food resources, or competitively 68 69 excluding potentially harmful pathogens beneficial microbial symbionts are essential to a diverse and growing list of aquatic invertebrates as well, including sponges, corals, and arthropods 70 (Scheuring & Yu 2012; Peerakietkhajorn et al. 2015). Thus important and yet undiscovered 71 72 relationships between crayfish and microbial symbionts seem likely. Crayfish have a world-wide distribution in freshwaters, serve as keystone species and ecosystem engineers (e.g. Creed 1994; 73

74 Statzner et al. 2000; Usio & Townsend 2002; Statzner, Peltret & Tomanova 2003; Creed & Reed 2004; Usio & Townsend 2004), comprise many threatened and endangered species (Taylor et al. 75 2007; Helms et al. 2013; Owen et al. 2015), as well as several globally invasive nuisance 76 species, and are one of the most frequently used model organisms in organismal biology 77 (Holdich & Crandall 2002). Part of the interest in crayfish research stems from their amenability 78 79 to field and laboratory studies, including experimental studies of symbiotic associations (Skelton et al. 2013). Despite the wealth of interest and intense study of crayfish, we are unaware of 80 previous investigations focused on the crayfish microbiome. 81

82 In this study, we conceptualized the bacterial microbiome of stream inhabiting crayfish as the result of a series of nested filters operating at scales from the geographic region, to the host 83 tissues (microsite community, Figure 1). At the coarsest scale, physical and biological 84 characteristics of a local environment filter out a subset of all bacterial taxa that could potentially 85 colonize the habitat from the regional species pool (Figure 1 - a). This subset of the regional 86 pool represents the environmental pool of potential microbial symbionts for hosts living in a 87 given local habitat. Similarly, it is likely that only a subset of the environmental pool is suited for 88 life in symbiosis with a particular host and thus microbiome community membership is filtered 89 90 at the interface between host and environment (Figure 1 - b). Furthermore, variation in microbial habitat quality and interactions among symbionts that occur in or on the tissues that form 91 different parts of the host body, here called "microsites", may further filter colonizing microbial 92 93 symbionts (Figure 1 - c). Under this framework of nested filters, we implemented field surveys and an *in-situ* experiment to characterize the bacterial microbiome of crayfish and explore multi-94 level drivers of diversity. We examined the strength of environmental filtering on microbial 95 96 symbiont communities by comparing environmental and symbiotic bacterial communities from

97 four watersheds. We then relate environmental patterns to the patterns observed in the microbiome of crayfish from each site. To asses environmental filtering at the level of host 98 tissue, we compared microbial community patterns observed on tissues which are highly 99 100 interactive with crayfish physiology and one that is less interactive; the gills and carapace, respectively. Finally, we conducted a field experiment with manipulated co-infection treatments 101 102 of Cambarincola ingen (Annelida: Branchiobdellida; Hoffman, 1963), an obligate ectosymbiont that feeds on crayfish biofilms, to explore the effects of symbiont-symbiont interactions on the 103 diversity and composition of the crayfish microbiome. Our results demonstrate the necessity of a 104 105 complete multi-level framework to understand patterns of microbial symbiont diversity and to 106 identify key interactions that may influence microbiome assembly and structure.

#### 107 Materials and methods

108 *Field survey:* We examined the crayfish microbiome from 4 sampling sites within the New River

drainage near Blacksburg, Virginia, USA; Sinking Creek (37°18'9.34'' N, 80°29'6.9'' W), Big

110 Stoney Creek (37°24'53.33'' N, 80°34'53.58'' W), Tom's Creek (37°14'23.82'' N,

111 80°27'30.55'' W), and Spruce Run Creek (37°15'54.43'' N, 80°35'52.67'' W). Six adult

112 crayfish (*Cambarus sciotensis;* Rhoades, 1944) of similar size (35 mm mean carapace length

113 [CL],  $\pm 5 \text{ mm SD}$ ) from each site were chosen from which to collect gill and carapace biofilm

samples. Terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (TRFLP) analysis of the 16S rRNA

gene was used to estimate bacterial diversity and compositional variation (Thies 2007) of these

samples, in addition to three replicate samples of benthic substrate biofilms from each site.

117 TRFLP is a largely automated process suited for high sample through-put and is useful for

tracking changes in microbial community structure at coarse taxonomic scales over time and

space (Schutte et al. 2008). We limited our sampling to the crayfish species *C. sciotensis* of

120 similar size to minimize effects of host age on microbiome composition; 35 mm mean carapace length (CL),  $\pm 5$  mm SD. All sampling sites were within the contiguous range of this species 121 (Hobbs, Holt & Walton 1967). Sampling of benthic substrate consisted of swabbing a  $1 \text{ cm}^2$ 122 upward facing surface of randomly selected cobbles from the streambed. 123 *Cleaner symbiont experiment:* We conducted a field experiment to specifically characterize the 124 125 microbial communities of the crayfish gills and carapace, and to assess the influence of metazoan 126 ectosymbionts on the crayfish microbiome. Twenty crayfish enclosures ("cages") were installed 127 in the South Fork of the New River near the campus of Appalachian State University in Boone, 128 N.C. in June 2012. Cages were constructed of welded aluminum frames with solid aluminum 129 sides and bottoms. Two layers of hardware clothe mesh (12 mm) were on the front and backs of 130 the cages permitted unimpeded flow of stream water while isolating individual crayfish for recapture and preventing transmission of ectosymbionts to caged crayfish from external crayfish 131 (Brown et al. 2012). Steel bars (rebar, 2 per side) were driven into the streambed on both sides 132 of each cage to hold it in place during against high discharge events. Approximately 40 L of 133 mixed substrate collected immediately downstream was added through hinged, mesh-covered 134 lids on top. Cages were arrayed according to a randomized block design, with 5 blocks 135 136 perpendicular to stream flow to allow estimation of upstream/downstream effects of cage placement. Each block contained four cages, wherein a single crayfish received one of four 137 138 treatments: no worms (all branchiobdellidans removed), 6 worms, 12 worms, or immediate 139 harvesting for assessment of initial microbial communities at the experiment's outset.

140 Crayfish (*Cambarus chasmodactylus*; James, 1966) were collected from nearby
141 tributaries of the New River, and chosen based on carapace length (30-35mm), and presence of
142 all their appendages. All crayfish were cleaned of branchiobdellidan worms in the laboratory via

143 manual removal followed by 5 min submersion in a 0.5M MgCl<sub>2</sub> solution (Brown et al. 2002). Crayfish were then immediately relocated to experimental cages to allow reconditioning of gill 144 and carapace surfaces with native microorganisms. After 13 days, we began the experiment by 145 transplanting large worms (C. ingens) collected from local tributaries onto crayfish at treatment 146 levels. Midway through the 43 d experiment, crayfish were physically examined and worm 147 treatments adjusted by reapplying missing worms as necessary to achieve initial treatment levels. 148 Debris was removed from the exterior of cages every other day throughout this period to prevent 149 accumulation of sediment or altered flow in/around cages. 150

151 Microbial sampling protocols: Sampling of microorganisms was performed in-field using flame-152 sterilized equipment. Carapace communities were sampled by wiping one randomly selected lateral half of the carapace with a sterile swab for 10s and immediately preserving the swab head 153 154 in a microcentrifuge tube preloaded with a sucrose lysis buffer (pH = 9) (Mitchell and Takacs-Vesbach 2008). Similar techniques were used to sample a  $1 \text{ cm}^2$  area of cobbles comprising the 155 benthic substrate of our field survey. For gill samples, the carapace was removed and the rear-156 most gill tuft from one lateral side (randomly chosen) was clipped and stored in a tube of buffer. 157 All samples were kept on ice and moved to -80°C storage within hours. All remaining crayfish 158 carcasses were preserved in 70% ethanol for later observation. 159

DNA extraction from gill and carapace samples was performed using a conservative
technique optimized for potentially low-biomass and low diversity environmental samples
(Geyer et al. 2013). Briefly, DNA was extracted using a cetyltrimethylammonium bromide
(CTAB) procedure that involves a mixture of 1% CTAB, 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate,
phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (pH=7.5), lysozyme (0.2µg/µL), and proteinase K (20µg/µL)
with either a swab head or gill tissue. Extracted DNA was resuspended in Tris buffer (pH=8.0)

166	and quantified via spectrophotometry (NanoDrop 2000; Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE,
167	USA). The average recovery of DNA from carapace swabs was ${\sim}50ng$ DNA/ ${\mu}L$ and ${\sim}500{-}$
168	1000ng DNA/ $\mu$ L per gill filament (a majority of which was assumed to be crayfish DNA).
169	TRFLP Analysis: PCR amplification of extracted DNA took place in triplicate (25µL reaction
170	volume) using a standard 2uL of diluted template, 5 units/ $\mu$ L of Taq Hot Start Polymerase
171	(Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA), and the universal bacterial primers 8F (5'-
172	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3') and 519R (5'-ACCGCGGCTGCTGGCAC-3'), the forward
173	primer labeled with a 5' 6-FAM fluorophore (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA,
174	USA). Amplification was optimized for concentrations of MgCl <sub>2</sub> (2.5mM per reaction), BSA
175	(1 $\mu$ L/reaction), annealing temperature (53°C), and final extension time (5min). Amplification
176	replicates were pooled and cleaned using a QuickClean II PCR Extraction Kit (GenScript,
177	Piscataway, NJ, USA). Amplifications were digested with HaeIII (New England BioLabs,
178	Ipswich, MA, USA) in triplicate ( $20\mu L$ reaction volume) for 3 hours at $37^{\circ}C$ following
179	manufacturer's suggested protocols. Digestion replicates were then pooled and cleaned using
180	GenScript extraction kits. Fragment separation/quantification took place in quadruplicate with
181	an ABI 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and fragments
182	binned using the GeneMarker software AFLP protocol. Resulting sample profiles were
183	standardized using the procedures outlined in Dunbar (2001) to produce both a consensus profile
184	among replicates and final normalization of all sample profiles by total sample fluorescence.
185	Next-generation Sequencing: Illumina MiSEQ amplicon sequencing was used to examine the
186	diversity of bacterial communities. Approximately 150ng of 48 DNA extracts were provided to
187	the Virginia Bioinformatics Institute at Virginia Tech for 150bp paired end sequencing of the
188	V4-V6 region of the16S rRNA bacterial gene. The result was ~500k reads per sample with an

189 average length of 253bp after stitching of paired reads. PANDAseq was used to merge forward 190 and reverse reads, correct errors in the region of overlap, and reject any reads that failed to overlap sufficiently (Masella et al. 2012). Taxonomy was assigned to all unique sequences using 191 the uclust method and GreenGenes reference database (13 8 release) (DeSantis et al. 2006) in 192 QIIME (1.7.0) (Caporaso et al. 2010). USEARCH was used to cluster all dereplicated reads into 193 194 OTUs at the 97% similarity level and filter chimeras (Edgar 2010). The UPARSE pipeline was followed for all data denoising. (Edgar 2013). A total of 10,489 OTUs were successfully 195 annotated and formed the basis of multivariate analysis. 196 197 Statistical methods: To assess bacterial diversity observed on carapaces and gills, we calculated Simpson diversity for each sample from normalized TRFLP fragment peaks using the *diversity()* 198 function of the vegan package for R v2.0 – 10 (Oksanen *et al.* 2013). Simpson diversity indices 199 200 were converted to effective numbers of taxa following Jost (2006). This conversion approximates the total number of equally abundant taxa in a community and makes comparisons 201 among communities more interpretable and intuitive than raw diversity indices (Jost 2006). We 202 used linear regression to correlate the observed diversity of crayfish samples with bacterial 203 diversity of the local substrate and to compare diversity observed on gills to that of carapaces. 204 205 We first calculated the average diversity of all substrate samples from each sampling locality, and then used average substrate diversity as a continuous predictor and crayfish tissue (gill 206 versus carapace) as a categorical factor, with an interaction term. We also used linear regression 207 208 to assess the effects of sample type (gills versus carapaces) and branchiobdellidan treatment level with an interaction term in our symbiont experiment. In this case, diversity was calculated as 209 210 described above using the number of reads obtained from each OUT obtained from 211 bioinformatics of NGS sequence data.

212	We examined the main and interactive effects of host tissue (gills versus carapaces) and
213	sampling site on the TRFLP fragment composition (a proxy for bacterial community
214	composition) using permutations multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA; Anderson
215	2001) implemented using the <i>adonis()</i> function in the R package Vegan v2.0 - 10 (Oksanen <i>et al.</i>
216	2013), with a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix and 10,000 permutations. Compositional effects
217	were visualized by non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) using the metaMDS() function
218	in vegan v $2.0 - 10$ . Similar methods were used to assess the effects of branchiobdellidan
219	treatment levels on the bacterial composition of gills and carapaces in the symbiont experiment.
220	We also used Mantel tests to assess the strength of multi-variate correlations between average
221	within-site TRFLP profiles from substrate samples, to those of gills and carapaces across all 4
222	sites. This was done by taking the average peak height for each fragment length from each
223	sample type (gills, carapaces, and cobbles) within each site to create 3 site by fragment length
224	matrices; 1 matrix each for cobbles, gills and carapaces. We then used 2 Mantel correlations to
225	correlate gills and cobble, and carapaces and cobbles across sites (mantel() function; vegan $2.0 - $
226	10; Oksanen et al. 2013).

227

#### 228 **Results**

*Field survey:* TRFP results recovered an average of 37.9 (min = 21, max = 47) and 20.3 (min =

8, max = 36) OTU taxa from carapace and gill tissues, respectively, suggesting greater overall

phylum-level richness of carapace biofilms (Fig 2). Cobbles sampled from the stream bed had an

- average of  $30.2 \tan (\min = 13, \max = 50)$ . There was considerable variation in microbial
- diversity within host tissues, among sampling locations (Fig 2), and marginally significant,
- interactive effects of environmental (non-host) microbial diversity and host microsite on

symbiotic microbial diversity (Table 1). Among-site variation in microbial diversity of the
carapace was correlated with the microbial diversity of local substrate, however among-site
variation in the diversity of the gills was not related to substrate diversity (Fig 2).

Host microsite accounted for most of the compositional variation among samples 238 (PERMANOVA,  $F_{1,33} = 23.86$ , p < 0.001,  $R^2 = 0.33$ ). Additionally, there was significant among-239 site variation in microbial composition (PERMANOVA,  $F_{3,33} = 2.68$ , p = 0.003,  $R^2 = 0.11$ ), and 240 interactive effects of sampling site and microsite on composition (PERMANOVA,  $F_{3,33} = 2.54$ , p 241 = 0.003,  $R^2$  = 0.10). NMDS ordination recovered 2 convergent solutions after 12 tries and a final 242 243 stress of 0.12 (Fig 3). The bacterial composition of the carapace biofilm was largely 244 indistinguishable from that of local substrate biofilms, whereas the composition of the gills was distinct from the substrate (Fig 3). Across sampling sites, there was a significant correlation 245 between the bacterial composition of the benthic substrate and the carapace microbiome (Mantel 246 r = 0.812, p = 0.034) and a marginally significant correlation between substrate composition and 247 the composition of the gills biofilm (Mantel r = 0.527, p = 0.089). 248

Cleaner symbiont experiment: Similar to the TRFLP results from the field survey, Illumina 249 sequencing of the experimental crayfish biofilms confirmed that the bacterial communities of 250 251 carapaces were much more diverse (mean = 35.9) than those of the gills (mean = 4.76). There were no significant effects of branchiobdellidan treatments on the microbial diversity of the 252 carapace or the gills (Table 2). Again, similar to the results of our field survey, the microbial 253 composition of the carapace was distinct from that of the gills. Bacterial communities of the 254 carapaces contained a large number of evenly abundant taxa, whereas the gills contained many 255 256 fewer taxa and were dominated by two bacterial families; Comamonadacea and Chitinophagacea (Fig 4). Comamonadacea had the highest relative abundance of all taxa present in gill samples, 257

#### NOT PEER-REVIEWED

#### Peer Preprints

258 comprising an average of 41.0% ( $\pm 3.9\%$  SE) of reads in gill samples. Second was 259 Chitinophagacea which comprised an average of 15.5% ( $\pm 2.4\%$  SE) of reads from gill samples. Conversely, these taxa comprised and average of 4.0% ( $\pm 0.007\%$  SE) and 0.4% ( $\pm 0.002\%$  SE) 260 of reads from carapace samples respectively. Branchiobdellidan treatments had no detectable 261 effects on the microbial composition of the crayfish gills (PERMANOVA  $F_{2.12} = 0.732$ , p = 262 0.782). In contrast, there was a significant effect of worm treatment on the bacterial composition 263 of the carapaces in which crayfish exposed to high symbiont densities were distinct from controls 264 and medium density treatments (Fig 5; PERMANOVA  $F_{2.16} = 1.646$ , p = 0.007,  $R^2 = 0.17$ ). 265 266 Discussion Although some microbial symbionts are transmitted vertically from parent to offspring, 267 or horizontally from host to host, most are obtained from environmental sources (Bright & 268 Bulgheresi 2010; Walke et al. 2014). In this study, we examined ecological filters that operate at 269 multiple levels as the crayfish microbiome is assembled from environmental sources. We found 270 271 significant sources of variation at each level, from geographic sampling location, to interactions among metazoan and microbial symbionts within specific microsites. By far, the strongest effects 272 were observed at the tissue-specific interface between host body and environment. 273

Host habitat level: In our field survey we found correlative evidence for environmental controls
over the crayfish microbiome. We observed significant variation in microbial composition
among sampling sites, and correlations between the microbial composition of environmental
samples and composition of the gill and carapace symbiont communities. Similarly, microbial
diversity of environmental samples was positively correlated with carapace communities, but
environmental and gill communities were not correlated. Thus, the taxonomic composition of
bacteria on the gills and carapace are influenced to some degree by the local environment.

281 However, only carapace bacterial diversity was correlated with local environment, and bacterial diversity of the gills showed no relationship with environmental diversity. This result suggests 282 that a process acting at a finer level limits membership of the gills, and gill community diversity, 283 but not carapace diversity, was saturated at all sampling sites. We suspect that the observed 284 variation among sites in both environmental and crayfish samples may be attributed to physical 285 characteristics of the sampling sites that influence environmental microbial species pools. For 286 instance, global patterns in soil and stream bacteria composition and diversity are shaped by pH 287 gradients at both world-wide and local spatial scales (Fierer et al. 2007; Lauber et al. 2009; 288 289 Rousk et al. 2010). Although we did not assess physiochemical characteristics of our sampling sites in this study, pH seems to be a likely underlying environmental driver of among site 290 variation based on the results of work from another temperate watershed in the eastern United 291 States (Fierer et al. 2007). 292

Host-habitat interface: As microbial symbionts are acquired from the surrounding environment, 293 membership of the microbiome may again be filtered at the interface of host and environment. 294 Not all microorganisms present in an environment are suited for symbioses with an animal host 295 296 and variation among host species and individuals select for different subsets of the 297 environmental pool (McKenzie et al. 2012; Kueneman et al. 2014). Ecological and hostmediated differences among microsites on or in the host body may select for a more specialized 298 subset of the global pool. For example, microbial communities of human skin vary widely 299 300 among parts of the human body (Costello et al. 2009; Grice & Segre 2011). Therefore the communities of bacteria found in association with a host tissue may represent a heavily restricted 301 subset of the global pool of potential colonists. Our results suggest that filtering is strong at the 302 303 gill microsite, but weak or nonexistent on the carapace. Carapace diversity was comparable, or

even greater than bacterial samples taken from local substrates. Conversely, microbial
communities of the gills were shown by TRFLP analysis of survey data to be less diverse than
local substrate and carapaces at all sampling sites, and again found to be less diverse than
carapace biofilms by direct sequencing of experimental animals. Thus the strong tissue-specific
filtering that occurs at the gills imposes a strong filter on microbial symbiont communities, but
similar filtering is weak or non-existent on the less physiologically active carapce.

Microsite processes: We predicted that the microbial communities of more inert tissues would be 310 311 largely composed of opportunistic, environmental symbionts, whereas the microorganisms 312 colonizing more active tissues would include specialist symbionts. Our results support this prediction. Crayfish gills are a vital interface between the crayfishes' internal physiology and 313 external environment. The gills exchange respiratory gases with the environment, are sites of 314 nitrogenous waste excretion, and regulate ion exchange. Consequently, the gills are composed of 315 thin, un-sclerotized epithelia. Conversely, the carapace is composed of heavily sclerotized and 316 317 calcified cuticle, and is approximately 300 times less permeable than the gills (Pequeux 1995). Our field survey suggest that the microbial communities of the crayfish carapace are similar to 318 the surrounding substrate in terms of both diversity and composition. Moreover, variation among 319 320 sampling sites revealed correlations between bacterial communities of local substrate and the 321 crayfish carapace, indicating that the microbiota of the crayfish are probably composed of mostly opportunistic taxa from the surrounding environment. Congruent to survey of DNA fingerprint 322 data, sequence data from our field experiment revealed relatively even, highly diverse 323 communities composed of ubiquitous environmental taxa. In contrast to the carapace, crayfish 324 gills were found to have less diverse microbial communities that were dominated by few taxa. 325 While carapaces were characterized by many evenly distributed taxa, the gills were dominated 326

327 by two bacterial families: Comamonadacea and Chitinophagacea. Reduced bacterial diversity, and constrained microbial membership of the crayfish gills may be at least in part attributable to 328 antimicrobial agents with crayfish hemolymph. Previous work has shown that the hemolymph of 329 some crayfish species can inhibit the growth of some bacterial taxa in culture and may reduce 330 bacterial accumulations on crayfish gills (Farrell, Creed & Brown 2014). 331 332 Evidence from other freshwater animals suggests that some taxa found in this study may 333 serve a significant function in crayfish biology. Recent experimental work has demonstrated a positive effect of bacterial symbionts on the population growth rates of a small freshwater 334 335 crustacean, Daphnia (Peerakietkhajorn et al. 2015). Similar to our study, these beneficial

microbial communities were largely composed of Betaproteobacteria belonging to the

337 Comamonadacea; a finding congruent to previous investigations of the bacterial symbionts of

338 *Daphnia* (Qi *et al.* 2009; Freese & Schink 2011). Moreover, Comamonadacea and

339 Chitinophagacea are often dominant members of the skin microbiomes of aquatic amphibians

340 (Harris *et al.* 2009; McKenzie *et al.* 2012; Kueneman *et al.* 2014; Walke *et al.* 2015), yet the

341 processes that led to their ubiquity and dominance in aquatic animal microbiomes, and their

342 potential functional significance are not resolved.

The numerical dominance of Comamonadacea and Chitinophagacea found here and in other aquatic microbiome suggest they may serve as defensive symbionts. Increasingly, microbiome studies are focused on non-random microbiome community assembly, with a particular focus on beneficial microbial taxa (e.g. Bäckhed *et al.* 2005; Dethlefsen *et al.* 2006; Dethlefsen, McFall-Ngai & Relman 2007; Huttenhower *et al.* 2012; Scheuring & Yu 2012; Shafquat *et al.* 2014). Most beneficial microbes provide either nutritional advantages or defense against invading pathogens (Bäckhed *et al.* 2005; Huttenhower *et al.* 2012). Defensive microbes

350 most often protect their hosts by establishing as competitive dominants through interference composition by producing antibacterial compounds (Scheuring & Yu 2012). Recent work 351 suggests that hosts favor the competitive dominance of defensive microbes through resource 352 provisioning (Scheuring & Yu 2012). In this study, we found very little evidence that the 353 microbial communities of the crayfish carapace assemble non-randomly or contain functionally 354 significant taxa. In stark contrast to carapaces, the microbial communities of the gills comprised 355 a very limited set of microbial taxa. From recent theoretical developments (Scheuring & Yu 356 2012), we speculate that microbial resources released through the thin and permeable cuticle of 357 358 the gills fuels the competitive dominance of Comamonadacea and Chitinophagacea, and that one or both taxa may produce antimicrobial compounds to maintain dominance and protect the host 359 from invading pathogenic taxa. The gill cuticle itself could be a resource for Chitonphagacea, as 360 several strains within this family are known to degrade chitin (Rosenberg 2014). Similar 361 relationships between animals and protective microbes are common in nature and have been 362 described in many marine invertebrates such as sponges and corals (Scheuring & Yu 2012; Clay 363 2014), and are therefore likely to be common freshwater invertebrates. Though the nature of the 364 symbioses between Comamonadacea, Chitinophagacea, and their hosts are currently speculative, 365 these relationships deserve further attention. 366

The study of symbioses continues to expand beyond concepts based on pairwise species interactions and is embracing the realistic complexity of symbiosis. However, perspectives that transcend microbe-microbe or microbe-host interactions are not typically considered. Recent synthetic work has highlighted the importance of direct and indirect interactions among symbionts during symbiont community assembly (Graham 2008; Skelton, Creed & Brown 2015; Skelton *et al.* 2016; Thomas *et al.* 2016). Crayfish growth and survivorship can be increased by

373 hosting branchiobdellidan worms (reviewed in Skelton et al. 2013). Several lines of evidence suggest that the positive effect of worms on their host is mediated by interactions with microbial 374 communities that develop on the gills. Branchiobdellidans such as *Cambarincola ingens* often 375 enter the gill chamber and consume organic matter that accumulates therein, in addition to 376 grazing on the exoskeleton biofilm (Brown, Creed & Dobson 2002; Brown et al. 2012). 377 378 Accumulations of organic matter, particularly bacterial flocs, present a major challenge to crayfish in their natural benthic environment (Bauer 1998; Rosewarne et al. 2014). Thus 379 researchers have hypothesized that some branchiobdellidans increase the growth and survival of 380 381 their hosts by consuming harmful organic matter, including bacteria flocs, from the gill epithelia (Brown, Creed & Dobson 2002; Brown et al. 2012). Strong support for this hypothesis has come 382 from multiple studies demonstrating context-dependent outcomes in the interactions between 383 crayfish and their worms (Lee, Kim & Choe 2009; Brown et al. 2012; Skelton, Creed & Brown 384 2014; Thomas et al. 2016) 385

In this study, branchiobdellidans had a significant effect on the composition of carapace 386 microbial communities, but no detectable effect on microbial composition of the gills. Bacterial 387 richness was also unaffected on both gills and carapaces. The methods used in this study could 388 389 only detect changes in bacterial composition and not bacterial biomass, so it remains possible that branchiobdellidans reduce bacterial biomass on the gills without specific effects on the 390 composition of bacterial communities. Because the gills were found in this study to be 391 392 dominated by a few taxa and perhaps influenced directly or indirectly by the host, any effects of worms on gill bacterial communities is more likely to be quantitative than qualitative. In contrast 393 to the gills, microbial communities of the carapace appear to be more variable, and composed of 394 395 abundant opportunistic colonizers whose relative abundances are influenced by local factors such

as environment and branchiobdellidan presence. The effect of branchiobdellidans could be either
from direct grazing, indirect effects of grazing via resource alteration, or perhaps an effect of
adhesive compounds released by the worms' duogland adhesive organs used to attach to their
hosts. Whatever the cause, the disparity between worm effects on gills and carapaces suggests
that gill microbial communities are less susceptible to alteration from local biological factors,
and that the symbiosis between crayfish and the bacterial communities of their gills is more
tightly coupled to host biology than environmental context.

403 Conclusions

Our goal for this study was to examine the effects of ecological processes on patterns of 404 microbial symbiont communities at multiple scales, from across watersheds to specific host 405 tissues. We provide the first characterization of the crayfish microbiome and identify taxa with 406 potential functional significance to host biology. We found significant variation in microbial 407 symbiont communities at each scale, i.e. among watersheds, among individual crayfish, and 408 409 among host tissues. We also provide experimental evidence that coinfection of a metazoan symbiont may influence microbiome composition of some tissues. However, the vast majority of 410 variation in microbial composition and diversity was explained by host microsite, i.e., gills 411 versus carapaces. This result was consistent across DNA fingerprint analyses in our field survey 412 (TRFLP), and the results of sequencing from experimental samples. This result is also congruent 413 with microbiome work from other animals including humans (e.g. Grice & Segre 2011) and 414 suggests that the predominant ecological processes that dictate membership of the crayfish 415 microbiome operate at the finest spatial scales, where microorganisms meet tissue. Furthermore, 416 417 the microbial communities of the gills were found to be less responsive to local environmental context and dominated by two bacterial families identified as symbionts of crayfish in this study 418

#### NOT PEER-REVIEWED

# **Peer**J Preprints

419	and symbionts of other aquatic animals elsewhere, suggesting that these organisms may
420	represent important specialized aquatic animal symbionts. Future work may uncover functional
421	significances of these microbial taxa that are closely associated with the gills of crayfish and the
422	skins of frogs and salamanders.
423	Acknowledgements: We sincerely thank Michael J. Thomas and Mathew Hedin for their help in
424	the field and laboratory. Allan Dickerman and the staff of the Virginia Tech Bioinformatics
425	Institute were critical partners for next-generation sequencing and analysis. This manuscript
426	benefited greatly from thoughtful comments from Michelle A. Jusino. Funding was provided by
427	the National Science Foundation (DEB-0949780 to BLB and DEB-0949823 to RPC), Virginia
428	Tech Organismal Biology and Ecology Interdisciplinary Grants (to JS and KMG), and the
429	Virginia Bioinformatics Institute and Fralin Life Science Institute Small Grants Program (to JS,
430	KMG, JTL and BLB).
431	Data accessibility: Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository: XXXXXX

432

#### 433 Literature cited

- Anderson, M.J. (2001) A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance.
   *Austral Ecology*, 26, 32-46.
- Bäckhed, F., Ley, R.E., Sonnenburg, J.L., Peterson, D.A. & Gordon, J.I. (2005) Host-Bacterial
  Mutualism in the Human Intestine. *Science*, 307, 1915-1920.
- Bauer, R.T. (1998) Gill-cleaning mechanisms of the crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* (Astacidea:
  Cambaridae): Experimental testing of setobranch function. *Invertebrate Biology*, 117, 129-143.
- Bright, M. & Bulgheresi, S. (2010) A complex journey: transmission of microbial symbionts.
   *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 8, 218-230.
- Brown, B., Creed, R.P. & Dobson, W.E. (2002) Branchiobdellid annelids and their crayfish
  hosts: are they engaged in a cleaning symbiosis? *Oecologia*, 132, 250-255.
- Brown, B.L., Creed, R.P., Skelton, J., Rollins, M.A. & Farrell, K.J. (2012) The fine line between
  mutualism and parasitism: complex effects in a cleaning symbiosis demonstrated by
  multiple field experiments. *Oecologia*, **170**, 199-207.
- Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K.,
  Fierer, N., Pena, A.G., Goodrich, J.K., Gordon, J.I., Huttley, G.A., Kelley, S.T., Knights,
  D., Koenig, J.E., Ley, R.E., Lozupone, C.A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B.D., Pirrung, M.,
  Reeder, J., Sevinsky, J.R., Tumbaugh, P.J., Walters, W.A., Widmann, J., Yatsunenko, T.,
  Zaneveld, J. & Knight, R. (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community
  sequencing data. *Nature Methods*, 7, 335-336.
- 454 Clay, K. (2014) Defensive symbiosis: a microbial perspective. *Functional Ecology*, **28**, 293-298.
- Costello, E.K., Lauber, C.L., Hamady, M., Fierer, N., Gordon, J.I. & Knight, R. (2009) Bacterial
   community variation in human body habitats across space and time. *science*, **326**, 1694 1697.
- 458 Creed, R.P. (1994) Direct and indirect effects of crayfish grazing in a stream community.
   459 *Ecology*, 2091-2103.
- 460 Creed, R.P. & Reed, J.M. (2004) Ecosystem engineering by crayfish in a headwater stream
   461 community. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, 23, 224-236.
- Dethlefsen, L., Eckburg, P.B., Bik, E.M. & Relman, D.A. (2006) Assembly of the human
  intestinal microbiota. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 21, 517-523.
- Dethlefsen, L., McFall-Ngai, M. & Relman, D.A. (2007) An ecological and evolutionary
   perspective on human–microbe mutualism and disease. *Nature*, 449, 811-818.
- Dominguez-Bello, M.G., Costello, E.K., Contreras, M., Magris, M., Hidalgo, G., Fierer, N. &
  Knight, R. (2010) Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial
  microbiota across multiple body habitats in newborns. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **107**, 11971-11975.
- 470 Edgar, R.C. (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.
  471 *Bioinformatics*, 26, 2460-2461.
- Edgar, R.C. (2013) UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads.
   *Nature Methods*, 10, 996.
- Farrell, K.J., Creed, R.P. & Brown, B.L. (2014) Preventing overexploitation in a mutualism:
   partner regulation in the crayfish–branchiobdellid symbiosis. *Oecologia*, **174**, 501-510.

- Fierer, N., Morse, J.L., Berthrong, S.T., Bernhardt, E.S. & Jackson, R.B. (2007) Environmental
  controls on the landscape-scale biogeography of stream bacterial communities. *Ecology*,
  88, 2162-2173.
- Freese, H.M. & Schink, B. (2011) Composition and stability of the microbial community inside
  the digestive tract of the aquatic crustacean Daphnia magna. *Microbial Ecology*, 62, 882894.
- 482 Geyer, K.M., Altrichter, A.E., Van Horn, D.J., Takacs-Vesbach, C.D., Gooseff, M.N. & Barrett,
   483 J.E. (2013) Environmental controls over bacterial communities in polar desert soils.
   484 *Ecosphere*, 4, art127.
- Gilbert, S.F., Sapp, J. & Tauber, A.I. (2012) A Symbiotic View of Life: We Have Never Been
  Individuals. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 87, 325-341.
- 487 Graham, A.L. (2008) Ecological rules governing helminth–microparasite coinfection.
   488 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **105**, 566-570.
- Grice, E.A. & Segre, J.A. (2011) The skin microbiome. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 9, 244253.
- Harris, R.N., Brucker, R.M., Walke, J.B., Becker, M.H., Schwantes, C.R., Flaherty, D.C., Lam,
  B.A., Woodhams, D.C., Briggs, C.J., Vredenburg, V.T. & Minbiole, K.P.C. (2009) Skin
  microbes on frogs prevent morbidity and mortality caused by a lethal skin fungus. *ISME*J, 3, 818-824.
- Helms, B., Loughman, Z.J., Brown, B.L. & Stoeckel, J. (2013) Recent advances in crayfish
  biology, ecology, and conservation. *Freshwater Science*, 32, 1273-1275.
- Hobbs, H.H.J., Holt, P.C. & Walton, M. (1967) The crayfishes and their epizootic ostracod and
  branchiobdellid associates of the Mountain Lake, Virginia, Region. *Poceedings of the United States National Museum*, **123**, 1-84.
- 500 Holdich, D.M. & Crandall, K. (2002) *Biology of freshwater crayfish*. Blackwell Science Oxford.
- Huttenhower, C., Gevers, D., Knight, R., Abubucker, S., Badger, J.H., Chinwalla, A.T., Creasy,
  H.H., Earl, A.M., FitzGerald, M.G., Fulton, R.S., Giglio, M.G., Hallsworth-Pepin, K.,
  Lobos, E.A., Madupu, R., Magrini, V., Martin, J.C., Mitreva, M., Muzny, D.M.,
- 504 Sodergren, E.J., Versalovic, J., Wollam, A.M., Worley, K.C., Wortman, J.R., Young,
- 505 S.K., Zeng, Q.D., Aagaard, K.M., Abolude, O.O., Allen-Vercoe, E., Alm, E.J., Alvarado,
- 506 L., Andersen, G.L., Anderson, S., Appelbaum, E., Arachchi, H.M., Armitage, G., Arze,
- 507 C.A., Ayvaz, T., Baker, C.C., Begg, L., Belachew, T., Bhonagiri, V., Bihan, M., Blaser,
- 508 M.J., Bloom, T., Bonazzi, V., Brooks, J.P., Buck, G.A., Buhay, C.J., Busam, D.A.,
- 509 Campbell, J.L., Canon, S.R., Cantarel, B.L., Chain, P.S.G., Chen, I.M.A., Chen, L.,
- 510 Chhibba, S., Chu, K., Ciulla, D.M., Clemente, J.C., Clifton, S.W., Conlan, S., Crabtree,
- 511 J., Cutting, M.A., Davidovics, N.J., Davis, C.C., DeSantis, T.Z., Deal, C., Delehaunty,
- 512 K.D., Dewhirst, F.E., Deych, E., Ding, Y., Dooling, D.J., Dugan, S.P., Dunne, W.M.,
- 513 Durkin, A.S., Edgar, R.C., Erlich, R.L., Farmer, C.N., Farrell, R.M., Faust, K.,
- 514 Feldgarden, M., Felix, V.M., Fisher, S., Fodor, A.A., Forney, L.J., Foster, L., Di
- 515 Francesco, V., Friedman, J., Friedrich, D.C., Fronick, C.C., Fulton, L.L., Gao, H.Y.,
- 516 Garcia, N., Giannoukos, G., Giblin, C., Giovanni, M.Y., Goldberg, J.M., Goll, J.,
- 517 Gonzalez, A., Griggs, A., Gujja, S., Haake, S.K., Haas, B.J., Hamilton, H.A., Harris,
- 518 E.L., Hepburn, T.A., Herter, B., Hoffmann, D.E., Holder, M.E., Howarth, C., Huang,
- 519 K.H., Huse, S.M., Izard, J., Jansson, J.K., Jiang, H.Y., Jordan, C., Joshi, V., Katancik,
- 520 J.A., Keitel, W.A., Kelley, S.T., Kells, C., King, N.B., Knights, D., Kong, H.D.H., Koren,
- 521 O., Koren, S., Kota, K.C., Kovar, C.L., Kyrpides, N.C., La Rosa, P.S., Lee, S.L., Lemon,

522	K.P., Lennon, N., Lewis, C.M., Lewis, L., Ley, R.E., Li, K., Liolios, K., Liu, B., Liu, Y.,
523	Lo, C.C., Lozupone, C.A., Lunsford, R.D., Madden, T., Mahurkar, A.A., Mannon, P.J.,
524	Mardis, E.K., Markowitz, V.M., Mavromatis, K., McCorrison, J.M., McDonaid, D.,
525	McEwen, J., McGuire, A.L., McInnes, P., Mehta, T., Mihindukulasuriya, K.A., Miller,
526	J.R., Minx, P.J., Newsham, I., Nusbaum, C., O'Laughlin, M., Orvis, J., Pagani, I.,
527	Palaniappan, K., Patel, S.M., Pearson, M., Peterson, J., Podar, M., Pohl, C., Pollard, K.S.,
528	Pop, M., Priest, M.E., Proctor, L.M., Qin, X., Raes, J., Ravel, J., Reid, J.G., Rho, M.,
529	Rhodes, R., Riehle, K.P., Rivera, M.C., Rodriguez-Mueller, B., Rogers, Y.H., Ross,
530	M.C., Russ, C., Sanka, R.K., Sankar, P., Sathirapongsasuti, J.F., Schloss, J.A., Schloss,
531	P.D., Schmidt, T.M., Scholz, M., Schriml, L., Schubert, A.M., Segata, N., Segre, J.A.,
532	Shannon, W.D., Sharp, R.R., Sharpton, T.J., Shenoy, N., Sheth, N.U., Simone, G.A.,
533	Singh, I., Smillie, C.S., Sobel, J.D., Sommer, D.D., Spicer, P., Sutton, G.G., Sykes, S.M.,
534	Tabbaa, D.G., Thiagarajan, M., Tomlinson, C.M., Torralba, M., Treangen, T.J., Truty,
535	R.M., Vishnivetskaya, T.A., Walker, J., Wang, L., Wang, Z.Y., Ward, D.V., Warren, W.,
536	Watson, M.A., Wellington, C., Wetterstrand, K.A., White, J.R., Wilczek-Boney, K., Wu,
537	Y.Q., Wylie, K.M., Wylie, T., Yandava, C., Ye, L., Ye, Y.Z., Yooseph, S., Youmans,
538	B.P., Zhang, L., Zhou, Y.J., Zhu, Y.M., Zoloth, L., Zucker, J.D., Birren, B.W., Gibbs,
539	R.A., Highlander, S.K., Methe, B.A., Nelson, K.E., Petrosino, J.F., Weinstock, G.M.,
540	Wilson, R.K., White, O. & Human Microbiome Project, C. (2012) Structure, function and
541	diversity of the healthy human microbiome. <i>Nature</i> , <b>486</b> , 207-214.
542	Jost, L. (2006) Entropy and diversity. <i>Oikos</i> , <b>113</b> , 363-375.
543	Kuczynski, J., Costello, E.K., Nemergut, D.R., Zaneveld, J., Lauber, C.L., Knights, D., Koren,
544	O., Fierer, N., Kelley, S.T. & Ley, R.E. (2010) Direct sequencing of the human
545	microbiome readily reveals community differences. <i>Genome Biol</i> , <b>11</b> , 210.
546	Kueneman, J.G., Parfrey, L.W., Woodhams, D.C., Archer, H.M., Knight, R. & McKenzie, V.J.
547	(2014) The amphibian skin-associated microbiome across species, space and life history
548	stages. Molecular ecology, <b>23</b> , 1238-1250.
549	Lauber, C.L., Hamady, M., Knight, R. & Fierer, N. (2009) Pyrosequencing-based assessment of
550	soil pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the continental scale.
551	Applied and Environmental Microbiology, <b>75,</b> 5111-5120.
552	Lee, J.H., Kim, T.W. & Choe, J.C. (2009) Commensalism or mutualism: conditional outcomes in
553	a Branchiobdellidae crayfish symbiosis. <i>Oecologia</i> , <b>159</b> , 217-224.
554	Loudon, A.H., Woodhams, D.C., Parfrey, L.W., Archer, H., Knight, R., McKenzie, V. & Harris,
555	R.N. (2014) Microbial community dynamics and effect of environmental microbial
556	reservoirs on red-backed salamanders (Plethodon cinereus). Isme Journal, 8, 830-840.
557	Masella, A.P., Bartram, A.K., Truszkowski, J.M., Brown, D.G. & Neufeld, J.D. (2012)
558	PANDAseq: PAired-eND Assembler for Illumina sequences. <i>Bmc Bioinformatics</i> , <b>13</b> , 7.
559	McKenzie, V.J., Bowers, R.M., Fierer, N., Knight, R. & Lauber, C.L. (2012) Co-habiting
560	amphibian species harbor unique skin bacterial communities in wild populations. Isme
561	Journal, <b>6,</b> 588-596.
562	Mihaljevic, J.R. (2012) Linking metacommunity theory and symbiont evolutionary ecology.
563	Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 27, 323-329.
564	Mitchell, K.R. & Takacs-Vesbach, C.D. (2008) A comparison of methods for total community
565	DNA preservation and extraction from various thermal environments. Journal of
566	Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology, <b>35,</b> 1139-1147.

567 568	Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., Henry, M., Stevens, H. & Wagner, H. (2013) vegan: Community
569	Ecology Package.
570	Owen, C.L., Bracken-Grissom, H., Stern, D. & Crandall, K.A. (2015) A synthetic phylogeny of
571	freshwater crayfish: insights for conservation. <i>Philosophical Transactions of the Royal</i>
572	Society of London B: Biological Sciences, <b>370</b> , 20140009.
573	Pedersen, A.B. & Fenton, A. (2007) Emphasizing the ecology in parasite community ecology.
574	Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 22, 133-139.
575	Peerakietkhajorn, S., Tsukada, K., Kato, Y., Matsuura, T. & Watanabe, H. (2015) Symbiotic
576	bacteria contribute to increasing the population size of a freshwater crustacean, Daphnia
577	magna. Environmental microbiology reports, <b>7,</b> 364-372.
578	Pequeux, A. (1995) Osmotic regulation in crustaceans. <i>Journal of Crustacean Biology</i> , <b>15</b> , 1-60.
579	Qi, W., Nong, G., Preston, J.F., Ben-Ami, F. & Ebert, D. (2009) Comparative metagenomics of
580	Daphnia symbionts. BMC genomics, 10, 1.
581	Rosenberg, E. (2014) The Family Chitinophagaceae. The Prokaryotes: Other Major Lineages of
582	Bacteria and The Archaea (eds E. Rosenberg, E.F. DeLong, S. Lory, E. Stackebrandt &
583	F. Thompson), pp. 493-495. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.
584	Rosewarne, P., Svendsen, J., Mortimer, R.G. & Dunn, A. (2014) Muddied waters: suspended
585	sediment impacts on gill structure and aerobic scope in an endangered native and an
586	invasive freshwater crayfish. <i>Hydrobiologia</i> , <b>722</b> , 61-74.
587	Rousk, J., Baath, E., Brookes, P.C., Lauber, C.L., Lozupone, C., Caporaso, J.G., Knight, R. &
588	Fierer, N. (2010) Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable
589	soil. <i>ISME J</i> , <b>4</b> , 1340-1351.
590	Scheuring, I. & Yu, D.W. (2012) How to assemble a beneficial microbiome in three easy steps.
591	<i>Ecology letters</i> , <b>15</b> , 1300-1307.
592	Shafquat, A., Joice, R., Simmons, S.L. & Huttenhower, C. (2014) Functional and phylogenetic
593	assembly of microbial communities in the human microbiome. Trends in Microbiology,
594	<b>22,</b> 261-266.
595	Skelton, J., Creed, R.P. & Brown, B.L. (2014) Ontogenetic shift in host tolerance controls
596	initiation of a cleaning symbiosis. <i>Oikos</i> , <b>123</b> , 677-686.
597	Skelton, J., Creed, R.P. & Brown, B.L. (2015) A symbiont's dispersal strategy: Condition-
598	dependent dispersal underlies predictable variation in direct transmission among hosts.
599	Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 282(1819).
600	Skelton, J., Doak, S., Leonard, M., Creed, R.P. & Brown, B.L. (2016) The rules for symbiont
601	community assembly change along a mutualism-parasitism continuum. Journal of Animal
602	<i>Ecology</i> , <b>85</b> , 843-853.
603	Skelton, J., Farrell, K.J., Creed, R.P., Williams, B.W., Ames, C., Helms, B.S., Stoekel, J. &
604	Brown, B.L. (2013) Servants, scoundrels, and hitchhikers: current understanding of the
605	complex interactions between crayfish and their ectosymbiotic worms
606	(Branchiobdellida). Freshwater Science, 32, 1345-1357.
607	Statzner, B., Fievet, E., Champagne, J.Y., Morel, R. & Herouin, E. (2000) Crayfish as
608	geomorphic agents and ecosystem engineers: biological behavior affects sand and gravel
609	erosion in experimental streams. Limnology and Oceanography, 1030-1040.
610	Statzner, B., Peltret, O. & Tomanova, S. (2003) Crayfish as geomorphic agents and ecosystem
611	engineers: effect of a biomass gradient on baseflow and flood-induced transport of gravel
612	and sand in experimental streams. Freshwater biology, 48, 147-163.

613	Taylor, C.A., Schuster, G.A., Cooper, J.E., DiStefano, R.J., Eversole, A.G., Hamr, P., Hobbs III,
614	H.H., Robison, H.W., Skelton, C.E. & Thoma, R.F. (2007) A reassessment of the
615	conservation status of crayfishes of the United States and Canada after 10+ years of
616	increased awareness. Fisheries, 32, 372-389.
617	Thomas, M.J., Creed, R.P., Skelton, J. & Brown, B.L. (2016) Ontogenetic shifts in a freshwater
618	cleaning symbiosis: consequences for hosts and their symbionts. <i>Ecology</i> .
619	Usio, N. & Townsend, C.R. (2002) Functional significance of crayfish in stream food webs:
620	roles of omnivory, substrate heterogeneity and sex. Oikos, 98, 512-522.
621	Usio, N. & Townsend, C.R. (2004) Roles of crayfish: consequences of predation and
622	bioturbation for stream invertebrates. <i>Ecology</i> , <b>85</b> , 807-822.
623	Walke, J.B., Becker, M.H., Loftus, S.C., House, L.L., Cormier, G., Jensen, R.V. & Belden, L.K.
624	(2014) Amphibian skin may select for rare environmental microbes. ISME J.

- 625 Walke, J.B., Becker, M.H., Loftus, S.C., House, L.L., Teotonio, T.L., Minbiole, K.P. & Belden,
- 626 L.K. (2015) Community Structure and Function of Amphibian Skin Microbes: An
- 627 Experiment with Bullfrogs Exposed to a Chytrid Fungus. *PLoS One*, **10**, e0139848.

628

#### NOT PEER-REVIEWED

### Peer Preprints

Figure 1: Conceptual diagram showing multi-level filtering of microbial symbiont community 630 during symbiont community assembly. Colonizing potential symbionts are filtered by habitat 631 characteristics such as water chemistry and pH at the host habitat level (a), by host characteristics 632 633 at the host-habitat interface (b), and by symbiont interactions and tissue-specific characteristics at the host microsite level (c). The community structure observed at any microsite within the host 634 is the product of a series of ecological filters that operate at scales from geographic to cellular. 635



Figure 2. Field survey results depicting the relationship between microbial diversity originating
from crayfish (gills[grey symbols]; carapace[black symbols]) and surrounding environment
(substrate) from four sampling sites, ± 1 SE. Diversity is shown as the effective number of
equally abundant unique fragment lengths, derived from Simpson's Index of relativized TRFLP
data. Grey reference shows 1:1 relationship. Diversity of carapace was typically higher than, and
positively correlated with substrate diversity. Gill diversity was typically lower than, and
uncorrelated with substrate diversity.



Microbial diversity of substrate

Figure 3: Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) of field survey results showing
relationships among the bacterial biofilm community of gills (squares), carapaces (circles) and
substrate (shaded ellipses) from four locations; red = "Sinking Creek", blue = "Big Stoney",
green = "Spruce run", grey = "Toms Creek". Symbols represent centroid (mean) of each group.
Ellipses represent 95% confidence envelope for centroid of substrate samples. Community
composition of the carapaces was less variable, but within the range of local substrate. Gill
composition was variable at some sites, but always distinct from substrate.



Figure 4. Comparison of microbial communities of the cravfish carapace and gills. (left) Rank 654 abundance curves for the 100 most frequently observed taxa showed that carapace communities 655 were more even than those of the gills, and gills were largely dominated by few taxa. (right) Heat 656 map of showing the log counts of reads for 30 most frequently observed OTUs revealed obvious 657 compositional differences between gills and carapaces. Columns are arranged by similarity using 658 hierarchical clustering. Many taxa were equally abundant on the carapace, however, the gills 659 were dominated by two taxa, Comamonadacea and Chitnophagacea. Letters before taxa indicate 660 finest taxonomic rank resolved from bioinformatics pipeline (e.g., g=genus, f=family, o=order). 661



- 663 Figure 5. Non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination showing significant effects of
- branchiobdellidan treatment on carapace (right; stress = 0.14) but not gill (left; stress = 0.08)
- 665 microbial communities.



666

Table 1: Linear regression for effects of average substrate diversity and crayfish tissue type on

bacterial diversity. The overall model was highly significant ( $F_{3, 37} = 30.21$ , p < 0.001).

Coefficients	Estimate	t statistic	p value
Intercept	10.25	3.685	< 0.001*
Sample type (Gills)	-2.91	-0.718	0.477
Mean substrate diversity	0.521	2.507	0.017*
Sample type $\times$ substrate diversity	-0.521	0.300	0.090

670

Table 2: Linear regression for effects of branchiobdellidan worm treatment and crayfish tissue

type on bacterial diversity. The overall model was highly significant ( $F_{3, 28} = 80.13$ , p < 0.001).

Coefficients	Estimate	t statistic	p value
Intercept	36.18	18.045	< 0.001*
Worm treatment	0.187	0.254	0.466
Sample type (Gills)	-30.179	-9.663	< 0.001*
Sample type $\times$ worm treatment	0.258	-0.658	0.516

674