MeteoMex: Open infrastructure for networked environmental monitoring and agriculture 4.0

Robert Winkler[1,2]

[1]**Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, CINVESTAV Unidad Irapuato, Mexico**

[2]**Kuturabi S.A. de C.V., Irapuato, Mexico**

Corresponding author:

Robert Winkler[1,2]

Email address: robert.winkler@cinvestav.mx, robert.winkler@kuturabi.com

ABSTRACT

Air, water, and soil are essential for terrestrial life, but pollution, overexploitation, and climate change jeopardize the availability of these primary resources. Thus, assuring human health and food production requires efficient strategies and technologies for environmental protection. Knowing key parameters such as soil moisture, air, and water quality is essential for smart farming and urban development. The MeteoMex project aims to build simple hardware kits and their integration into current Internet-of-Things (IoT) platforms. This paper shows the use of low-end Wemos D1 mini boards to connect environmental sensors to the open-source platform ThingsBoard. Two printed circuit boards (PCB) were designed for mounting components. Analog and digital sensors are supported. The Wemos ESP8266 microchip provides WiFi capability and can be programmed with the Arduino IDE. Application examples for the MeteoMex aeria and terra kits demonstrate their functionality for air quality, soil, and climate monitoring. Further, a prototype for monitoring wastewater treatment is shown, which exemplifies the capabilities of the Wemos board for signal processing. The data are stored in a PostgreSQL database, which enables data mining. The MeteoMex IoT system is highly scalable and of low cost, which makes it suitable for deployment in agriculture 4.0, industries, and public areas. Circuit drawings, PCB layouts, and code examples are free to download from `https://github.com/robert-winkler/MeteoMex`.

Keywords: Climate change, pollution, air quality, volatile organic compounds, wastewater, smart cities, agriculture 4.0, internet-of-things, Arduino, open hardware

1 INTRODUCTION

The overuse of natural resources by humans and climate change have severe effects on the environment. As a consequence, the global food security is threatened, and pollution-related diseases such as allergies and asthma increase (Vermeulen et al., 2012; Wheeler and Braun, 2013; D'Amato et al., 2015; Lake Iain R. et al., 2017; Cohen et al., 2017; D'Odorico et al., 2018; Dell'Angelo et al., 2018; Patella et al., 2018).

In arid and semi-arid regions, irrigation is essential for agriculture. However, excess watering negatively affects food yield and quality (El-Ansary, 2017; King et al., 2020) and leads to unnecessary consumption of water and energy. Besides, salt accumulation in the soil reduces future yields (Shrivastava and Kumar, 2015; Hutchinson, 2019). The use of treated wastewater is possible for saving drinking water, but wastewater treatment requires energy for pumping and aeration and needs to be optimized (Vergine et al., 2017; Miller-Robbie et al., 2017). Using greenhouses increases the productivity and quality in agriculture because lighting, ventilation, temperature, and watering can be adjusted to the cultivars and external conditions (Jat et al., 2020).

A very efficient strategy to increase food availability is the protection of harvested products against insect infestation and spoilage. In tropical areas, losses of more than half of stored maize grains due to insect pests are possible. Adapted plant genotypes, agrochemicals, and improved storage strategies such as hermetically closed metal containers efficiently reduce insect-related postharvest losses (López-Castillo et al., 2018; Garćia-Lara et al., 2007; Tefera et al., 2011). Besides, adequate storage conditions, i.e., light, temperature, and humidity, prolong the shelf-life of human and animal food (Bradford et al., 2018). Some perishable food products require cooling during the complete production and distribution chain (Mercier et al., 2017). Cooling facilities - such as air conditioning, refrigerated trucks, fridges, and freezers - are primary drivers of industrial and domestic energy consumption, though (She et al., 2018).

Air temperature, relative humidity, and barometric pressure are main physical parameters for assessing weather and climate, and have direct effects on human health, ecology and agriculture (Fagerlund et al., 2019; Villalobos et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2018; Adejuwon and Agundiminegha, 2019). Novel machine/deep learning algorithms for developing predictive weather and climate models rely on massive global datasets (Dueben and Bauer, 2018; Scher, 2018; Racah et al., 2017). On the other hand, local meteorological information is essential for evaluating microclimates and for optimizing farming (Shock et al., 2016; Luwesi et al., 2017). Air temperature and humidity also influence the emission of volatile organic compounds (VOC) from factories and building materials and the perceived indoor air quality (Haghighat and De Bellis, 1998; Wolkoff, 1998; Fang et al., 1999; Milota and Lavery, 2003; Fechter et al., 2006; Wolkoff and Kjærgaard, 2007; Liu et al., 2014).

Agriculture 4.0 is an umbrella term for using networked sensor data and artificial intelligence in food production. The collection of environmental data on Internet-of-Things (IoT) servers enables the development of complex predictive models. Besides, experts can provide services and give recommendations for remote locations.

Gathering highly localized data is crucial for the precise control of ideal plant growth conditions. *Smart farming* uses local soil data such as structure, composition, moisture, salinity, cation exchange capacity (CEC), and pH to optimize production (Corwin et al., 2003; Grisso et al., 2005; Ould Ahmed et al., 2010). One approach is remote sensing with satellites or aerial vehicles such as drones with hyper-/multispectral imaging (Mulla, 2013; Saura et al., 2019). For the continuous monitoring of environmental parameters, the installation of local sensors is common. A well-equipped greenhouse could contain hundreds of sensors that are connected to a central control unit (Chaudhary et al., 2011).

Industrial farming already uses IoT systems for increasing productivity and efficiency. The European Union supports the development of IoT technology for the agricultural and food sector with the project *Internet of Food and Farm 2020* (`https://www.iof2020.eu`). However, for small stakeholders in developing countries, such commercial *agriculture 4.0* technology is usually out-of-reach, despite its tremendous potential in environmental protection and food production, especially in vulnerable regions (Antony et al., 2020; Luthra et al., 2018). Further, most industry-grade IoT systems are built on proprietary hardware and software and require specialists for their operation and adaptations.

Initiatives such as the *Public Lab* (`https://publiclab.org`) and *Lab On The Cheap* (`https://www.labonthecheap.com`) (Gibney, 2016), in contrast, promote the community-driven development of open technology. Such low-cost and *do-it-yourself* (DIY) devices are not only suitable for *crowd-sourcing* data in so-called *citizen science* (Dickinson et al., 2012), but also state-of-the-art research in the instrumental analysis (Martínez-Jarquín et al., 2016; Rosas-Román et al., 2020). Environmental sensing projects often use simple microcontroller boards such as Arduino (`https://www.arduino.cc`) and Wemos (`https://www.wemos.cc`) variants. The *Cave Pearl Data Logger* demonstrates that such devices can operate under harsh conditions (underwater) for more than one year on 3 × AA battery power (Beddows and Mallon, 2018). The *Solar Powered WiFi Weather Station v 2.0* (`https://www.instructables.com/id/Solar-Powered-WiFi-Weather-Station-V20/`) uses a Wemos board and connects wirelessly to IoT platforms such as Blynk (`https://blynk.io`) and ThingSpeak (`https://thingspeak.com`), or an MQTT (Message Queuing Telemetry Transport, `https://mqtt.org`) broker. Many of such excellent community projects on environmental monitoring have been reported. However, reproducing DIY devices requires technical skills, and integrating the sensors into a professional IoT framework is too challenging for end-users. Remote training of farmers is possible (Seelan et al., 2003), but ideally the systems should be simple enough for being installed and operated by the local

101   users with average education.

102   The MeteoMex project (`http://www.meteomex.com`) aims to unify the advantages of DIY
103   and commercial systems and provides an IoT infrastructure for environmental monitoring in
104   production and research with the following characteristics:

105   • **Scalable**. Printed circuit boards (PCB) and standard parts allow the mass production
106   of identical sensing units. The database server can process thousands of operations per
107   second.

108   • **Flexible**. The users can connect a huge variety of commercial sensors or integrate their
109   prototypes.

110   • **User-friendly**. A simple design, pre-built modules, and code examples make the platform
111   suitable for non-experts.

112   • **Low cost**. Generic electronic parts, the use of existing WiFi networks, and free software
113   reduce the installation costs. The operation is economical because of low energy consump-
114   tion and the possibility of self-hosting the IoT server.

115   • **Open**. All relevant hardware information and the software are completely documented
116   and freely available.

Figure 1. MeteoMex aeria A) circuit and B) PCB shield. The shield connects two sensors using the I^{2}C bus: An BME280 sensor board for measuring temperature, relative humidity, and a CCS811 sensor board for total volatile organic compounds (VOC). Closing jumper *J1* is required for using *DeepSleep/WakeUp*.

2 METHODS

2.1 Microcontroller board, circuit, and PCB design

For connecting sensors to wireless networks, Arduino-compatible, single-board microcomputers are used. The low-end Wemos D1 mini board is based on the ESP-8266EX chip (80 MHz, 4 Mb flash memory) and provides WiFi. The board measures 34.2 mm × 25.6 mm and weighs 3 g. With a micro-USB connection, the Wemos D1 can be powered and programmed (`https://www.wemos.cc/`). The board features 11 digital input/output pins and one analog input (3.2 V max.). The price of a standard Wemos D1 mini board is approximately 5 USD.

For mounting sensors and other electronic components, printed circuit boards (PCB) were designed that can be stacked on the Wemos boards - so-called *shields*.

The open-source software Fritzing (`https://fritzing.org`) was used for designing the circuits and printed circuit boards (PCB) (Monk, 2015). For fabrication, the PCB layouts were exported to the Gerber file format (`https://www.ucamco.com/en/gerber`). PCB figures in this article were produced with a Gerber file viewer (`https://www.pcbgogo.com/GerberViewer.html`). ALLPCB, China https://www.allpcb.com/ produced testing lots of 100 pieces each.

The editable Fritzing files and example programs are available from the MeteoMex GitHub repository `https://github.com/robert-winkler/MeteoMex`.

The configuration of the different shields is explained in the following subsections.

Figure 2. MeteoMex terra A) circuit and B) PCB. The connection of one analog soil moisture and one digital DS18B20 temperature sensor is possible. A 10 kΩ pull-up resistor is necessary for temperature measurement. The jumper *J1* is used for programming *DeepSleep/WakeUp*.

2.1.1 MeteoMex aeria

The MeteoMex aeria (**Fig. 1**) shield uses a BME280 chip (Bosch, `https://www.bosch-sensortec.com/products/environmental-sensors/humidity-sensors-bme280/`) to monitor the ambient air parameters temperature, relative humidity, and barometric pressure.

An additional CCS811 sensor (`https://www.sciosense.com/products/environmental-sensors/ccs811-gas-sensor-solution/`), permits the detection of total volatile organic compounds (VOC). Both chips use the I^{2}C bus for sensor data transfer. If the board is powered by batteries, programming a *DeepSleep* mode is recommendable for reducing the energy consumption in idle mode. In *DeepSleep* mode, all functions except the real-time clock are switched off, reducing the power consumption of the ESP8266 chip from ~70 mA to ~20 μA (`https://www.instructables.com/ESP8266-Pro-Tips/`). For waking up the Wemos D1 mini, the jumper *J1* between RST and D0 (GPIO16) must be closed. To enable the *DeepSleep* function, therefore, a wire bridge has to be soldered into the jumper *J1*.

2.1.2 MeteoMex terra

The MeteoMex terra (**Fig. 2**) shield is designed to connect an analog sensor, such as a conductive or capacitive soil moisture sensor, and a digital DS18B20 temperature sensor (Maxim Integrated). For operating the DS18B20 sensor, a pull-up resistor of 10 kΩ (*R1*) is required.

The power supply of the analog and digital sensor is connected to the pins D1 and D3, respectively. However, some analog sensors require a connection to the 5V pin for reliable operation. The maximal permitted input voltage for the A0 analog pin is 3.2 V. Thus, a voltage divider needs to be used when using sensors with higher signal levels.

This board as well provides a *J1* option.

Figure 3. MeteoMex WasteWater prototype circuit. The ultrasonic SR04 sensor and the analog sensor for total suspended solids (TSS) both require a 5 V power supply.

2.1.3 MeteoMex WasteWater prototype

The MeteoMex WasteWater (**Fig. 3**) configuration is a slight variation of the terra circuit. An analog Arduino turbidity sensor (TresD Print Tech) is used for measuring total suspended solids (TSS). For determining tank filling levels, a Jsn-sr04t waterproof ultrasonic sensor (Ranmex) is connected. Both sensors operate on 5 V. For this custom design, no PCB was printed, but the circuit was built on a Perfboard.

All boards were designed for the use of through-hole electronic components for facilitating manual assembly and soldering.

2.2 Programming and Internet-of-Things (IoT) infrastructure

An overview of the IoT infrastructure is shown in **Figure 4**. The programming was done on Windows 10 and standard Linux distributions (Fedora and Ubuntu). The IoT platform is running on a Virtual-Private-Server, hosted by IONOS (`https://www.ionos.de`). The operating system of the IoT server is Ubuntu 18.04 LTS (`https://ubuntu.com`).

2.2.1 Programming the Wemos board

The Wemos boards are Arduino-compatible and can be programmed with the open-source and cross-platform software Arduino IDE (`https://www.arduino.cc/en/Main/Software`), using the additional ESP32 board definitions from `https://dl.espressif.com/dl/package_esp32_index.json`. Compiled programs were transferred to the Wemos boards using a USB interface. For testing and debugging, a serial monitor window was used.

Figure 4. Scheme of the MeteoMex IoT infrastructure. The devices and the IoT server are located on different continents. Image credit: Creative Commons Clip-arts from `https://search.creativecommons.org`, creators: Free Clip Art and SVG Silh.

2.2.2 Internet-of-things platform and database

For registration and administration of devices, collecting telemetry data, and visualization, the *Community Edition* of the open-source IoT Platform ThingsBoard (`https://thingsboard.io`) was used.

All device and telemetry data are stored in a PostgreSQL database `https://www.postgresql.org`. For database queries and administration, the Adminer (`https://www.adminer.org`) database management web interface was installed. Regular maintenance tasks are performed with SQL scripts and server CRON jobs.

2.3 Housing and power supply

MeteoMex kits provide no housing by default, which saves costs and reduces unnecessary plastics waste. The circuits operate at low voltages (5 V), so the devices are safe for humans and animals. For indoor air monitoring, the devices can be simply connected to a USB power supply (**Fig. 5 A**). For outdoor conditions, the protection against dust, insects, and water might be necessary (**Fig. 5 B-E**). However, re-used plastic beakers, e.g., from dairy products, usually fulfill this purpose.

Alternatively, to USB port power, the devices can be operated with 3 × A.A. rechargeable batteries or with solar panels and a power bank. A MeteoMex aeria device with BME280 sensor works about three months with 3 × AA rechargeable batteries, with hourly measurement and using a *DeepSleep/WakeUp* routine. However, additional electronic components and batteries have a negative impact on the system's environmental footprint.

The building of the device and housing shown in **Figure 5 E** is described in an Instructable (`https://www.instructables.com/member/RobertWinkler/instructables/`).

2.4 Availability of MeteoMex kits and code

Additional documentation for building and programming the devices and kits are available from the MeteoMex project page `http://www.meteomex.com`. PCB Fritzing layouts and code examples are deposited at the GitHub repository (`https://github.com/robert-winkler/MeteoMex`) with open license terms. The code described in this paper was archived as release v1.1 at Zenodo (`http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4075278`, Winkler (2020)).

Figure 5. Different housing and power supply options: A) No housing and direct powering with USB charger, B) 3 × AA rechargeable batteries in commercial enclosure, C) Solar panel and lithium battery with wet room installation box, D) and E) Re-use of plastic beakers.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Internet-of-Things integration of MeteoMex units

According to the legend, Internet-of-Things (IoT) started in the early 1980s, when a Coke-vending machine at the Carnegie Mellon University was connected to the internet (`https://www.cs.cmu.edu//~coke/`). In these pioneering times, integrating custom hardware into a computer network was a challenge for technical specialists. Nowadays, different IoT standards and protocols facilitate the data telemetry and processing (Ponnusamy and Rajagopalan, 2018).

The IoT platform ThingsBoard supports various telemetry protocols. For the MeteoMex project, HTML was chosen for its simplicity in programming and testing. The correct set-up of a device can be verified by sending data from a computer console. E.g., the following command sends the value 99 of the variable `humidity` for a device with the token `uVCGuzjBqV`:

```
curl -v -X POST -d "{\"humidity\":99}"
    http://www.meteomex.com:8080/api/v1/uVCGuzjBqV/telemetry
    --header "Content-Type:application/json"
```

Wemos boards also could be connected to other IoT platforms. The integration into Blynk (`https://blynk.io`) and Thinger.io (`https://thinger.io`) was successful. But apart from technical questions, the licensing scheme of IoT platforms is relevant. ThingsBoard (`https://thingsboard.io`) is licensed under the Apache 2.0 license (`https://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0`). I.e., besides being open-source, ThingsBoard offers a free *Community Edition* and allows its employment in commercial applications. ThingsBoard is cross-platform compatible and can be installed on Windows, Mac, and Linux (`https://thingsboard.io/docs/user-guide/install/installation-options/`). The system used in this study was installed on Ubuntu 18.04 LTS (`https://ubuntu.com`). The computational capacity of the Virtual-Private-Server, hosted by IONOS (`https://www.ionos.de`), can be adjusted to the IoT server load. However, the installation of a ThingsBoard *Community Edition* IoT server is possible at no software cost.

The open-source PostgreSQL (`https://www.postgresql.org`) database server for storing the IoT data has high performance and robustness. Database maintenance and data manipulation are possible with system tools and external programs. Exported data can be further analyzed, e.g., with statistics and data mining software, such as R/Rattle (`https://rattle.togaware.com`) (Williams, 2011).

Transferring data with radio frequency (R.F.) and Bluetooth was tried as well. However, the direct connection of the devices to WiFi networks turned out the technically easiest solution. WiFi networks are ubiquitously available, of fair security, and no additional adaptors are necessary to send collected data to the internet.

The ThingsBoard dashboards are visualized on a standard web browser, making it compatible with standard personal computers and mobile devices. The web platform also permits the setting-up of data processing pipelines, the *IoT Rule Engine*, and the definition of alarm levels and actions.

At the time of writing this manuscript, the IoT server was running for more than 180 days without interruption, demonstrating technical robustness. Although the IoT server was located in Germany, and the sensor units in Mexico, no telemetry data transfer problems were noticeable. Local power cuts or internet failures only affect devices in a particular zone. Since the wireless network settings are saved to the ESP8266 flash memory, the Wemos boards reconnect when rebooting.

Thus, the overall infrastructure takes into account the main aspects of an IoT system for agriculture (Elijah et al., 2018), such as cost, simple and robust technology, localization, scalability, and interoperability. Open licenses of hardware and software, and the use of common standards (WiFi network, HTML telemetry, SQL database) assure a long-term, cost-efficient, and provider-independent service.

Different application examples are presented in the next part.

3.2 Example 1: Weather station for climate and volatile organic compounds

Climatic conditions and air pollution directly affect human health and welfare. Therefore, public monitoring data inform the citizens about possibly hazardous levels of contamination.

Figure 6 shows the recording of climate and total volatile organic compounds (tVOC) of a MeteoMex aeria device installed outdoor at the *National Institute of Respiratory Diseases*, INER, Mexico City, Mexico (`http://iner.salud.gob.mx/`). The apparatus is installed next to an aerobiology station for the continuous monitoring of pollen and microbial spores.

The readings show a daily tVOC peak at about 8 a.m., which could be caused, for example, by the morning traffic. Sensitive persons should avoid physical activities in periods of increased air contamination. Widely distributed public sampling stations could provide more localized data for warning people about possible health risks. The information also could motivate to reduce contaminating activities in affected areas.

The climate parameters temperature, humidity, and pressure, which are simultaneously recorded, also could be used to estimate the daily global solar radiation using a neuronal network model (Jimenez et al., 2016). I^2C capable sensors for measuring photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) or Lux sensors could provide additional information about radiation and light conditions.

3.3 Example 2: Greenhouse monitoring (air and soil)

For the optimal growth of plants, adequate air and soil conditions are essential. The conductivity and the dielectric properties of soil depend on its composition, structure, moisture, and salin-

Figure 6. Monitoring ambient air at the National Institute for Respiratory Diseases, INER, Mexico City, Mexico.

Figure 7. Monitoring the air and soil parameters in a domestic greenhouse.

ity (SREENIVAS et al., 1995; Malicki and Walczak, 1999; Wang and Schmugge, 1980). Those can be easily measured, and it was shown that soil electrical conductivity measurements correlate with Local yield (Grisso et al., 2005).

The MeteoMex terra device uses either conductive or capacitive probes to estimate the soil moisture. The capacitive probes are protected against corrosion and, therefore, preferable. Since the analogous measurement only provides an integer value between 0 and 1024, the signal needs to be calibrated. The most straightforward procedure is measuring the dry sensor's output and the sensor when completely submerged in water. A more realistic calibration is possible by adding water to dry soil until saturation. The soil type is crucial for the water storage capacity and the conductivity/ dielectric properties. Another strategy is the acquisition of raw readings and subsequent data interpretation.

Figure 7 shows on the left side, the air data, and on the right side, the soil data, which are measured hourly in a domestic greenhouse with automated irrigation. The capacitive soil moisture sensor was calibrated to 0-100% with purified water. The actual sensor readings range between 110-124%, which reflects the dielectric properties of soil.

The average soil temperature of about 22 °C is ~8 K lower than the air temperature, demonstrating the heat capacity and temperature buffer properties of the soil. Besides, the temperature variations during the day are ~15 K for air and ~7 K in the soil.

The low average barometric pressure of 80,360 Pa is consistent with the theoretical value of 80,572 Pa, which was calculated for an ambient temperature of 30 °C and an altitude of 1,990 m above sea level (`https://www.mide.com/air-pressure-at-altitude-calculator`).

As the temperature peak at about 12 p.m. with the following decline indicates, the measuring point is only exposed to direct sunlight for a short period of day. Temperature and solar radiation profiles have a direct effect on plant growth. Therefore, *micro-climate engineering*, e.g., by planting shading trees, could become a common practice in future agriculture (Trilnick et al., 2018).

The well-being of farm animals also depends on climate conditions. Temperature and humidity measurements in the barn demonstrated that the heat stress of dairy cattle in Canada was underestimated when using data from meteorological stations. Therefore, reading environmental data in the barn is recommended to determine the actual conditions the cows are exposed (Shock et al., 2016).

The economical sensors and the open IoT platform enable numerous applications in *agriculture 4.0*, *smart farming*, and *urban greening* (Madushanki et al., 2019).

3.4 Example 3: High-performance computing room monitoring (air conditioning)

Delicate equipment such as scientific instruments and high-precision machines require controlled ambient conditions. Overheating or condensation could result in serious device dam-

Figure 8. Monitoring the air conditioning of a high-performance computing server room.

ages. **Figure 8** shows the monitoring of a high-performance computing server room at the *National Laboratory of Genomics for Biodiversity*, Cinvestav Irapuato, Mexico (`https://langebio.cinvestav.mx`). The charts demonstrate a tight temperature control with an average temperature of 20.45 °C and less than 1.5 K variation.

The constant monitoring of ambient conditions also helps to detect potentials for energy savings, such as changing the settings of an air conditioning system at night, or during periods of inactivity.

3.5 Example 4: Domestic Wastewater plant

Using treated wastewater for irrigation saves sweet water reserves in dry areas. **Figure 9** shows the block chart of a domestic wastewater treatment plant. The *activated sludge process* for reducing organic soluble solids by microbes requires aeration. This aeration step and the pumping for filtration and irrigation require electric energy. The *food-energy-water nexus* describes the strong interconnection between these resources (D'Odorico et al., 2018).

The presented facility uses photovoltaic energy. For saving electricity, the aeration is not operated continuously, but only during the daytime, and in half-hour intervals. The quality of the treated water needs to monitored to avoid either over-purification (wasting energy) or insufficient purification (clogging filters). In addition, the irrigation scheme has to be adjusted to the generation of treated water. Unused treated water passes through an overflow-pipe to the public sewer and is lost for irrigation.

Figure 9. Monitoring tank filling level and turbidity in a domestic wastewater plant.

The MeteoMex WasteWater prototype (**Fig. 3 and 10**) has two sensors: An analog Arduino turbidity sensor for measuring total suspended solids (TSS), and an ultrasonic distance sensor for estimating the tank filling. The Wemos board and the sensors are located inside the wastewater treatment plant, which is built from ferroconcrete. Thus, a direct WiFi connection to the wireless network is not possible. Thus, a Wemos D1 mini Pro board with an external antenna was used.

The data of both sensors are noisy (**Fig. 11**). Air bubbles and particles can create spikes in the turbidity measurements. Scattering and sometimes erratic readings of the ultrasonic distance sensor are also common and need to be addressed in the data processing. Two methods to filter noisy signal data are presented here.

3.5.1 Chopping off turbidity spikes

In the case of the turbidity sensor, spikes, i.e., individual values with high apparent intensity, should be removed. This was done using a circular buffer, which was set-up in the variable declaration section of the Arduino program:

```
// Circular buffer set-up
//<https://github.com/rlogiacco/CircularBuffer>
#include <CircularBuffer.h>

CircularBuffer<float,10> turbbuffer;
// circular buffer capacity for turbidity is 10
```

In the program loop, the minimum value of the last ten readings is determined:

```
//Turbidity
```

Figure 10. Installation of the MeteoMex WasteWater prototype in the domestic wastewater plant. A) Connection of the sensors to the custom-built Wemos shield, B) the turbidity sensor is stuck into a piece of styrofoam for floating, C) protection of the Wemos board against rainwater by a plastic beaker, and external antenna, D) control board for wastewater treatment and irrigation; the power supply with blue light provides the energy for the Wemos board, E) outside view of the wastewater plant.

Figure 11. Monitoring tank filling level and turbidity in a domestic waste water plant. Raw sensor data were processed by the Wemos ESP8266 processor to remove noise.

```
352    int turbSensor = analogRead(17);

353    float turbpercent = map(turbSensor,1024,0,0,100);

354

355    turbbuffer.push(turbpercent);

356

357    float turbpercentmin = 100;

358    using index_t = decltype(turbbuffer)::index_t;

359    for (index_t i = 0; i < turbbuffer.size(); i++) {

360      if (turbbuffer[i] < turbpercentmin) {

361        turbpercentmin =  turbbuffer[i];}

362    }
```

The reported turbidity is slightly underestimating the real value, and the measurement is more sluggish than using the raw readings. However, the spikes are removed efficiently, and the filtered data are more robust, which is important, for example, for setting an automated alarm level.

3.5.2 Kalman filter for tank level readings

Correcting the readings of the ultrasonic sensor is more complex since random deviations can be in both directions. Thus a Kalman-Filter was used for minimizing estimation errors (Kalman, 1960; Simon, 2001).

The respective Arduino library is included in the variable declaration section:

```
372    // Kalman Filter library

373    //<https://github.com/denyssene/SimpleKalmanFilter>

374    #include <SimpleKalmanFilter.h>

375    SimpleKalmanFilter ultrasonicKalmanFilter(1, 1, 0.01);
```

The filling level is calculated from the reading of the ultrasonic sensor SR04 and the tank dimensions (for details see the program in the GitHub repository).

```
378      float fillpercent = 100 * (1-((distance-47.5)/120));

379

380      // apply Kalman filter

381      float fill_estimate = ultrasonicKalmanFilter.updateEstimate(fillpercent);
```

Although the maths behind the Kalman filter is not trivial, it could be easily implemented, and the performance of the ESP8266 is sufficient for real-time signal processing.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Sustainability and socio-economic impact

As for any technology, the possible negative aspects of its adoption need to be discussed. IoT devices consume energy for themselves and for the data transfer and processing infrastructure. Further, their production consumes resources, and at the end of their life-time, they generate electronic waste (`https://whatis5g.info/`). Additional environmental issues arise when the devices are powered with batteries.

On the other side, the IoT technology can significantly contribute to saving natural resources (see examples above). The energy consumption of the presented domestic wastewater treatment plant (Example 4, section 3.5) is several kWh/day, compared to only some Wh/day of the MeteoMex device. The energy demand of the IoT equipment corresponds to about 0.1% and enables the continuous monitoring and optimization of the plant. Even little improvements in the wastewater treatment and irrigation process that reduce the energy consumption by a few percent justify the environmental and economic cost of the IoT integration.

In other cases, the IoT devices could be mobile and only connected for project-specific tasks, e.g., for determining the day-night temperature profile of a production facility.

Sustainability was a central design goal of the complete platform. The used boards are highly integrated and provide the necessary computation and networking functions with a minimum of material (3 g for a complete Wemos D1 mini board) and energy. WiFi technology and 5 V USB power supplies are globally available, and no special adapters are necessary.

Importantly, the low cost of the hardware components and the permissive licenses for all parts of the infrastructure - circuit and PCB board design, database, and IoT platform - make the adoption of an IoT system in marginal production sites feasible. Further, weather enthusiasts and environmental activists could form networks for regional and global data collection. The program code can be re-used by the community, e.g., to integrate more sensors, and for education.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The MeteoMex project aims towards a community-driven Internet-of-Things (IoT) framework. Despite the use of basic hardware components and free software, the infrastructure reaches professional-grade performance and robustness.

Monitoring the environmental parameters helps to protect natural resources (water and energy), to timely detect health-hazards, and to increase the production of high-quality food. New, data-driven strategies for food production, such as *micro-climate engineering*, *smart farming*, and *precision agriculture* - here summarized as *agriculture 4.0* require highly localized data. Collecting the readings of multiple simple sensors could provide more useful information than

high-resolution data from sparse measurement stations. The presented IoT infrastructure is highly scalable and can process telemetry data from few to thousands of sensor units.

An essential characteristic of this IoT system is the availability of electronic circuit designs and PCB layouts, program codes, and software under open-source licenses. Further, existing infrastructure such as WiFi networks is used to improve the economic and environmental sustainability. The IoT users are not *locked in* within a proprietary technology, but free to choose from multiple vendors, if they need replacement parts or technical service. The comprehensive documentation and the availability of PCB shields for frequently needed set-ups facilitate the do-it-yourself (DIY) assembly of IoT units. Additional sensors can be easily integrated due to the flexible and modular design of hardware and software.

6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank the engineers of ThingsBoard for technical advice, and Dr. Cei Abreu (*National Laboratory of Genomics for Biodiversity*, UGA/Langebio, Cinvestav Irapuato, Mexico) and Dr. Josaphat Montero-Vargas (*National Institute for Respiratory Diseases*, INER, Mexico City, Mexico) for providing sensor data. Equipment and material for the study were provided by Kuturabi S.A. de C.V.

7 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Robert Winkler is an Academic Editor of PeerJ and a shareholder of the company Kuturabi S.A. de C.V.

REFERENCES

Adejuwon, J. O. and Agundiminegha, Y. G. (2019). Impact of climate variability on cassava yield in the humid forest agro-ecological zone of Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management*, 23(5):903–908. Number: 5.

Antony, A. P., Leith, K., Jolley, C., Lu, J., and Sweeney, D. J. (2020). A Review of Practice and Implementation of the Internet of Things (IoT) for Smallholder Agriculture. *Sustainability*, 12(9):3750. Number: 9 Publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.

Beddows, P. A. and Mallon, E. K. (2018). Cave Pearl Data Logger: A Flexible Arduino-Based Logging Platform for Long-Term Monitoring in Harsh Environments. *Sensors*, 18(2):530.

Bradford, K. J., Dahal, P., Van Asbrouck, J., Kunusoth, K., Bello, P., Thompson, J., and Wu, F. (2018). The dry chain: Reducing postharvest losses and improving food safety in humid climates. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 71:84–93.

Chaudhary, D. D., Nayse, S. P., and Waghmare, L. M. (2011). Application of Wireless Sensor Networks for Greenhouse Parameter Control in Precision Agriculture. *International Journal of Wireless & Mobile Networks (IJWMN)*, 3(1).

Cohen, A. J., Brauer, M., Burnett, R., Anderson, H. R., Frostad, J., Estep, K., Balakrishnan, K., Brunekreef, B., Dandona, L., Dandona, R., Feigin, V., Freedman, G., Hubbell, B., Jobling, A., Kan, H., Knibbs, L., Liu, Y., Martin, R., Morawska, L., Pope, C. A., Shin, H., Straif, K., Shaddick, G., Thomas, M., van Dingenen, R., van Donkelaar, A., Vos, T., Murray, C. J. L., and Forouzanfar, M. H. (2017). Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015. *The Lancet*, 389(10082):1907–1918.

Corwin, D. L., Lesch, S. M., Shouse, P. J., Soppe, R., and Ayars, J. E. (2003). Identifying Soil Properties that Influence Cotton Yield Using Soil Sampling Directed by Apparent Soil Electrical Conductivity. *Agronomy Journal*, 95(2):352–364. _eprint: https://acsess.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.2134/agronj2003.3520.

Dell'Angelo, J., Rulli, M. C., and D'Odorico, P. (2018). The Global Water Grabbing Syndrome. *Ecological Economics*, 143:276–285.

Dickinson, J. L., Shirk, J., Bonter, D., Bonney, R., Crain, R. L., Martin, J., Phillips, T., and Purcell, K. (2012). The current state of citizen science as a tool for ecological research and public engagement. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 10(6):291–297. _eprint: https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1890/110236.

D'Odorico, P., Davis, K. F., Rosa, L., Carr, J. A., Chiarelli, D., Dell'Angelo, J., Gephart, J., MacDonald, G. K., Seekell, D. A., Suweis, S., and Rulli, M. C. (2018). The Global Food-Energy-Water Nexus. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 56(3):456–531. _eprint: https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2017RG000591.

Dueben, P. D. and Bauer, P. (2018). Challenges and design choices for global weather and climate models based on machine learning. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11(10):3999–4009. Publisher: Copernicus GmbH.

D'Amato, G., Holgate, S. T., Pawankar, R., Ledford, D. K., Cecchi, L., Al-Ahmad, M., Al-Enezi, F., Al-Muhsen, S., Ansotegui, I., Baena-Cagnani, C. E., Baker, D. J., Bayram, H., Bergmann, K. C., Boulet, L.-P., Buters, J. T. M., D'Amato, M., Dorsano, S., Douwes, J., Finlay, S. E., Garrasi, D., Gómez, M., Haahtela, T., Halwani, R., Hassani, Y., Mahboub, B., Marks, G., Michelozzi, P., Montagni, M., Nunes, C., Oh, J. J.-W., Popov, T. A., Portnoy, J., Ridolo, E., Rosário, N., Rottem, M., Sánchez-Borges, M., Sibanda, E., Sienra-Monge, J. J., Vitale, C., and Annesi-Maesano, I. (2015). Meteorological conditions, climate change, new emerging factors, and asthma and

related allergic disorders. A statement of the World Allergy Organization. *World Allergy Organization Journal*, 8(1):1–52.

El-Ansary, D. O. (2017). Effects of Pre-Harvest Deficit and Excess Irrigation Water on Vine Water Relations, Productivity and Quality of Crimson Seedless Table Grapes. *Journal of Plant Production*, 8(1):83–92. Publisher: Mansoura University, Faculty of Agriculture.

Elijah, O., Rahman, T. A., Orikumhi, I., Leow, C. Y., and Hindia, M. N. (2018). An Overview of Internet of Things (IoT) and Data Analytics in Agriculture: Benefits and Challenges. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 5(5):3758–3773. Conference Name: IEEE Internet of Things Journal.

Fagerlund, A. J., Iversen, M., Ekeland, A., Moen, C. M., and Aslaksen, P. M. (2019). Blame it on the weather? The association between pain in fibromyalgia, relative humidity, temperature and barometric pressure. *PLoS ONE*, 14(5).

Fang, L., Clausen, G., and Fanger, P. O. (1999). Impact of Temperature and Humidity on Chemical and Sensory Emissions from Building Materials. *Indoor Air*, 9(3):193–201. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1600-0668.1999.t01-1-00006.x.

Fechter, J.-O., Englund, F., and Lundin, A. (2006). Association between temperature, relative humidity and concentration of volatile organic compounds from wooden furniture in a model room. *Wood Material Science & Engineering*, 1(2):69–75. Publisher: Taylor & Francis _eprint: https://doi.org/10.1080/17480270600900551.

Garćia-Lara, S., Saucedo Camarillo, N., and Bergvinson, D. J. (2007). *El silo metalico: Manual tecnico de fabricacion y manejo*. CIMMYT. bibtex: Garcia-Lara2007d.

Gibney, E. (2016). 'Open-hardware' pioneers push for low-cost lab kit. *Nature News*, 531(7593):147. Section: News.

Grisso, R. D. R. D., Alley, M. M., Holshouser, D. L., and Thomason, W. E. (2005). Precision Farming Tools. Soil Electrical Conductivity. Accepted: 2015-02-12T21:24:44Z Publisher: Virginia Cooperative Extension.

Haghighat, F. and De Bellis, L. (1998). Material emission rates: Literature review, and the impact of indoor air temperature and relative humidity. *Building and Environment*, 33(5):261–277.

Hutchinson, C. (2019). *Arid Lands: Today And Tomorrow*. Routledge.

Jat, R., Singh, V. P., and Kumar, V. (2020). Greenhouse cultivation of fruit crops with special reference to India: An overview. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 12(2):252–260. Number: 2.

Jimenez, V. A., Barrionuevo, A., Will, A., and Rodríguez, S. (2016). Neural Network for Estimating Daily Global Solar Radiation Using Temperature, Humidity and Pressure as Unique Climatic Input Variables. *Smart Grid and Renewable Energy*, 07(03):94. Number: 03 Publisher: Scientific Research Publishing.

Kalman, R. E. (1960). A New Approach to Linear Filtering and Prediction Problems. *Journal of Basic Engineering*, 82(1):35–45. Publisher: American Society of Mechanical Engineers Digital Collection.

King, B. A., Stark, J. C., and Neibling, H. (2020). Potato Irrigation Management. In Stark, J. C., Thornton, M., and Nolte, P., editors, *Potato Production Systems*, pages 417–446. Springer International Publishing, Cham.

Lake Iain R., Jones Natalia R., Agnew Maureen, Goodess Clare M., Giorgi Filippo, Hamaoui-Laguel Lynda, Semenov Mikhail A., Solomon Fabien, Storkey Jonathan, Vautard Robert, and Epstein Michelle M. (2017). Climate Change and Future Pollen Allergy in Europe. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 125(3):385–391. Publisher: Environmental Health Perspectives.

Liu, C.-C., Chen, W.-H., Yuan, C.-S., and Lin, C. (2014). Multivariate analysis of effects of diurnal temperature and seasonal humidity variations by tropical savanna climate on the emissions of anthropogenic volatile organic compounds. *Science of The Total Environment*, 470-471:311–323.

Luthra, S., Mangla, S. K., Garg, D., and Kumar, A. (2018). Internet of Things (IoT) in Agriculture Supply Chain Management: A Developing Country Perspective. In Dwivedi, Y. K., Rana, N. P., Slade, E. L., Shareef, M. A., Clement, M., Simintiras, A. C., and Lal, B., editors, *Emerging Markets from a Multidisciplinary Perspective: Challenges, Opportunities and Research Agenda*, Advances in Theory and Practice of Emerging Markets, pages 209–220. Springer International Publishing, Cham.

Luwesi, C. N., Obando, J. A., and Shisanya, C. A. (2017). The Impact of a Warming Micro-Climate on Muooni Farmers of Kenya. *Agriculture*, 7(3):20. Number: 3 Publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.

López-Castillo, L. M., Silva-Fernández, S. E., Winkler, R., Bergvinson, D. J., Arnason, J. T., and García-Lara, S. (2018). Postharvest insect resistance in maize. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 77:66–76.

Madushanki, A. a. R., Halgamuge, M. N., Wirasagoda, W., and Syed, A. (2019). Adoption of the Internet of Things (IoT) in Agriculture and Smart Farming towards Urban Greening: A Review. Publisher: SCIENCE & INFORMATION SAI ORGANIZATION LTD.

Malicki, M. A. and Walczak, R. T. (1999). Evaluating soil salinity status from bulk electrical conductivity and permittivity. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 50(3):505–514. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1046/j.1365-2389.1999.00245.x.

Martínez-Jarquín, S., Moreno-Pedraza, A., Guillén-Alonso, H., and Winkler, R. (2016). Template for 3D Printing a Low-Temperature Plasma Probe. *Analytical Chemistry*, 88(14):6976–6980.

Mercier, S., Villeneuve, S., Mondor, M., and Uysal, I. (2017). Time–Temperature Management Along the Food Cold Chain: A Review of Recent Developments. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 16(4):647–667. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/1541-4337.12269.

Miller-Robbie, L., Ramaswami, A., and Amerasinghe, P. (2017). Wastewater treatment and reuse in urban agriculture: exploring the food, energy, water, and health nexus in Hyderabad, India. *Environmental Research Letters*, 12(7):075005. Publisher: IOP Publishing.

Milota, M. R. and Lavery, M. R. (2003). Temperature and Humidity Effects on Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds from Ponderosa Pine Lumber. *Drying Technology*, 21(1):165–174. Publisher: Taylor & Francis _eprint: https://doi.org/10.1081/DRT-120017289.

Monk, S. (2015). *Fritzing for Inventors: Take Your Electronics Project from Prototype to Product*. McGraw-Hill Education TAB, New York; Blacklick, 1 edition.

Mulla, D. J. (2013). Twenty five years of remote sensing in precision agriculture: Key advances and remaining knowledge gaps. *Biosystems Engineering*, 114(4):358–371.

Ould Ahmed, B. A., Inoue, M., and Moritani, S. (2010). Effect of saline water irrigation and manure application on the available water content, soil salinity, and growth of wheat. *Agricultural Water Management*, 97(1):165–170.

Patella, V., Florio, G., Magliacane, D., Giuliano, A., Crivellaro, M. A., Di Bartolomeo, D., Genovese, A., Palmieri, M., Postiglione, A., Ridolo, E., Scaletti, C., Ventura, M. T., Zollo, A., and Air Pollution and Climate Change Task Force of the Italian Society of Allergology, A. a. C. I. S. (2018). Urban air pollution and climate change: "The Decalogue: Allergy Safe Tree" for allergic and respiratory diseases care. *Clinical and Molecular Allergy*, 16(1):20.

Ponnusamy, K. and Rajagopalan, N. (2018). Internet of Things: A Survey on IoT Protocol Standards. In Saeed, K., Chaki, N., Pati, B., Bakshi, S., and Mohapatra, D. P., editors, *Progress in Advanced Computing and Intelligent Engineering*, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, pages 651–663, Singapore. Springer.

Racah, E., Beckham, C., Maharaj, T., Ebrahimi Kahou, S., Prabhat, M., and Pal, C. (2017). ExtremeWeather: A large-scale climate dataset for semi-supervised detection, localization, and

581 understanding of extreme weather events. In Guyon, I., Luxburg, U. V., Bengio, S., Wallach,
582 H., Fergus, R., Vishwanathan, S., and Garnett, R., editors, *Advances in Neural Information Pro-*
583 *cessing Systems 30*, pages 3402–3413. Curran Associates, Inc.

584 Rosas-Román, I., Ovando-Vázquez, C., Moreno-Pedraza, A., Guillén-Alonso, H., and Winkler,
585 R. (2020). Open LabBot and RmsiGUI: Community development kit for sampling automation
586 and ambient imaging. *Microchemical Journal*, 152:104343.

587 Saura, J. R., Reyes-Menendez, A., and Palos-Sanchez, P. (2019). Mapping multispectral Dig-
588 ital Images using a Cloud Computing software: applications from UAV images. *Heliyon*,
589 5(2):e01277.

590 Scher, S. (2018).   Toward   Data-Driven   Weather   and   Climate   Forecast-
591 ing: Approximating   a   Simple   General   Circulation   Model   With   Deep
592 Learning.   *Geophysical   Research   Letters*,   45(22):12,616–12,622.   _eprint:
593 https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2018GL080704.

594 Seelan, S. K., Laguette, S., Casady, G. M., and Seielstad, G. A. (2003). Remote sensing applica-
595 tions for precision agriculture: A learning community approach. *Remote Sensing of Environ-*
596 *ment*, 88(1):157–169.

597 She, X., Cong, L., Nie, B., Leng, G., Peng, H., Chen, Y., Zhang, X., Wen, T., Yang, H., and Luo,
598 Y. (2018). Energy-efficient and -economic technologies for air conditioning with vapor com-
599 pression refrigeration: A comprehensive review. *Applied Energy*, 232:157–186.

600 Shock, D. A., LeBlanc, S. J., Leslie, K. E., Hand, K., Godkin, M. A., Coe, J. B., and Kelton, D. F.
601 (2016). Studying the relationship between on-farm environmental conditions and local me-
602 teorological station data during the summer. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 99(3):2169–2179.

603 Shrivastava, P. and Kumar, R. (2015). Soil salinity: A serious environmental issue and plant
604 growth promoting bacteria as one of the tools for its alleviation. *Saudi Journal of Biological*
605 *Sciences*, 22(2):123–131.

606 Simon, D. (2001). Kalman filtering. *Embedded systems programming*, 14(6):72–79.

607 SREENIVAS, K., VENKATARATNAM, L., and RAO, P. V. N. (1995). Dielectric properties of
608 salt-affected soils. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 16(4):641–649. Publisher: Taylor &
609 Francis _eprint: https://doi.org/10.1080/01431169508954431.

610 Tefera, T., Kanampiu, F., De Groote, H., Hellin, J., Mugo, S., Kimenju, S., Beyene, Y., Boddu-
611 palli, P. M., Shiferaw, B., and Banziger, M. (2011). The metal silo: An effective grain storage
612 technology for reducing post-harvest insect and pathogen losses in maize while improving
613 smallholder farmers' food security in developing countries. *Crop Protection*, 30(3):240–245.

614 Trilnick, I., Gordon, B., and Zilberman, D. (2018). Micro-Climate Engineering for Climate
615   Change Adaptation in Agriculture.

616 Vergine, P., Salerno, C., Libutti, A., Beneduce, L., Gatta, G., Berardi, G., and Pollice, A. (2017).
617   Closing the water cycle in the agro-industrial sector by reusing treated wastewater for irriga-
618   tion. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 164:587–596.

619 Vermeulen, S. J., Campbell, B. M., and Ingram, J. S. (2012). Climate Change and
620   Food Systems. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 37(1):195–222. _eprint:
621   https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-020411-130608.

622 Villalobos, F. J., Mateos, L., Testi, L., and Fereres, E. (2016). Air Temperature and Humidity. In
623   Villalobos, F. J. and Fereres, E., editors, *Principles of Agronomy for Sustainable Agriculture*, pages
624   55–67. Springer International Publishing, Cham.

625 Wang, J. R. and Schmugge, T. J. (1980). An Empirical Model for the Complex Dielectric Per-
626   mittivity of Soils as a Function of Water Content. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote
627   Sensing*, GE-18(4):288–295. Conference Name: IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote
628   Sensing.

629 Wheeler, T. and Braun, J. v. (2013). Climate Change Impacts on Global Food Security. *Science*,
630   341(6145):508–513. Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science Section:
631   Review.

632 Williams, G. (2011). *Data Mining with Rattle and R: The Art of Excavating Data for Knowledge
633   Discovery (Use R!)*. Springer Science + Business Media, New York, NY, USA, 1st edition.

634 Winkler, R. (2020). Zenodo repository, robert-winkler/MeteoMex: PeerJ Computer Science
635   Release v1.1, DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.4075278.

636 Wolkoff, P. (1998). Impact of air velocity, temperature, humidity, and air on long-term voc
637   emissions from building products. *Atmospheric Environment*, 32(14):2659–2668.

638 Wolkoff, P. and Kjærgaard, S. K. (2007). The dichotomy of relative humidity on indoor air
639   quality. *Environment International*, 33(6):850–857.

640 Yu, D., Qiao, J., and Shi, P. (2018). Spatiotemporal patterns, relationships, and drivers of China's
641   agricultural ecosystem services from 1980 to 2010: a multiscale analysis. *Landscape Ecology*,
642   33(4):575–595.