What is the effect of ammonium sulfate on the heart rate of *Daphnia magnia*?

Qin Xiang Ng

Ammonium sulfate [(NH₄)₂SO₄] is one of the most widely used nitrogen-based fertilizer in agriculture, and has been produced for over 150 years. However, limited research has been done to investigate the eco-toxic effects of ammonium sulfate, commonly present in surface runoff. This study therefore aimed to investigate the effects of varying ammonium sulfate concentrations on the normal physiology of Daphnia magna through a modified acute toxicity testing. Concentrations of ammonium sulfate solutions at 0M, 0.05M, 0.10M, 0.15M, 0.20M, 0.25M, and 0.30M were prepared and tested on 10 D. magna for each concentration of ammonium sulfate solution. The bioassay test was done by observing the effects of different concentrations of ammonium sulfate solution on the heart rate of D. magna. The percentage increase in average heart rate of D. magna after exposure to the respective concentrations of ammonium sulfate solution were calculated and a relationship between varying concentrations of ammonium sulfate concentration and the heart rate of D. magna was illustrated by plotting a graph using the respective data points obtained. Results indicated that increasing concentrations of ammonium sulfate solution resulted in an increase in the heart rate of *D. magna* per minute, up till 0.20M concentration. Increasing concentrations of ammonium sulfate solution beyond 0.20M resulted in a decrease in the heart rate of *D. magna* per minute. It was also discovered that specifically, the ammonium ions present when ammonium sulfate dissociates in water, is responsibility for toxicity, and not the sulfate ions. It is reasonable to conclude that ammonium sulfate poses significant eco-toxic effects as *D. magna* is a common primary consumer in many freshwater aquatic ecosystems, any change in its population guality or quantity can cause irreparable effects to the populations of other aquatic organisms.

| Peer | Preprints NOT PEER-REVIEWED |
|----------|---|
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | What is the effect of ammonium sulfate on the heart |
| 6 | rate of Daphnia magnia? |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Qin Xiang, \underline{Ng}^{1*} |
| 10 | |
| 11 12 | ¹ National University of Singapore, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, Singapore 117597 |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | * Address correspondence to ng.qin.xiang@u.nus.edu |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| | |

INTRODUCTION

Eutrophication is a process where water bodies receive excess organic nutrients which are used by aquatic plants such as algae, resulting in rapid plant growth. The excessive organic nutrients are a result of increased leaching of essential soil nutrients into water bodies due to the increase in rainfall in certain parts of the world such as the Pacific region, resulting in eutrophication.

Eutrophication, if not controlled, increases the biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) in water bodies, decreasing the total concentration of dissolved oxygen in the water, hence, making it more difficult to support marine life. Other than BOD, some of the chemicals involved in eutrophication may have toxic effects on aquatic life and animals. Together, these have detrimental effects on the ecological balance, diversity and fitness of the aquatic ecosystem.

The fundamental nutrients responsible for eutrophication are nitrates and phosphates compounds in soil. Nitrates are essential for amino acids and protein synthesis, which promotes stem and leaf growth while phosphates are required to synthesize nucleic acids, promote root growth, and strengthen the stem of plants. Agriculture fertilizers that contain large amount of nitrates and phosphates are frequently used to supplement soil to increase crop yield. Ammonium sulfate is present in high concentrations in these agricultural fertilizers.

38 Ammonium sulfate [(NH₄)₂SO₄] is one of the most widely used nitrogen-based 39 fertilizer in agriculture, and has been produced for over 150 years. Ammonium sulfate 40 is toxic to water organisms such as juvenile Salmo gairdneri and water algae (OECD, 41 2004). However, there is a lack of research on the eco-toxicity and lethal concentration 42 of ammonium sulfate. This report thus aimed to investigate the effects of ammonium 43 sulfate on the physiology of Daphnia magna. Daphnia magna, a freshwater filter-44 feeding crustacean, is one of the most sensitive organisms used in eco-toxicity 45 experiments, as described in the standard protocols of the U.S. Environmental 46 Protection Agency (EPA), Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development 47 (OECD), and International Standards Organization (ISO). Furthermore, since D. magna 48 is a primary consumer in freshwater aquatic ecosystems, any change in its population 49 quality or quantity can cause significant effects to the populations of other aquatic 50 organisms, resulting in loss of biodiversity and disruption of ecological balance.

Peer Preprints

NOT PEER-REVIEWED

Further studies have also showed that *D. magna* showed similar pharmacological reactions as humans when exposed to cardiac glycosides (Grant, 2000). This is significant as the reactions of *D. magna* to the test substances might be an indication that there is a possibility of a similar response by humans when exposed to the test substance. Hence, this makes *D. magna* an ideal test organism to determine toxicity. In this study, the heart rate of *D. magna* was monitored to investigate its cardiac reaction to various concentrations of ammonium sulfate.

- 59
- -
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 0
- 63
- 64
- 65

66

NOT PEER-REVIEWED

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A healthy stock of Daphnia magna Straus (Crustacea, Cladocera) was obtained from 67 68 the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Wuhan, China). In this 69 study, the *Daphnia magna* culture media used for all experiments was made by first 70 separately dissolving salts (11.76 g of CaCl₂.2H₂O, 4.93 g of MgSO₄.7H₂O, 2.59 g of 71 NaHCO₃ and 0.25 g of KCl) in 1 L of Millipore ultrapure water to make stock solutions. 72 Next, 25 mL of each salt stock solution was aliquoted into a clean 1 L screw cap bottle 73 and made up to 1 L volume using Millipore ultrapure water to obtain the final culture 74 media.

The test solution to be used in this experiment is ammonium sulfate solution. This was prepared by disssolving 13.21g of ammonium sulfate salt (Sigma A-4418, \geq 99.0%) in 100cm³ of culture media to make up a 1M stock solution. Various concentrations of test solutions (0.05M, 0.10M, 0.15M, 0.20M, 0.25M, and 0.30M) were prepared and stored in labelled test tubes. 0M solution (culture media) was also used as a control solution as a confirmation that any change in heart rate of *D. magna* was due to the introduction of ammonium sulfate solution only.

82 D. magna specimens were removed from the container individually using a plastic 83 dropper and placed on a cavity slide. It was then left untouched for 2 minutes in order 84 for the heart beats of *D. magna* to stabilise back to normal so as to reduce involuntary 85 changes in heart rate which could be due to agitation when transferring the specimens. 86 After 2 minutes, the excess solution was then removed from the cavity slide using some 87 tissue to reduce mobility of D. magna. 50 µl of 0.05M ammonium sulfate solution was 88 then transferred using a micropipette from the test tube to the cavity slide to expose the 89 specimen to ammonium sulfate solution. The specimen was left in the test solution for 90 2 minutes. Excess test solution was removed from the cavity slide using tissue paper 91 and the heartbeat of the specimen was observed using a light microscope under 10x 92 magnification. Data was collected by recording 1 minute video clips of the heartbeats 93 of the specimen by attaching a digital camera to the eyepiece of the micropscope. The 94 procedure was then repeated for the remaining concentrations of ammonium sulfate 95 solution.

| Peer | Preprints | | NOT PEER-REVIEWED |
|------|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 96 | Altogether, 10 D. | magna specimens were exposed t | o each concentration of ammonium |
| 97 | sulfate solution. | The mean percentage change in he | eart rate was calculated to obtain a |
| 98 | general trend acro | oss the various concentrations. | |
| 99 | | | |
| 100 | | | |
| 101 | | | |
| | | | |

| Peer | Prep | rints | | | | | | | NC |)T PE | ER-RI | EVIEWED |
|------|------------------------|--|----------|----------|--------|----------|---------|------------|---------|--------|-------|---------|
| 102 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 103 | One D. m | One D. magna was placed on the cavity slide and the heart rate was measured by | | | | | | | | | | |
| 104 | observing | observing the specimen under the microscope. The original water sample containing D. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 105 | magna wa | nagna was blotted dry before D. magna was exposed to respective concentrations of | | | | | | | | | | |
| 106 | ammoniu | m sulfat | e soluti | on for 2 | minute | s before | the hea | art rate v | was mea | sured. | | |
| 107 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 108 | Table 1: H | Table 1: Heart rate of D. magna in culture media (control) in 1 minute | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Specimen number | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Number | | | | | | | | | | | |

175 158 176 173 161 169

148

157

| 1 | 0 | 9 |
|---|---|---|
| - | v | - |

Table 2: Heart rate of *D. magna* in 0.05moldm⁻³ ammonium sulfate solution in 1 110

152 185

111 minute

of heart beats in 1 min

| | | | | | S | pecime | n numb | er | | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Number of heart | Before addition of test solution | 174 | 163 | 157 | 182 | 170 | 153 | 144 | 172 | 164 | 180 |
| beats in 1 min | With 0.05 moldm ⁻³ test | 214 | 201 | 173 | 197 | 204 | 181 | 179 | 193 | 186 | 212 |
| | test solution | | | | | | | | | | |

112

Table 3: Heart rate of *D. magna* in 0.1 moldm⁻³ ammonium sulfate solution in 1 113 <u>minute</u>

114

| | | | | | S | pecime | n numb | er | | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Number of heart | Before addition of test solution | 166 | 155 | 183 | 175 | 175 | 168 | 158 | 170 | 156 | 147 |
| beats in 1 min | With 0.10 moldm ⁻³ test solution | 224 | 190 | 233 | 238 | 239 | 213 | 197 | 226 | 215 | 206 |

115

117 Table 4: Heart rate of *D. magna* in 0.15 moldm⁻³ ammonium sulfate solution in 1

118 minute

| | | | | | S | pecime | n numb | er | | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Number of heart | Before addition of test solution | 178 | 182 | 162 | 175 | 169 | 173 | 149 | 171 | 167 | 154 |
| beats in 1 min | With 0.15 moldm ⁻³ test | 289 | 281 | 245 | 270 | 261 | 253 | 219 | 275 | 273 | 232 |
| | solution | | | | | | | | | | |

119

120 <u>Table 5: Heart rate of *D. magna* in 0.2 moldm⁻³ ammonium sulfate solution in 1</u>

121 <u>minute</u>

| | | | | | S | pecime | n numb | er | | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Number of heart | Before addition of test solution | 186 | 174 | 166 | 165 | 182 | 159 | 172 | 170 | 149 | 164 |
| beats in 1 min | With 0.20 moldm ⁻³ test solution | 329 | 312 | 289 | 316 | 318 | 291 | 302 | 316 | 284 | 301 |

122

123 <u>Table 6: Heart rate of *D. magna* in 0.25 moldm⁻³ ammonium sulfate solution in 1</u>

124 <u>minute</u>

| | | | | | S | pecime | n numb | er | | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Number of heart | Before addition of test solution | 178 | 182 | 162 | 175 | 169 | 165 | 170 | 156 | 177 | 176 |
| beats in 1 min | With 0.25 moldm ⁻³ test solution | 310 | 314 | 298 | 283 | 311 | 300 | 318 | 282 | 289 | 273 |

125

NOT PEER-REVIEWED

127 <u>Table 7: Heart rate of *D. magna* in 0.30 moldm⁻³ ammonium sulfate solution in 1</u>

128 <u>minute</u>

| | | | Specimen number | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----|-----------------|-----|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | | |
| Number of heart | Before addition of test solution | 159 | 168 | 154 | 189 | 179 | 147 | 177 | 158 | 163 | 168 | | | |
| beats in 1 min | With 0.30 moldm ⁻³ test solution | 93 ¹ | 262 | 102 ² | 148 ³ | 243 | 75 ⁴ | 266 | 122 ⁵ | 107 ⁶ | 110 ⁷ | | | |

129

130 The average heart rate of *D. magna* per minute exposed to each respective 131 concentrations of ammonium sulfate solution was calculated as shown:

Average heart rate = $\frac{\sum heart beat of all 10 specimens}{10}$

132

133

134

135

Table 8: Average heart rate of *D. magna* per minute exposed to respective
 concentrations of ammonium sulfate solution

138

| D. magna in | Average heartbeat per min fo | r all five specimens exposed to |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| respective | respective concentrations of a | mmonium sulfate solutions (b) |
| concentrations of | (rounded off to the n | earest whole number) |
| ammonium sulfate | Before addition of | After addition of ammonium |
| solutions (moldm ⁻³) | ammonium sulfate solution | sulfate solution |
| 0 (control) | 166 | N.A. |
| 0.05 | 166 | 194 |
| 0.10 | 165 | 218 |
| 0.15 | 168 | 260 |
| 0.20 | 169 | 306 |
| 0.25 | 171 | 298 |
| 0.30 | 166 | 257* |

139

140 *Only 3 values were used in calculating mean heartbeat for 0.30moldm⁻³ solutions

141 as the heart beat of the other 7 specimens stopped before the 1 minute mark.

142 Hence, they were not taken into consideration, as they would reflect a negative

143 decrease in mean heart rate.

¹ Heart beat stopped after 21s

² Heart beat stopped after 39s

³ Heart beat stopped after 32s

⁴ Heart beat stopped after 16s

⁵ Heart beat stopped after 27s

⁶ Heart beat stopped after 35s

⁷ Heart beat stopped after 16s

| Peer | Preprints NOT PEER-REVIEWE |
|------------|---|
| 144 | Calculation for average heart rate of 10 specimens after exposure to 0.05M |
| 145 | ammonium sulfate solution: |
| 146 | Average heart rate = $\frac{214 + 201 + 173 + 197 + 204 + 181 + 179 + 193 + 186 + 212}{10}$ |
| 147 | = 194 |
| 148 | Calculation for average heart rate of 10 specimens after exposure to 0.3M ammonium |
| 149 | sulfate solution: |
| 150 | Average heart rate = $\frac{262+243+266}{3}$ |
| 151 | = 257 |
| 152 | |
| 153 154 | The overall percentage change in the heart rate of <i>D. magna</i> in the respective concentrations of ammonium sulfate solutions is calculated as shown: |
| 155 | Percentage change in heart rate of D. magna |
| 156 | $=\frac{\text{mean heartbeat after exposure to test solution-mean heartbeat before exposure to solution}}{\text{mean heartbeat before exposure to test solution}} \times 100\%$ |
| 157 | |
| 158 159 | Calculation of percentage change in heart rate for specimens exposed to 0.05M solution: |

ED

160
$$\frac{194-166}{166} \times 100 = 16.7\%$$

- 161 <u>Table 9: Overall percentage change in heart rate of *D. magna* in different</u>
- 162 <u>concentrations of test solution</u>

| | | Concentration of Ammonium Sulfate stock solution | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--|
| | | (moldm ⁻³) | | | | | | |
| | | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 | |
| Daphnia heart rate (beats per | Before addition of test solution | 166 | 165 | 168 | 169 | 171 | 166 | |
| | After addition of test solution | 194 | 218 | 260 | 306 | 298 | 257 | |
| minute) | Percentage change(%) | + 16.7 | + 32.1 | + 54.8 | + 81.1 | + 74.3 | + 54.8* | |
| | (to 1 d.p.) | | | | | | | |

163 * Selective data used in calculating percentage change

164 For calculation of percentage change in heart rate per minute for *D. magna* in 0.30M

solution, only 3 out of 10 set of raw data values were used in the calculation of mean

166 heart beats in 1 minute. This is because there were inconsistency in the other 7 values

NOT PEER-REVIEWED

167 observed. It was observed that the heart beat of the other 7 specimens stopped before 168 the stipulated 1 minute observation time. If these values were taken into consideration 169 in calculating the mean percentage change in heart rate, it would register an overall 170 negative increase in percentage change in the heart beat of *D. magna* in 1 minute, which 171 is an inaccurate reflection of the results for that specific concentration as the 3 of the 172 10 specimens did show an increase in heart rate. However, it has to be taken into consideration that there is a possibility of the other 7 readings being more accurate 173 174 reflections of the actual affect of ammonium sulfate at 0.30M concentration on D. 175 magna. Hence, analysis of data was only focused on concentrations up till 0.25M and 176 the data collected for 0.30M concentration was classified as anomaly.

Bioassay of *D. magna* on concentrations above 0.30M (i.e. 0.35M and 0.40M solution)
were done and experimental observations showed that upon addition of the respective
concentrations of ammonium sulfate solution, *D. magna* specimens showed an
extremely rapid increase in heartbeat initially. However, the heart beat of the *D. magna*specimens stopped before the stipulated 1 minute observation time. Hence, the results
from those concentrations were not reflected.

- 183
- 184

| | | Before/after | | | | | Hear | t beats p | er minut | e (bpm) | | | | |
|--|------|---------------|----------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| addition of | | | Daphnia specimen no. | | | | | | | | Average | Percentage | | |
| | | test solution | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | heart rate | Change (%) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (to 1 d.p.) |
| | 0.05 | Before | 174 | 163 | 157 | 182 | 170 | 153 | 144 | 172 | 164 | 180 | 166 | + 16.7 |
| | | After | 214 | 201 | 173 | 197 | 204 | 181 | 179 | 193 | 186 | 212 | 194 | |
| Concentration of ammonium sulfate test solution (moldm ⁻³) | 0.10 | Before | 166 | 155 | 183 | 175 | 175 | 168 | 158 | 170 | 156 | 147 | 165 | + 32.1 |
| | | After | 224 | 190 | 233 | 238 | 239 | 213 | 197 | 226 | 215 | 206 | 218 | |
| | 0.15 | Before | 178 | 182 | 162 | 175 | 169 | 173 | 149 | 171 | 167 | 154 | 168 | + 54.8 |
| | | After | 289 | 281 | 245 | 270 | 261 | 253 | 219 | 275 | 273 | 232 | 260 | |
| | 0.20 | Before | 186 | 174 | 166 | 165 | 182 | 159 | 172 | 170 | 149 | 164 | 169 | +81.1 |
| | | After | 329 | 312 | 289 | 316 | 318 | 291 | 302 | 316 | 284 | 301 | 306 | |
| | 0.25 | Before | 178 | 182 | 162 | 175 | 169 | 165 | 170 | 156 | 177 | 176 | 171 | + 74.3 |
| | | After | 310 | 314 | 298 | 283 | 311 | 300 | 318 | 282 | 289 | 273 | 298 | |
| | 0.30 | Before | 159 | 168 | 154 | 189 | 179 | 147 | 177 | 158 | 163 | 168 | 166 | + 54.8 |
| | | After | 93 | 262 | 102 | 148 | 243 | 75 | 266 | 122 | 107 | 110 | 257 | |
| Control setup | | 152 | 185 | 175 | 158 | 176 | 173 | 161 | 169 | 148 | 157 | 166 | N.A. | |

Table 10: Effect of various concentrations of ammonium sulfate solution concentration on heart rate of *D. magna*

NOT PEER-REVIEWED



concentration of ammonium sulfate concentration (moldm⁻³)

NOT PEER-REVIEWED

186 <u>Table 11: Calculated standard deviation for the mean heart rate for each respective</u>

187 <u>concentrations</u>

| Concentration of ammonium | Mean heart ra (bp | nte per minute om) | Standard deviation (to 5 s.f.) | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|--------|--|--|
| sulfate solution (moldm ⁻³) | BeforeAfter exposureexposure to testto test solutionsolution | | Series 1: before exposure to test solutionSeries 2: af exposure to solution | | | |
| 0 (control) | 166 | 166 | 11.955 | 11.955 | | |
| 0.05 | 166 | 194 | 12.087 | 14.071 | | |
| 0.10 | 165 | 218 | 11.116 | 16.895 | | |
| 0.15 | 168 | 260 | 10.403 | 22.399 | | |
| 0.20 | 169 | 306 | 10.761 | 14.711 | | |
| 0.25 | 171 | 298 | 8.1240 | 15.491 | | |
| 0.30 | 166 | 257 | 12.709 | 74.525 | | |

188

*Calculated using Microsoft Excel spreadsheet

189

190 <u>Table 12: Calculated 95% confidence interval values</u>

| Concentration of ammonium sulfate | 95% confidence interval value (to 5 s.f.) | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| solution (moldm ⁻³) | Series 1: before exposure to test solution | Series 2: after exposure to test solution | | | |
| 0 (control) | 7.4099 | 7.4099 | | | |
| 0.05 | 7.4916 | 8.7213 | | | |
| 0.10 | 6.8897 | 10.471 | | | |
| 0.15 | 6.4477 | 13.883 | | | |
| 0.20 | 6.6693 | 9.1175 | | | |
| 0.25 | 5.0352 | 9.6009 | | | |
| 0.30 | 7.8768 | 46.190 | | | |

191 192

*Calculated using Microsoft Excel spreadsheet

A larger standard deviation means that the data points are further from the mean and hence, the wider the spread of data and vice versa. Hence, from Table 11, it can be seen that the standard deviation for the mean heart rates before and after exposure to each concentration of ammonium sulfate solution is relatively large due to the physiological nature of the experiment.

From Table 12, it shows that the confidence interval for all mean heart rates for all concentration (with the exception of 0.30M ammonium sulfate solution) is small relative to the mean, which shows that the mean values are reliable. However in the case of the mean values for 0.30M ammonium sulfate solution, the confidence interval is relatively larger as compared to the confidence interval for the other concentrations, this shows that there are anomalies in the results obtained.

PeerJ PrePrints | https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.1581v1 | CC-BY 4.0 Open Access | rec: 11 Dec 2015, publ: 11 Dec 2015

NOT PEER-REVIEWED

204 The general trend of the graph shows that as the concentration of ammonium sulfate 205 solution increased from 0M to 0.20M, the average heart rate of D. magna increases. 206 This increasing trend is consistent from 0M concentration to 0.2M concentration. At 207 concentrations above 0.20M, increasing concentrations of ammonium sulfate test 208 solutions resulted in a decreasing percentage change in heart rate of D. magna per 209 minute as shown in Figure 2. It was also shown that 0.35M solution killed all 10 D. 210 magna specimens (i.e. there was no heart beat observed upon addition of 0.35M 211 ammonium sulfate solution and D. magna specimens appeared to be immobile); hence, 212 no heart beats for the *D. magna* were recorded.

213 Only 3 out of 10 D. magna specimens survived in 0.30M solution. The heartbeats of

the other 7 D. magna stopped after 21s, 39s, 32s, 16s, 27s, 35s and 16s respectively.

215 This might be due to the fact that the concentrations of ammonium sulfate solutions

being tested were lethal to *D. magna*. However, the exact lethal concentration could not

- 217 be determined as the intervals of ammonium solution concentrations tested were too
- 218 large to extrapolate the lethal concentration from the data.
- The heart rate decreased gradually as the concentration of ammonium sulfate solutionincreased beyond 0.20M.

From the data collected, it can be concluded that the experimental observations showed that as ammonium sulfate solution concentration increases, the heart rate of *D. magna* would increase. This increasing trend was observed until a specific lethal concentration that killed the *D. magna*.

225

226

227

228

CONCLUSION

As the experiment was aimed at determining the effects of increasing concentrations of ammonium sulfate on *D. magna*, one limitation of this experiment is that it was unable to ascertain specifically the physiological mechanism of *D. magna* that was affected by ammonium sulfate. In this experiment, only changes in heart rate were investigated. However, ammonium sulfate could have also affected other aspects of *D. magna* metabolism such as circulatory system or the respiratory system.

Ammonium sulfate is a weak alkali due to the presence of ammonia (NH₃) in aqueous solution. When ammonium sulfate compound dissociates, it produces ammonia ions and sulfate ions, which results in fluctuations in pH of the solutions, and hence, amount of un-ionized NH₃ present in the solution. Changes in pH might be a confounding factor resulting in the deaths of *D. magna* specimens.

242 Fluctuations in pH might have altered the metabolic pathway of D. magna. Extreme pH 243 may interfere with numerous metabolic processes of the specimens such as 244 osmoregulation, oxygen consumption and aerobic metabolism in different crustacean 245 species (Jose, Chatelain, & Dufresne, 2009). In the case of D. magna, uptake of oxygen 246 is severely depressed at high pH values while it is not influenced by low pH values 247 (Jose, Chatelain, & Dufresne, 2009). Higher concentrations of ammonium sulfate 248 solution result in more un-ionized NH₃ present in the solution, which results in higher 249 alkalinity and higher pH. Hence, at higher concentrations of ammonium sulfate 250 solution, the heart rate of *D. magna* would increase so as to increase the amount of 251 oxygenated blood pumped through the body in order to compensate the decrease in 252 oxygen uptake at higher pH.

253 Since oxidative phosphorylation is an enzyme dependent process, an increase in 254 alkalinity of solution might have therefore decreased the efficiency of respiratory 255 enzymes in carrying out their functions in ATP synthesis. This is due to the fact that 256 enzymes being globular proteins with a specific active site have a specific three-257 dimensional conformation that is held in position by specific chemical groups and 258 bonds (Campbell & Reece, 2005). Fluctuations in pH might disrupt the bonds, hence 259 altering the conformation of the active site of the enzyme. This reduces the ability of 260 the enzyme to function optimally by inhibiting its ability to form substrate-enzyme 261 complex, hence decreasing rate of ATP production. Since ATP production is greatly

Peer Preprints

Peer Preprints 262 impaired, D. magna has to increase its heart rate to increase the uptake of oxygen for oxidative phosphorylation and thus the amount of ATP produced. 263

264 Further acute toxicity tests could be carried out to determine the LC_{50} and LD_{50} of 265 ammonium sulfate solution on D. magna so as to provide a more comparable set of 266 data. In addition, the lethal concentration of ammonium sulfate that is required to kill 267 half the population of *D. magna* specimens of a fixed sample size could be accurately 268 determined.

269 There is a possibility that it might not be the toxicity of ammonium sulfate that resulted 270 in the eventual death of *D. magna* at higher concentrations but it might be the individual effect of either ammonia ion (NH_3) or sulfate ions (SO_4^{2-}) when ammonium sulfate 271 272 dissociates in aqueous solution. It was found that ammonia toxicity is due to the un-273 ionized form of NH₃ given that the highest toxicity of ammonium sulfate is at pH 8 274 (Clement & Merlin, 1995).

275 A similar experiment could be carried out by testing other salt solutions containing 276 either ammonia or sulfate compounds. For example, the similar experiment can be 277 carried out using ammonium chloride, which will provide the ammonia ion, and 278 magnesium sulfate, which will provide the sulfate ion. A comparison can then be done 279 to determine if salts containing ammonia or sulfate ions have the same effect on D. 280 magna. This information can then be used to determine if the change in heart rate of D. 281 magna specimens were due to the ammonia ions, the sulfate ions or both.

282 In the ecological context, ammonium sulfate would not be the sole contaminant in water 283 bodies. Its interactions with other compounds, such as heavy metals might have more 284 detrimental effects on *D. magna* as compared to ammonium sulfate itself. Hence, further 285 recommendations to monitoring of the ecosystem could be extended by testing 286 combinations of compounds with ammonium sulfate on D. magna.

287

288

| Deer | Preprints NOT PEER-REVIEWED |
|-------------|--|
| 290 | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS |
| 291 | No conflict of interest to declare. This project was done with assistance from the |
| 292 | National University of Singapore. |
| 293 294 | |

| eer | Preprints NOT PEER-REVIEW |
|-----|---|
| 295 | BIBLIOGRAPHY |
| 296 | Barata, C., Varo, I., Navarro, J. C., Arun, S., & Porte, C. (2005). Antioxidant enzyme |
| 297 | activities and lipid peroxidation in the freshwater cladoceran <i>Daphnia magna</i> exposed |
| 298 | to redox recycling compounds. <i>Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part C</i> |
| 299 | <i>140</i> , 175-186. |
| 300 | Campbell, N., & & Reece, J. (2005). International Edition: Biology (7th edition). San |
| 301 | Francisco: Pearson Education Inc., Benjamin Cummings. |
| 302 | Clare, J. (2002, July). Daphnia. Retrieved November 29, 2008, from Daphnia: An |
| 303 | Aquarist's Guide: http://www.caudata.org/daphnia/#what1 |
| 304 | Clement, B., & Merlin, G. (1995). The contribution of ammonia and alkalinity to |
| 305 | landfill leachate toxicity to duckweed. The Science of the Total Environment 170, 71- |
| 306 | 79. |
| 307 | Evans, R. (2004). Examining environmental cues in Daphnia magna an feeding |
| 308 | preferences in bluegill. Retrieved January 11, 2015, from |
| 309 | http://users.manchester.edu/Student/Rsevans/Webpage/Daphnia%20magna%20and% |
| 310 | 20bluegill.pdf. |
| 311 | Fernandez-Alba, A., Hernando, D., Aguera, A., Caceres, J., & S., M. (2002). Toxicity |
| 312 | assays: a way for evaluating AOPs efficiency. Water Research 36, 4255-4262. |
| 313 | Franklin, F. L. (1980). Assessing the toxicity of industrial wastes, with particular |
| 314 | reference to variations in sensitivity of test animals. UK: Lowestoft. |
| 315 | Grant, S. N. (2000). Daphnia magna: An Alternative Model for in vivo Assessment of |
| 316 | Cardiac Toxicity. Louisville: du Pont Manual Magnet High School. |
| 317 | Ikenaka, Y., Eun, H., Ishizaka, M., & Miyabara, Y. (2006). Metabolism of pyrene by |
| 318 | aquatic crustacean, Daphnia Magna. Aqua Toxicology 80, 158-165. |
| 319 | Jose, C., Chatelain, E.H., & Dufresne, F. (2009). Low flexibility of metabolic |
| 320 | capacity in aubartic and temperate cytotypes of Daphnia pulex. Journal of Thermal |
| 321 | <i>Biology</i> 34, 70-75. |

| Peer | Preprints NOT PEER-REVIEWED |
|------|---|
| 322 | Laboratory of ecotoxicology and LCA Department of Environmental Chemistry, ICT |
| 323 | Prague. (n.d.). Daphnia magna acute toxicity test. Retrieved from Daphnia magna |
| 324 | acute toxicity test: |
| 325 | http://209.85.175.132/search?q=cache:t1_jE8hi5xMJ:www.vscht.cz/uchop/ekotoxikol |
| 326 | ogie/studijni_materialy/Ekotox- |
| 327 | Labo/AJverze/5_Eng_Daphnia%2520acute%2520test.pdf+daphnia+magna+acute+to |
| 328 | xicity+test&hl=en&ct=clnk&cd=1&client=safari |
| 329 | Marttinen, S., Kettunen, R., Sormunen, K., Soimsuo, R., & Rintala, J. (2002). |
| 330 | Screening of physical-chemical methods for removal of organic material, nitrogen and |
| 331 | toxicity from low strength landfill leachates. Chemosphere 46, 851-858. |
| 332 | Organistion for Economic co-operation and develoment (OECD). (2004). Ammonium |
| 333 | Sulfate. Germany: United Nations Environment Programme chemicals unit. |
| 334 | Seco, J. I., Fernandez-pereira, C., & Vale, J. (2003). A study of the leachate toxicity |
| 335 | of metal-containing solid wastes using Daphnia magna. Ecotoxicology and |
| 336 | Environmental Safety 56, 339-350. |
| 337 | |
| 338 | |
| 339 | |
| 340 | |
| 341 | |
| 342 | |
| 343 | |
| 344 | |
| 345 | |
| 346 | |