

Response Sheet

Multi-modal affine fusion network for social media rumor detection

Editor Comments

There are some minor things that should be considered before publication, like the organization of the paper. Please check that all tables and figures are cited appropriately in-text.

Response: Thank you for allowing us resubmission, as per your suggestion we have updated the organization section and cross verified the table and figure citations. please see the revised version as track changes. Also, we covered all the reviewers' comments.

[# PeerJ Staff Note: On line 160, Figure 4 is written "Figure ??EQ4" #]

Response: Thank you for your concern, as per your suggestion we have corrected that error. Please see the revise version.

[# PeerJ Staff Note: The review process has identified that the English language must be improved. PeerJ can provide language editing services - please contact us at copyediting@peerj.com for pricing (be sure to provide your manuscript number and title) #].

Response: Thank you for your concern, as per your reviewer suggestions, we have proof read the article carefully and solved grammatical and sentence based mistakes, please see the updated version.

Reviewer 3

Thanks, although the manuscript is improved, however, there are some minor things that should be considered before publication.

- For example, the organization of the paper. All tables and figures should be cited in order from low to high.

Response: Thank you for your concern, as per your suggestion we have updated the organization section and cross verified the table and figure citations. please see the revised version as track changes.

Additional comments

- Some grammatical and punctuation issues exist in the paper. It should be rectified.

Response: Thank you for your concern, as per your reviewer suggestions, we have proof read the article carefully and solved grammatical and sentence based mistakes, please see the updated version.

- The figure quality still needs enhacments.

Response: Thank you for your concern, as per your suggestion, we have improved the quality of figures. Please see the updated version of manuscript.