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Abstract
The first dromaeosaurid theropod from the Early Cretaceous Bayan Gobi Formation is identified based on an incompletely preserved partially-articulated left leg, increasing the known diversity of its understudied ecosystem. The leg belongs to specimen IVPP V22530 and includes a typical deinonychosaurian pedal phalanx II-2 with a distinct constriction between the enlarged proximal end and the distal condyle as well as a typical deinonychosaurian enlarged pedal phalanx II-3. It possesses a symmetric metatarsus and a slender and long MT V that together suggest it is a dromaeosaurid. Two anatomical traits suggest the leg is microraptorine-like, but a more precise taxonomic referral was not possible: metatarsals II, III and IV are closely appressed distally and the ventral margin of the medial ligament pit of phalanx II-2 is close to the centre of the rounded distal condyle. This taxonomic status invites future efforts to discover additional specimens at the study locality because - whether it is a microraptorine or a close relative - this animal is expected to make important contributions to our understanding of dromaeosaurid evolution and biology. IVPP V22530 also comprises of an isolated manual ungual, a proximal portion of a right dorsal rib and an indeterminate bone mass that includes a collection of ribs. However, these specimens cannot be confidently referred to Dromaeosauridae, although they may very well belong to the same individual from whom the left leg belongs.

Introduction
The Bayan Gobi Formation of Elestai (Fig. 1) preserves an Early Cretaceous terrestrial environment that includes three described dinosaurs: the psittacosaurid ornithischian Psittacosaurus gobiensis (Russell & Zhao, 1996; Sereno, 2010), the hadrosaurid ornithischian Penelopognathus weishampeli (Godefroit et al., 2005) and the therizinosaurid theropod Alxasaurus elesitaiensis (Russell & Dong, 1994). Here we broaden the dinosaurian diversity of this Formation by reporting the first dromaeosaurid taxon, and extend the distribution of these animals northwest of existing Chinese Early Cretaceous localities.

Materials and Methods
IVPP V22530, an incomplete partially-articulated left dromaeosaurid leg (Fig. 2: distal portion of the femur, tibia and fibula, MTs I-V, pedal phalanges II-1, II-2, II-3, III-1, IV-1 and IV-2 as well as two pedal phalanges of unknown position, an isolated pennaraptoran manual ungual (Fig. 3), a proximal portion of a right theropod dorsal rib (Fig. 4) and an indeterminate bone mass including a collection of ribs (Fig. 5). It is uncertain how the leg is related to the other skeletal elements, but it is possible that they might all belong to the same individual.

IVPP V22530 was collected, studied and described using standard palaeontological methods, in accordance with a fossil excavation permit (13-07-ELT) obtained from the Department of Land and Resources, Nei Mongol, China.

**Locality and Horizon**

Figure 1: Location of Elesitai, Nei Mongol, China where IVPP V22530 was discovered. Scale = 50km in the foreground only (tilted map view). Modified Google Earth image.

IVPP V22530 was discovered in Elesitai, Nei Mongol, China (~41.2° N, 106.1° E; ~100km west of the Late Cretaceous Bayan Mandahu locality (~41.8° N, 106.7° E) by a field team from the Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Palaeoanthropology (IVPP), Beijing. The locality is part of the Early Cretaceous (Aptian [Jerzykiewicz & Russell, 1991; Russell & Dong, 1994] to Albian [Kielan-Jaworowska et al., 2005]) Bayan Gobi Formation and comprises of claystones, mudstones and siltstones deposited in a terrestrial environment. IVPP V22530 itself is preserved in a dark grey ostracod mudstone, possibly deposited in a lake or pond.

**Description and Comparisons**
Figure 2: IVPP V22530 includes an incomplete, partially-articulated left dromaeosaurid leg: A, main slab; B, counterslab. Scale = 2 cm.

The main portion of IVPP V22530 comprises of an incomplete partially-articulated left dromaeosaurid leg preserved between a main slab and counterslab (Fig. 2).
The distal portion of the femur in IVPP V22530 appears to be curved (Fig. 3) suggesting that the entire bone was presumably bowed, as in most theropods. An ectocondylar tuber is present on the posterior edge of the lateral condyle (Fig. 3B; Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 411 state 0), a feature common to theropod dinosaurs. The tibiotarsus is slender (length/width ratio ~18; Fig. 2B), comparable to most dromaeosaurids, but differs from the robust tibiotarsus of *Velociraptor* and *Linheraptor* which have ratios of ~10 (Norell & Makovicky, 1999: Fig. 10C) and ~11 (Xu et al., 2010: Fig. 1) respectively. The tibial shaft is preserved in anteromedial view and is medially concave (Figs. 2B, 3A), as in most theropods including *Velociraptor* (MPC 100/986, Norell & Makovicky, 1999: Fig. 11A,C), *Microraptor* (CAGS 20-8-001, Hwang et al., 2002: Fig. 28A) and the oviraptorosaur *Gigantoraptor* (LH V0011, Xu et al., 2007: Fig. 1S). The proximal end of the fibular is expanded and proximal to its mid-shaft there is an iliofibularis tubercle (Fig. 3A; Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 820 state 0) that is anterolaterally projecting, as in other theropods (Rauhut, 2003: Character 211 state 1; Fig. 48). The latter projects in a similar direction to *Mahakala* (MPC 100/1033, Turner et al., 2011: Fig. 32A, C), unlike in *Rahonavis* and ornithurans where this tubercle faces posteriorly (Forster et al., 1998: 4C).
The metatarsus is slender (Fig. 4) and like other dromaeosaurids it is symmetric (Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 205 state 0). In contrast, troodontids have an asymmetrical metatarsus as metatarsal (MT) II is more slender than MT IV (Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 205 state 1). The metatarsus is ~60% of the length of the tibiotarsus, but a more accurate ratio is unavailable as the proximal portion of the metatarsus and the distal portion of the tibiotarsus are missing from the specimen (Fig. 2). MT I is a reduced and broadly triangular bone that is attached to the medial side of the distal end of MT II (Fig. 4B), as in most theropods (Rauhut, 2003: Character 222, state 2). However, in Microraptor MT I is attached medioventrally to the distal end of MT II (Hwang et al., 2002: Fig. 30A). MT II, III and IV are closely appressed distally (Fig. 4B) as in microraptorines and Buitreraptor (MPCA 245, Makovicky, 2005: Fig. 3I), whereas in Mahakala (MPC 100/1033, Turner et al., 2011: Fig. 33A, C), Rahonavis (UA 8656, Turner et al., 2012: Fig. 56A), Velociraptor (MPC 100/985, Norell & Makovicky, 1997: Fig. 7) and Deinonychus (YPM 5205, Ostrom, 1969: Fig. 73) the distal end of the metatarsus is not appressed. This intraclade variation is also seen in ornithomimids (appressed in Sinornithomimus [Kobayashi & Lü, 2003: Fig. 23A]; unappressed in Qiupalong [HGM 41HIII-0106, Xu et al., 2011: Fig. 2D]), but in troodontids the distal ends of the metatarsals are always appressed. The seemingly ginglymus distal end of MT II is insufficiently preserved to confirm the related dromaeosaurid synapomorphy: a ginglymus distal end that extends onto the extensor surface and gives the distal end a strongly concave profile in anterior view (Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 198 state 1). The distal ends of MT II and IV extend as far as each other (Fig. 4B, Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 433 state 0) as in several dromaeosaurids, including Buitreraptor (MPCA 245, Makovicky, 2005: Fig. 3I), Zhenyuanlong (JPM 0008, Lü & Brusatte, 2015: Fig. 3B) and Sinornithosaurus (IVPP V12811, Xu et al., 1999: Fig. 2). However, in some dromaeosaurids MT II and IV have different lengths as in Microraptor (Hwang et al., 2002: Fig. 30A), Gracilliraptor (IVPP
Phalanx III is phalanx II to the latter taxa, but its pit is similar.

Hesperornithosaurus (IVPP V12811, Xu et al., 1999: 4F) and Buitreraptor (MPCA 245, Makovicky, 2005: Fig. 3), whereas many other dromaeosaurids show a large difference in length including Graciliraptor (IVPP V13474, Xu & Wang, 2004: Fig. 3E), Microraptor (Hwang et al., 2002: Fig. 30A) and Rahonavis (UA 8656: Forster et al., 1998: Fig. 4E). A distinct flange is developed along the (lato)ventral edge of MT IV (Fig. 4B; Brusat et al., 2014: Character 226 state 1) which is restricted to troodontids and some dromaeosaurids such as Neuquenraptor (MCF PVPH 77, Novas & Pol, 2005: Fig. 1F), Buitreraptor (MPCA 245, Makovicky, 2005), Bambiraptor (AMNH 30554, Burnham et al., 2000), Velociraptor (MPC 100/986, Norell & Makovicky, 1999: Fig. 16B) and Microraptorinae. In IVPP V22530 (Fig. 4B), this flange is less developed than in Neuquenraptor (MCF PVPH 77, Novas & Pol, 2005: Fig. 1F), Microraptor (BMNH PH881, Pei et al., 2014: Fig. 15) and Hesperornithosaurus (IVPP V12811, Xu et al., 1999: 4F). MT V is slender and elongate - approximately half the length of MT IV (Fig. 4B) - as in Microraptorinae, Deinonychus (YPM 5205, Ostrom, 1969: Fig. 73) and many other dromaeosaurids. The only dromaeosaurid we know of with a comparatively short MT V is Balaur (EME PV 313, Brusat et al., 2013: Figs. 36, 37) which is around a third of the length of MT IV (but the distal tip of the right MT V is broken [EME PV 313, Brusat et al., 2013: Fig. 37C]), although a recent study argued that it is actually an avialan (Cau et al., 2015). Thus, a long MT V is potentially a dromaeosaurid synapomorphy. The preserved distal portion of MT V is broken along the lateral plane making it difficult to determine its cross-sectional shape (Fig. 4B). However, its lateral edges taper distally (Fig. 4B), like in other theropods (Rauhut, 2003) including Microraptor (LVH 0026, Gong et al., 2012: Fig. 7), Velociraptor (MPC 100/985, Norell & Makovicky, 1997: Fig. 7) and Deinonychus (YPM 5205, Ostrom, 1969: Fig. 73).

Pedal phalanx II-2 has a typical deinonychosaurian profile with a distinct constriction between the enlarged proximal end and the distal condyle (Brusat et al., 2014: Character 201 state 1). Saurornitholestes and Microraptorinae are atypical in this regard as the constriction is less developed (Longrich & Currie, 2009: 2B,D). The oval-shaped, dorsally-offset medial ligament pit of phalanx II-2 is deep and its ventral margin is close to the centre of the rounded distal condyle, as in most microraptorines, Saurornitholestes (Longrich & Currie, 2009: Fig. 2B2 and Bambiraptor (AMNH 30554, Burnham et al., 2000). In Rahonavis (UA 8656: Forster et al., 1998: Fig. 4D), Neuquenraptor (MCF PVPH 77, Novas & Pol, 2005: Fig. 1H), Deinonychus (YPM 5205, Ostrom, 1969: Fig. 74), Dromaeosaurus (AMNH FAR 5356, Turner et al., 2012: Fig. 40A), Adasaurus (MPC 100/21, Barsbold, 1983) and Velociraptor (MPC 100/985, Norell & Makovicky, 1997: Fig. 6B) the pit is similar, but its ventral margin is more dorsally-positioned. The microraptorine Hesperonychus (TMP 1983.67.7, Longrich & Currie, 2009: Fig. 2B2) has a similar condition to the latter taxa, but its pit is more circular-shaped. A proximodorsal lip is developed on phalanx II-3 (Brusat et al., 2014: Character 731 state 0), as in most theropods. This phalanx is enlarged II-3 as with all deinonychosaurians (Brusat et al., 2014: Character 201 state 1). Phalanx III-1 is straight and is longer than phalanges II-1 and IV-1, as in most theropods.
**Manual ungual**

Fig. 3: An isolated pennaraptoran manual ungual is associated with the incomplete partially-articulated left dromaeosaurid leg. Scale = 1 cm.

IVPP V22530 also includes an isolated theropod ungual which was found in association with the incomplete partially-articulated left dromaeosaurid leg. However, it is not possible to say if these fossils belong to the same individual or even to the same theropod clade, as ungual morphology is often undiagnostic. The small articular surface of the ungual suggests that it is a manual rather than pedal ungual, but the well-developed flexor tubercle and a gracile, heavily-curved profile are found in many theropods. A transverse ridge is present immediately dorsal to the articular surface (‘proximodorsal lip’; Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 150 state 1]), as in most pennaraptorans (Foth et al., 2014), so the ungual is referred to this clade here. The ventrodistal portion of the ungual comprises of a vertical keel that is probably a fossilised keratinous sheath.

**Thoracic rib**

Fig. 4: IVPP V22530 includes an isolated proximal portion of a right dorsal rib. Scale = 5 mm.
A triangular proximal end of a single right rib and the proximal portion of its shaft are preserved (Fig. 4). It is not clear if it belongs to the same dromaeosaurid whose left leg is preserved. The capitulum and tuberculum are subequal in size, but the former is more dorsally-positioned that the latter and is supported by a distinct neck that separates it from the rest of the rib’s proximal proportion (Fig. 4). The relative length of the rib shaft is unknown because its distal portion is missing, but the degree of tapering present in the shaft suggests that it is probably relatively short (Fig. 4A). The tuberculum, the dorsal and lateral margins of the rib roughly form a right-angle, whilst the capitulum makes a ~45° angle with the lateral margin (Fig. 4A). This combination of features resembles the anterior dorsal ribs of *Deinonychus* (YPM 5245, Ostrom, 1969: Fig. 51A) and the thoracic ribs of *Microraptor* (CAGS 20-7-004, Hwang et al., 2002: 15C). Dromaeosaurid dorsal ribs possess little taxonomically-informative characteristics and unfortunately it is not possible to confirm if there is a deep groove along the anterior edge of the rib shaft, as observed in *Microraptor* (IVPP V12330, Xu, 2002; CAGS 20-8-001, Hwang et al., 2002: Fig. 13; BMNHC PH881, Pei et al., 2014: Fig. 6), because the surface of the proximal portion of the shaft is damaged and because - as mentioned - the distal half is missing. Of note, is a rectangular-shaped process on the anterior surface of the rib that is located ventromedial to the tuberculum (Fig. 4A). The pectoral ribs of *Mahakala* (IGM 100/1033, Turner et al., 2011: Fig. 15) and *Microraptor* (CAGS 20-7-004, Hwang et al., 2002: 15B) differ from the dorsal rib of IVPP V22530 in having a dorsoventrally lower and mediolaterally wider tuberculum and a smaller capitulum on the tip of longer and thinner neck. In *Velociraptor* the pectoral ribs (MPC-D100/54, Hone et al., 2012: Fig. 1) differ from the rib of IVPP V22530 in having a long and thin neck that ends in a smaller capitulum. There is no rectangular-shaped process amongst the pectoral ribs of *Mahakala*, but this is uncertain for the other taxa mentioned. This suggests that IVPP V22530 is probably a theropod thoracic rib, although it is unclear where exactly this rib would be positioned along the vertebral series.

*Indeterminate bone mass*
Fig. 5: An indeterminate bone mass that includes some rib-like elements was found in the vicinity of the leg, ungual and rib elements that made up IVPP V22530. Scale = 1cm.

The elements that make up IVPP V22530 also include an indeterminate mass of bones that include some tiny ribs. This bony mass was found in close proximity to the other elements, but does not exhibit any recognisable dromaeosaurid bones. These bones might be non-dinosaurian so have been given to relevant experts for further identification.

Discussion

Taxonomic status
Pedal phalanx II-2 has a typical deinonychosaurian profile with a distinct constriction between the enlarged proximal end and the distal condyle (Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 201 state 1). Pedal phalanx II-3 is enlarged as in deinonychosaurians (Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 201 state 1). The symmetry of the metatarsus of IVPP V22530 (Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 205 state 0) indicates that it is a dromaeosaurid (Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 205 state 0) rather than a troodontid, as the latter displays a asymmetrical metatarsus (Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 205 state 1). The presence of a slender and long MT V suggests that IVPP V22530 is probably a dromaeosaurid. IVPP V22530 is also distinguished from troodontids by a mid-shaft of MT II that is as wide as that of MT IV (Fig. 4; Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 429 state 0) as troodontids have a MT II is narrower than MT IV. The distal end of MT II appears to be ginglymus, but it is insufficiently preserved to confirm the related dromaeosaurid synapomorphy (Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 198 state 1). IVPP V22530 is therefore a dromaeosaurid theropod.

MT II, III and IV are closely appressed distally (Fig. 4B) as in microraptorines and Buitreraptor (MPCA 245, Makovicky, 2005: Fig. 3I), whereas in other dromaeosaurids (Ostrom, 1969; Norell & Makovicky, 1997; Turner et al., 2011; Turner et al., 2012) the distal end of the metatarsus is not appressed. As with most microraptorines, Saurornitholestes and Bambiraptor the oval-shaped, dorsally-offset medial ligament pit of phalanx II-2 is deep in IVPP V22520 and its ventral margin is close to the centre of the rounded distal condyle. However, IVPP V22530 differs from Microraptorinae and Saurornitholestes in having a more developed constriction between the enlarged proximal end and the distal condyle of pedal phalanx II-2, as in other dromaeosaurids (Longrich & Currie, 2009: 2B,D; Brusatte et al., 2014: Character 201 state 1). Thus, the metatarsus of IVPP V22530 suggests that the individual has affinities with microraptorine and Buitreraptor whilst the position of the medial ligament pit of phalanx II-2 supports the former, but also suggests similarities with Saurornitholestes and Bambiraptor. However, the relative constriction between the enlarged proximal end and the distal condyle of this phalanx contradicts the specimen’s affinities with microraptorines and Saurornitholestes. It is unclear at present what the relative weighting of these three anatomical traits should be in assessing the specimen’s taxonomic status, but from a functional perspective the more direct weight-bearing role of the metatarsus should produce more conservative individual variations in its bones in comparison to the pedal phalanges. From this perspective the metatarsus might be a more reliable source of taxonomically-informative information, but this hypothesis needs to be confirmed through further study.
Thus, with the evidence available, IVPP V22530 is tenatively referred to Microraptorinae or its close relatives (Fig. 6).

Figure 6. A palaeoreconstruction of IVPP V22530 next to its inferred depositional setting, a muddy lake environment. © Julius T. Csotonyi.

**Body size estimation**
Femoral circumference has been shown to be a more faithful proxy of theropod body mass and by measuring this parameter in *Mahakala* it was estimated that this animal weighted less than a kilogram (0.79 [0.59–0.98]) (Campione *et al.*, 2014). IVPP V22530 is not sufficiently well-preserved to measure femoral circumference, but its shorter tibiotarsus length (~75mm) compared to *Mahakala* (left tibial length = 110.0mm; Turner *et al.*, 2011: Table 1) suggests that IVPP V22530 weighed less than *Mahakala* (< 1 kg) as tibiotarsus length is also a parameter correlated with body mass, albeit in a weaker way (Campione *et al.*, 2014: Table 2).

**Ontogenetic stage**
The surface texture and fusion of bones are usually used to constrain an individual’s ontogenetic stage if histological data is unavailable. Fusion between the tarsals themselves and with the tibia or the metatarsus can indicate an adult/subadult ontogenetic stage for an individual, as in *Balaur* (Brusatte *et al.*, 2013). However, if these bones are unfused this does not necessarily mean that the individual is a juvenile (e.g. *Mahakala* [Turner *et al.*, 2007; Turner *et al.*, 2011] and *Bambiraptor* [Burnham *et al.*, 2000]). The ankle region of IVPP V22530 is not preserved on either slab and the surfaces of the limb bones are either damaged or unexposed. Thus, the ontogenetic stage of this specimen is uncertain as histological analysis was not possible to conduct owing to the poor preservation of the tibia and fibula.
Palaeoenvironmental inferences
The Bayan Gobi Formation preserves a diverse terrestrial vertebrate fauna that includes mammals, champsosaurs, trionychids and other turtles (Russell & Dong, 1994), as well as the ornithischian dinosaurs Psittacosaurus gobiensis (Russell & Zhao, 1996; Sereno, 2010) and Penelopognathus weishampeli (Godefroit et al., 2005) and the theropod dinosaur Alxasaurus elesitaiensis (Russell & Dong, 1994). It also preserves plant fossils from the conifers Classopollis and Podocarpites (Russell & Dong, 1994), potentially indicating a cooler palaeoclimate. Indeterminate angiosperms have also been recovered (Russell & Dong, 1994). Indeterminate bivalve and fish fossils (Russell & Dong, 1994) as well as the presence of numerous suspected ostracod crustacean carapaces (i.e. they look like ostracod carapaces based on their outline, but there is no further morphological information such as hingement and muscle scars preserved or observed) found in IVPP V22530 - especially around the manual ungual in Figure 3 - indicate the presence of lakes, ponds or rivers in the original ecosystem because there were no nearby oceans at this time (Scotese, 2001). The mudstone matrix of IVPP V22530 could also have been deposited in those depositional settings, but the abundance of carbonaceous plant fossil fragments in the rock unit as well as its dark colour - that is presumably related to its high-organic content - suggests that a relatively high trophic index lake might be a better candidate instead. It is important to note that detailed sedimentological correlations across Bayan Gobi Formation fossil sites are still wanting and many of the specimens mentioned have yet to be described in detail, thus, the picture of the palaeoecosystem painted above remains a tentative one.

Conclusions
IVPP V22530 comprises of an incompletely preserved partially-articulated left dromaeosaurid leg, an isolated pennaraptorans manual ungual, a proximal portion of a right theropod dorsal rib and an indeterminate bone mass that includes a collection of ribs. Two anatomical traits suggest that the left leg belongs to a microraptorine or a close relative: metatarsals II, III and IV are closely appressed distally and the ventral margin of the medial ligament pit of phalanx II-2 is close to the centre of the rounded distal condyle. This referral means that IVPP V22530 is the first described dromaeosaurid - and small-sized theropod (<1kg) - from the Bayan Gobi Formation, helping to expand our understanding of this understudied Early Cretaceous ecosystem. Aptian to Albian ages have been specifically suggested for the Formation, but constraining them further would be invaluable as a well-supported Albian age could make IVPP V22530 the first-known Albian microraptorine-like dromaeosaurid. As a microraptorine IVPP V22530 would extend the geographical range of this clade because the study site is ~500km northwest of Liaoning Province, which is the only area that Early Cretaceous microraptorines are known from. As a close microraptorine relative IVPP V22530 would be the first non-North American example. Thus, further discoveries at the study site will help fill important gaps in our knowledge of dromaeosaurid evolution and biology between the Aptian/Albian and Campanian stages of the Cretaceous.
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