

**A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 11 February 2014.**

[View the peer-reviewed version](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.257) (peerj.com/articles/257), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Lee YY, Noridah N, Syed Hassan SAA, Menon J. 2014. Absence of *Helicobacter pylori* is not protective against peptic ulcer bleeding in elderly on offending agents: lessons from an exceptionally low prevalence population. PeerJ 2:e257 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.257>

# Absence of *Helicobacter pylori* is not protective against peptic ulcer bleeding in elderly on offending agents: lessons from an exceptionally low prevalence population

*Aim* *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection is exceptionally rare in population from the north-eastern region of Peninsular Malaysia. This provides us an opportunity to contemplate the future without *H. pylori* in acute non-variceal upper gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding. *Methods* All prospective cases in the GI database registry with GI bleeding between 2003 and 2006 were reviewed. Cases with confirmed non-variceal aetiology were analysed. Rockall score > 5 was considered high risk for bleeding and primary outcomes studied were in-hospital mortality, recurrent bleeding and need for surgery. *Results* The incidence of non-variceal upper GI bleeding was 2.2/100,000 person-years. Peptic ulcer bleeding was the most common aetiology (1.8/100,000 person-years). In-hospital mortality (3.6%), recurrent bleeding (9.6%) and need for surgery (4.0%) were uncommon in this population with a largely low risk score (85.2% with score  $\leq 5$ ). Elderly were at greater risk for bleeding (mean 68.5 years,  $P = 0.01$ ) especially in the presence of duodenal ulcers ( $P = 0.04$ ) despite gastric ulcers being more common. NSAIDs (34%) and aspirin (22.8%) were the main risk factors. *Conclusions* The absence of *H. pylori* infection may not reduce the risk of peptic ulcer bleeding in the presence of risk factors especially offending drugs in the elderly.

1 ORIGINAL ARTICLE

2 **Absence of *Helicobacter pylori* is not protective against peptic ulcer**  
3 **bleeding in elderly on offending agents: lessons from an**  
4 **exceptionally low prevalence population**

5 Yeong Yeh LEE<sup>1,2</sup>, Nordin NORIDAH<sup>1</sup>, Syed Abdul Aziz SYED HASSAN<sup>1</sup>, Jayaram MENON<sup>3</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup>School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kubang Kerian, Kelantan, Malaysia

7 <sup>2</sup>Section of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Medical College of  
8 Georgia, Georgia Regents University, Augusta, Georgia

9 <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia

10 Corresponding author:

11 **Yeong Yeh Lee, MD PhD FACP FRCP**

12 School of Medical Sciences

13 Universiti Sains Malaysia

14 16150 Kubang Kerian, Kelantan, Malaysia

15 Phone: +6097663000, Fax: +6097648277

16 E-mail: justnleeyy@gmail.com

17 Running title: non-*H. pylori* ulcer bleeding in elderly

18 **ABSTRACT**

19 **Aim** *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection is exceptionally rare in population from the north-  
20 eastern region of Peninsular Malaysia. This provides us an opportunity to contemplate the future  
21 without *H. pylori* in acute non-variceal upper gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding.

22 **Methods** All prospective cases in the GI database registry with GI bleeding between 2003 and  
23 2006 were reviewed. Cases with confirmed non-variceal aetiology were analysed. Rockall score  
24 > 5 was considered high risk for bleeding and primary outcomes studied were in-hospital  
25 mortality, recurrent bleeding and need for surgery.

26 **Results** The incidence of non-variceal upper GI bleeding was 2.2/100,000 person-years. Peptic  
27 ulcer bleeding was the most common aetiology (1.8/100,000 person-years). In-hospital mortality  
28 (3.6%), recurrent bleeding (9.6%) and need for surgery (4.0%) were uncommon in this  
29 population with a largely low risk score (85.2% with score  $\leq 5$ ). Elderly were at greater risk for  
30 bleeding (mean 68.5 years,  $P = 0.01$ ) especially in the presence of duodenal ulcers ( $P = 0.04$ )  
31 despite gastric ulcers being more common. NSAIDs (34%) and aspirin (22.8%) were the main  
32 risk factors.

33 **Conclusions** The absence of *H. pylori* infection may not reduce the risk of peptic ulcer bleeding  
34 in the presence of risk factors especially offending drugs in the elderly.

35 **Keywords:** elderly, *Helicobacter pylori*, Malays, peptic ulcer, upper gastrointestinal bleeding

## 36 INTRODUCTION

37 Non-variceal upper gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding remains a prevalent condition and its  
38 mortality hardly change despite declining trend of peptic ulcer disease and improvement in  
39 therapeutic approaches. The reported incidence from North America and Europe was between 20  
40 and 60/100,000 populations but data from Asia were unfortunately scarce and variable.<sup>1</sup> A recent  
41 report from Thailand indicates an incidence of 152.9/100,000 population<sup>2</sup> and data from East  
42 Malaysia (State of Sabah), available only in abstract, indicate an incidence of 72/100,000  
43 population.<sup>3</sup> Reports from two tertiary hospitals in central Peninsular Malaysia indicates an  
44 overall low prevalence of non-variceal upper GI bleeding among the ethnic Malays,<sup>4, 5</sup> possibly  
45 due to a low prevalence of peptic ulcer disease in this population.<sup>6</sup>

46 There is a reducing trend of peptic ulcer disease observed within Asia, and this is largely a  
47 result of reducing prevalence of *H. pylori* infection. This trend is likely to continue into the future  
48 and a time will come when *H. pylori* joins the ranks of smallpox and polio.<sup>7</sup> The population in the  
49 north-eastern region of Peninsular Malaysia (state of Kelantan), that consists of 90% ethnic  
50 Malays, has a seroprevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection of only 4.2% among  
51 496 blood donors and 4.8% among 921 patients attending a health screening clinic.<sup>8</sup> The *H.*  
52 *pylori* infection rate reported from gastric biopsies was 20% in duodenal ulcer, 21.2% in gastric  
53 ulcer, 16.7% in duodenal erosion and 17.1% in gastric erosion.<sup>9</sup> The incidence of peptic ulcer  
54 perforations within the region from 1991 to 92 was only 1.5/100,000 person-years.<sup>8</sup>

55 The exceptional low prevalence of *H. pylori* in the population from north-eastern region of  
56 Peninsular Malaysia provides us an opportunity to contemplate the future without the infection.  
57 Our study aimed to determine the risk and clinical outcomes of acute non-variceal upper GI  
58 bleeding in this population with low prevalence of *H. pylori* infection. The association between  
59 clinical characteristics, risk factors and treatment given with risk and bleeding outcomes was also  
60 assessed.

## 61 **METHODS**

### 62 **Study population**

63 We reviewed and analysed all prospective cases with a diagnosis of GI bleeding between 2003  
64 and 2006 in our GI registry database. Cases were admitted in a tertiary university hospital  
65 (Hospital XXX) situated in the north-eastern region of Peninsular Malaysia (State of Kelantan).  
66 The region consists of 0.7 to 0.8 million of population (2003 – 2006) with a diverse racial  
67 background but has a predominant Malay population of approximately 90%.

68 All adults above 18 years old with upper GI bleeding as a diagnosis in the GI registry were  
69 then screened for inclusion. Subjects with typical symptoms and signs and subsequently requiring  
70 upper endoscopy after informed consent and confirmed to have non-variceal causes of acute  
71 upper GI bleeding were included into the analysis. Upper endoscopy was performed in all cases  
72 within 24 hour upon admission. *H. pylori* status, where available, detected by either CLO test and  
73 or histology during endoscopy, would also be recorded. Exclusion criteria included those patients  
74 with lower GI bleeding, variceal bleeding, bleeding due to underlying hematologic disorders, GI  
75 bleeding of unknown origin, and those patients who did not have an endoscopy examination.

76 The study was approved by the Human Ethics Committee of Universiti Sains Malaysia.

### 77 **Study outcome and definitions**

78 Rockall score<sup>9</sup> was utilised to classify study population into low risk (score  $\leq 5$ ) and high risk  
79 (score  $> 5$ )<sup>10</sup> for non-variceal upper GI bleeding. Briefly, Rockall score is made up of five  
80 variables, three of which are clinical parameters (age, shock and co-morbidities) and the other  
81 two endoscopic features (causative lesions and stigmata of recent haemorrhage).<sup>9,11</sup> Each variable  
82 can be scored between 0 and 3, with a maximum score of 15 for all 5 variables.

83 The primary study outcome was to determine risk based upon the Rockall score, in-hospital  
84 mortality, recurrent bleeding and the need for surgery in this population with non-variceal upper

GI bleeding. The secondary outcome was to determine the association between primary outcomes with clinical characteristics, risk factors, endoscopic features and endoscopic treatment given.

In-hospital mortality was defined as death during the period of hospital stay which was directly associated with upper GI bleeding and this was compared to patients still alive after 30 days. Recurrent bleeding was defined as new episode of bleeding during the period of hospital stay after index bleeding had stopped, manifested as recurrence of symptoms and signs (fresh blood in nasogastric aspirate) of bleeding and this was compared to those without bleeding after index event. The need for surgery was defined as the need to undergo laparotomy after failure of endoscopy interventions to stop bleeding and this was compared to those patients not needing any surgical interventions after index bleed.

### **Data and statistical analysis**

Data were presented in frequency and percentages unless otherwise stated. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS version 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Univariable and multivariable analyses were used to test the association between variables. Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve was utilised to determine the usefulness of Rockall score in predicting the primary outcomes in this study population. A *P* value of  $< 0.05$  was considered statistically significant for all analyses.

## **RESULTS**

### **Incidence of upper GI bleeding and study population characteristics**

During the study period between 2003 and 2006, a total of 742 patients (incidence 6.5/100,000 person-years) were registered in the database with a diagnosis of GI bleeding. Of 742 patients, 250 patients (2.2/100,000 person-years) were subsequently identified and confirmed to have non-variceal upper GI bleeding. The incidence of non-variceal bleeding was relatively similar between gender with 1.3/100,000 person-years in men and 1/100,000 person-years in women. Peptic ulcer bleeding was the primary aetiology of non-variceal bleeding in 204 patients (1.8/100,000 person-years or 81.6% of total cases), of which 54% of cases were due to gastric ulcer bleeding (Table 1). Only 2 cases were *H. pylori* positive and both cases were of non-Malays in origin. The mean age of 250 patients was 62.1 years (range 15 – 97 years) with older patients, at a mean age of 68.5 years, tended to have a higher risk score ( $P = 0.01$ ).

## 114 **Primary outcome**

115 Majority of patients were of low risk on admission with 85.2% (213/250) of patients had a  
116 Rockall score  $\leq 5$  and a mean Rockall score of 4.4. There were 3.6% (9/250) in-hospital  
117 mortality, 9.6% (24/250) recurrent bleed and 4.0% (10/250) of patients who subsequently  
118 required surgery. A higher Rockall score in this population was associated with increased in-  
119 hospital mortality (mean score 7.0,  $P < 0.001$ ), recurrent bleeding (mean score 5.1,  $P = 0.01$ ) and  
120 need for surgery (mean score 4.8,  $P = 0.01$ ). A Rockall score  $> 5$  was significant in predicting  
121 recurrent bleeding in this population but only with area under curve or AUC of 0.6 (95% CI: 0.5-  
122 0.7,  $P = 0.04$ ) (Figure 1).

## 123 **Secondary outcome – clinical features, co-morbidities and other risk factors (Table 1 and 2)**

124 Peptic ulcer bleeding was more likely to re-bleed ( $P = 0.04$ ) during hospitalisation (Table 1).  
125 Duodenal ulcers (DU) were more likely to occur in the elderly (mean 66.2 years,  $P = 0.04$ ) but no  
126 difference in age was noted with gastric ulcers (GU) (mean 61.1 years with gastric ulcers vs. 63.2  
127 years without gastric ulcers,  $P = 0.3$ ). DUs, but not GUs or gastroduodenal ulcers/erosions, were  
128 also associated with a higher risk score, mortality, recurrent bleeding and need for surgery (all  $P$   
129  $< 0.05$ ).

130 Symptoms of anaemia was associated with risk of recurrent bleeding ( $P = 0.002$ ) and need for  
131 surgery ( $P = 0.02$ ) and epigastric pain was associated with increased need for surgery ( $P = 0.005$ )  
132 (Table 1). A low hemoglobin level was associated with a higher risk score, in-hospital mortality,  
133 recurrent bleeding and need for surgery (all  $P < 0.05$ ). Recurrent bleeding was more common in  
134 those patients with a raised urea ( $P = 0.03$ ) and creatinine ( $P = 0.03$ ). A raised urea was also more  
135 likely to be associated with in-hospital mortality ( $P = 0.04$ ).

136 Both chronic liver disease and septicaemia was significantly associated with increased in-  
137 hospital mortality and recurrent bleeding (all  $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 2). History of previous peptic ulcer  
138 disease was associated with a higher risk score on admission ( $P = 0.02$ ). More than 1/3 of patients  
139 reported NSAIDs use but on its own, it was not associated with any of the studied outcomes on  
140 univariate analysis (Table 2). Aspirin use was associated with recurrent bleeding ( $P = 0.02$ ) but  
141 warfarin use was associated in-hospital mortality ( $P = 0.02$ ) (Table 2).

## 142 **Secondary outcome – endoscopic features and treatment (Table 3)**

143 Major stigmata of recent hemorrhage (SRH) were present in 26% of all bleeding and its  
144 presence was associated with a higher risk score, in-hospital mortality, recurrent bleeding and

need for surgery (all  $P < 0.05$ ). More than half were Forrest III lesions (57.2%) and GUs rather than DUs were frequently Forrest III (41.2% vs. 8.4%). However, only Forrest III DUs were associated with recurrent bleeding ( $P = 0.04$ ). DUs were also more likely than GUs to have Forrest I lesions (6.8% vs. 3.6%). Likewise, DUs rather than GUs were associated with a higher risk score, mortality, recurrent bleeding and need for surgery (all  $P < 0.05$ ). GUs were more common than DUs to have Forrest II lesions (9.6% vs. 4.4%) but both were associated with a higher risk score (both  $P = 0.01$ ).

All patients admitted with GI bleeding received PPI used but there was no difference in outcomes between omeprazole and pantoprazole. Blood transfusion was needed in 76% of all bleeding and its requirement was associated with risk of recurrent bleeding ( $P = 0.001$ ). Endoscopic interventions were employed in 38.4% of all bleeding, with a third of these being performed in high risk patients. Of all patients with bleeding, adrenaline was the sole intervention in 17.2%, adrenaline with coagulation in 13.2% and adrenaline with clip in 8%. Use of adrenaline only was associated with a higher risk score, recurrent bleeding and need for surgery (all  $P < 0.001$ ). Likewise, adrenaline with clip therapy was associated with a higher risk score, recurrent bleeding and need for surgery (all  $P < 0.005$ ). Adrenaline with coagulation therapy was associated with recurrent bleeding ( $P = 0.02$ ) and need for surgery ( $P = 0.005$ ).

#### Secondary outcome – multivariable analysis (Table 4)

Of the variables associated with a high Rockall score, major SRH was the factor most predictive of high risk in this population (OR 25.2, 95% CI 8.5-74.3). This variable was also associated with increased in-hospital mortality (OR 11.0, 95% CI 1.9 – 62.1). Likewise, septicaemia was associated with a high risk score (OR 15.4, 95% CI: 2.9 – 81.1) and in-hospital mortality (OR 27.1, 95% CI: 4.5-162.8). Warfarin use was the other risk factor associated with in-hospital mortality (OR 16.7, 95% CI 2.1 – 132.5). Use of adrenaline only during endoscopic intervention was the factor most associated with increased risk of recurrent bleeding (OR 4.4, 95% CI: 1.5–12.7) and need for surgery (OR 9.8, 95% CI: 2.3-43.9). Another factor associated with recurrent bleeding was a raised creatinine (OR 1.002, 95% CI: 1.0-1.004). Epigastric pain was highly predictive for increased need of surgery in this population (OR 6.3, 95% CI 1.2-32.2).

## DISCUSSION



In this population starting with an exceptionally low prevalence of *H. pylori* infection, the incidence of 2.2/100,000 person-years of non-variceal upper GI bleeding was also low. Peptic ulcer bleeding was the most common cause with an incidence of 1.8/100,000 person-years and this was almost similar to previously reported peptic ulcer perforation of 1.5/100,000 person-years.<sup>8</sup> Elderly in this population were more susceptible to non-variceal bleeding, especially from DUs and had a higher risk score and concomitant co-morbidities, in keeping with recent observation in *H. pylori*-eradicated populations.<sup>12</sup>

Rockall score > 5 may be useful in predicting recurrent bleeding in our population but the AUC suggests that it may be less accurate and we did not assess other thresholds, which was a limitation. Our study shared similar baseline characteristics with Vreeburg et al.<sup>13</sup> including definition for mortality but our results suggest a better prediction of recurrent bleeding rather than in-hospital death. A higher rate of recurrent bleeding observed in our population as compared to in-hospital mortality might explain this discrepancy. The low hemoglobin and urea levels indicated a minor bleeding risk in general, compatible with the overall low risk score observed in this population. The generally low risk score in this population does not, however, allow one to decide for the need of therapeutic endoscopy. Blatchford score may have been more useful in this regard.<sup>14</sup>

Among the variables described in Rockall score, SRH stood out as the most predictive of high risk and in-hospital mortality. The presence of SRH was of greater significance in *H. pylori*-associated bleeding GUs than DUs.<sup>15</sup> In our study population, more than half of upper GI bleeding was a result of GUs with only 20% due to DUs. However, GUs were more likely Forrest III lesions (57.2%) but had relatively benign outcomes. In contrast, DUs, while less common, and were more likely Forrest I and II lesions, but there was significant associations between DUs with all studied outcomes. Previous studies have also similarly observed that *H. pylori*-negative DUs are more likely to bleed and are more common among the elderly population with risk factors.<sup>16,17</sup> These studies were limited by false negative results for *H. pylori*, but our study population does not suffer from this limitation.<sup>16</sup>

Septicaemia, while not a variable in the Rockall score, was also highly predictive of high risk and in-hospital mortality, similarly reported by Zimmerman and others.<sup>18,19</sup> In the original Rockall validation study, pneumonia, which was associated with septicaemia was included in the model but not in the complete model.<sup>9</sup> Our study suggests that septicaemia, if present, should be considered as a major co-morbidity and be given a score of 2. An elevated creatinine was predictive for risk of recurrent bleeding in the multivariable analysis similarly reported by

Zuckerman and others<sup>20,21</sup> Ischemic heart disease, the most common co-morbidity with substantial mortality for GI bleeding also frequently have renal impairment.<sup>22</sup>

Non-variceal upper GI bleeding was associated with more adverse outcomes in the current study with a mostly elderly population, and an almost absence of *H. pylori* infection, and in the presence of offending agents including aspirin, NSAIDs and warfarin. In a study from Japan, the usage of aspirin and NSAIDs was not associated with a serious outcome in GU bleeding<sup>23</sup> but the role of *H. pylori* infection was not addressed. Recent studies found that patients with *H. pylori*-negative peptic ulcers and who took aspirin were more likely to have a higher bleeding risk.<sup>24-26</sup> A recent population-based study implicates warfarin, aspirin and NSAIDs in combination as important aetiologies for upper GI bleeding.<sup>27</sup> Further studies are needed to determine the significance of this finding since this also implicates *H. pylori*-eradicated populations over the long term.

Endoscopic intervention was carried out in only a third of patients with high risk score and this again implies that Rockall score is not useful to select those requiring interventions. Endoscopic therapy with adrenaline only was associated with four-fold risk for recurrent bleeding and approximately ten-fold risk for surgical intervention in the multivariable analysis similarly reported by Levin et al.<sup>28</sup> This indicates that adrenaline alone is unlikely to be sufficient when endoscopic intervention is needed.<sup>29,30</sup>

The need for surgery is not an outcome initially included during the validation study of Rockall score, however surgical intervention is frequently sought in the setting of failed endoscopic therapy. In the current study, the need for surgical intervention of 4.0% was relatively similar to the rate of in-hospital mortality of 3.6%. Previous study indicates an overall mortality of 34.1% in patients with upper GI bleeding requiring surgery.<sup>31</sup> Epigastric pain, predictive of the need of surgery, might be a sign of impending perforation, and should be sought especially in this rural-majority population who often present late in their course of disease.

Some limitations should be mentioned. We might not have captured all patients with upper GI bleeding especially the more rural population. However, our hospital is the largest referral institution within the region and we have a reliable GI registry. On the other hand, the current study allowed us to understand the behaviour of non-variceal upper GI bleeding in an environment not influenced by *H. pylori*, a confounder that affect most if not all the populations in Asia.

So what the future would be like for non-variceal upper GI bleeding in the absence of *H. pylori*? We can conclude that in our population with an exceptional low prevalence of *H. pylori*

240 infection and also peptic ulcer disease, acute non-variceal upper GI bleeding was also of low  
241 incidence, similar to its peptic ulcer perforation rates. An absence of *H. pylori* infection may not  
242 however reduce the risk of peptic ulcer bleeding in the presence of risk factors especially  
243 offending drugs in an elderly population.

## 244 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

245 We would like to thank Universiti Sains Malaysia for the incentive grant in 2007. We are grateful  
246 to Professor David Graham from the Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas for his  
247 valuable comments to the paper.

## 248 REFERENCES

1. Sung JJ, Chan FK, Chen M, Ching JY, Ho KY, Kachintorn U, Kim N, Lau JY, Menon J, Rani AA, Reddy N, Sollano J, Sugano K, Tsoi KK, Wu CY, Yeomans N, Vakil N, Goh KL; Asia-Pacific Working Group. Asia-Pacific Working Group consensus on non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Gut* 2011; 60: 1170-7.
2. Sangchan A, Sawadpanitch K, Mairiang P, Chunlertrith K, Sukeepaisarnjaroen W, Sutra S, Thavornpitak Y. Hospitalized incidence and outcomes of upper gastrointestinal bleeding in Thailand. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2012; 95 Suppl 7: S190-5.
3. Cheng JLS, Gunn A, Menon J, Arokiasamy J, Ong P, Loong SY, Oommen G, Damodaran A. Aetiology of acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding in East Malaysia. *Med J Malaysia* 2001; 56 (supp A): D31.
4. Lim TM, Lu PY, Meheshinder S, Selvindoss P, Balasingh D, Ramesh J, Qureshi A. An audit of upper gastrointestinal bleeding at Seremban Hospital. *Med J Malaysia* 2003; 58: 522-5.
5. Lakhwani MN, Ismail AR, Barras CD, Tan WJ. Upper gastrointestinal bleeding in Kuala Lumpur Hospital, Malaysia. *Med J Malaysia* 2000; 55: 498-505.
6. Lee YY, Mahendra Raj S, Graham DY. Helicobacter pylori infection – A boon or a bane: lessons from studies in a low prevalence population. *Helicobacter* 2013; 18: 338-46.
7. Graham DY, Yamaoka Y, Malaty HM. Contemplating the future without *Helicobacter pylori* and the dire consequences hypothesis. *Helicobacter* 2007; 12 Suppl 2: 64-8.

8. Uyub AM, Raj SM, Visvanathan R, Nazim M, Aiyar S, Anuar AK, Mansur M. *Helicobacter pylori* infection in north-eastern peninsular Malaysia. Evidence for an unusually low prevalence. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1994; 29: 209–213.
9. Raj SM, Yap K, Haq JA, Singh S, Hamid A. Further evidence for an exceptionally low prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection among peptic ulcer patients in north-eastern peninsular Malaysia. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2001; 95: 24-7.
9. Rockall TA, Logan RFA, Devlin HB, Northfield TC. Risk assessment after acute upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage. *Gut* 1996; 38: 316-21.
- 10 Bessa X, O’Callaghan E, Balleste B, Nieto M, Seoane A, Panades A, Vazquez DJ, . Andreu M, Bory F. Applicability of the Rockall score in patients undergoing endoscopic therapy for upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Dig Liver Dis* 2006; 38: 12-7.
11. Forrest JA, Finlayson ND, Shearman DJ. Endoscopy in gastrointestinal bleeding. *Lancet* 1974; 2: 394-7.
- 12 Domon K, Hirano N, Otsuka T, Fujitsuka Y, takeuchi M, Kikuchi Y, Nakano S, . Igarashi Y. Clinical evaluation of hemorrhagic gastroduodenal ulcer in the elderly: is *Helicobacter pylori* infection a risk factor for haemorrhage? *Dig Endosc* 2012; 25: 319-24.
- 13 Vreeburg EM, Terwee CB, Snel P, Rauws EA, Bartelsman JF, Meulen JH, Tytgat . GN. Validation of the Rockall risk scoring system in upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Gut* 1999; 44: 331-5.
- 14 Pang SH, Ching JY, Lau JY, Sung JJ, Graham DY, Chan FK. Comparing the . Blatchford and pre-endoscopic Rockall score in predicting the need for endoscopic therapy in patients with upper GI haemorrhage. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2010; 71: 1134-40.
- 15 Chang-Chien CS, Wu CS, Chen PC, Lin DY, Chu CM, Fang KM, Sheen IS, Liaw . YF. Different implications of stigmata of recent haemorrhage in gastric and duodenal ulcers. *Dig Dis Sci* 1988; 33: 400-4.
- 16 Gisbert JP, Calvet X. Review article: *Helicobacter pylori*-negative duodenal ulcer . disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2009; 30: 791-815.
- 17 Chu KM, Kwok KF, Law S, Wong KH. Patients with *Helicobacter pylori* positive

- . and negative duodenal ulcers have distinct clinical characteristics. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005; 11: 3518-22.
- 18 Zimmerman J, Meroz Y, Arnon R, Tsvang E, Siguencia J. Predictors of mortality . in hospitalized patients with secondary upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage. *J Intern Med* 1995; 237: 331-7.
- 19 Afessa B. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome in patients hospitalized for . gastrointestinal bleeding. *Crit Care Med* 1999; 27: 554-7.
- 20 Zuckermann GR, Cornette GL, Clouse RE, Harter HR. Upper gastrointestinal . bleeding in patients with chronic renal failure. *Ann Intern Med* 1985; 102: 588-92.
- 21 Sood P, Kumar G, Nanchal R, Sakhuja A, Ahmad S, Ali M, Kumar N, Ross EA. . Chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease predict higher risk of mortality in patients with primary upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Am J Nephrol* 2012; 35: 216-24.
- 22 Shalev A, Zahger D, Novack V, Etzion O, Shimony A, Gilutz H, Cafri C, Ilia R, . Fich A. Incidence, predictors and outcome of upper gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *Int J Cardiol* 2012; 157: 386-90.
- 23 Ishikawa S, Inaba T, Mizuno M, Okada H, Kuwaki K, Kuzuma T, Yokota H, . Fukuda Y, Takeda K, Nagano H, Wato M, Kawai K. Incidence of serious gastrointestinal bleeding in patients taking non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in Japan. *Acta Med Okayama* 2008; 62: 29-36.
- 24 Kang JM, Kim N, Lee BH, Park HK, Jo HJ, Shin CM, Lee SH, Park YS, Hwang . JH, Kim JW, Jeong SH, Lee DH, Jung HC, Song IS. Risk factors for peptic ulcer bleeding in terms of *Helicobacter pylori*, NSAIDs and antiplatelet agents. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2011; 46: 1295-301.
- 25 Chan FK, Ching JY, Suen BY, Tse YK, Wu JC, Sung JJ. Effects of *Helicobacter pylori* infection on long -term risk of peptic ulcer bleeding in low-dose aspirin users. *Gastroenterology* 2013; 144: 528-35.
- 26 Hernandez-Diaz S, Garcia Rodriguez LA. Cardioprotective aspirin users and their . excess risk of upper gastrointestinal complications. *BMC Med* 2006; 4: 22.
- 27 Hreinsson JP, Kalaitzakis E, Gudmundsson S, Bjornsson ES. Upper . gastrointestinal bleeding: incidence, etiology and outcomes in a population-based

setting. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2013; 48: 439-47.

- 28 Levin DA, Watermeyer GA, Deetleefs E, Metz DC, Thomson SR. The efficacy of  
endoscopic therapy in bleeding peptic ulcer patients. *S Afr Med J* 2012; 102: 290-3.
- 29 Chung SS, Lau JY, Sung JJ, Chan AC, Lai CW, Ng EK, Chan FK, Yung MY, Li  
AK. Randomised comparison between adrenaline injection alone and adrenaline  
injection plus heat probe treatment for actively bleeding ulcers. *BMJ* 1997; 314:  
1307-11.
- 30 Chung IK, Ham JS, Kim HS, Park SH, Lee MH, Kim SJ. Comparison of the  
hemostatic efficacy of the endoscopic hemoclip method with hypertonic saline-  
epinephrine injection and a combination of the two for the management of  
bleeding peptic ulcers. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1999; 49: 13-8.
- 31 Czymek R, Großmann A, Roblick U, Schmidt A, Fischer F, Bruch HP, Hildebrand  
P. Surgical management of acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding: still a major  
challenge. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2012; 59: 768-73.

249 LEGEND:

250 **Figure 1:** The usefulness of Rockall score in predicting outcomes in non-variceal upper  
251 gastrointestinal bleeding in this ethnic Malay-majority population

## **Table 1** (on next page)

Clinical characteristics of study population

n; frequency, SEM; standard error of mean, # significant P value < 0.05 (Fisher's exact or Pearson Chi-Square test for categorical and t-test for continuous variables)

**Table 1: Clinical characteristics of study population**

| Parameters                                     | All          | High risk               | Mortality               | Recurrent bleeding        | Need for surgery       |
|--|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Age, years, mean (SEM)                         | 62.1 (1.0)   | 68.5 (2.6) <sup>#</sup> | 60.5 (7.4)              | 60.5 (3.4)                | 62.4 (4.5)             |
| Gender, n (%)                                  |              |                         |                         |                           |                        |
| Male   | 144 (57.6)   | 20 (8.0)                | 5 (2.0)                 | 17 (6.8)                  | 8 (3.2)                |
| Female   | 106 (42.4)   | 17 (6.8)                | 4 (1.6)                 | 7 (2.8)                   | 3 (1.2)                |
| Ethnic, n (%)                                  |              |                         |                         |                           |                        |
| Malays   | 209 (83.6)   | 31 (12.4)               | 7 (2.8)                 | 22 (8.8)                  | 11 (100)               |
| Non-Malays                                     | 41 (16.4)    | 6 (2.4)                 | 2 (0.8)                 | 2 (0.8)                   | 0                      |
| Causative lesions, n (%)                       |              |                         |                         |                           |                        |
| Peptic Ulcer                                   | 204 (81.6)   | 32 (12.8)               | 9 (3.6)                 | 24 (9.6) <sup>#</sup>     | 10 (4.0)               |
| Gastric ulcer                                  | 135 (54.0)   | 15 (6.0)                | 3 (1.2)                 | 10 (4.0)                  | 4 (1.6)                |
| Duodenal ulcer                                 | 49 (19.6)    | 17 (6.8) <sup>#</sup>   | 6 (2.4) <sup>#</sup>    | 14 (5.6) <sup>#</sup>     | 6 (2.4) <sup>#</sup>   |
| Gastroduodenal ulcers/erosions                 | 20 (8.0)     | 0                       | 0                       | 0                         | 0                      |
| Gastroduodenitis                               | 36 (14.4)    | 4 (1.6)                 | 0                       | 0                         | 0                      |
| Others (tumours, telangiectasia)               | 10 (4.0)     | 1 (0.4)                 | 0                       | 0                         | 0                      |
| Presenting symptoms, n (%)                     |              |                         |                         |                           |                        |
| Melaena  | 189 (75.6)   | 32 (12.8)               | 6 (2.4)                 | 22 (8.8)                  | 11 (4.4)               |
| Haematemesis                                   | 117 (46.8)   | 16 (6.4)                | 4 (1.6)                 | 9 (3.6)                   | 3 (1.2)                |
| Epigastric pain                                | 103 (41.2)   | 16 (6.4)                | 2 (0.8)                 | 11 (4.4)                  | 9 (3.6) <sup>#</sup>   |
| Anaemia  | 168 (67.2)   | 30 (12.0) <sup>#</sup>  | 8 (3.2)                 | 23 (9.2) <sup>#</sup>     | 11 (4.4) <sup>#</sup>  |
| Laboratory parameters, mean (SEM)              |              |                         |                         |                           |                        |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl)                              | 8.2 (0.2)    | 7.3 (0.4) <sup>#</sup>  | 6.5 (0.7) <sup>#</sup>  | 6.7 (0.3) <sup>#</sup>    | 6.3 (0.4) <sup>#</sup> |
| Platelet (x 10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> ) | 292.3 (10.4) | 261.9 (25.3)            | 248.9 (43.1)            | 339.7 (50.4)              | 375 (51.4)             |
| INR  | 1.3 (0.05)   | 1.4 (0.1)               | 2.0 (0.4)               | 1.4 (0.1)                 | 1.3 (0.1)              |
| aPTT (seconds)                                 | 33.9 (0.8)   | 37.9 (1.6) <sup>#</sup> | 39.9 (4.2)              | 38.8 (3.3)                | 35.4 (2.2)             |
| Urea (mmol/l)                                  | 14.1 (0.8)   | 18.3 (2.4)              | 22.5 (4.5) <sup>#</sup> | 21.0 (3.2) <sup>#</sup>   | 20.3 (4.4)             |
| Creatinine (mmol/l)                            | 170.9 (13.6) | 196.3 (35.1)            | 316.9 (96.4)            | 290.4 (54.4) <sup>#</sup> | 217.8 (54.1)           |

Legend: n; frequency, SEM; standard error of mean, # significant *P* value < 0.05 (Fisher's exact or Pearson Chi-Square test for categorical and t-test for continuous variables)



## Table 2<sub>(on next page)</sub>

Co-morbidities and risk factors

n; frequency, # significant P value <0.05 (Fisher's exact test or Pearson Chi-Square test for categorical and t-test for continuous variables)

**Table 2: Co-morbidities and risk factors**

| Parameters                    | All       | High risk             | Mortality            | Recurrent bleeding   | Need for surgery |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Co-morbidities, n (%)         |           |                       |                      |                      |                  |
| Ischemic heart disease        | 53 (21.2) | 14 (5.6) <sup>#</sup> | 2 (0.8)              | 1 (0.4)              | 0                |
| Chronic renal failure         | 41 (16.4) | 12 (4.8) <sup>#</sup> | 2 (0.8)              | 7 (2.8)              | 3 (1.2)          |
| Chronic liver disease         | 11 (4.4)  | 4 (1.6) <sup>#</sup>  | 2 (0.8) <sup>#</sup> | 3 (1.2) <sup>#</sup> | 1 (0.4)          |
| Diabetes Mellitus             | 59 (23.6) | 11 (4.4)              | 4 (1.6)              | 8 (3.2)              | 3 (1.2)          |
| Malignancies                  | 17 (6.8)  | 1 (0.4)               | 4 (1.6)              | 4 (1.6)              | 1 (0.4)          |
| Septicaemia                   | 12 (4.8)  | 6 (2.4) <sup>#</sup>  | 4 (1.6) <sup>#</sup> | 4 (1.6) <sup>#</sup> | 1 (0.4)          |
| Risk factors, n (%)           |           |                       |                      |                      |                  |
| Previous peptic ulcer disease | 41 (16.4) | 11 (4.4) <sup>#</sup> | 1 (0.4)              | 6 (2.4)              | 2 (0.8)          |
| NSAIDs                        | 85 (34.0) | 12 (4.8)              | 3 (1.2)              | 11 (4.4)             | 5 (2.0)          |
| Aspirin                       | 57 (22.8) | 9 (3.6)               | 2 (0.8)              | 1 (0.4) <sup>#</sup> | 0                |
| Clopidogrel                   | 23 (9.2)  | 6 (2.4)               | 1 (0.4)              | 0                    | 0                |
| Warfarin                      | 13 (5.2)  | 4 (1.6)               | 2 (0.8) <sup>#</sup> | 0                    | 0                |
| Corticosteroids               | 10 (4.0)  | 1 (0.4)               | 0                    | 2 (0.8)              | 1 (0.4)          |
| Herbs/traditional medicine    | 4 (1.6)   | 2 (0.8)               | 0                    | 0                    | 0                |

Legend: n; frequency, # significant *P* value <0.05 (Fisher's exact test or Pearson Chi-Square test for categorical and t-test for continuous variables)

## **Table 3**(on next page)

Endoscopic features and treatment given

n; frequency, # significant P value <0.05 (Fisher's exact test or Pearson Chi-Square test for categorical and t-test for continuous variables)

**Table 3: Endoscopic features and treatment given**

| Parameters                                   | All        | High risk              | Mortality            | Recurrent bleeding    | Need for surgery     |
|--|------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Stigmata of recent haemorrhage, n (%)        |            |                        |                      |                       |                      |
| None or dark spots                           | 185 (74.0) | 9 (3.6)                | 2 (0.8)              | 10 (4.0)              | 3 (1.2)              |
| Major stigmata                               | 65 (26.0)  | 28 (11.2) <sup>#</sup> | 7 (2.8) <sup>#</sup> | 14 (6.4) <sup>#</sup> | 7 (2.8) <sup>#</sup> |
| Forrest classification, n (%)                |            |                        |                      |                       |                      |
| Forrest I (a: spurting, b: oozing)           | 26 (10.4)  | 13 (5.2) <sup>#</sup>  | 5 (2.0) <sup>#</sup> | 11 (4.4) <sup>#</sup> | 6 (2.4) <sup>#</sup> |
| Gastric ulcer                                | 9 (3.6)    | 3 (1.2)                | 1 (0.4)              | 3 (1.2)               | 3 (1.2)              |
| Duodenal ulcer                               | 17 (6.8)   | 10 (4.0) <sup>#</sup>  | 4 (1.6) <sup>#</sup> | 8 (3.2) <sup>#</sup>  | 3 (1.2) <sup>#</sup> |
| Forrest II (a: vessel, b: clot, c: haematin) | 35 (14.0)  | 13 (5.2) <sup>#</sup>  | 1 (0.4)              | 3 (1.2)               | 2 (0.8)              |
| Gastric ulcer                                | 24 (9.6)   | 8 (3.2) <sup>#</sup>   | 0                    | 2 (0.8)               | 1 (0.4)              |
| Duodenal ulcer                               | 11 (4.4)   | 5 (2.0) <sup>#</sup>   | 1 (0.4)              | 1 (0.4)               | 1 (0.4)              |
| Gastroduodenal ulcers                        | 1 (0.4)    | 0                      | 0                    | 0                     | 0                    |
| Forrest III (clean base)                     | 143 (57.2) | 2 (0.8)                | 1 (0.4)              | 5 (2.0)               | 2 (0.8)              |
| Gastric ulcer                                | 103 (41.2) | 4 (1.6) <sup>#</sup>   | 2 (0.8)              | 5 (2.0)               | 0                    |
| Duodenal ulcer                               | 21 (8.4)   | 2 (0.8)                | 1 (0.4)              | 5 (2.0) <sup>#</sup>  | 2 (0.8)              |
| Gastroduodenal ulcers                        | 19 (7.6)   | 0                      | 0                    | 0                     | 0                    |
| Type of PPI, n (%)                           |            |                        |                      |                       |                      |
| Omeprazole                                   | 42 (16.8)  | 6 (2.4)                | 0                    | 2 (0.8)               | 0                    |
| Pantoprazole                                 | 208 (83.2) | 31 (12.4)              | 9 (3.6)              | 22 (8.8)              | 10 (4.0)             |
| Tranfusion requirement, n (%)                |            |                        |                      |                       |                      |
| Yes  | 190 (76.0) | 32 (12.8)              | 9 (3.6)              | 24 (9.6) <sup>#</sup> | 10 (4.0)             |
| No   | 60 (24.0)  | 5 (2.0)                | 0                    | 0                     | 0                    |
| Endoscopic intervention, n (%)               |            |                        |                      |                       |                      |
| Adrenaline only                              | 43 (17.2)  | 14 (5.6) <sup>#</sup>  | 4 (1.6)              | 12 (4.8) <sup>#</sup> | 7 (2.8) <sup>#</sup> |
| + coagulation                                | 33 (13.2)  | 7 (2.8)                | 2 (0.8)              | 7 (2.8) <sup>#</sup>  | 5 (2.0) <sup>#</sup> |
| + clip                                       | 20 (8.0)   | 10 (4.0) <sup>#</sup>  | 0                    | 8 (3.2) <sup>#</sup>  | 4 (1.6) <sup>#</sup> |

Legend: n; frequency, # significant *P* value <0.05 (Fisher's exact test or Pearson Chi-Square test for categorical and t-test for continuous variables)

## **Table 4**(on next page)

Results of multiple logistic regression analysis (forward: LR)

LR; likelihood ratio, OR; adjusted odd ratio, CI; confidence interval

**Table 4: Results of multiple logistic regression analysis (forward: LR)**

| Outcome and risk factors   | OR    | 95% CI for OR | <i>P</i> value |
|----------------------------|-------|---------------|----------------|
| High risk                  |       |               |                |
| Major stigmata of bleeding | 25.2  | 8.5 – 74.3    | < 0.001        |
| Septicaemia                | 15.4  | 2.9 – 81.1    | 0.001          |
| Chronic renal failure      | 4.1   | 1.3 – 12.6    | 0.01           |
| Ischemic heart disease     | 3.4   | 1.2 – 9.7     | 0.02           |
| Age                        | 1.05  | 1.0 – 1.1     | 0.004          |
| In-hospital mortality      |       |               |                |
| Septicaemia                | 27.1  | 4.5 – 162.8   | < 0.001        |
| Warfarin                   | 16.7  | 2.1 – 132.5   | 0.008          |
| Major stigmata of bleeding | 11.0  | 1.9 – 62.1    | 0.007          |
| Recurrent bleeding         |       |               |                |
| Adrenaline only            | 4.4   | 1.5 – 12.7    | 0.006          |
| Creatinine                 | 1.002 | 1.0 – 1.004   | 0.04           |
| Need for surgery           |       |               |                |
| Adrenaline only            | 9.8   | 2.3 – 41.9    | 0.002          |
| Epigastric pain            | 6.3   | 1.2 – 32.2    | 0.03           |

Legend: LR; likelihood ratio, OR; adjusted odd ratio, CI; confidence interval

# Figure 1

Figure 1

The usefulness of Rockall score in predicting outcomes in non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding in this ethnic Malay-majority population

