Spatial interpolation techniques for a near real-time mapping of Pressure and Temperature data
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ABSTRACT

Among the different techniques for atmosphere monitoring, the GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) can provide an innovative contribution (Bevis et al., 1992; Crespi et al., 2004; Sguerso et al., 2013, 2015). The Laboratory of Geomatics, Geodesy and GIS of the University of Genoa has identified a GIS procedure and a simplified physical model to monitor the Precipitable Water Vapour (PWV) content, using data measured by existing infrastructures. The starting points are local estimations of Zenith Total Delay (ZTD) from a GNSS Permanent Stations (PSs) network, a Digital Terrain Model (DTM) and local Pressure (P) and Temperature (T) measurements (Sguerso et al., 2014; Ferrando et al., 2016). The present paper shows the study of the most appropriate interpolation technique for P and T data to create PWV maps in a quick, stable and automatic way, to support the monitoring of intense meteorological events for both a posteriori and near real-time applications. The resulting P and T maps were compared with meteorological re-analysis, to check the reliability of the simplified physical model. Additionally, the Regression Kriging (RK) was employed to evaluate the data correlation with elevation and to study the applicability of the technique.
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INTRODUCTION

To understand the role of Precipitable Water Vapour (PWV) in monitoring severe meteorological events, 2D distribution of P and T has to be produced starting from local observations: this is made possible by means of data interpolation and a simplified physical model, owned by the Laboratory of Geomatics, Geodesy and GIS (Sguerso et al., 2014; Ferrando et al., 2016), already applied in few test cases.

The choice of the most appropriate interpolation technique, according to the spatial distribution of data, is surely a key issue (Hutchinson, 1998; Boer et al., 2001). Different interpolation techniques were employed, in order to find the most suitable for automatic and fast interpolation. Additionally, the interpolated P and T maps were compared with P and T fields.
coming from re-analysis, produced by meteorological model analysing past events using the whole set of measured meteorological data. The case study is the severe meteorological event occurred on 4th November 2011 in Genoa (Italy).

The adequacy of the interpolation technique to reproduce P and T fields is treated in the first two sections. The final section is dedicated to the geostatistical interpolation of data by means of Regression Kriging (RK).

**DATA AND DETERMINISTIC INTERPOLATION TECHNIQUES**

53 Pressure and 58 Temperature NOAA meteorological stations (www.noaa.gov) were used for the interpolation. Figure 1 shows the spatial distribution of P (circles) and T (crosses) stations, covering approximately north-west of Italy and the French-Italian border region, with a mean spacing of 150 km. 4 stations, called “checkpoints” and displayed in Figure 1 as small triangles, were excluded in the interpolation and used to verify the accordance between interpolated and re-analysed fields.

![Figure 1. Distribution of P (circles) and T (crosses) stations. The transept crossing the checkpoints (small triangles) is displayed in red.](image)

The interpolation was carried out at a resolution of about 3.5 km, accordingly to the one used for re-analysis.

The 2D maps were obtained by Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW), Regularized Spline with Tension (RST) and Triangulated Irregular Network (TIN) techniques using the *free* and *open-source* GIS software GRASS. The obtained maps for P and T are shown in Figure 2, on top and bottom respectively.
COMPARISON WITH RE-ANALYSIS

Two different comparisons were carried out for the interpolated maps obtained in the previous section: along the transept to locally check the adherence with the re-analysis and measured data, and 2D differences maps between the re-analysis and the interpolated fields to evaluate the global behaviour in the study area.

1D comparison: behaviour along the transept

The transept passes across meteorological station (the checkpoints). Figure 3 shows the values along the transept of P and T respectively, for IDW, RST, TIN, re-analysis and NOAA observed data.

The interpolated maps seem quite similar along the transept. The accordance between re-analysis, observed data and interpolated fields is good, in the checkpoints too, showing that it is possible to obtain P and T fields even from sparse data. The major differences occur in high altitude areas, probably due to the generalization of the adopted model. P seems to be more complying to re-analysis and observed data than T. Focusing on the checkpoints, the maximum difference between re-analysis and interpolated fields are around 40 hPa in checkpoint 2 for all the applied techniques, and 4 K for checkpoint 4 for TIN.

It should be noted that on checkpoint 2 there is a disagreement between re-analysis and P observed data, this is maybe the cause of the previously mentioned high differences. Finally, high differences can be noticed on the edge regions, due to the different behaviours of the interpolation techniques.
2D comparison: difference maps

The higher differences are located in high altitude areas, both for P (top of Figure 4) and T (bottom of Figure 4) and in the edge regions, confirming what previously noted along the transept.

Especially in T maps, differences due to the patterns of interpolators can be seen. These differences are in the order of few K, thus they are not considered influential in the description of the field. Differently, as shown in the top of Figure 4, not negligible differences (max=66 hPa; min=-85 hPa) are present in P field around Genoa. This could be caused by the generalization of the simplified model, not capable to describe local strong variations and to the absence of P data in the area. As already noted (Ferrando et al., 2016), the presence of these differences doesn’t influence the interpretation of PWV and its evolution in time, thus the procedure is considered reliable for severe meteorological events monitoring. Again, the global behaviour of the different interpolators seems to be similar, except for the already mentioned patterns, typical of each technique.

Figure 3. P (top) and T (bottom) values along the transept.
Considering the application of this procedure in near real-time, the interpolator should be fast and automatically adjustable to the different network configurations that may change in time. For this reason, TIN interpolator, available as GRASS add-on by means of r.surf.nnbathy module (Sieczka and GRASS Development Team, 2006; GRASS Development Team, 2016), was chosen to produce PWV maps, for its adaptability and for not needing to calibrate additional parameters. In the next section, a geostatistical analysis for P and T data is performed.

**GEOSTATISTICAL INTERPOLATION**

P and T data show a strong correlation with elevation as Figure 5 shows. For such reason, the Regression Kriging (RK) (Hengl et al., 2007) could be the best interpolation technique as it is able to use elevation information as base data for interpolate Pressure and Temperature values. The ASTER GDEM digital elevation model has been used as raster layer providing the auxiliary variable in order to interpolate the regression residuals.
The goodness of the RK analysis could be observed in Figure 6: on the left, the bubbles of the cross validation procedure of IDW, using 5 k-folds; on the right, the bubble plot of RK interpolation. It turns out how the IDW has a range of errors much wider than RK, also the location of residuals error is not similar in both cases: IDW shows biggest errors in Alpine and in Apennines regions, whereas RK shows the biggest residual errors only in Alpine region.

The RK interpolation has been implemented into the free and open-source R statistical software (R Core Team, 2016) using gstat and intamap packages (Pebesma, 2004; Pebesma et al., 2010). In particular, a R code has been produced to run the procedure automatically. The code is able to load P and T data, execute the variogram analysis of the dependent variables on auxiliary variables (elevation from ASTER GDEM) and compute the interpolated raster for P and T and relative map of kriging errors.
CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS

A deep analysis of the different interpolation techniques was carried out, in order to find the most appropriate for near real-time applications too. Despite the difficulties due to the sparse distribution of P and T data and the considerable orographic effect, the simplified physical model implemented by the Laboratory of Geomatics, Geodesy and GIS is reliable to produce 2D PWV maps with good adherence to re-analysis. The geostatistical analysis of data helped in analysing the correlation between data and elevation, computing the interpolated maps and evaluating the errors.

The comparison between P and T Kriging maps, the deterministic interpolated maps and the re-analysis will be carried out in the future complete paper.
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