

***In situ* SEM/EDS compositional characterization of osteocytes and blood vessels in fossil and extant turtles on untreated bone surfaces; different preservational pathways microns away.**

Edwin-Alberto Cadena^{1,2}

¹ Facultad de Ciencias Naturales, Grupo de Investigación Paleontología Neotropical Tradicional y Molecular (PaleoNeo), Universidad del Rosario, Bogotá, Colombia

² Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Panama City, Panama

Corresponding Author:

Edwin-Alberto Cadena¹

Cra 26 63b-48, Bogotá, 111221, Colombia

Email address: edwin.cadena@urosario.edu.co

Abstract

Osteocytes and blood vessels are the main **cellular and tissue** components of the bone tissue of vertebrates. Evidence of these soft-tissue microstructures has been widely documented in the fossil record of Mesozoic and Cenozoic turtles. However, all these studies have characterized morphologically and elementally these microstructures via isolation from the fossilized bone matrix where they were preserved or in ground sections, which could raise skepticism about the results due to potential cross-contamination or reagents effects. Fossil turtle bones from three different localities with distinct preservation environments and geological settings, including *Mongolemys elegans* from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia, *Allaeochelys crassesculpta* from the Eocene of Germany, and a podocnemidid indet. from the Miocene of Colombia are studied here. Bone from two extant turtle species, *Lepidochelys olivacea* and *Podocnemis lewyana*, as well as a commercial chicken *Gallus gallus*, were used for **modern** comparisons. Scanning Electron Microscopy-Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy analyses performed directly on untreated fresh surfaces showed that osteocytes in the fossil turtle bone are mostly composed of iron and manganese. In contrast, the *in situ* blood vessels of the fossil turtles, as well as those from the extant taxa, are rich in elements typically organic in origin (carbon and nitrogen), which are absent to **minimum** in the surrounding bone or rock matrix; ~~suggesting this suggests~~ a possible endogenous composition ~~in origin~~ for these fossil structures. Also, the results presented here show that although originally both (osteocytes and blood vessels) are organic soft components of bone, as evidenced in the extant turtles and chicken, they can go through completely different preservational pathways only microns away from each other in the same fossil bone.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [P1]: perhaps 'minimally present'

Commented [P2]: how about 'experience'?

Keywords: Fossil cells, exceptional preservation, osteoblasts, Testudines, Deep time, Mongolia, Colombia, Germany

Introduction

Bone is a complex biological tissue that characterizes extant and fossil vertebrates, and consists of a mineralized (calcium, phosphorus) and a non-mineralized (collagen and non-collagenous proteins) extracellular matrix, plus water and some lipids (Boskey & Gehron 2013; Rey et al. 2009). Cells involved in bone tissue are osteoclasts, osteoblasts, and the most abundant of them: ~~are~~ osteocytes (Bonewald 2011). Osteocytes are embedded within the hard-mineralized component of bone ~~for-throughout~~ life (exceptions being when released by fracture or during remodeling) (Robling & Bonewald 2020), making them highly susceptible to be preserved in fossil bones, ~~as it which~~ has been extensively documented in different groups of vertebrates (e.g., Bailleul et al. 2019; Enlow & Brown 1956; Pawlicki & Nowogrodzka-Zagorska 1998; Schweitzer 2011; Schweitzer et al. 2013; Surmik et al. 2019). Similar preservation of osteocytes and blood vessels ~~hasve~~ also been documented in fossil turtles, showing that their preservation is independent of geologic time, paleoenvironment, lithology, lineages, and latitude (Cadena 2016; Cadena et al. 2013; Cadena & Schweitzer 2012, 2014; ~~Cadena & Schweitzer 2014~~)

Something in common to all aforementioned studies are the analytical tools used to study and characterize these fossil bone microstructures, which include principally: 1) ground sections and observation under transmitted and polarized microscopy (Cadena & Schweitzer 2012; Surmik et al. 2019); 2) bone demineralization using ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) as a chelating agent (0.5 M, pH 8.0), allowing to release the osteocytes, blood vessels, and any other cells or ~~soft-tissue~~ fibers from the bone matrix for ~~their posterior ensuing~~ study by transmitted and/or polarized light, scanning and/or transmission electron microscopy and any coupled elemental analyzer, Raman spectroscopy, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), immunological and antibody studies (e.g., Alfonso-Rojas & Cadena 2020; Bailleul et al. 2019, 2020; ~~Bailleul et al. 2020~~; Cadena 2016; Saitta et al. 2019; Schweitzer et al. 2013; Surmik et al. 2019; Wiemann et al. 2018)

The preservation of these soft-tissue microstructures (osteocytes and blood vessels) and their potential original constituents (proteins and DNA) has been questioned and considered a consequence of microbial interactions within fossil bone and its microenvironment, or even as a result of cross-contamination in the laboratory (Buckley et al. 2017; Kaye et al. 2008; Saitta et al. 2019). The ~~biofilm hypothesis~~ as a source for soft-tissue preservation in dinosaur bones has been rigorously tested, ~~showing which identified~~ fundamental morphological, chemical and textural differences between the resultant biofilm structures and those derived from dinosaur bone, and offering rejection for this hypothesis (Schweitzer et al. 2016). ~~The issues concerning~~ cross-contamination

Commented [P3]: Since susceptible usually implies a negative connotation, I'd suggest rephrasing this to something more positive-sounding, like "providing them high preservation potential within fossil bones"

Commented [P4]: 'clades' would be a better, more formal term here (and throughout the manuscript)

Commented [P5]: Since there's a number of others that could be cited here too

Commented [P6]: Don't know how PeerJ prefers it, but do these need to be rearranged into chronological order? If yes, needs to be corrected throughout the manuscript

Commented [P7]: Singular since 'preservation' was the noun

Commented [P8]: One additional possible citation for the end of this sentence, depending on how you feel about citing PhD theses, would be Boles 2016 who found osteocytes in multiple Maastrichtian-Danian turtles from Edelman Fossil Park, NJ:

Boles, Z.M., 2016. Vertebrate taphonomy and paleoecology of a Cretaceous-Paleogene marine bonebed. PhD Thesis. Drexel University, 263 pp.

Commented [P9]: How about 'facilitating release of'

Commented [P10]: Same thing, could be others added to this list, so needs an e.g.

Commented [P11]: I'd suggest adding single or double quotation marks around these two words to denote that you are referring to their statements by this summary term, and maybe also adding the following phrase to clarify it: The 'biofilm hypothesis' advanced by these authors to account for soft-tissue preservation...

Commented [P12]: Change to "demonstrating that the recovered microstructures in the reports cited above are endogenous in origin and that the 'biofilm hypothesis' should therefore be rejected"

and ~~issues with~~ replications, timing of sample collections, and reagents have also been addressed ~~in by~~ Schweitzer et al. (2019).

Compositionally, ~~the~~ osteocytes and blood vessels ~~from different groups of fossil vertebrates~~ have been shown to commonly be enriched in iron (Cadena 2016; Schweitzer et al. 2014; Surnik et al. 2019; Ullmann et al. 2019), an element that has been suggested to play a key role in preserving and even masking identification of proteins in fossil tissues via Fenton reactions (Schweitzer et al. 2014). Other elements typically found in these fossil bone microstructures are carbon, calcium, and silicon (Cadena 2016; Ullmann et al. 2019). At present, all these studies of elemental characterization have been conducted using SEM/EDS on isolated (post~~after~~-demineralization) osteocytes and blood vessels, or from polished ground sections, which implies some degree of manipulation or contact with reagents or preparation tools, potentially raising skepticism on the ~~the~~ elemental results.

Here, I explore the *in situ* (directly on fresh and untreated surfaces) preservation and elemental composition of bone microstructural elements (cells and blood vessels) of fossil turtle bones from three localities, which have completely different geological settings, including: 1) Gobi Desert, Mongolia, from the Late Cretaceous (late Campanian–early Maastrichtian); 2) Messel Pit, Germany, from the Eocene; and 3) La Venta fauna, Colombia, from the Miocene. Comparison samples include bone from two extant turtles and a domesticated chicken. I discuss herein the results of these analyses and the advantages of using *in situ* SEM/EDS for understanding preservation of bone microstructures in fossils.

Materials & Methods

Fossil and extant samples. All the fossil and extant samples analyzed here were free of any resin, glue, or stabilizing additives since field collection. Two small shell pieces donated by Dr. M. Norell (American Museum of Natural History, AMNH) from a partially-articulated shell (carapace and plastron) of *Mongolemys elegans*, (IGM-90/42) specimen were used for this study. Specimen IGM-90/42 specimen has been previously figured, including ground sections that show excellent preservation of osteocytes under transmitted light microscopy (Cadena et al. 2013, figs. 7, 9). This fossil material was collected by the AMNH and the Mongolian Academy of Sciences joint field expeditions at the Bugin Tsav locality, Gobi Desert, Mongolia, from fine-grained sandstones deposits representing pond deposits belonging to within the Nemegt Formation, considered to be late Campanian–early Maastrichtian (~ 80 Ma) in age (Jerzykiewicz 2000, and references therein).

Small isolated fragments ~~of from~~ the carapace of an *Allaeochelys crassesculpta* (SMF ME 2449) specimen was were donated ~~from by~~ Dr. K. ~~rister~~ Smith (Senckenberg Naturmuseum Frankfurt, SMF); these were collected from the worldwide well-known locality of Messel Pit, which represents volcanic lake deposits from the early-middle Eocene (~ 48 Ma) (Lenz et al. 2015). Osteocytes, blood vessels, and collagen fibers from

Commented [P13]: Perhaps 'isolated from fossil bones of various vertebrate clades'

Commented [P14]: I think the Boles 2016 study I mentioned above may have found osteocytes enriched in iron as well. If adding it to your refs, would be worth adding the citation here too

Commented [P15]: Beyond their differences in geologic age, are you referring to lithologies? Or taphonomic histories/scenarios? Both? Clarify please.

Commented [P16]: It might be worth removing this word as the method could also be applied to soft microstructures in tissues other than bones (i.e., any cells/tissues isolated from invertebrate shells, for instance).

Commented [P17]: Since 'fragments' was plural

Commented [P18]: To match format used for Norell in the previous paragraph

Commented [P19]: Since volcanic lake deposits sounds odd on its own, suggest changing it to 'volcanically-influenced lake deposits'

~~this specimen~~ were previously described and elementally characterized ~~for this specimen~~
~~in~~ ~~by~~ (Cadena (2016, figs, 4–7).

Carapace fragments from a podocnemidid indet. specimen, UR-CP-0043, as well as the surrounding rock matrix, were collected in 2018 directly from an excavation site (approximately 1.5 m from the surface) ~~under using~~ strict aseptic techniques (nitrile gloves, face mask, wrapped in sterilized aluminum foil and kept in glass containers with silica gel for moisture control until analyses were performed). This fossil material was collected from the Repartidora locality, La Victoria Formation, middle Miocene (13.6 ± 0.2 Ma), Tatacoa Desert, Colombia, ~~from what are~~ interpreted as fluvial deposits (Cadena et al. 2020). Permits for collecting and study ~~of the~~ samples were granted by the Colombian Geological Survey (Radicado N° 20193800017321).

For comparisons, two extant turtle carcasses were sampled directly in the field following the same aseptic protocols used for ~~specimen~~ UR-CP-0043 ~~specimen~~. The first corresponds to carapace fragments from an individual of the sea turtle *Lepidochelys olivacea* (uncatalogued specimen) collected in January 2017 at the Pacific coast, Santa Elena Province, Ecuador, permit granted by Yachay Tech University. The ~~second~~ specimen sampled corresponds to a carcass of the side-necked turtle *Podocnemis lewyana* found in a sand-bed of the Magdalena River, close to La Victoria village, Huila Department, Colombia, ~~under a~~ permit granted by the ethics committee of Universidad del Rosario (-Resolución DVO005 672-CV1066) and the Colombian Autoridad Nacional de Licencias Ambientales (Technical concept N° 02263, 2019). A third sample corresponds to a femur fragment from a commercial chicken *Gallus gallus* obtained directly from a local market. Muscle tissue was removed and small bone fragments were cut using a sterilized scalpel and dried out at room temperature for several days.

Institutional abbreviations. AMNH; American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA; IGM; Geological Institute of the Mongolian Academy of Sciences, Ulaan Baatar, Mongolia; SMF ME, Senckenberg Naturmuseum, Frankfurt, Germany; UR-CP; paleontological collection, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad del Rosario, Bogotá, Colombia.

Scanning electron microscopy and elemental analysis (SEM/EDS). Each of the fossils, rock matrix and extant bone samples were placed between two disposable sterilized lab-weighing boats and gently hit ~~it~~ with a rock hammer ~~for breaking it in~~ smaller pieces. Using tweezers (sterilized before every mounting process), one of the smaller pieces of broken bone was transferred to an SEM holder with adjustable screws and secured. To prevent any potential particles or dust ~~to enter from entering~~ the SEM chamber, ~~the each~~ sample was gently air cleaned before placing it in the SEM carousel. Elemental analysis was performed ~~combined in combination~~ with high resolution imaging of the bone surfaces, ~~and as well as~~ (in some cases) the rock matrix attached to it, using a scanning electron microscope coupled with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy analyzer (Phenom ProX, at the Paleontological Lab of Yachay Tech University (YTU), San Miguel de Urcuqui, Ecuador). Imaging was performed at 5 kV using different magnification settings, and point-~~and~~-map ~~analyses of~~ elemental

Commented [P20]: Is the second modern sample also an 'uncatalogued specimen', as noted in parentheses for the first? Just wondering if the same parenthetical note needs to be added for it.

Commented [P21]: Perhaps 'to break them into'

composition of selected regions or features **were performed** at 15 kV. At least **5** or more elements **points** were explored for each osteocyte or blood vessel, as well as the surrounding bone matrix or rock. Quality of EDS analyses was evaluated considering only those with **one+** million counts or higher. Full raw data is presented in **Data S1**.

Bone demineralization. In order to **control** the occurrence and preservation of **endogenous** osteocytes and blood vessels in some of the samples, small **bone** pieces of *Mongolemys elegans*; (IGM-90/42) **specimen** and the podocnemidid indet. specimen (UR-CP-0043) were demineralized using **disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid** (EDTA) (0.5 M, pH 8.0, filter-sterilized using a 0.22 µm filter) as previously described (Cadena 2016; Cleland et al. 2015) for a period of **5 days to 2 weeks**, or until osteocytes and blood vessels were detected. Photographs of the recovered **osteocytes** were taken using a transmitted light microscope (Olympus BX-63) and a polarized light microscope (Olympus BX-53); at the paleontological lab of YTU. **Some of the isolated osteocytes of from IGM-90/42** were mounted in a stub and analyzed following the same protocol and SEM/EDS machine aforementioned.

Results

***Mongolemys elegans*, Late Cretaceous of Mongolia.** The *in situ* osteocytes of *Mongolemys elegans* (specimen IGM-90/42) under SEM; exhibit a **different contrast** with the **surrounding bone matrix**, which is exclusive of their three-dimensional volume, because when they left their lacuna, this space exhibited the same contrast as the bone matrix (**Figs. 1A–B**). Compositionally, they are predominantly constituted by **iron, calcium, carbon, manganese, and in minor occurrences, barium and nitrogen** (**Figs. 1C–K; 2A; Data S2; and Fig. S1**). There is **not** evidence of any of these elements in **the empty osteocytes+ lacunae**, which are composed of **calcium and phosphorus**, like the bone matrix (**Figs. 1L–N**). The isolated osteocytes shows that **the iron is concentrated on** their external surface and the manganese in **the an internal layer**; this is clearly **showed evident in the mapping** and a cross-line elemental profile (**Figs. 1O–P; S1**). **The** **Observation of some of the isolated (after post-demineralization) osteocytes under transmitted and polarized light show their revealed** excellent morphological preservation, **with some of them emitting low-degreed birefringence colors under polarized light** (**Fig. 3**).

***Allaeochelys crassesculpta*, Eocene of Germany.** The most abundant bone microstructures preserved in this sample are blood vessels and the walls that formed the Haversian-Volkmann (H-V) canals; also, in some, there is evidence of very small (2.5 µm diameter) structures with a striated margin **resembling which resemble** the morphology of osteoblast cells (**Figs. 4A–D; S2**). The blood vessels exhibit a width **between of 1–to 3 µm**, with an **average wall** thickness of 0.2 µm (**Fig. 4D**). Compositionally, the blood vessels are mainly composed of carbon and nitrogen, with minor amounts of calcium, phosphorus, and iron (**Figs. 2B; 4E–G; 4J–N; S2; Data S2**). The bone matrix surrounding them lacks nitrogen and carbon, and it is exclusively characterized by calcium, phosphorus, and iron (**Figs. 4E,I**). A bone sample with rock matrix attached shows that the bone is composed of calcium, phosphorus, iron, and

Commented [P22]: Spell out since <10

Commented [P23]: Perhaps just 'points' or 'locations'

Commented [P24]: I think you mean 'test for', correct? Or are you considering the normal demin process here as a method to obtain independent confirmation of the SEM findings? Needs to be clarified somehow...

Commented [P25]: Again, spell out the small numbers

Commented [P26]: Perhaps 'microstructures' as presumably images were collected of the vessels too, and 'microstructures' would cover both

Commented [P27]: How? Using a cellulose filter? Drying a drop of demineralization solution onto a silica chip? Please add a sentence or two discussing how the samples were mounted after demin. Also, were images collected of the microstructures still in solution in the EDTA in the wells, or were they transferred to separate slides and cover slipped? Finally, were any water (or other solution) rinses performed after demin and before imaging? I know some of these details might be included in your citations to Cleland et al. 2015 and Cadena 2016, but it would be really nice to include a brief description of them in the text here so the reader doesn't have to go digging for these methods in other papers.

Commented [P28]: Maybe the intended word here is 'distinct'?

Commented [P29]: 'their' would imply ownership

Commented [P30]: The sentence structure here is odd and confusing. Please rewrite to be clearer. The cells don't 'leave' their lacunae, for instance...

Commented [P31]: Perhaps 'composed of'

Commented [P32]: By 'minor occurrences' do you mean only some osteocytes show these elements as present, or that all of them have these two elements (Ba & N) present in small amounts? Clarify please

Commented [P33]: Since a lacuna is a space which is by definition composed of nothing, then you mean 'the walls of which', correct?

Commented [P34]: Perhaps 'elemental maps'

Commented [P35]: Maybe 'weak'?

nitrogen, and, in contrast, the rock matrix is rich in aluminum and silicon (Figs. 2C–D; 4O–Q; Data S2).

Podocnemidid indet., Miocene of Colombia. The sample of the side-necked turtle from La Venta, Colombia, shows at the bone external cortex preservation of walls that formed the H–V canals, blood vessels, and osteocytes tightly embedded in the very homogenous bone matrix (Figs. 5A–B; 5F–G). Elementally, the blood vessels and H–V canal walls are rich in carbon, nitrogen, and calcium, with minor amounts of phosphorous and silicon (Figs. 2B; 5C–D; 5H–I; Data S2). In contrast, the osteocytes are composed of iron, calcium, aluminum, manganese, phosphorus, and minor amounts of silicon (Figs. 2B; 5H–J; Data S2). The bone matrix lacks carbon and nitrogen, and it is constituted by calcium and phosphorus mainly (Figs. 2C; 5C,E,H). An isolated bone fragment (after post-demineralization) shows some of the osteocytes still embedded in the matrix, varying in color from orange to black, the darker ones located closer to black dendritic mats (Figs. 5K–M).

In situ extant turtle and chicken bone microstructures. The carapace bone fragment of the extant side-necked turtle *Podocnemis lewyana* shows osteocytes inside their osteocytes-within lacunae (Figs. 6A–B). Their composition is rich in carbon, nitrogen, calcium, and phosphorus (Figs. 2A; 6C–D; Data S2). The bone matrix is relatively richer in calcium (Figs. 2C; 6C,E). The H–V canals exhibit a distinct wall and a high concentration of blood vessels and red blood cells, which are rich in carbon and nitrogen (Figs. 2B; 6F–G; S3; S4; Data S2). A similar spatial patterns and compositions is shared by the bone of the extant marine turtle *Lepidochelys olivacea* (Figs. 2; 6H–M; Data S2), and the bone of *Gallus gallus* (chicken) (Figs. 2; 6N–P; Data S2).

Discussion

As previously shown (Cadena 2016; Schweitzer et al. 2014; Surmik et al. 2019; Ullmann et al. 2019), I validated via *in situ* analyses, that iron is a very common constituent of fossil osteocytes, such as those found in those from the Late Cretaceous *Mongolemys elegans* and the Miocene podocnemidid indet. bone samples studied herein (Figs. 1, 5). However, this composition is not always homogenous and varies from between the external to the and internal layer of the osteocytes, as shown in the a broken and folded osteocyte from *M. elegans*, which exhibits richer content of manganese internally and iron externally (Figs. 1O–P). High levels of manganese were also detected in the osteocytes from the Miocene side-necked turtle from Colombia, indicating that besides iron as initially suggested by Schweitzer et al. (2014), manganese is may also be involved in the preservation of these bone microstructures in deep time. The source for this rich content of manganese seems to be derived from manganese oxides such as the pyrolusite that could penetrate penetrating bone microfractures, as I showed here occurred in some fragments of the Miocene podocnemidid indet. from Colombia (Figs. 5K–M), and also has been characterized to occur in dinosaur fossil bones off from the same Formation (Nemegt) Formation, from which the *M. elegans* came studied herein was collected from (Owoccki et al. 2016). The color variation exhibited by the fossil osteocytes of *M. elegans* and podocnemidid indet. seems to be related to relative enrichment of manganese (darker

Commented [P36]: Does this mean something like ‘on the external surface’? Phrase more clearly please

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [P37]: Think it works better as plural since you’re talking about more than one attribute

Commented [P38]: But your citations include work by others, so this can’t be “I”. “As previously shown, I validated...” just doesn’t work either grammatically or scientifically here. I think it’s a product of the sentence structure here, where you mean to say something like ‘the analyses presented here concur with prior reports that iron is a very common constituent’. Please rephrase the sentence to correctly reflect this meaning

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [P39]: ‘may vary’ would be more appropriate I think because it allows for differences by sample better than just saying ‘varies’

Commented [P40]: By ‘external and internal layer’ you are presumably referring to components of the cell wall, yes? Would it be better to specify this than simply using terms like external/internal layer?

Commented [P41]: Since Mn is here found in only one fossil, that doesn’t sound like enough sample size to say it ‘is’ involved. And since processes might vary (at least a little) on a specimen-by-specimen basis, I think it would be better to make this statement more conservative. Thus, I suggest changing it to “may also be” instead of “is”.

Commented [P42]: Perhaps ‘which were found herein in some...’

ones). In contrast to the osteocytes of the extant turtle and chicken bone, which are rich in carbon and nitrogen (Figs. 2, 6), these elements only appear in minor amounts in the fossil ~~ones~~ osteocytes. However, ~~they~~ these cells exhibited a very distinct composition when compared to the surrounding bone matrix and even the surface of ~~their~~ osteocytes-lacunae, indicating that their mineralized preservation occurred at micro-scale inside the bone, a hypothesis that should be tested by future studies using additional tools (e.g., ~~as for example~~ Raman and FTIR spectroscopy).

Commented [P43]: Presumably you mean relative differences in Mn enrichment from one fossil to the other, so is it more Mn results in darker coloration? If yes, write this more clearly please

Commented [P44]: Perhaps 'wall surfaces'

The blood vessels and H-V canal walls preserved in the Eocene *Allaeochelys crassesculpta* from the Messel Pit and the Miocene podocnemidid indet. specimen from the La Venta not only ~~preserved~~ exhibited a similar morphology, but also exhibited the same elemental composition as the ones ~~from in~~ extant turtle and chicken bone (Figs. 2, 4, 5, S2, S3, S4; Data S2), being rich in carbon and nitrogen, and differing from the surrounding bone matrix which is richer in calcium and phosphorus, ~~or and differing from~~ the rock matrix which is rich in silicon and aluminum ~~(-without any traces of carbon, calcium, or nitrogen), to which~~ suggests that carbonates or nitrates were in the surrounding microenvironment. The *in situ* measurements performed on some of the preserved blood vessels from *A. crassesculpta*, ~~showing~~ exhibiting uniform fabric and thin walls of 0.2 µm thickness (Fig. 4D) suggest that they are not consistent with the characteristics of biofilms, which tend to be amorphous and bigger in size (Schweitzer et al. 2016). Blood vessels constitute one of the most promising microstructures preserved in fossil turtles for molecular paleontology studies, and future studies should focus on their molecular *in situ* characterization using ToF-SIMS mass spectrometry, for example, similarly as has been used in dinosaurs and other fossil vertebrates (Alfonso-Rojas & Cadena 2020; Henss et al. 2013; Lindgren et al. 2018; Schweitzer et al. 2019).

Commented [P45]: Perhaps 'their corresponding tissues'

Commented [P46]: One extremely long run on sentence that would probably work better as 3 separate sentences. Split it up

Commented [P47]: Make this more formal. Maybe something like 'large in diameter'?

Commented [P48]: Perhaps 'which has already been put to fruitful use in paleomolecular studies of dinosaurs...'

For the first time, I herein report the preservation of osteoblasts ~~are reported~~ in fossil vertebrates, particularly in the Messel Pit turtle *A. crassesculpta*. Their oval with striated margins in shape occurring at the walls of the H-V canals (Figs. 5B–D; S2), and thus resemble the morphology and size of ~~those~~ osteoblasts observed in electron micrographs of human bone (Nakamura 2007; Schmidt et al. 2002). At the same time, the evidence here provided, from the Miocene podocnemidid indet. turtle from Colombia (Figs. 5F–J) shows that, in the same bone specimen, osteocytes and blood vessels that are only 20 microns away from each other are compositionally different. This indicates that ~~both each~~ microstructures went through a different preservational pathways. Osteocytes seem to be more mineralized than blood vessels in these fossil samples, with high amount of iron and manganese, and less organic components ~~in contrast to~~ than blood vessels (Figs. 2, 3). In the extant bone of turtles and chicken, osteocytes and blood vessels exhibit similar elemental compositions under SEM/EDS, both being rich in carbon and nitrogen, which are typical compounds of proteins (Figs. 2, 6) (Torabizadeh 2011). A similar composition was detected herein in fossil blood vessels ~~of from~~ *A. crassesculpta* and the podocnemidid indet. from Colombia.

Commented [P49]: Since no biochemical analyses have (at least yet/herein) been performed on these to validate their endogenous composition, these should be referred to using single or double quotation marks or with a "XX-like" terminology as in your and others' previous reports. This needs to be applied to the use of the terms osteocytes and blood vessels as well throughout the entire manuscript.

Commented [P50]: This needs rephrasing, especially as 'occurring at' is an odd verb choice. Maybe something like 'They occur as oval objects with striated margins that are attached to the walls of the H-V canals, and...'

Commented [P51]: An important, necessary distinction

Commented [P52]: Perhaps 'are typically present in abundance within proteins'?

Commented [P53]: I'd suggest adding a (Figs. 4, 5) citation to the end of this sentence. Think those would be the right fig numbers

Traditionally, it has been suggested that the SEM/EDS has to be performed on homogenous or polished surfaces to avoid topographic effects on the EDS analyses (Goldstein et al. 2003). However, as I showed here, ~~this such~~ effects were ~~is~~ minimum ~~in~~

Commented [P54]: Maybe 'negligible'

for the analyzed sample, with composition and values being very similar in both the fossil and extant samples (Fig. 2). A more critical condition for EDS analysis on untreated samples is to have acquire the highest a maximum count rate as possible; above 1 million counts is ideal.

Conclusion

This study provided evidence that *in situ* analyses using a conventional technique, as SEM/EDS, on untreated fresh surfaces of fossil and extant bones constitutes a protocol that should be added to the rigorous plethora of proxies and tools (e.g., those recently reviewed and summarized by Schweitzer et al. [2019]) to support and demonstrate the preservation of cells, soft-tissues, and their original constituents in deep time, which have been recently reviewed and summarized (Schweitzer et al. 2019). Furthermore, *in situ* analyses of fossil and extant bone samples contribute to may also help eliminate minimize the any potential skepticism of the results obtained by molecular paleontology studies, because, as supported-demonstrated here, there is it requires minimal sample preparation/manipulation, use of reagents-use, or contact with lab tools that could cause possible contamination.

Acknowledgements

I thank M. Norell, K. Smith, S. Schaal and A. Vanegas for access to samples. I also thank to M. Schweitzer for some preliminary feedback on some of the results presented here. Thanks are also extended to Yachay Tech and the Colombian Geological Survey and Ethics Committee at Universidad del Rosario for the permits to collect and analyze the fossil samples.

References

- Alfonso-Rojas AF, and Cadena EA. 2020. Exceptionally preserved 'skin' in an Early Cretaceous fish from Colombia. *PeerJ* 8:e9479.
- Bailleul AM, O'Connor J, and Schweitzer MH. 2019. Dinosaur paleohistology: review, trends and new avenues of investigation. *PeerJ* 7:e7764.
- Bailleul AM, Zheng W, Horner JR, Hall BK, Holliday CM, and Schweitzer MH. 2020. Evidence of proteins, chromosomes and chemical markers of DNA in exceptionally preserved dinosaur cartilage. *National Science Review* 0. DOI:10.1093/nsr/nwz206.
- Bonewald LF. 2011. The amazing osteocyte. *Journal of Bone Mineral Research* 26:229–238.
- Boskey AL, and Gehron PR. 2013. The composition of bone. In: Rosen CJ, ed. *Primer on the metabolic bone diseases and disorders of mineral metabolism*: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 49–58.
- Buckley M, Warwood S, van Dongen B, Kitchener AC, and Manning PL. 2017. A fossil protein chimera; difficulties in discriminating dinosaur peptide sequences from modern cross-contamination. *Proc Biol Sci* 284:20170544. DOI.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0544.
- Cadena E. 2016. Microscopical and elemental FESEM and Phenom ProX-SEM-EDS analysis of osteocyte-and blood vessel-like microstructures obtained from fossil vertebrates of the Eocene Messel Pit, Germany. *PeerJ* 4:e1618.

Commented [P55]: Maybe 'signal intensities'?

Commented [P56]: This is in your opinion since there's no citation, right? If yes, then change the start of the sentence to something like 'I therefore suggest that a more critical condition...'

Commented [P57]: I strongly urge the author to consider using these suggested changes to make this closing sentence easier to read and to remove any potentially confrontational connotation that could be inferred by the (admittedly illogical) 'skeptics' still out there

- Cadena EA, Ksepka DT, and Norell MA. 2013. New material of *Mongolemys elegans* Khosatzky and Mlynarski, 1971 (Testudines: Lindholmemydidae), from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia with comments on bone histology and phylogeny. *American Museum Novitates* 3766:1–27.
- Cadena EA, and Schweitzer MH. 2012. Variation in osteocytes morphology vs bone type in turtle shell and their exceptional preservation from the Jurassic to the present. *Bone* 51:614–620.
- Cadena EA, and Schweitzer MH. 2014. A pelomedusoid turtle from the Paleocene–Eocene of Colombia exhibiting preservation of blood vessels and osteocytes. *Journal of Herpetology* 48:461–465.
- Cadena EA, Vanegas A, Jaramillo C, Cottle JM, and Johnson TA. 2020. A new Miocene turtle from Colombia sheds light on the evolutionary history of the extant genus *Mesoclemmys* Gay, 1873. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*. DOI:10.1080/02724634.2019.1716777.
- Cleland TP, Schroeter ER, Zamdborg L, Zheng W, Lee JE, Tran JC, Bern M, Duncan MB, Lebleu VS, and Ahlf DR. 2015. Mass spectrometry and antibody-based characterization of blood vessels from *Brachylophosaurus canadensis*. *Journal of proteome research* 14:5252–5262.
- Enlow DH, and Brown SO. 1956. A comparative histological study of fossil and recent bone tissues. *Texas Journal of Science* 8:405–443.
- Goldstein J, Newbury D, Joy D, Lyman C, Ehlin P, Lifshin E, Sawyer L, and Michael J. 2003. *Scanning Electron Microscopy and X-Ray microanalysis*: Springer Science.
- Henss A, Rohnke M, El Khassawna T, Govindarajan P, Schlewitz G, Heiss C, and Janek J. 2013. Applicability of ToF-SIMS for monitoring compositional changes in bone in a long-term animal model. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* 10:20130332.
- Jerzykiewicz T. 2000. Lithostratigraphy and sedimentary setting of the Cretaceous dinosaur beds of Mongolia. In: Benton MJ, ed. *The age of dinosaurs in Russia and Mongolia*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 279–296.
- Kaye TG, Gaugler G, and Sawlowicz Z. 2008. Dinosaurian soft tissues interpreted as bacterial biofilms. *PLoS One* 3:e2808.
- Lenz OK, Wilde V, Mertz DF, and Riegel W. 2015. New palynology-based astronomical and revised ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages for the Eocene maar lake of Messel. *International Journal of Earth Sciences* 104:873–889.
- Lindgren J, Sjövall P, Thiel V, Zheng W, Ito S, Wakamatsu K, Hauff R, Kear BP, Engdahl A, and Alwmark C. 2018. Soft-tissue evidence for homeothermy and crypsis in a Jurassic ichthyosaur. *Nature* 564:359–365.
- Nakamura H. 2007. Morphology, function and differentiation of bone cells. *Journal of Hard Tissue Biology* 16:15–22.
- Owocik K, Kremer B, Wrzosek B, Krolikowska A, and Kazmierczak J. 2016. Fungal Ferromanganese Mineralisation in Cretaceous Dinosaur Bones from the Gobi Desert, Mongolia. *PLoS One* 11:e0146293.
- Pawlicki R, and Nowogrodzka-Zagorska M. 1998. Blood vessels and red blood cells preserved in dinosaur bones. *Annales of Anatomy* 180:73–77.
- Rey C, Combes C, Drouet C, and Glimcher MJ. 2009. Bone mineral: update on chemical composition and structure. *Osteoporos International* 20:1013–1021.
- Robling AG, and Bonewald LF. 2020. The Osteocyte: New Insights. *Annual Review of Physiology* 82:485–506.
- Saitta ET, Liang R, Lau MC, Brown CM, Longrich NR, Kaye TG, Novak BJ, Salzberg SL, Norell MA, Abbott GD, Dickinson MR, Vinther J, Bull ID, Brooker RA, Martin P, Donohoe P, Knowles TD, Penkman KE, and Onstott T. 2019. Cretaceous dinosaur bone contains recent organic material and provides an environment conducive to microbial communities. *Elife* 8:e46205.

- Schmidt C, Kaspar D, Sarkar MR, Claes LE, and Ignatius AA. 2002. A scanning electron microscopy study of human osteoblast morphology on five orthopedic metals. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research* 63:252–261.
- Schweitzer MH. 2011. Soft tissue preservation in terrestrial Mesozoic vertebrates. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 39:187–216.
- Schweitzer MH, Moyer AE, and Zheng W. 2016. Testing the hypothesis of biofilm as a source for soft tissue and cell-like structures preserved in dinosaur bone. *PLoS One* 11:e0150238.
- Schweitzer MH, Schroeter ER, Cleland TP, and Zheng W. 2019. Paleoproteomics of Mesozoic Dinosaurs and Other Mesozoic Fossils. *Proteomics* 19:e1800251.
- Schweitzer MH, Zheng W, Cleland TP, and Bern M. 2013. Molecular analyses of dinosaur osteocytes support the presence of endogenous molecules. *Bone* 52:414–423.
- Schweitzer MH, Zheng W, Cleland TP, Goodwin MB, Boatman E, Theil E, Marcus MA, and Fakra SC. 2014. A role for iron and oxygen chemistry in preserving soft tissues, cells and molecules from deep time. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 281:20132741.
- Surmik D, Dulski M, Kremer B, Szade J, and Pawlicki R. 2019. Iron-mediated deep-time preservation of osteocytes in a Middle Triassic reptile bone. *Historical Biology*. DOI.org/10.1080/08912963.2019.1599884.
- Torabizadeh H. 2011. All proteins have a basic molecular formula. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology* 54:961–985.
- Ullmann PV, Pandya SH, and Nefflermo R. 2019. Patterns of soft tissue and cellular preservation in relation to fossil bone tissue structure and overburden depth at the Standing Rock Hadrosaur Site, Maastrichtian Hell Creek Formation, South Dakota, USA. *Cretaceous Research* 99:1–13.
- Wiemann J, Fabbri M, Yang T-R, Stein K, Sander PM, Norell MA, and Briggs DE. 2018. Fossilization transforms vertebrate hard tissue proteins into N-heterocyclic polymers. *Nature Communications* 9:4741.

Figure 1. SEM/EDS analyses of *Mongolemys elegans* IGM-90/42 bone. (A) Micrograph of one of the osteocytes and an empty osteocyte-lacuna nearby. (B) EDS of the bone region shown in (A), in which orange indicates bone matrix (calcium), and blue-yellow denotes the osteocyte (iron and oxygen). (C) Micrograph of one osteocyte, indicating the regions where EDS mapping and point analyses were performed. (D–E) Composite elemental map (D) and individual element maps (E) for the rectangle labeled as 1 in (C), in which osteocytes showing high amounts of iron and nitrogen. (F) Elemental point values for point 7 (bone matrix) shown in (C). (G) Elemental point values for point 4 (osteocyte) shown in (C). (H) Micrograph of a broken osteocyte inside its lacuna. (I) Individual elements maps from rectangle 1 shown in (H), with the broken osteocyte showing a high content of manganese. (J) Elemental point values for point 2 (bone matrix) shown in (H). (K) Elemental point values for point 6 (osteocyte body) shown in (H). (L) Micrograph of an empty osteocyte-lacuna. (M–N) Composite elemental map and individual element maps for the rectangle labeled as 1 in (L), in which the wall surface of the osteocyte-lacuna exhibits the same composition as the bone matrix. (O) An isolated, broken, and folded osteocyte showing a high amount of iron at the its external surface and manganese at in its the internal region. (P) Cross-line elemental profile across the broken and folded osteocyte shown in (O), revealing the a switch in-between iron and manganese content between the external and internal surfaces of the fossil cell. Full EDS results for the points shown in (C, H, and L) are presented in Fig. 2 and Data S2.

Commented [P58]: Which is blue and which is yellow?

Commented [P59]: Part (E) needs some sort of note about the darker the color, the more of the element present. Then that can be mentioned as applying to all the later figures too.

Commented [P60]: And oxygen according to panel (E) too

Commented [P61]: Perhaps 'signal intensities'? Also, since some of the columns don't sum to 100% you should probably note that the chart depicts only the four most prevalent elements. Same goes for part (G)

Commented [P62]: See previous comment, and it applies to parts (J) and (K) below and in captions for Figs. 4, 5, and 6 too

Commented [P63]: The caption for part (O) needs a brief note that the red line labeled P denotes the transect where elemental data were collected for part (P)

Commented [P64]: What is the Y axis of the graph, wt%? Label it please

Commented [P65]: Or cell wall?

Commented [P66]: Caption to the figure needs to close with a 'Scale bars as indicated.' Same for all the other figure captions too

Figure 2. %Wt of elements for fossil and extant turtle bone.

(A) EDS point analyses of osteocytes, indicating high amounts of iron and manganese in the fossil ~~ones-cells~~ (from *Mongolemys elegans* and the podocnemidid indet. specimens), whereas carbon and nitrogen dominate the ~~ones-cells~~ from extant taxa (*Podocnemis lewyana* and *Lepidochelys olivacea*), including those from a ~~also~~ chicken (*Gallus gallus*). (B) EDS point analyses of blood vessels, with fossil and extant vessels showing a similar elemental composition rich in carbon and nitrogen. (C) EDS point analyses of the bone matrix surrounding the osteocytes or blood vessels. Note how fossil and extant samples exhibit exhibiting similar %Wt values for calcium, carbon, and phosphorus. Fossils show relative enrichment in iron and a lower amount of nitrogen in comparison to the modern bone matrix samples. (D) EDS point analyses of the surrounding rock matrix, which shows an absence of carbon, calcium, and nitrogen, and but enrichment in abundant silicon and aluminum instead. Full data of for these EDS point analyses is are presented in Data S1, S2.

Figure 3. Fossil osteocytes of from *Mongolemys elegans* specimen IGM-90/42.

(A–F) Isolated (after post-demineralization) osteocytes viewed under transmitted light microscopy (A, C, E) and polarized light microscopy (B, D, F), these last showing low to moderate birefringence. All photographs taken with a 100X-oil immersion objective lens.

Figure 4. SEM/EDS analyses of *Allaeochelys crassesculpta* SMF ME 2449 bone.

(A–D) Micrographs of two Haversian canals, in which (B, D) showing the blood vessels system outlined in red in (B, D) and osteoblasts are outlined in green in (B, D). Measurements of the width of a blood vessels width, wall thickness, and osteoblasts diameters are also shown in (D). (E–G) Micrographs (E) and EDS elemental maps (F–G) of one of the blood vessels. (H) Elemental point values of for point 3 (blood vessel) shown in (E), showing it to be rich in carbon and nitrogen. (I) Elemental point values of for point 5 (bone matrix) shown in (E), showing rich amount an abundance of calcium, phosphorus, and iron, and absence of carbon and nitrogen. (J) Bone fragment placed in the SEM holder. (K) Micrograph showing a blood vessel embedded in the bone matrix, from the yellow region indicated in (J). (L) Close-up micrograph of the blood vessel shown in the red rectangle in (K). (M) Elemental point values of for point 3 (blood vessel) shown in (L), showing a high amount of carbon. (N) Elemental maps of the blood vessel shown in (L), indicating a high amount of carbon and nitrogen; these elements, which are absent in the surrounding bone matrix, which is characterized by calcium and phosphorus. (O–Q) Micrographs (O–P) and EDS elemental maps of a bone margin in contact with rock matrix, the latter of which exhibits a relatively higher amount of aluminum and absence of calcium, phosphorus, and iron. Full data of for these EDS point analyses is are presented in Data S1, S2.

Figure 5. SEM/EDS analyses of the podocnemidid indet. UR-CP-0043 bone.

(A) Bone sample mounted in the SEM holder. (B–C) Micrograph (B) and EDS individual element maps (C) of one of the blood vessels embedded in the bone matrix, showing high amounts of carbon and nitrogen, a minor amount of silicon; this differs, and differing from the bone matrix, which is dominated by calcium and phosphorus. (D) Elemental point values of for point 2 (blood vessel) shown in (B). (E) Elemental point values of for point 5 (bone matrix) shown in (B), showing a high amount of calcium and phosphorus. (F–G) Micrographs showing an osteocyte and blood vessel 20 microns away from each other, both embedded in the bone matrix. (H) EDS individual element maps of the region shown in (F), in which the blood vessel showing exhibits high amounts of carbon and nitrogen, and the osteocyte is richer in iron and but lacks significant of carbon and

Commented [P67]: I'd suggest putting a period after the (B, D, F) and then making the latter though a standalone new sentence: 'Under polarized light, the osteocytes can be seen to show low to moderate birefringence.'

Commented [P68]: What does the broad orange swath in parts (B) and (D) mark?

Commented [P69]: Unless I'm mistaken, the green rectangle in (J) is not mentioned anywhere. Can it be removed?

Commented [P70]: Perhaps 'composed mainly of'

Commented [P71]: Again, can the green rectangle be removed since it isn't mentioned?

Commented [P72]: Seems more like moderate than minor, but ok

Commented [P73]: And oxygen

Commented [P74]: This either needs some note about the blue and brown coloring in (G) being artificial or how those colors correspond to particular elements (do they?)

Commented [P75]: Use μm instead of 'microns'

Commented [P76]: And oxygen

nitrogen. (I) Elemental point values ~~of-for~~ point 2 (blood vessel) shown in (F). (J) Elemental point values ~~of-for~~ point 7 (osteocyte body) shown in (F). (K) An isolated bone fragment after ~~4-four~~ days of demineralization, viewed under transmitted light microscopy (at 20x). (L) Close-up image of the red rectangle region shown in (K), viewed under polarized light microscopy (at 40x), showing darker osteocytes closer to where dendritic pyrolusite mats ~~appear~~. (M) Close-up image of the red rectangle region shown in (L) (at 100x), showing indicating the dendritic pyrolusite and some of the osteocytes in detail. Full data ~~of-for these~~ EDS point analyses ~~is-are~~ presented in Data S1, S2.

Figure 6. SEM/EDS analyses of the extant turtle and chicken ~~extant~~ bones. (A) Bone fragment of *Podocnemis lewyana* in the SEM holder. (B–C) Micrograph (B) and EDS ~~individual~~ element maps (C) of ~~some~~ osteocytes embedded in the bone matrix, showing high amounts of carbon and nitrogen; ~~these-and~~ differing from the bone matrix, which is dominated by calcium and phosphorus. (D) Elemental point values ~~of-for~~ point 3 (osteocyte) shown in (B). (E) Elemental point values ~~of-for~~ point 4 (bone matrix) shown in (B). (F) Micrograph of one of the Volkmann canals and a blood vessel ~~system-of-in the sample of P. lewyana bone~~. (G) Close-up image of taken mostly within the Volkmann canal ~~wall-and-in which blood vessels are system-~~ (outlined in red) ~~P. lewyana~~. (H) Bone fragment of *Lepidochelys olivacea* in the SEM holder. (I) Micrograph of a region of the cancellous bone shown in the yellow rectangle in (H). (J–K) EDS composite (J) and individual elemental (K) analyses of the bone region shown in (I). (L) Elemental point values ~~of-for~~ point 2 (blood vessel) shown in (I), showing high amount of carbon and nitrogen. (M) Elemental point values ~~of-for~~ point 5 (bone matrix) shown in (I), showing high amounts of calcium and carbon. (N) Bone fragment ~~of-from~~ a femur of *Gallus gallus* in the SEM holder. (O) Micrograph of the bone region shown in the yellow rectangle in (N), showing ~~some~~ osteocytes embedded in the bone matrix. (P) EDS elemental maps of one of the osteocytes (in the red rectangle) shown in (O), showing a high amount of carbon within the fossil cell. Full data ~~of-or these~~ EDS point analyses ~~is-are~~ presented in Data S1, S2.

Commented [P77]: How about 'adjacent to'

Commented [P78]: Can the green box be removed?

Commented [P79]: Again, (J) needs a note about whether the color coding is artificial for easier visualization or if the colors correspond to enrichment in particular elements