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Abstract

The study of social phenomena is becoming increasingly reliant on big data from online social networks. Broad access to social media data, however, requires software development skills that not all researchers possess. Here we present the IUNI Observatory on Social Media, an open analytics platform designed to facilitate computational social science. The system leverages a historical, ongoing collection of over 70 billion public messages from Twitter. We illustrate a number of interactive open-source tools to retrieve, visualize, and analyze derived data from this collection. The Observatory, now available at osome.iuni.iu.edu, is the result of a large, six-year collaborative effort coordinated by the Indiana University Network Science Institute.
Introduction

The collective processes of production, consumption, and diffusion of information on social media are starting to reveal a significant portion of human social life, yet scientists struggle to get access to data about it. Recent research has shown that social media can perform as ‘sensors’ for collective activity at multiple scales (Lazer et al., 2009). As a consequence, data extracted from social media platforms are increasingly used side-by-side with — and sometimes even replacing — traditional methods to investigate hard-pressing questions in the social, behavioral, and economic (SBE) sciences (King, 2011; Moran et al., 2014; Einav and Levin, 2014). For example, interpersonal connections from Facebook have been used to replicate the famous experiment by Travers and Milgram (1969) on a global scale (Backstrom et al., 2012); the emotional content of social media streams has been used to estimate macroeconomic quantities in country-wide economies (Bollen et al., 2011; Choi and Varian, 2012; Antenucci et al., 2014); and imagery from Instagram has been mined (De Choudhury et al., 2013; Andalibi et al., 2015) to understand the spread of depression among teenagers (Link et al., 1999).

A significant amount of work about information production, consumption, and diffusion has been thus aimed at modeling these processes and empirically discriminating among models of mechanisms driving the spread of memes on social media networks such as Twitter (Guille et al., 2013). A set of research questions relate to how social network structure, user interests, competition for finite attention, and other factors affect the manner in which information is disseminated and why some ideas cause viral explosions while others are quickly forgotten. Such questions have been address both in an empirical and in more theoretical terms.

Examples of empirical works concerned with these questions include geographic and temporal patterns in social movements (Conover et al., 2013b,a; Varol et al., 2014), the polarization of online political discourse (Conover et al., 2011b,a, 2012), the use of social media data to predict election outcomes (DiGrazia et al., 2013) and stock market movements (Bollen et al., 2011), the geographic diffusion of trending topics (Ferrara et al., 2013), and the lifecycle of information in the attention economy (Ciampaglia et al., 2015).

On the more theoretical side, agent-based models have been proposed to explain how limited individual attention affects what information we propagate (Weng et al., 2012), what social connections we make (Weng et al., 2013b), and how the structure of social and topical networks can help predict which memes are likely to become viral (Weng et al., 2013a, 2014; Nematzadeh et al., 2014; Weng and Menczer, 2015).

Broad access by the research community to social media platforms is, however, limited by a host of factors. One obvious limitation is due to the commercial nature of these services. On these platforms, data are collected as part of normal operations, but this is seldom done keeping in mind the needs of researchers. In some cases researchers have been allowed to harvest data through programmatic interfaces, or APIs. However, the information that a single researcher can gather through an API typically offers only a limited view of the phenomena under study; access to historical data is often restricted or unavailable (Zimmer, 2015). Moreover, these samples are often collected using ad-hoc procedures, and the statistical biases introduced by these practices are only starting to be understood (Morstatter et al., 2013; Ruths and Pfeffer, 2014; Hargittai, 2015).

A second limitation is related to the ease of use of APIs, which are usually meant for software developers, not researchers. While researchers in the SBE sciences are
increasingly acquiring software development skills (Terna et al., 1998; Raento et al., 2009; Healy and Moody, 2014), and intuitive user interfaces are becoming more ubiquitous, many tasks remain challenging enough to hinder research advances. This is especially true for those tasks related to the application of fast visualization techniques.

A third, important limitation is related to user privacy. Unfettered access to sensitive, private data about the choices, behaviors, and preferences of individuals is happening at an increasing rate (Tene and Polonetsky, 2012). Coupled with the possibility to manipulate the environment presented to users (Kramer et al., 2014), this has raised in more than one occasion deep ethical concerns in both the public and the scientific community (Kahn et al., 2014; Fiske and Hauser, 2014; Harriman and Patel, 2014; Vayena et al., 2015).

These limitations point to a critical need for opening social media platforms to researchers in ways that are both respectful of user privacy requirements and aware of the needs of SBE researchers. In the absence of such systems, SBE researchers will have to increasingly rely on closed or opaque data sources, making it more difficult to reproduce and replicate findings — a practice of increasing concern given recent findings about replicability in the SBE sciences (Open Science Collaboration, 2015).

Our long-term goal is to enable SBE researchers and the general public to openly access relevant social media data. As a concrete milestone of our project, here we present an Observatory on Social Media — an open infrastructure for sharing public data about information that is spread and collected through online social networks. Our initial focus has been on Twitter as a source of public microblogging posts. The infrastructure takes care of storing, indexing, and analyzing public collections and historical archives of big social data; it does so in an easy-to-use way, enabling broad access from scientists and other stakeholders, like journalists and the general public. We envision that data and analytics from social media will be integrated within a nation-wide network of social observatories. These data centers would allow access to a broad range of data about social, behavioral, and economic phenomena nationwide (King, 2011; Moran et al., 2014; Difranzo et al., 2014).

Our team has been working toward this vision since 2010, when we started collecting public tweets to visualize, analyze, and model meme diffusion networks.1 The IUNI Observatory on Social Media (OSoMe) presented here is developed through a collaboration between the Indiana University Network Science Institute (IUNI, iuni.iu.edu), the IU School of Informatics and Computing (SoIC, soic.indiana.edu), and the Center for Complex Networks and Systems Research (CNetS, cnets.indiana.edu). It is available at osome.iuni.iu.edu.

Data Source

Social media data possess unique characteristics. Besides rich textual content, explicit information about the originating social context is generally available. Information often includes timestamps, geolocations, and interpersonal ties. The Twitter dataset is a prototypical example (McKelvey and Menczer, 2013b,a). The Observatory on Social Media

1The website truthy.indiana.edu was created to host our first demo, motivated by the application of social media analytics to the study of “astroturf,” or artificial grassroots social media campaigns orchestrated through fake accounts and social bots (Ratkiewicz et al., 2011b). The Truthy nickname was later adopted in the media to refer to the entire project.
System Architecture

Performing analytics at this scale presents specific challenges. The most obvious has to do with the design of a suitable architecture for processing such a large volume of data. This requires a scalable storage substrate and efficient query mechanisms.

The architecture the Observatory builds upon the Apache Big Data Stack (ABDS) framework (Jha et al., 2014; Qiu et al., 2014; Fox et al., 2014). Development has been driven over the years by the need for increasingly demanding social media analytics applications (Gao et al., 2011; Gao and Qiu, 2013, 2014; Gao et al., 2014, 2015; Wu et al., 2016). A key idea behind our enhancement of the ABDS architecture is the shift from standalone systems to modules; multiple modules can be used within existing software ecosystems. In particular, we have focused our efforts on enhancing two well-known Apache modules, Hadoop (The Apache Software Foundation, 2016b) and HBase (The Apache Software Foundation, 2016a).

The architecture is illustrated in Figure 2. The data collection system receives data from the Twitter Streaming API. Data are first stored on a temporary location and then loaded into a distributed storage layer on a daily basis. At the same time, long-term backups are stored on tape to allow recovery in case of data loss or catastrophic events.

The design of the NoSQL distributed DB module was guided by the observation that queries of social media data often involve unique constraints on the textual and social context such as temporal or network information. To address this issue, we leveraged

---

Figure 1: Number of monthly messages collected and indexed by OSoMe. System failures have caused occasional interruptions of the collection system.

---

2Research based on this data was deemed exempt from review by the Indiana University IRB under Protocol #1102004860.
Figure 2: Flowchart diagram of the OSoMe architecture. Arrows indicate flow of data.

the HBase system as the storage substrate and extended it with a flexible indexing framework. The resulting IndexedHBase module (Wiggins et al., 2016) allows one to define fully customizable text index structures that are not supported by current state-of-the-art text indexing systems, such as Solr (The Apache Software Foundation, 2016c). The custom index structures can embed contextual information necessary for efficient query evaluation.

The pipelines commonly used for social media data analysis consist of multiple algorithms with varying computation and communication patterns. For example, building the network of retweets of a given hashtag will take more time and computational resources than just counting the number of posts containing the hashtag. Moreover, the temporal resolution and aggregation windows of the data could vary dramatically, from seconds to years. A number of different processing frameworks could be needed to perform such a wide range of tasks. To design the analytics module of the Observatory we choose Hadoop, a standard framework for Big Data analytics. We use YARN (The Apache Software Foundation, 2016d) to achieve efficient execution of the whole pipeline, and integrate it with IndexedHBase. An advantage deriving from this choice is that the overall software stack can dynamically adopt different processing frameworks to complete heterogeneous tasks of variable size.

A distributed message-passing task queue, and an in-memory key/value store implement the middleware layer needed to connect the backend of the Observatory with the frontend apps. We use Celery (Solem and Contributors, 2016) and RabbitMQ (Pivotal Software, Inc, 2016) to implement such layer.

The Observatory user interface follows a modular architecture too, and is based on a number of apps, which we describe in greater detail in the following section. Three of the apps (Timeline, Network visualization, and Geographic maps) are directly accessible
within OSoMe through Web interfaces. We rely on the popular video-sharing service YouTube for the fourth app, which generates meme diffusion movies (Videos) using a fast dynamic visualization algorithm (Grabowicz et al., 2014) specifically designed for temporal networks. Finally, the Observatory provides access to raw data via a programmatic interface (API).

Applications

Storing and indexing tens of billions of tweets is of course pointless without a way to make sense of such a huge trove of information. The Observatory lowers the barrier of entry to social media analysis by providing users with several ready-to-use, Web-based data visualization tools. Visualization techniques allow users to make sense of complex data and patterns (Card, 2009), and let them explore the data and try different visualization parameters (Rafaeli, 1988). In the following, we give a brief overview of the available tools.

It is important to note that, in compliance with the Twitter terms of service (Twitter, Inc., 2016), OSoMe does not provide access to the content of tweets. However, researchers can obtain numeric object identifiers in response to their queries. This information can then be used to retrieve tweet content via the official Twitter API.

Temporal Trends

The Trends tool produces time series plots of the number of tweets including one or more given hashtags; it can be compared to the service provided by Google Trends, which allows users to examine the interest toward a topic reflected by the volume of search queries submitted to Google over time.

Users may specify multiple terms in one query, in which case all tweets containing any of the terms will be computed; and they can perform multiple queries, to allow comparisons between different topics. For example, let us compare the relative tweet volumes about the World Series and the Superbowl. We want our Super Bowl timeline to count tweets containing any of #SuperBowl, #SuperBowl50, or #SB50. Since hashtags are case-insensitive and we allow trailing wildcards, this query would be “#superbowl*, #sb50.” Adding a timeline for the “#worldseries” query results in the plot seen in Figure 3. Each query on the Trends tool takes on the order of five seconds; this makes the tool especially suitable for interactive exploration of Twitter conversation topics.

Diffusion and Co-occurrence Networks

In a diffusion network, nodes represent users and an edge drawn between any two nodes indicates an exchange of information between those two users. For example, a user could rebroadcast (retweet) the status of another user to her followers, or she could address another user in one of her statuses by including a mention to their user handle (mention). Edges have a weight to represent the number of messages connecting two nodes. They may also have an intrinsic direction to represent the flow of information. For example, in the retweet network for the hashtag #IceBucketChallenge, an edge from user $i$ to user $j$ indicates that $j$ retweeted tweets by $i$ containing the hashtag #IceBucketChallenge. Similarly, in a mention network, an edge from $i$ to $j$ indicates that
Figure 3: Number of tweets per day about the Super Bowl (in blue) and the World Series (in orange), from September 2015 through February 2016. The Y-axis is in logarithmic scale, shifted by one to account for null counts. The plot shows two outages in the data collection that occurred around mid-November 2015 and mid-January 2016.

*i mentioned j in tweets containing the hashtag. Information diffusion network, sometimes also called information cascades, have been the subject of intense study in recent years (Gruhl et al., 2004; Weng et al., 2012; Bakshy et al., 2012; Weng et al., 2013b; Romero et al., 2011).

Another type of network visualizes how hashtags co-occur with each other. Co-occurrence networks are also weighted, but undirected: nodes represent hashtags, and the weight of an edge between two nodes is the number of tweets containing both of those hashtags.

OSoMe provides two tools that allow users to explore diffusion and and co-occurrence networks.

Interactive Network Visualization

The Networks tool enables the visualization of how a given hashtag spreads through the social network via retweets and mentions (Figure 4) or what hashtags co-occur with a given hashtag. The resulting network diagrams, created using a force-directed layout (Kamada and Kawai, 1989), can reveal topological patterns such as influential or highly-connected users and tightly-knit communities. Users can click on the nodes and edges to find out more information about the entities displayed — users, tweets, retweets, and mentions — directly from Twitter. Network are cached to enable fast access to previously-created visualizations.
Interactive Network Visualization Tool. A detail of the network of retweets and mention for a hashtag commonly linked to “Ice Bucket Challenge,” a popular Internet phenomenon from 2014. The size of a node is proportional to its strength (weighted degree). For visualization purposes, the size of large networks is reduced by extracting their $k$-core (Alvarez-Hamelin et al., 2005) with $k$ sufficiently large to display 1,000 nodes or less ($k = 5$ in this example). The detail shows the patterns of mention and information broadcasting occurring between celebrities, as the viral challenge was taking off.

**Animations**

Because tweet data are time resolved, the evolution of a diffusion or co-occurrence network can be also visualized over time. Currently the Networks tool visualizes only static networks aggregated over the entire search period specified by the user; we aim to add the ability to observe the network evolution over time, but in the meantime we also provide the Movies tools, an alternative service that lets users generate animations of such processes (Figure 5). We have successfully experimented with fast visualization techniques in the past, and have found that edge filtering is the best approach for efficiently visualizing networks that undergo a rapid churn of both edges and nodes. We have therefore deployed a fast filtering algorithm developed by our team (Grabowicz et al., 2014). The user-generated videos are uploaded to YouTube, and we cache the videos in case multiple users try to visualize the same network.

**Geographic maps**

Online social networks are implicitly embedded in space, and the spatial patterns of information spread have started to be investigated in recent years (Ferrara et al., 2013; Conover et al., 2013a). The Maps tool enables the exploration of information diffusion...
Figure 5: Temporal information diffusion movies. (a) The interface of the Movies tool lets users specify a hashtag, a temporal interval, and the type of diffusion ties to visualize (retweets, mentions, or hashtag co-occurrence). (b) Example of a generated movie frame, showing a retweet network for the #IceBucketChallenge hashtag.

Figure 6: Heatmap of tweets containing the hashtag #snow on January 22, 2016, the day of a large snowstorm over the Eastern United States.
through geographic space and time. A subset of tweets (ranging between $\approx 3\%$ in the historical data and $\approx 0.3\%$ in recent years) contain exact latitude/longitude coordinates in their metadata. By aggregating these coordinates into a heatmap layer superimposed on a world map, one can observe the geographic signature of the attention being paid to a given meme. Figure 6 shows an example. Our online tool goes one step further, allowing the user to explore how this geographic signature evolves over a specified time period, via a slider widget.

It takes between 30 and 90 seconds to prepare one of these visualizations ex ntvio. We hope to reduce this lead time with some backend indexing improvements. To enable exploration, we cache all created heatmaps for a period of one week. While cached, the heatmaps can be retrieved instantly, enabling other users to browse and interact with these previously-created visualizations. In the future we hope to experiment with overlaying diffusion networks on top of geographical maps, for example using multiscale backbone extraction (Serrano et al., 2009) and edge bundling techniques (Selassie et al., 2011).

**API**

We expect that the majority of users of the Observatory will interact with its data primarily through the tools described above. However, since more advanced data needs are to be expected, we also provide a way to export the data for those who wish to create their own visualizations and develop custom analyses. This is possible either within the tools, via export buttons, and through a read-only HTTP API.

The OSoMe API is deployed via the Mashape management service. Four public methods are currently available. Each takes as input a time interval and a list of tokens (hashtags and/or usernames):

- **tweet-id**: returns a list of tweet IDs mentioning at least one of the inputs in the given interval;
- **counts**: returns a count of the number of tweets mentioning each input token in the given interval;
- **time-series**: for each day in the given time interval, returns a count of tweets matching any of the input tokens;
- **user-post-count**: returns a list of user IDs mentioning any of the tokens in the given time frame, along with a count of matching tweets produced by each user.

**Conclusion**

The IUNI Observatory on Social Media is the culmination of a large collaborative effort at Indiana University that took place over the course of six years. We hope that it will facilitate computational social science and make big social data easier to analyze by a broad community of researchers, reporters, and the general public. The lessons learned during the development of the infrastructure may be helpful for future endeavors to foster data-intensive research in the social, behavioral, and economic sciences.

We encourage the research community to create new social media analytic tools by building upon our system. For example, one could mashup the OSoMe API with the
BotOrNot API (Davis et al., 2016), also developed by our team, to evaluate the extent to which Twitter campaigns are sustained by social bots.

The opportunities that arise from the Observatory, and from computational social science in general, could have broad societal impact. Systematic attempts to mislead the public on a large scale through “astroturf” campaigns and social bots have been uncovered using big social data analytics, inspiring the development of machine learning methods to detect these abuses (Ratkiewicz et al., 2011a; Ferrara et al., in press; Subrahmanian et al., 2016). Allowing citizens to observe how memes spread online may help raise public awareness of the potential dangers of social media manipulation.
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