A peer-reviewed version of this preprint was published in PeerJ on 14 March 2016.

<u>View the peer-reviewed version</u> (peerj.com/articles/1813), which is the preferred citable publication unless you specifically need to cite this preprint.

Peterman W, Brocato ER, Semlitsch RD, Eggert LS. 2016. Reducing bias in population and landscape genetic inferences: the effects of sampling related individuals and multiple life stages. PeerJ 4:e1813 https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1813

Reducing bias in population and landscape genetic inferences: The effects of sampling related individuals and multiple life stages

William Peterman, Emily R Brocato, Raymond D Semlitsch, Lori S Eggert

In population or landscape genetics studies, an unbiased sampling scheme is essential for generating accurate results, but logistics may lead to deviations from the sample design. Such deviations may come in the form of sampling multiple life stages. Presently, it is largely unknown what effect sampling different life stages can have on population or landscape genetic inference, or how mixing life stages can affect the parameters being measured. In this study, we sampled embryos, larvae, and adult Ambystoma maculatum from five ponds in Missouri, and analyzed them at 15 microsatellite loci. We calculated allelic richness, heterozygosity and effective population sizes for each life stage at each pond and tested for genetic differentiation (F_{sT} and D_c) and isolation-by-distance (IBD) among ponds. We tested for differences in each of these measures between life stages, and in a pooled population of all life stages. All calculations were done with and without sibling pairs to assess the effect of sibling removal. No statistically significant differences were found among ponds or life stages for any of the population genetic measures, but patterns of IBD differed among life stages. There was significant IBD when using adult samples, but tests using embryos, larvae, or a combination of the three life stages were not significant. Further, we found that increasing the ratio of larval or embryo samples in the analysis of genetic distance weakened the IBD relationship, and when using D_c , the IBD was no longer significant when larvae and embryos exceeded 60% of the population sample. Our findings suggest that it may be possible to mix life stages to reach target sample size quotas, but researchers should nonetheless proceed with caution depending upon the goals and objectives of the study.

1	Reducing bias in population and landscape genetic inferences: The effects of sampling
2	related individuals and multiple life stages
3	
4	Running Head: Sampling multiple life stages
5	
6	
7	William E Peterman ^{1,2} *, Emily R Brocato ¹ , Raymond D Semlitsch ¹ , Lori S Eggert ¹
8	
9	¹ University of Missouri, Division of Biological Sciences, Columbia, MO 65211
10	² Present address: School of Environment and Natural Resources, The Ohio State
11	University, Columbus, OH 43210
12	
13	
14	Address correspondence to:
15	William E. Peterman
16	School of Environment and Natural Resources, The Ohio State University, 2021 Coffey
17	Road, 210 Kottman Hall, Columbus, OH 43210-1085
18	
19	Words: 3,709
20	
21	
22	Key Words: Ambystoma, amphibian, complex life cycle, genetic sampling, landscape
23	genetics, microsatellite, mixing tissue samples, population genetics, salamander, sibship

24 Abstract

25 In population or landscape genetics studies, an unbiased sampling scheme is essential for 26 generating accurate results, but logistics may lead to deviations from the sample design. 27 Such deviations may come in the form of sampling multiple life stages. Presently, it is 28 largely unknown what effect sampling different life stages can have on population or 29 landscape genetic inference, or how mixing life stages can affect the parameters being 30 measured. In this study, we sampled embryos, larvae, and adult Ambystoma maculatum 31 from five ponds in Missouri, and analyzed them at 15 microsatellite loci. We calculated 32 allelic richness, heterozygosity and effective population sizes for each life stage at each 33 pond and tested for genetic differentiation (F_{ST} and D_C) and isolation-by-distance (IBD) 34 among ponds. We tested for differences in each of these measures between life stages, 35 and in a pooled population of all life stages. All calculations were done with and without 36 sibling pairs to assess the effect of sibling removal. No statistically significant differences 37 were found among ponds or life stages for any of the population genetic measures, but 38 patterns of IBD differed among life stages. There was significant IBD when using adult 39 samples, but tests using embryos, larvae, or a combination of the three life stages were 40 not significant. Further, we found that increasing the ratio of larval or embryo samples in 41 the analysis of genetic distance weakened the IBD relationship, and when using $D_{\rm C}$, the 42 IBD was no longer significant when larvae and embryos exceeded 60% of the population 43 sample. Our findings suggest that it may be possible to mix life stages to reach target 44 sample size quotas, but researchers should nonetheless proceed with caution depending 45 upon the goals and objectives of the study.

47 Introduction

48 Many organisms have high fecundity, but experience extremely high mortality. Most 49 amphibian, fish and insect species are characterized by Type III survivorship, in which a 50 majority of young individuals will die before reaching sexual maturity, and the genetic 51 characteristics of these life stages may differ from the few surviving adults due to the 52 decrease in population size (Frankham 1996). While it may often be assumed that 53 selection pressures that reduce population size act uniformly and randomly, selection may 54 differentially affect individuals. For example, numerous studies have assessed the role of 55 inbreeding and heterozygosity on individual fitness (e.g., Balloux et al. 2004; Ficetola et 56 al. 2011; Harrison et al. 2011; Slate et al. 2004). Both of these population genetic 57 attributes are particularly relevant in species of conservation concern, which often exist in 58 small or isolated populations. Given the interaction between selection pressures and 59 genetic diversity, it is not unreasonable to believe that population genetic measures may 60 differ depending on the age or life stage of the sampled cohort. 61 Despite the potential problems with sampling different life stages, it is not 62 uncommon for population or landscape genetic studies to combine samples from different 63 cohorts or life stages, either because of convenience or necessity. Early life stages are 64 often sampled because they are accessible, abundant, and cost-effective (Heyer et al. 65 1994). In amphibians, the extreme decline in individuals from early life stages to adults 66 has been well-documented. Peterson et al. (1991), found a pre-metamorphic mortality 67 rate of 99% in ringed salamanders (Ambystoma annulatum), Shoop (1974) found that pre-68 metamorphic mortality rates ranged from 87–99% in spotted salamanders (A. 69 *maculatum*), and Berven (1990) recorded pre-metamorphic mortality rates ranging from

70 97–99% in wood frogs (*Rana sylvatica*). The drastic decline in abundance can also be 71 seen in fish and insects. Dahlberg (1979) found a mortality rate of >99% in the eggs of 72 many fish species, while a study of the southern green stink bug (Nezara viridula) found 73 mortality rates to be as high as 96% (Kiritani & Nakasuji 1967). As such, when early life 74 stages are sampled to make inferences about the adult population, biased conclusions 75 may result (Allendorf & Phelps 1981; Goldberg & Waits 2010). Obtaining unbiased 76 estimates of genetic diversity is particularly critical for management and conservation of 77 species.

78 Sampling animals from the field is often opportunistic due to the availability of 79 the target species. Environmental factors, stochastic events, or the timing of offspring can 80 alter when a life stage becomes available, if it can be found at all (Alford & Richards 81 1999). In these cases, researchers often need to stray away from their sampling scheme 82 and target life stage, and collect other life stages to reconcile the sample size gap (Beebee 83 & Rowe 2000; Lee-Yaw et al. 2008; Lee-Yaw et al. 2009; Munwes et al. 2010; 84 Richardson 2012). Despite the relative commonness of these sampling realities, the effect 85 of mixing life stages in population and landscape genetic analyses, however, has not been 86 explicitly addressed. The sampling of full siblings has been shown to affect the estimates 87 of population genetic parameters. When sampling amphibians, field researchers have the 88 highest probability of collecting sibling pairs within larvae (Goldberg & Waits 2010); 89 related larvae are often spatially clustered, and samples collected at a specific location 90 may be biased towards a single family group (Hansen et al. 1997). If researchers are 91 unaware that family groups are being sampled, the genetic structure of the family could 92 be misinterpreted as population structure within the panmitic population (Anderson &

Dunham 2008). To prevent misinterpretations and avoid biased population genetic
parameter estimates, samples should be screened prior to analysis, and full siblings
removed (Goldberg & Waits 2010).

96 To date, only Goldberg & Waits (2010) have empirically tested the effects of 97 sampling different life stages and quantified the importance of removing full siblings 98 prior to analysis. The primary objective of this study was to determine the effects of 99 pooling different life stages on population and landscape genetic inferences. Additionally, 100 we sought to extend the findings of Goldberg & Waits (2010) to determine the effect of 101 sampling three life stages: adults, embryos, and larvae, on population and landscape 102 genetic inferences. We assessed these objectives both with and without full-sibling pairs 103 present in the data set. We predicted that the random mixing of life stages would result in 104 genetic parameter estimates that did not differ from estimates of individual life stages. 105 However, we predicted that there would be significant biases present when sampling 106 different life stages as certain alleles are likely to be over-represented in the embryonic 107 and larval life stages. Finally, we predicted that the removal of siblings from the data set 108 would significantly alter population and landscape genetic estimates. 109

110 Materials and methods

- 111 Ethics Statement This research was conducted in compliance with all laws and
- 112 regulations for the state of Missouri and the USA, and was conducted under Missouri
- 113 Wildlife Collector's permit 15584. Sampling methods were approved by the University
- 114 of Missouri Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol 7403).
- 115

116	Data availability — All data and code used in this study can be accessed from Figshare at
117	http://figshare.com/s/ee3a58909daf11e5afc206ec4b8d1f61.
118	
119	<i>Literature review</i> — To determine how researchers are currently collecting tissue samples
120	from amphibians with complex life cycles, we conducted a literature search of the Scopus
121	database of population and landscape genetic studies of amphibians. We used the search
122	terms "amphibian*" (occurring in the title, abstract, or keywords), "microsatellite*"
123	(occurring in all fields), and NOT "reptil*" (occurring in the title, abstract, keywords)
124	and limited the search to findings from Molecular Ecology, Conservation Genetics,
125	Heredity, Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, Amphibia-Reptilia, Animal
126	Conservation, Molecular Ecology Resources, Evolution, Plos One, or Journal of Zoology.
127	For each study, we determined if different life stages were sampled and if the study gave
128	an indication as to whether sampling multiple life stages influenced analysis or inferences
129	made from the data.
130	
131	Sampling—Our study was conducted at Daniel Boone Conservation Area (DBCA), in
132	Warren County, Missouri, U.S.A.(Fig. 1). This 1,424 ha area is situated on the upper
133	Ozark Plateau physiographic region and is characterized by mature (80–100 years old)
134	second-growth forest with an overstory dominated by oak (Quercus spp.) and hickory
135	(Carya spp.), with varying amounts of sugar maple (Acer saccharum) and red cedar
136	(Juniperus virginiana) in the understory (Semlitsch et al. 2009). There are >40 fishless
137	manmade ponds that are, on average, separated by 2,000 m (246–3,900 m) (Peterman et
138	al. 2013b). We sampled adults, embryos, and larvae of Ambystoma maculatum (spotted

Peer Preprints

139	salamander) from five ponds at DBCA (Fig. 1). Adult salamanders were captured in
140	mesh funnel traps in March 2013, and tissue samples were obtained by removing 0.5 cm
141	of tail tissue. Following oviposition, we sampled embryos by collecting a single embryo
142	per clutch in April 2013. In June 2013 larvae were captured with dip nets, and to
143	minimize the sampling of siblings, we collected larvae from the entire perimeter of each
144	pond. Upon collection in the field, each tissue sample was placed in 95% ethanol and
145	stored at -20°C until DNA extraction.
146	
147	Lab techniques- DNA was extracted from tissue using chelex-based resin (InstaGene,
148	BioRad). Approximately 2.5 mm \times 2.5 mm of tissue was finely chopped with a sterile
149	razor and was incubated at 60°C for 2 hrs in 250 μL of InstaGene, vortexed, incubated
150	for 20 min at 100°C, then vortexed again. Following centrifugation, a 100 μ L aliquot was
151	removed and used as template DNA and the remainder was kept at -20°C (Peterman et al.
152	2012). Nineteen tetra-nucleotide microsatellite loci were amplified using PCR; primers
153	were fluorescently 5' labeled with FAM, NED, VIC, and PET and arranged into two
154	multiplex reactions (Peterman et al. 2013a). Negative controls were included in all
155	reactions to detect contamination of reagents. Amplification products were sized on an
156	ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using Liz 600
157	size standard at the University of Missouri DNA Core Facility, and results were scored
158	using GENEMARKER (v.1.97; Softgenetics, State College, PA, USA).
159	
160	Differences among life stages— Before proceeding with analyses we created a data set

161 free of full sibling pairs using COLONY (Wang 2012). We excluded siblings from the

162 analysis such that all sites only had one individual per family group. Values for observed 163 heterozygosity were calculated in the MS toolkit Microsoft Excel add-in (Park 2001), 164 allelic richness was calculated and rarefied to our smallest sample in HP Rare 165 (Kalinowski 2005), F_{ST} was calculated in FSTAT 2.9.3 (Goudet 2001), chord distance 166 (D_c) was calculated with the R package *adegenet* (Jombart & Ahmed 2011), and 167 effective population size estimates (N_e) were made using the linkage disequilibrium 168 approach implemented in COLONY (Wang 2012). The proportion of siblings removed 169 from each life stage at each pond was also calculated. To determine the effect of sibling 170 removal, we also calculated summary statistics (H_0 , A_r , F_{ST} , D_C) for each life stage with 171 siblings present. All population genetic measures were compared among life stages and 172 between estimates made with and without siblings using analysis of variance (ANOVA) 173 and paired t-tests. Due to small sample sizes, we bootstrapped our ANOVA analyses and 174 conducted permutation t-tests to more robustly assess differences among life stages and 175 removal of siblings.

176

177 *Effect of mixing life stages*— Prior to pooling life stages together, we conducted a second 178 removal of related individuals using COLONY (Wang 2012). Specifically, we found and 179 removed parent-offspring and embryo-larvae sibling pairs within each pond. All 180 unrelated individuals of all life stages were pooled by pond of origin to make five mixed-181 tissue populations. From these populations, we randomly sampled 25 individuals using 182 the R package hierfstat (Goudet 2013) in R (R Core Team 2013). This bootstrap 183 resampling procedure was repeated 1,000 times (both with and without siblings), and the 184 mean and 95% confidence intervals were calculated for H_0 , A_r , F_{ST} , and D_C .

185

186	Isolation-by-distance analysis— For the isolation-by-distance (IBD) analysis we
187	conducted simple Mantel tests correlating the genetic distance (F_{ST}) with the Euclidean
188	distance between ponds. This test was repeated for all life stages, with and without
189	siblings, and significance was assessed using 100,000 permutations using the R package
190	ecodist (Goslee & Urban 2007). We tested for IBD in the mixed sample population, and
191	calculated the mean and 95% confidence interval for both the Mantel r correlation
192	statistic and the associated P-value based on the 1,000 bootstrap iterations. Because we
193	found a significant IBD relationship when using adult-only tissue samples (see Results),
194	we further assessed how the IBD relationship changed with the inclusion of larval and
195	embryo samples. For this analysis, we varied the proportion of larval and embryo
196	samples included with our adult samples. This was assessed at proportions ranging from
197	0 (no larval or embryo samples) to 1 (only larval and embryo samples) at increments of
198	0.05. At each increment, we assessed the mean and 95% confidence intervals of the
199	Mantel r and the corresponding P-value based on 1,000 bootstrap samples of the data. We
200	used the data set without siblings for this analysis and sampled each population to the
201	minimum adult sample size (n=18).
202	

202

203 **Results**

Literature review— We found that 19 out of 90 (21%) of studies meeting our search
criteria on Scopus conducted population or landscape genetic analyses of amphibian
species using mixed tissue sampling (searched on 2 September 2013). Five of these
studies stated that one life stage was sampled only when the target life stage was not

208	available (Beebee & Rowe 2000; Lee-Yaw et al. 2009; Lee-Yaw et al. 2008; Munwes et
209	al. 2010; Richardson 2012). None of these studies made attempts to check or correct for
210	the effects of mixing life stages in their analyses, although it was common for siblings to
211	be removed prior to analysis.
212	
213	Sample summary— We collected 24–25 adults and 19–27 embryos from each of the five
214	ponds, and 29-36 larvae from three ponds (Supplement 1). We were unable to sample
215	larvae from two of the ponds due to high embryo mortality. Of the original 19 screened
216	primers, two loci (<i>Am_13</i> , <i>Am_60</i>) were not polymorphic, and two loci (<i>Am_33</i> , <i>Am_43</i>)
217	showed very little polymorphism and deviated significantly from expected heterozygosity
218	values under Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). These four loci were removed from
219	the dataset and all population genetic statistics were calculated using the remaining 15
220	loci (Supplement 2). No other loci or populations deviated from Hardy-Weinberg
221	equilibrium or were significantly linked. Overall, we had $<0.5\%$ missing data.
222	
223	Statistical summary— For all tests, none of the population genetic parameters differed
224	significantly among life stages (bootstrap ANOVA P-value >0.05) or within ponds and
225	among life stages (permutation t-test P-value ≥ 0.25 ; Fig. 2, Tables 1–2), regardless of
226	whether or not siblings were present in the data. The proportion of samples removed due
227	to sibship was nearly significant (bootstrap P-value = 0.053 , Fig. 2f), with a greater
228	proportion of field-collected samples being omitted from larvae. There was also an
229	increase in the estimated mean F_{ST} calculated in the larval and embryo data as compared
230	to adults (Fig. 2c), but we note that this increase was not significant. However, this trend

231 was not observed when genetic distance was measured using allele frequencies ($D_{\rm C}$, Fig. 232 2d). Values of population genetic summary statistics calculated on data sets with siblings 233 removed are given as the mean (±standard deviation). Effective population size among 234 ponds averaged 87.4 (±25.28) for adults, 82.4 (±25.58) for embryos, and 64 (±4.32) for 235 larvae. Average rarefied allelic richness of adults was $3.83 (\pm 0.22), 3.95 (\pm 0.23)$ for 236 embryos, and 4.13 (±0.20) for larvae. The average observed heterozygosity was 0.53 237 (± 0.01) for adults, 0.51 (± 0.03) for embryos, and 0.51 (± 0.01) for larvae. On average, we 238 removed 33.2% (± 0.09) of larval samples due to sibship, while only 13% (± 0.084) of 239 adult and 14.98% (±0.09) of embryo samples were removed. Pairwise genetic distances 240 between ponds measured using F_{ST} averaged 0.011(±0.008) in adults, 0.021(±0.007) in 241 larvae, and $0.019 (\pm 0.014)$ in embryos (Table 3). 242 When comparing each life stage at each summary metric, we found no significant 243 differences between data containing the sibling pairs and data with removed sibling pairs

244 (permutation t-test P-value ≥ 0.19 ; Fig. 2, Tables 1–2). We found that the mixing of life 245 stages resulted in genetic estimates of Ar, Ho, FST, and DC that, on average, did not differ 246 from estimates made for each specific life stage (Tables 1-2). There were, however, up to 247 three pond-pair F_{ST} values that fell outside of the bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals 248 (Table 3). When genetic distance was measured using $D_{\rm C}$, only one pond-pair fell outside 249 of the bootstrapped confidence interval. In general, there was a greater frequency of 250 pairwise genetic distance measures based on embryo samples to fall outside of the mixed 251 sample confidence interval. For both $F_{\rm ST}$ and $D_{\rm C}$, the removal of siblings resulted in more 252 pairwise estimates falling outside of the mixed sample confidence interval (Table 3). Due 253 to sample size, clear inferences cannot be drawn from larvae. With regard to IBD, only

Peer Preprints

254tests using adult samples (with and without siblings) resulted in significant relationships255(Table 4), and the IBD relationship was stronger when genetic distance was measured256using D_C (Fig. 3). IBD tests from embryos or larvae had much lower Mantel r257correlations and were not significant. The mixing of tissue samples resulted in non-258significant IBD tests when using F_{ST} (p > 0.05; Table 4), but had little effect when using259 D_C (Table 4).

260 Our assessment of increasing the proportion of larval or embryo tissue samples 261 clearly demonstrated that the calculated Mantel r decreases as the proportion of larval 262 and embryo samples increases (Fig. 3). This pattern was consistent regardless of whether 263 genetic distance was measured using F_{ST} or D_{C} . Correspondingly, the average P-value of 264 the Mantel test increased as the proportion of larval and embryo samples increased. In 265 this analysis, the mean bootstrapped P-value for tests using F_{ST} started at 0.057 (0.055– 266 0.60) for adult-only samples, and increased to 0.231 (0.223–0.239) for larvae/embryo-267 only samples (Fig. 3b). In contrast, the P-value for Mantel tests with D_c started at 0.019 268 (0.018-0.020) when only adults were included and increased to 0.146 (0.140-0.152)269 without adult samples (Fig. 3d). The 0.05 threshold is passed when the proportion of 270 larvae and embryos in the sample reaches 0.60-0.65 (P-values = 0.047-0.054, 271 respectively).

272

273 Discussion

Our literature search revealed that mixed tissues have been used in about one fifth of

amphibian population genetic studies using microsatellites, despite the lack of knowledge

276 concerning the effects that this may have on population or landscape genetic inferences.

Peer Preprints

277 Collection of genetic samples from the field is likely influenced by numerous factors, 278 such as the timing of life stage development and accessibility to sampling sites. Many of 279 the studies that mixed tissues did so when the target life stage was not found and 280 minimum sample requirements had to be met. Decisions made during sampling can 281 undoubtedly influence the inferences made, as genetic variation within spatially 282 structured populations can vary spatially, temporally, or as a result of life history 283 (Anderson & Dunham 2008; Schwartz & McKelvey 2009; Scillitani et al. 1996). 284 In our study, however, we found little evidence for adverse effects of including 285 siblings or with mixing tissue samples collected from different life stages when assessing 286 levels of allelic richness, heterozygosity, or effective population size. Despite this 287 finding, we feel that it is best practice to remove all full-sibling pairs so that only a single 288 family group is represented (Goldberg & Waits 2010). We found that estimates of 289 pairwise Fst, although not statistically different among life stages, differed substantially 290 between life stages as well as from the mixed-tissue sample. However, this pattern was 291 not observed when pairwise genetic distance was measured using $D_{\rm C}$. The greatest impact 292 of mixing life stages was evident in our tests of IBD among pond pairs. Only the tests 293 using adults were significant, while larvae-only, embryo-only, and mixed-tissue samples 294 showed little correlation with distance. The IBD relationship was strongest when genetic 295 distance was measured using $D_{\rm C}$, and we found that the IBD relationship seen in adult-296 only samples decreased as the proportion of larvae and embryos included in the 297 population sample increased. In our dataset, the IBD relationship, when assessed with $D_{\rm C}$, 298 remained significant until the frequency of larvae and embryos in the population sample 299 exceeded 60%. As such, our results suggest the greatest effects of mixing different life

Peer Preprints

stages may be evident in landscape genetic analyses, with different conclusions
potentially being drawn from adult-only samples as compared to larvae, embryo, or
mixed-tissue samples. Further, such relationships may be sensitive to the genetic distance
statistic used.

304 Contrary to our predictions, we did not observe significant biases in our 305 population genetic measures among life stages or with the exclusion of full siblings from 306 the data. This is counter to the findings of Goldberg & Waits (2010) who found that 307 skewed estimates between larval and adult population genetic measures were eliminated 308 or reduced when full siblings were removed from the larval sample. As in the analysis of 309 mixed-tissue samples, the greatest differences were observed in relation to genetic 310 differentiation measured by F_{ST} , which increased (insignificantly) after the removal of 311 full siblings from the data, as well as from adults to embryos, to larvae. These increases 312 in genetic differentiation in the embryonic and larval stages, as compared to the adults is 313 predicted by population genetic theory (Allendorf & Phelps 1981), and has been 314 empirically demonstrated in Columbia spotted frogs (Rana luteiventris) (Goldberg & 315 Waits 2010).

The clearest result from our study was the proportion of samples that had to be removed from each life stage due to redundancy of siblings. We note that it is actually quite unlikely that we sampled full sibling adults given their life history and longevity (Petranka 1998), but we chose to remove these putative siblings from our data set for consistency of methods among life stages. We note, however, that Goldberg & Waits (2010) did not test for or remove sibling pairs from their adult samples. In our study, up to 40% of larval samples collected in the field had to be removed. Although larvae are

Peer Preprints

323 often the most readily accessible and conveniently sampled life stage, this represents an 324 inefficient use of resources, and we encourage future studies to avoid sampling larvae 325 when possible. Given that inferences differed minimally and insignificantly between 326 larvae, embryos, and adults, we advocate for sampling adults or embryos. However, we 327 note that both larvae and adults can be sampled non-destructively, which may become a 328 factor in deciding which life stage to sample for some species.

329 In our study, we assessed IBD through the use of simple Mantel tests. We readily 330 acknowledge the limitations and criticisms of the Mantel test for making robust inference 331 (e.g., Guillot & Rousset 2013; Legendre et al. 2015). However, we feel that for our 332 limited data set and ultimate goal of assessing relative differences and patterns between 333 life stages, mixed life stage samples, and genetic distance measures, the simple Mantel 334 test was sufficient and provides an appropriate cautionary caveat for future researchers 335 using any method. More rigorous methods such as distance-based redundancy analysis 336 (Legendre & Anderson 1999), multiple regression of distance matrices (Holzhauer et al. 337 2006), distance-based Moran's eigenvector maps (Legendre & Legendre 2012), or mixed 338 effects models fit with an appropriate error structure (Clarke et al. 2002) should 339 preferentially be used over Mantel tests in future studies seeking to estimate the effects of 340 landscape features on genetic differentiation. 341 To our knowledge, our study is the first attempt to determine how the sampling 342 and mixing of different life stages affects genetic parameter estimates. Our findings with 343 the common and widespread A. maculatum should be broadly generalizable to other pool-

344 breeding amphibian species, especially those that exhibit similar life history

345 characteristics. The life history of *A. maculatum* is not unlike that *Ambytoma* spp.

346 (Petranka 1998). It is unclear how differences in life history (e.g., life span, breeding site 347 fidelity, reproductive strategy, etc.) alter the effects of sampling different life stages, but 348 our results could be applicable to insects or fish that also exhibit Type III survivorship. 349 We found that mixed-tissue samples can lead to different conclusions when conducting 350 spatial analyses, such as IBD, and these results would likely extend to more complex 351 landscape genetic analyses as well. As such, we caution researchers to carefully consider 352 the implications of mixing samples collected from multiple life stages. Our finding that 353 population genetic parameters differed little with the removal of siblings or mixing of life 354 stages needs to be interpreted cautiously. First, we had a relatively small sample size 355 from which to draw inference, although we note that it is not much smaller than that used 356 by Goldberg & Waits (2010) who assessed eight populations of *R. luteiventris* and four 357 populations of A. macrodactylum. We do note that the power to infer differences is likely 358 greater in our study due to the large number of polymorphic microsatellites used. Perhaps 359 of greater importance is the fact that our populations are relatively close together 360 (maximum distance = 3,200 m) and situated within continuous forest habitat. In contrast, 361 Goldberg & Waits (2010) sampled populations separated by 2.7–18.5 km of agricultural 362 matrix. Further, the populations included in our study are very robust, with recorded 363 breeding aggregations of several hundred individuals (Semlitsch unpublished data). Like 364 Goldberg & Waits (2010), we suggest that future studies include a pilot phase to assess 365 the effects of sampling different life stages to meet the objectives of the specific project. 366 An important consideration for any population or landscape genetic study is the target 367 demographic group for which inferences are desired. If quantifying movement or 368 connectivity of populations is the main objective of a study, then sampling adult life

- 369 stages may provide the most accurate inferences. If the study objectives are to quantify
- the distribution of genetic diversity, then perhaps larvae or embryos will suffice.

371

372 Acknowledgements

373 We thank T. Luhring for assistance in the field.

374	Literature cited
375	Alford RA, and Richards SJ. 1999. Global amphibian declines: A problem in applied
376	ecology. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 30:133–165. DOI:
377	10.2307/221682.
378	Allendorf FW, and Phelps SR. 1981. Use of allelic frequencies to describe population
379	structure. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 38:1507–1514.
380	Anderson EC, and Dunham KK. 2008. The influence of family groups on inferences
381	made with the program Structure. Molecular Ecology Resources 8:1219–1229.
382	DOI: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2008.02355.x.
383	Balloux F, Amos W, and Coulson T. 2004. Does heterozygosity estimate inbreeding in
384	real populations? <i>Molecular Ecology</i> 13:3021–3031.
385	Beebee TJC, and Rowe G. 2000. Microsatellite analysis of natterjack toad Bufo calamita
386	Laurenti populations: Consequences of dispersal from a Pleistocene refugium.
387	Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 69:367–381.
388	Berven KA. 1990. Factors affecting population fluctuations in larval and adult stages of
389	the wood frog (Rana sylvatica). <i>Ecology</i> 71:1599-1608.
390	Clarke RT, Rothery P, and Raybould AF. 2002. Confidence limits for regression
391	relationships between distance matrices: Estimating gene flow with distance.
392	Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics 7:361–372.
393	DOI: 10.1198/108571102320.
394	Dahlberg MD. 1979. A review of survival rates of fish eggs and larvae in relation to
395	impact assessments. Marine Fisheries Review 41:1-12.

- 396 Ficetola GF, Garner TWJ, Wang J, and De Bernardi F. 2011. Rapid selection against
- inbreeding in a wild population of a rare frog. *Evolutionary Applications* 4:30–38.
- 398 DOI: 10.1111/j.1752-4571.2010.00130.x.
- 399 Frankham R. 1996. Relationship of genetic variation to population size in wildlife.
- 400 *Conservation Biology* 10:1500–1508.
- 401 Goldberg CS, and Waits LP. 2010. Quantification and reduction of bias from sampling
- 402 larvae to infer population and landscape genetic structure. *Molecular Ecology*
- 403 *Resources* 10:304–313. DOI: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02755.x.
- Goslee SC, and Urban DL. 2007. The ecodist package for dissimilarity-based analysis of
 ecological data. *Journal of Statistical Software* 22:1–19.
- 406 Goudet J. 2001. FSTAT, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation
- 407 indices (version 2.9.3). Updated from Goudet, J (1995) FSTAT (version 1.1): a
- 408 computer program to calculate *F*-statistics. *Journal of Hereditiy* 34:220–221.
- 409 Goudet J. 2013. hierfstat: Estimation and tests of hierarchical F-statistics. R package

410 version 0.04-10. <u>http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=hierfstat</u>.

411 Guillot G, and Rousset F. 2013. Dismantling the Mantel tests. *Methods in Ecology and*

412 *Evolution* 4:336–344. DOI: 10.1111/2041-210x.12018.

- 413 Hansen MM, Nielsen EE, and Mensberg KLD. 1997. The problem of sampling families
- 414 rather than populations: relatedness among individuals in samples of juvenile
- brown trout Salmo trutta L. Molecular Ecology 6:469–474. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-
- 416 294X.1997.t01-1-00202.x.
- 417 Harrison XA, Bearhop S, Inger R, Colhoun K, Gudmundsson GA, Hodgson D,
- 418 McElwaine G, and Tregenza TOM. 2011. Heterozygosity–fitness correlations in a

419	migratory bird: an analysis of inbreeding and single-locus effects. Molecular
420	<i>Ecology</i> 20:4786–4795. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05283.x.
421	Heyer WR, Donnelly MA, McDiarmid RW, and Hayek L-AC. 1994. Measuring and
422	monitoring biological diversity: standard methods for amphibians. In: Foster MS,
423	editor. Biological diversity handbook series. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian
424	Institution Press. p 364.
425	Holzhauer SIJ, Ekschmitt K, Sander AC, Dauber J, and Wolters V. 2006. Effect of
426	historic landscape change on the genetic structure of the bush-cricket Metrioptera
427	roeseli. Landscape Ecology 21:891–899.
428	Jombart T, and Ahmed I. 2011. adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-
429	wide SNP data. Bioinformatics 27:3070–3071. DOI:
430	10.1093/bioinformatics/btr521.
431	Kalinowski ST. 2005. HP-RARE 1.0: A computer program for performing rarefaction on
432	measures of allelic richness. Molecular Ecology Notes 5:187–189. DOI:
433	10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00845.x.
434	Kiritani K, and Nakasuji F. 1967. Estimation of the stage-specific survival rate in the
435	insect population with overlapping stages. Researches on Population Ecology
436	9:143–152.
437	Lee-Yaw JA, Davidson A, McRae BH, and Green DM. 2009. Do landscape processes
438	predict phylogeographic patterns in the wood frog? Molecular Ecology 18:1863-
439	1874. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04152.x.
440	Lee-Yaw JA, Irwin JT, and Green DM. 2008. Postglacial range expansion from northern
441	refugia by the wood frog, Rana sylvatica. Molecular Ecology 17:867-884.

442	Legendre P, and Anderson MJ. 1999. Distance-based redundancy analysis: Testing
443	multispecies responses in multifactorial ecological experiments. Ecological
444	Monographs 69:1–24. DOI: 10.1890/0012-
445	9615(1999)069[0001:DBRATM]2.0.CO;2.
446	Legendre P, Fortin M-J, and Borcard D. 2015. Should the Mantel test be used in spatial
447	analysis? Methods in Ecology and Evolution 6:1239–1247. DOI: 10.1111/2041-
448	210X.12425.
449	Legendre P, and Legendre L. 2012. Chapter 12 - Ecological data series. In: Pierre L, and
450	Louis L, eds. Developments in Environmental Modelling: Elsevier, 711-783.
451	Munwes I, Geffen E, Roll U, Friedmann A, Daya A, Tikochinski Y, and Gafny S. 2010.
452	The change in genetic diversity down the core-edge gradient in the eastern
453	spadefoot toad (Pelobates syriacus). Molecular Ecology 19:2675–2689.
454	Park SDE. 2001. Trypanotolerance in West African Cattle and the Population Genetic
455	Effects of Selection. University of Dublin.
456	Peterman WE, Brocato ER, Pauley LR, Stuart EC, Semlitsch RD, and Eggert LS. 2013a.
457	Development and characterization of 18 microsatellite loci for the spotted
458	salamander (Ambystoma maculatum) using paired-end Illumina shotgun
459	sequencing. Conservation Genetics Resources 5:989-991. DOI: 10.1007/s12686-
460	013-9950-4.
461	Peterman WE, Connette GM, Spatola BN, Eggert LS, and Semlitsch RD. 2012.
462	Identification of polymorphic loci in Ambystoma annulatum and review of cross-
463	species microsatellite use in the genus Ambystoma. Copeia 2012:570-577. DOI:
464	10.1643/ch-11-001.

465	Peterman WE, Rittenhouse TAG, Earl JE, and Semlitsch RD. 2013b. Demographic
466	network and multi-season occupancy modeling of Rana sylvatica reveal spatial
467	and temporal patterns of population connectivity and persistence. Landscape
468	Ecology 28:1601–1613. DOI: 10.1007/s10980-013-9906-9.
469	Peterson CL, Wilkinson RF, Don M, and Holder T. 1991. Premetamorphic survival of
470	Ambystoma annulatum. Herpetologica 47:96–100.
471	Petranka JW. 1998. Salamanders of the United States and Canada. Washington D. C.:
472	Smithsonian Institution Press.
473	R Core Team. 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
474	Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.R-project.org/.
475	Richardson JL. 2012. Divergent landscape effects on population connectivity in two co-
476	occurring amphibian species. Molecular Ecology 21:4437–4451. DOI:
477	10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05708.x.
478	Schwartz MK, and McKelvey KS. 2009. Why sampling scheme matters: The effect of
479	sampling scheme on landscape genetic results. Conservation Genetics 10:441-
480	452.
481	Scillitani G, Belfiore C, Picariello O, and Cataudo A. 1996. Estimating genetic variation
482	from larvae and adults of mayflies: An electrophoretic analysis of three species of
483	Heptageniidae (Ephemeroptera). Italian Journal of Zoology 63:23-30. DOI:
484	10.1080/11250009609356102.
485	Semlitsch RD, Todd BD, Blomquist SM, Calhoun AJK, Gibbons JW, Gibbs JP, Graeter
486	GJ, Harper EB, Hocking DJ, Hunter ML, Patrick DA, Rittenhouse TAG, and
487	Rothermel BB. 2009. Effects of timber harvest on amphibian populations:

488	understanding mechanisms from forest experiments. Bioscience 59:853-862.
489	DOI: 10.1525/bio.2009.59.10.7.
490	Shoop CR. 1974. Yearly variation in larval survival of Ambystoma maculatum. Ecology
491	55:440–444. DOI: 10.2307/1935233.
492	Slate J, David P, Dodds KG, Veenvliet BA, Glass BC, Broad TE, and McEwan JC. 2004.
493	Understanding the relationship between the inbreeding coefficient and multilocus
494	heterozygosity: Theoretical expectations and empirical data. Heredity 93:255-
495	265.
496	Wang J. 2012. Computationally efficient sibship and parentage assignment from
497	multilocus marker data. Genetics 191:183–194. DOI:
498	10.1534/genetics.111.138149.
499 500	

Table 1. Summary statistics following COLONY analysis including effective population
size (Ne) and the proportion of samples removed. The combined life stages sibling
removal follows two iterations of COLONY, the first within life stage, the second after
pooling life stages.

	Ne			Proportion of samples removed			
Pond	Adult	Embryo	Larvae	Adult	Embryo	Larvae	Combined life stages
1	120	92	62	0.08	0.08	0.21	0.40
2	67	114	_	0.16	0.05	_	0.25
3	100	93	_	0.00	0.12	_	0.24
4	100	55	70	0.16	0.20	0.39	0.41
5	50	58	60	0.25	0.30	0.40	0.37
Avg	87.4	82.4	64	0.13	0.15	0.33	0.33
SD	25.28	22.58	4.32	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.07



- 506 **Table 2**. Rarefied allelic richness and observed heterozygosity estimates at each pond for both the full data set, and with siblings
- 507 removed. Mixed-tissue is the bootstrap mean and 95% confidence interval from randomly sampling all life stages together. Bolded
- 508 type indicates values that fall outside of the bootstrapped 95% confidence interval.
- 509

Full data set

	Ar				Ho			
Pond	Adult	Embryo	Larvae	Mixed samples (95% CI)	Adult	Embryo	Larvae	Mixed samples (95% CI)
1	4.11	4.22	4.21	4.22 (3.99–4.44)	0.54	0.49	0.52	0.53 (0.49–0.57)
2	3.94	4.10	_	4.08 (3.86-4.27)	0.51	0.45	_	0.50 (0.47-0.53)
3	3.65	3.68	_	3.67 (3.44-3.89)	0.50	0.47	—	0.49 (0.46–0.53)
4	3.77	3.63	3.90	3.73 (3.50-3.98)	0.52	0.50	0.49	0.51 (0.47-0.54)
5	3.66	3.88	4.07	3.92 (3.64-4.17)	0.50	0.54	0.52	0.54 (0.50-0.57)
Avg	3.83	3.90	4.06	3.93 (3.51-4.35)	0.52	0.49	0.51	0.52 (0.48-0.56)
SD	0.20	0.26	0.15	_	0.01	0.03	0.02	_

Siblings removed

			Ar				Ho	
Pond	Adult	Embryo	Larvae	Mixed samples (95% CI)	Adult	Embryo	Larvae	Mixed samples (95% CI)
1	4.16	4.20	4.36	4.22 (4.00-4.41)	0.55	0.53	0.51	0.54 (0.50-0.57)
2	3.98	4.15	_	4.19 (4.05–4.31)	0.52	0.48	_	0.51 (0.49-0.53)
3	3.65	3.72	_	3.77 (3.57-3.95)	0.50	0.48	_	0.50 (0.47-0.53)
4	3.77	3.64	3.88	3.81 (3.57-4.03)	0.54	0.52	0.50	0.52 (0.49-0.56)
5	3.57	4.04	4.16	3.98 (3.71-4.21)	0.53	0.54	0.53	0.54 (0.51-0.57)
Avg	3.83	3.95	4.13	3.99 (3.62-4.35)	0.53	0.51	0.51	0.52 (0.48-0.56)
SD	0.22	0.23	0.20	_	0.01	0.03	0.01	-



Table 3. Pairwise genetic distances (F_{ST} and D_C) between ponds for each life stage and for mixed-tissue life stages. Mixed is the

512 bootstrap mean and 95% confidence interval from randomly sampling all life stages together. Bolded type indicates values that fall

513 outside of the bootstrapped 95% confidence interval.

Full data set

			F_{ST}				$D_{\rm C}$	
Pond-pair	Adult	Embryo	Larvae	Mixed (95% CI)	Adult	Embryo	Larvae	Mixed (95% CI)
1_2	0.000	0.000	_	0.003 (-0.006-0.014)	0.168	0.195	_	0.190 (0.163-0.216)
1_3	0.012	0.002	_	0.011 (0.002-0.023)	0.212	0.208	_	0.235 (0.206-0.267)
1_4	0.019	0.020	0.020	0.008 (-0.001-0.020)	0.205	0.247	0.193	0.237 (0.204–0.268)
1_5	0.015	0.024	0.029	0.022 (0.008-0.037)	0.227	0.250	0.204	0.237 (0.208-0.267)
2_3	0.005	0.006	_	0.019 (0.007-0.032)	0.196	0.201	_	0.209 (0.183-0.237)
2_4	0.011	0.023	_	0.004 (-0.006-0.017)	0.188	0.230	_	0.218 (0.192-0.244)
2_5	0.013	0.030	_	0.022 (0.008-0.038)	0.216	0.233	_	0.211 (0.183-0.240)
3_4	0.000	0.004	_	0.019 (0.007-0.032)	0.151	0.165	_	0.171 (0.142-0.200)
3_5	0.012	0.023	_	0.010 (0.000-0.022)	0.242	0.207	_	0.221 (0.192-0.250)
4_5	0.005	0.012	0.011	0.010 (0.000-0.023)	0.213	0.197	0.181	0.223 (0.194–0.252)
Avg	0.009	0.014	0.020	0.013 (0.002-0.026)	0.202	0.213	0.193	0.215 (0.187–0.244)
SD	0.006	0.011	0.009	_	0.027	0.027	0.011	_

Siblings removed

	Fst				<i>D</i> c			
Pond-pair	Adult	Embryo	Larvae	Mixed (95% CI)	Adult	Embryo	Larvae	Mixed (95% CI)
1_2	0.000	0.000	_	0.002 (-0.005-0.011)	0.191	0.199	_	0.187 (0.164–0.212)
1_3	0.015	0.001	_	0.011 (0.003-0.020)	0.240	0.218	_	0.230 (0.206-0.257)

1_4	0.024	0.021	0.024	0.008 (0.000-0.017)	0.243	0.258	0.234	0.229 (0.200-0.256)
1_5	0.020	0.036	0.028	0.017 (0.006-0.030)	0.242	0.273	0.249	0.224 (0.195–0.251)
2_3	0.005	0.010	_	0.016 (0.007-0.027)	0.222	0.233	_	0.214 (0.193-0.234)
2_4	0.013	0.023	_	0.006 (-0.004-0.018)	0.220	0.259	_	0.213 (0.189-0.235)
2_5	0.015	0.040	_	0.019 (0.007-0.031)	0.226	0.276	_	0.203 (0.178-0.228)
3_4	0.000	0.006	_	0.016 (0.007-0.028)	0.179	0.191	_	0.173 (0.146-0.203)
3_5	0.013	0.037	_	0.007 (-0.001-0.017)	0.262	0.256	_	0.212 (0.189-0.237)
4_5	0.004	0.020	0.012	0.008 (-0.002-0.020)	0.232	0.240	0.227	0.213 (0.187-0.239)
Avg	0.011	0.019	0.021	0.011 (0.002-0.022)	0.226	0.240	0.237	0.21 (0.185-0.235)
SD	0.008	0.014	0.007	_	0.025	0.030	0.011	_



517 **Table 4.** Results of simple Mantel tests assessing the correlation between genetic distance and geographic distance. Mixed life stage

518 represents 1,000 bootstrap iterations, and the corresponding Mantel r and P-value estimates are the mean and 95% confidence

519 intervals of the bootstrap iterations. Mantel P-values were estimated from 100,000 permutations.

Full data set

	F	ST	<i>D</i> _C		
Life stage	Mantel <i>r</i>	P-value	Mantel <i>r</i>	P-value	
Mixed	0.38 (-0.04-0.71)	0.18 (0.03-0.54)	0.775 (0.505-0.943)	0.031 (0.016-0.100)	
Adult	0.715	0.034	0.731	0.033	
Embryo	0.164	0.316	0.687	0.033	
Larva	-0.125	0.666	-0.055	0.668	

Siblings removed

	Fs	ST	<i>D</i> c			
Life stage	Mantel <i>r</i>	P-value	Mantel <i>r</i>	P-value		
Mixed	0.310 (-0.10-0.65)	0.22 (0.05-0.54)	0.758 (0.467-0.936)	0.035 (0.016-0.100)		
Adult	0.704	0.033	0.794	0.016		
Embryo	0.093	0.417	0.427	0.118		
Larva	0.190	0.667	-0.186	0.667		

521	Figure 1. Map of Daniel Boone Conservation Area depicting the locations of the five
522	ponds used in this study. Wildlife ponds are ponds readily used by amphibians, such as
523	Ambystoma maculatum, for reproduction.
524	
525	Figure 2. Bar plots representing mean values of a) observed heterozygosity, b) rarefied
526	allelic richness (A _r), c) genetic distance (F_{ST}), d) genetic distance (D_C), e) effective
527	population size (Ne), and f) proportion of samples removed due to sibship. Solid bars
528	represent values containing full siblings, patterned bars represent values after sibling
529	removal, and error bars represent standard deviations.
530 531	Figure 3. Change in Mantel <i>r</i> when using F_{ST} (a) and D_C (b), and the corresponding
532	change in the P-value (c = F_{ST} ; d = D_C) with increasing proportion of tissue samples
533	coming from larvae and embryos. The dashed line in c and d is drawn at 0.05 to indicate
534	the traditional threshold for significance. Mean (solid black line) and 95% confidence
535	intervals (gray shading) were estimated at 0.05 increments between from 0 to 1. A
536	proportion of 0 represents an adult-only sample, while a proportion of 1 represents a
537	larvae/embryo-only sample. At each 0.05 increment, 1000 bootstrap samples were
538	conducted and Mantel P-values were estimated from 100,000 permutations.
539	





542





545



