

# Authors' Response to the review of CS-2022:02:70572

June 29, 2022

We would to thank all the reviewers for their constructive and intriguing comments that we feel have helped in substantial improvement of the overall quality of the manuscript. We have tried our best to address all the comments. Next, we outline each of the comments made by the reviewers along with our response and corresponding changes made in the paper. The reviews by each of the reviewers start with a new section mentioning “Response to Comments from Reviewer reviewer \_no”. The comments by the reviewer are in bold font as a subsection. Our response is written in regular font.

## 1 Response to Comments from Reviewer 3

### 1. **Given header keywords in Table 1, together with any heading, and all table perimeter names.**

**Response:** We really thank the reviewer for showing concern for the table headers. According to your kind suggestion, we have now updated and rechecked all the table headers. All the changes are now incorporated in the revised manuscript.

### 2. **Authors have improved the language.**

**Response:** We thank the reviewer for providing feedback regarding the language of the manuscript. The manuscript has improved substantially with your suggestions.

### 3. **References have been updated to include some more recently published work.**

**Response:** We thank reviewer for investing time in reviewing our manuscript and helping in its improvement.

### 4. **Figures are OK.**

**Response:** We express our gratitude for helping us out with feedback and suggestions.

### 5. **They have updated the methodology section accordingly.**

**Response:** We thank again the reviewer for all the suggestions in the revision journey of the manuscript.