- 1. Basic reporting
- 1. Presentation of the manuscript is excellent
- 2. The Diagrams presented by the authors are nice per the journal perspective requirement.
- 3. The literature review is not up to mark. The authors are advised to review the mentioned articles to enhance the quality of the article.
- a. 10.4108/eai.19-12-2018.156086
- b. 10.1109/ICICCSP53532.2022.9862419
- c. 10.2174/2666145416666230124143912
- d. 10.1109/ESCI56872.2023.10100310
- 4. Dataset description is missing in the manuscript even if the authors added it as supplementary data.
- 2. Experimental design
- 1. Why the authors have chosen GA or outlier detection? Why not use another approach? The complexity of GA is high. Address this issue.
- 2. Why do the authors show interest in the Tiki-Taka Algorithm? Nowhere in the manuscript a detailed description of the importance of this algorithm is mentioned.
- 3. Validity of the findings
- 1. The ROC Curve presentation should be included in the result analysis.
- 2. Why did the authors use only the NB classifier? Whether they have tried and compared with others. if yes, why not mention it in the manuscript?
- 3. It is advisable to compare with any two classifiers.
- 4. Result analysis not done properly. Add the accuracy achieved (proposed vs existing)
- 5. Which existing model is compared mention clearly?
- 6. Whether the compared articles used same dataaset? Clarity needed.