Reviewer Comments to the author (s)

Title: Novel statistically equivalent signature-based hybrid feature selection and ensemble deep learning LSTM and GRU for chronic kidney disease classification

Basic reporting

- 1. The research work meets its goals and makes a valuable contribution.
- 2. The suggested work focused on deep learning, ensemble, and classification methods for CKD prediction.
- 3. The significance and goal of the suggested research must be stated by the au thors.
 - What is the need for the proposed effort, given the abundance of improved C KD prediction techniques now available?
- 4. The research gap is not clearly defined; hence the authors must provide evide nce for the necessity of the suggested task.
- 5. The abstract and conclusion sections need to be revised in light of the comple ted work.
- 6. Why is the use of ensemble techniques necessary?
- 7. For a small improvement in the outcomes, particularly accuracy when employing several strategies What's the estimated time and expense? Justify
- 8. The analysis of deep learning and ensemble studies has to be added to the literature review.
- 9. The authors need to explain why they choose to use particular techniques. Why did the authors select the current research techniques?

Experimental design

- 1. The introduction and abstract sections need to include an explanation of the proposed research work
- 2. A general grammatical check is necessary
- 3. The writers stated that choosing a characteristic is a crucial responsibility. An explanation of the attribute selection and its outcomes is required.
- 4. A description of the data set is necessary.
- 5. A model representation for the contributions is suggested

Validity of the findings

- 1. The unit representation in the tables is necessary.
- 2. Justify the SES and LESSO characteristics in the method.
- 3. There is uncertainty in every figure. The numbers ought to be obvious.
- 4. Explain the significance of group work and in-depth education.